## **AARP Number Cruncher Puzzle**

The **March 2020 AARP** magazine featured a simple sudoku type puzzle where a 3 by 3 grid is to filled in the digits 1,2,3,...,9. Digits are to be used only once and need satisfy 3 arithmetic row and column conditions.

#### A Disclaimer:

Puzzles like this are often prescribed for the aging (me) to help maintain mental acuity. Personally, when I am able, I would rather code a program to solve a puzzle as opposed to actually solving it unless the puzzle is a crossword or like. The endless repetitive solving of word finds, sudoku, and the like seem a waste though it could very well be **they are more effective at preventing dementia than programing.** 

If the grid is represented by a 9-element array, with non-standard indexing starting at one as follows:

Then the six arithmetic conditions can be expressed in the following **MiniZinc** <a href="https://www.minizinc.org/">https://www.minizinc.org/</a> constraint program

```
include "alldifferent.mzn";
array[1..9] of var 1..9: x;

%Row conditions
constraint (x[1]+x[2])*x[3] == 24;
constraint x[4]+x[5]+x[6]== 17;
constraint (x[7]-x[8])*x[9]== 27;

%Column conditions
constraint (x[1]+x[4])*x[7] == 44;
constraint (x[2]*x[5])-x[8]== 20;
constraint (x[3]*x[6])+x[9]== 25;
constraint alldifferent(x);
solve satisfy;


When executed, this yields the unique solution:
x = array1d(1..9 ,[5, 7, 2, 6, 3, 8, 4, 1, 9]);
found in 56msec
```

# Or, in grid form

```
5, 7, 2
6, 3, 8
4, 1, 9
```

The following Python program also solves the puzzle by randomly assigning values until a solution is found. When run 100 times on my 1.8 GHz Intel Core i5 MacBook Air the program produced the expected skewed distribution of solving times shown below:

```
import random
import time
import matplotlib.pyplot as plt
def solve():
    start time = time.clock()
    1 = [1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9]
    while (True):
      random.shuffle(1)
      if((1[0]+1[1])*1[2] == 24 \text{ and } \setminus
          1[3]+1[4] + 1[5] == 17 and \setminus
          (1[6]-1[7])*1[8] == 27 and \setminus
          (1[0]+1[3])*1[6] == 44 and \setminus
           (1[1]*1[4])-1[7] == 20 and \setminus
           (1[2]*1[5])+1[8] == 25):
             break
    return time.clock() - start time
times = []
#Solve 100 times and display a histograms of times
for i in range (100):
    times.append(solve())
plt.hist(times, bins=10)
plt.show()
```



### **Summary statistics include (all times in seconds):**

Sample size: 100 Median: 2.06889

Minimum: 0.015998000000081447 Maximum: 11.66827399999997 First quartile: 0.87124624999998


Third quartile: 3.96995525 Interquartile Range: 3.098709

Outliers: 11.66827399999997 10.183268999999996 10.148292999999967 8.928800999999964

8.82753200000002 8.815431999999987

# A box-plot of the time data of 100 runs is given below:

[3.38, 4.06, 6.3, 3.98, 10.15, 7.67, 2.9, 8.01, 0.4, 1.95, 3.06, 1.16, 1.09, 1.2, 1.56, 3.65, 1.74, 4.44, 2.65, 0.66, 5.58, 0.11, 5.03, 4.46, 1.33, 2.1, 3.93, 0.86, 5.07, 1.28, 6.07, 6.08, 0.67, 0.05, 0.3, 1.18, 0.51, 0.51, 3.45, 1.24, 0.16, 0.17, 8.93, 4.48, 0.08, 0.08, 3.5, 3.34, 4.74, 1.02, 3.84, 1.43, 3.73, 4.32, 2.99, 3.1, 1.98, 3.07, 6.77, 0.1, 1.99, 1.49, 1.91, 3.33, 0.27, 0.42, 8.83, 1.82, 0.87, 2.04, 0.02, 0.41, 11.67, 0.75, 1.69, 3.63, 10.18, 0.1, 7.89, 0.38, 0.83, 3.34, 3.99, 0.57, 0.95, 0.89, 2.31, 1.52, 3.44, 2.57, 3.47, 1.68, 8.82, 2.26, 2.12, 7.41, 1.4, 2.48, 0.39, 1.27]



A faster random algorithm (.06 secs) exploring all possible permutations of the digits via Python's itertools is given below:

```
from itertools import permutations
import time
start time = time.clock()
p = permutations([1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9])
for 1 in p:
    if((1[0]+1[1])*1[2] == 24 \text{ and } \setminus
         1[3]+1[4] + 1[5] == 17 and \
          (1[6]-1[7])*1[8] == 27 and \setminus
          (1[0]+1[3])*1[6] == 44 and \setminus
           (1[1]*1[4])-1[7] == 20 and \setminus
           (1[2]*1[5])+1[8] == 25):
        print(l)
        print(round(time.clock() - start time, 2))
        break
(5, 7, 2, 6, 3, 8, 4, 1, 9)
0.06 sec
Lastly, the seemingly fastest Back Tracking algorithm is given below:
#!/usr/bin/env python3
# -*- coding: utf-8 -*-
@author: joscelynec
The March 2020 AARP magazine featured a simple sudoku type
puzzle where a 3 by 3 grid is to filled
in the digits 1,2,3,...,9. Digits are to be used only once and
need satisfy
3 arithmetic row conditions and 3 arithmetic column conditions.
If the grid is represented by a 9-element array as follows:
x[0][0], x[0][1], x[0][2]
x[1][0], x[1][1], x[1][2]
x[2][0], x[2][1], x[2][2]
then the 6 conditions are
```

```
Rows
(x[0][0]+x[0][1])*x[0][2] == 24
x[1][0] + x[1][1] + x[1][2] == 17
(x[2][0]-x[2][1])*x[2][2] == 27
Columns
(x[0][0]]+x[1][1][0])*x[2][0] == 44
(x[0][1]*x[1][1])-x[2][1] == 20
(x[2]*x[5])+x[8] == 25
this puzzle has the unique solution
5, 7, 2
6, 3, 8
4, 1, 9
The code below adapts a Backtrack Sudoku solver to solve the
puzzle
***** A Disclaimer ******
Puzzles like this are often prescribed for the aging (me) to
help maintain mental acuity.
Personally, when I am able, I would rather code a program to
solve a puzzle as opposed
to actually solving it unless the puzzle is a crossword or like.
The endless repetitive solving
of word finds, sudoku, and the like seem a waste though it could
very well be they
are more effective at preventing dementia than programing.
11 11 11
#Driver function to kick off the recursion
import time
start time = time.clock()
def solveAARP(bd):
    return solveAARPCell(0, 0, bd)
This function chooses a placement for the cell at (row, col)
and continues solving based on the rules we define.
Our strategy:
We will start at row 0.
We will solve every column in that row.
When we reach the last column we move to the next row.
```

If this is past the last row( row == bd.length) we are done. The whole bd has been solved.

def solveAARPCell(row, col, bd):

#Have we finished placements in all columns for 3the row we are working on?

if (col == len(bd)):

#Yes. Reset to col 0 and advance the row by 1. We will work on the next row

col = 0 row += 1

#Have we completed placements in all rows? If so then we are done.

#If not, drop through to the logic below and keep solving things.

if (row == len(bd)):

return True; # Entire bd has been filled without conflict.

#Skip non-empty entries. They already have a value in them.
if (bd[row][col] != 0):
 return solveAARPCell(row, col + 1, bd)

#Try all values 1 through 9 in the cell at (row, col).
#Recurse on the placement if it doesn't break the constraints.
 for val in range(1, 10):

#Apply constraints. We will only add the value to the cell if #adding it won't cause us to break sudoku rules.

if (canPlaceValue(bd, row, col, val)):
 bd[row][col] = val

if (solveAARPCell(row, col + 1, bd)):#recurse with
our VALID placement

return True;

#Undo assignment to this cell. No values worked in it meaning that

#previous states put us in a position we cannot solve from.
Hence,

#we backtrack by returning "false" to our caller.

```
bd[row][col] = 0
    return False #No valid placement was found, this path is
faulty, return false
#Will the placement at (row, col) break the puzzle
def canPlaceValue(bd, row, col, valToPlace):
    #Check column constraint. For each row, we do a check on
column "col"
    for element in bd:
        if (valToPlace == element[col]):
             return False;
#Check row constraint. For each column in row "row", we do a
check.
    for i in range(len(bd)):
        if (valToPlace == bd[row][i]):
             return False;
#Check 3 row and 3 col constraints
    if(row == 0 \text{ and } col == 2 \text{ and } (bd[0][0]+bd[0]
[1]) *valToPlace != 24):
        return False
    if(row == 1 and col == 2 and bd[1][0] + bd[1][1] +
valToPlace != 17):
        return False
    if(row == 2 \text{ and } col == 0 \text{ and } (bd[0][0] + bd[1]
[0]) *valToPlace != 44):
        return False
    if(row == 2 \text{ and } col == 1 \text{ and } (bd[0][1]*bd[1][1]) -
valToPlace != 20):
        return False
    if (row == 2 \text{ and } col == 2 \text{ and } (bd[2][0]-bd[2][1])*valToPlace
! = 27):
        return False
    if(row == 2 \text{ and } col == 2 \text{ and } (bd[0][2]*bd[1][2])+valToPlace
! = 25):
        return False
    return True
#Initialize board
bd = [[0,0,0],
      [0,0,0],
      [0,0,0]]
solveAARP(bd)
```

```
print(bd)
print(round(time.clock() - start_time,3),'secs')
[[5, 7, 2], [6, 3, 8], [4, 1, 9]]
0.012 secs
'''
```