

THE EFFECTS OF BINARY STARS ON RECOVERED REMNANT POPULATIONS IN GLOBULAR CLUSTERS

by

Peter Smith

A THESIS SUBMITTED IN PARTIAL FULFILMENT OF
THE REQUIREMENTS FOR THE DEGREE OF

BACHELOR OF SCIENCE

in

Honours Astrophysics

(Department of Astronomy and Physics, Dr. Vincent Hénault-Brunet supervising
faculty)

.....
.....
.....
.....
.....

SAINT MARY'S UNIVERSITY

January 26, 2022

© Peter Smith, 2022

ABSTRACT

THE EFFECTS OF BINARY STARS ON RECOVERED REMNANT POPULATIONS IN
GLOBULAR CLUSTERS

by *Peter Smith*

submitted on January 26, 2022:

Abstract Here

Contents

Contents	iii
List of Figures	iv
List of Tables	v
1 Introduction	1
1.1 Globular Clusters	1
1.2 Modelling Globular Clusters	4
1.3 Binary Stars	7
1.3.1 Binaries in Globular Clusters	7
1.3.2 Observations of Binary Stars in Globular Clusters	9
2 Methods	13
3 Results	14
4 Discussion	15
A Appendix	16
Bibliography	17

List of Figures

1.1	The globular cluster NGC 7006 imaged by the Hubble Space Telescope's Advanced Camera for Surveys, photo courtesy of ESA/Hubble & NASA	2
1.2	Peter: TODO: write proper caption Some fits of limepy models to 47 Tuc	6
1.3	Reproduced from Figure 8 of Giesers et al. (2019) Peter: TODO: Caption, mention what MOCCA is	9
1.4	Peter: TODO: write proper caption Reproduced from Figure 1 of Milone et al. (2012).	11

List of Tables

Chapter 1

Introduction

Peter: I'm thinking an intro to globular clusters, then to modelling GCs with discussion of binaries, then to observations of binaries in GC

1.1 Globular Clusters

Peter: This is the part I'm struggling the most with at the moment, the discussion of core collapse was to try to get some discussion of the dynamical effects of binaries in, but I don't think it really fits here

Globular clusters (GCs) are dense, spheroidal collection of stars bound by their own self-gravity. GCs are found in most galaxies, with the Milky Way hosting roughly 150, mostly located in the outer halo. GCs typically represent some of the oldest stellar populations in the universe and are usually in excess of 10 billion years old. The dynamics of globular clusters are almost entirely governed by the interactions between individual cluster members, with small effects from the galactic potential of its host galaxy as well as mass loss due to stellar evolution. Despite the fact that two-body relaxation is essentially the sole driver of the evolution of GCs, they nonetheless display a wide range dynamical phenomena. Among these phenomena, mass segregation is a process through which heavier objects migrate to the centre of a cluster and lighter objects move to the outer regions. As objects interact with



Figure 1.1: The globular cluster NGC 7006 imaged by the Hubble Space Telescope's Advanced Camera for Surveys, photo courtesy of ESA/Hubble & NASA

each other, their energies will tend to equalize which leads to heavier objects slowing down and lighter objects speeding up (Heggie and Hut, 2003). This process leads to the core of cluster containing a much higher proportion of high-mass stars and heavy remnants than the rest of the clusters. Figure 1.1 show the globular cluster NGC 7006, imaged by the Hubble Space Telescope's Advanced Camera for Surveys. The dense core of the cluster is clearly visible and is made up of tens of thousands of stars.

The study of stellar remnants in globular clusters has far-reaching implications

for diverse fields of astrophysics. Globular clusters are one of the most commonly proposed candidates to host intermediate-mass black holes (IMBHs). Due to the effects of mass segregation and the high densities of the cores of globular clusters, the cores of globular cluster are an ideal environment for mergers of compact objects. These mergers can be detected through their resultant gravitational waves and the expected rates for gravitational wave events depend significantly on the compact object populations in globular clusters. These mergers are also thought to be one of the most promising formation channels for IMBHs, a so-far undetected class of black holes whose masses fall between those of stellar mass black holes and those of supermassive black holes. The formation of these black holes have important implications for understanding the formation of the supermassive black holes that we find at the centre of galaxies.

This work builds on a previous project I worked on which used pulsar timing data to constrain the properties of the globular cluster 47 Tuc. In that work, we were able to place strong limits on the mass in dark remnants (black holes, neutron stars, white dwarfs) within the cluster, establishing a strong upper limit on the black hole content specifically.

Peter: TODO: find a figure that illustrates mass segregation nicely, something like mean mass vs radius would be cool, even better if it's for annuli overlaid on a cluster.

1.2 Modelling Globular Clusters

When modelling globular clusters, there are generally two approaches commonly used. The first is to model the entire evolutionary history of the cluster from initial conditions to the present-day. The most commonly employed versions of these "evolutionary models" are direct N-body integration (see for example Baumgardt (2017)) which directly calculate the gravitational interactions between each object in the cluster and Monte-Carlo models (see Rodriguez et al. (2021) or Hypki and Giersz (2013)) which approximate the gravitational interactions between object according to the method of Hénon (1971). While these models provide insight into the dynamical history of the cluster, they are very computationally expensive with even the fastest models taking on the order of a day to model a realistic globular cluster (Rodriguez et al., 2021).

The second approach is to model just the present-day conditions of the cluster. These models, which we call "equilibrium models", capture none of the dynamical history of the cluster but fully describe the present-day state of the cluster. These equilibrium models are much less computationally demanding than evolutionary models. Their relative efficiency allows us to explore a significantly larger parameter space when fitting the models to observations to constrain the present-day properties of a cluster. In particular, it is worth highlighting that by using equilibrium models we are able to vary the stellar mass function of the cluster as well as the black hole and remnant retention fractions with more flexibility than what might be possible with evolutionary models, due to the computational cost of computing extensive grids

of evolutionary models with many parameters varied in the initial conditions (e.g. various stellar initial mass functions, initial cluster radii, masses, etc.).

The comparative efficiency of these models further enables the use of statistical fitting techniques like MCMC or Nested Sampling which would be prohibitively expensive to use with evolutionary models. This means that instead of a computing a grid of models and finding the "best-fitting" model we can instead recover posterior distributions for key cluster parameters.

In this work we use the **LIMEPY** family of models presented by Gieles and Zocchi (2015). The **LIMEPY** models are a set of distribution function based equilibrium models that are isothermal for the most bound stars near the cluster centre and described by polytropes in the outer regions near the escape energy. The models have been extensively tested against N -body models (Zocchi et al., 2016; Peuten et al., 2017) and are able to effectively reproduce the effects of mass segregation. Their suitability for mass modelling globular clusters has been tested on mock data (Hénault-Brunet et al., 2019) and they have recently been applied to real datasets as well (e.g. Gieles et al., 2018; Hénault-Brunet et al., 2020).

The input parameters needed to compute our models include the central concentration parameter W_0 , the truncation parameter g^1 , the anisotropy radius r_a which determines the degree of radial anisotropy in the models, δ which sets the mass dependence of the velocity scale and thus governs the degree of mass segregation, and finally the specific mass bins to use as defined by the mean stellar mass (m_j) and total

¹Woolley models (Woolley, 1954) have $g = 0$, King models (King, 1966) $g = 1$, and Wilson models (Wilson, 1975) $g = 2$.




Figure 1.2: [Peter: TODO: write proper caption](#) Some fits of limepy models to 47 Tuc

mass (M_j) of each bin, which together specify the stellar mass function. In order to scale the model units into physical units, the total mass of the cluster M and a size scale (the half-mass radius of the cluster r_h) are provided as well.

In their current implementation, these models assume that all objects within the cluster are single and make no attempt to model the dynamical effects of stellar multiplicity. In this project we adapt these models to incorporate some of the effects of binary stars under the assumption that all long-period binaries have been ionized by the present-day. This allows us to treat binary systems as point-masses and lets us model their dynamics by simply moving some of the mass in stars into heavier bins according to the specified binary population.

1.3 Binary Stars

1.3.1 Binaries in Globular Clusters

Peter: Discuss binaries in general, mention terminology, then why binaries in clusters are different from field binaries, then the dynamical effects of binaries

In general, the binary systems found within present-day clusters differ significantly from the field binaries that are more easily observed. In particular, we expect to little no long-period binaries, on account of them being ionized by the frequent interactions with other cluster members. We frequently use the terms "hard" and "soft" to describe binaries where "soft binaries" have a binding energy comparable to the average kinetic energy of a cluster member while "hard binaries" have larger binding energy. Due

to the frequent interactions within clusters we expect that all soft binaries have long since been ionized by the present-day leaving only a population of hard binaries with a truncated period distribution compared to field binaries. [Peter: Cite some papers here](#)

The most obvious way that binaries can effect the dynamics of a cluster is through three-body interactions with other cluster members. When a single star (or another binary) interacts with a binary system at a close enough range, the binary system will either impart some of its energy to the ejected star and "harden" or it will capture the approaching star, while ejecting one of its original components, forming a "harder" binary (Heggie and Hut, 2003). In either of these cases the binary system will impart some extra kinetic energy to the ejected star, through this process binary systems can act as a reserve of kinetic energy for a cluster and are thought to be one of the primary mechanisms through which core-collapse is halted in some clusters (Chatterjee et al., 2013). Because the models that we will be focusing on do not model individual objects within the cluster we will instead focus of the second way that binaries can effect the dynamics of a cluster.

Because binaries are tightly bound, for all interactions except for the very closest, they effectively act as a single point mass equal to the sum of each component's mass. In this way, binaries can affect cluster dynamics in much the same way that a large population of heavy remnants might. Much like black holes and neutron stars, binary systems will migrate to the centre of a cluster due to the effect of mass-segregation. Kremer et al. (2019) found that a central population of black holes can fulfill a similar role to binary systems in halting core collapse by injecting kinetic energy through two-




Figure 1.3: Reproduced from Figure 8 of Giesers et al. (2019) [Peter: TODO: Caption, mention what MOCCA is](#)

body interactions within the core of the cluster. This same mechanism could apply with tightly-bound binary systems that have mass-segregated to the centre of the cluster. This predicted increase in binary fraction as you get closer to the centre of a cluster is also seen in observations, and is illustrated in Figure 1.3 for NGC 3201.

[Peter: expand: discuss some effects of having a large massive centrally concentrated population of binaries](#)

1.3.2 Observations of Binary Stars in Globular Clusters

In general, there are two methods used to detect binaries within globular clusters: high-precision photometric observations and radial velocity surveys.

High-precision photometry can be used to detect binaries along the main sequence which have a significant difference in the mass of their components (typically these systems have a mass ratio, q , larger than 0.5). These systems will appear to be raised above the main-sequence when plotted on a colour-magnitude diagram as their colour will match that of a typical main-sequence star however their luminosity will be the sum of both components. Figure 1.3.2 shows the main-sequence of the cluster NGC 2298, the binary stars in this cluster are visible above the main-sequence according to their mass ratio. Milone et al. (2012) performed high-precision photometry on several globular clusters using the Hubble Space Telescope’s (HST) Advanced Camera for Surveys and was able to place strong constraints on the binary fraction for binaries with a mass ratio above $q = 0.5$. This method allows for large studies of binary populations in GCs without the need for dedicated observations but suffers from an inherent bias towards systems with high mass ratios. Systems with mass ratios below $q = 0.5$ are typically too close to the regular main-sequence to confidently classify as binaries (see Figure 1.3.2). This means that studies which employ this method must assume an underlying mass-ratio distribution if they wish to place any limits on the overall binary fraction of a cluster.

Large-scale campaigns to measure the radial velocities for many stars in a cluster over many epochs are another method which can be used to detect binaries in GCs. Systems which are found to have periodically varying radial velocities can typically be confidently classified as binary systems. Giesers et al. (2019) used the MUSE integral field spectrograph installed at the European Southern Observatory’s Very Large Telescope to observe several GCs and reported the results for NGC 3201. Integral




Figure 1.4: [Peter: TODO: write proper caption](#) Reproduced from Figure 1 of Milone et al. (2012).

field spectrographs provide spatially resolved spectra for the entire field of view of the detector which enables far more time-efficient surveys than previous methods. Because this method measures radial velocities over time, periods for the binaries can be accurately determined and given enough measurements, many other parameters like eccentricity and companion mass can be accurately constrained in contrast to photometric methods which can only provide the mass ratio.

Chapter 2

Methods

Chapter 3

Results

Chapter 4

Discussion

Appendix A

Appendix

Bibliography

H. Baumgardt. N-body modelling of globular clusters: Masses, mass-to-light ratios and intermediate-mass black holes. *Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society*, 464(2):2174–2202, jan 2017.

Sourav Chatterjee, Stefan Umbreit, John M. Fregeau, and Frederic A. Rasio. Understanding the dynamical state of globular clusters: Core-collapsed versus non-core-collapsed. *Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society*, 429(4):2881–2893, mar 2013.

Mark Gieles, Eduardo Balbinot, Rashid I.S.M. Yaaqib, Vincent Hénault-Brunet, Alice Zocchi, Miklos Peuten, and Peter G. Jonker. Mass models of NGC6624 without an intermediate-mass black hole. *Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society*, 473(4):4832–4839, feb 2018.

Mark Gieles and Alice Zocchi. A family of lowered isothermal models. *Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society*, 454(1):576–592, nov 2015.

Benjamin Giesers, Sebastian Kamann, Stefan Dreizler, Tim Oliver Husser, Abbas Askar, Fabian Göttgens, Jarle Brinchmann, Marilyn Latour, Peter M. Weilbacher, Martin Wendt, and Martin M. Roth. A stellar census in globular clusters with MUSE: Binaries in NGC 3201. *Astronomy and Astrophysics*, 632, dec 2019.

Douglas Heggie and Piet Hut. *The Gravitational Million-Body Problem*. Cambridge University Press, jan 2003.

V. Hénault-Brunet, M. Gieles, A. Sollima, L. L. Watkins, A. Zocchi, I. Claydon, E. Pancino, and H. Baumgardt. Mass modelling globular clusters in the Gaia era: A method comparison using mock data from an N-body simulation of M 4. *Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society*, 483(1):1400–1425, feb 2019.

V. Hénault-Brunet, M. Gieles, J. Strader, M. Peuten, E. Balbinot, and K. E.K. Douglas. On the black hole content and initial mass function of 47 Tuc. *Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society*, 491(1):113–128, jan 2020.

M. Hénon. Monte Carlo models of star clusters. *Astrophysics and Space Science*, 13(2):284–299, oct 1971.

Arkadiusz Hypki and Mirek Giersz. mocca code for star cluster simulations – I. Blue stragglers, first results. *Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society*, 429(2):1221–1243, feb 2013.

Ivan R. King. The structure of star clusters. III. Some simple dynamical models. *The Astronomical Journal*, 71(1):64, 1966.

Kyle Kremer, Claire S. Ye, Sourav Chatterjee, Carl L. Rodriguez, and Frederic A. Rasio. The Role of “black hole burning” in the evolution of dense star clusters. *Proceedings of the International Astronomical Union*, 14(S351):357–366, may 2019.

A. P. Milone, G. Piotto, L. R. Bedin, A. Aparicio, J. Anderson, A. Sarajedini, A. F. Marino, A. Moretti, M. B. Davies, B. Chaboyer, A. Dotter, M. Hempel, A. Marín-Franch, S. Majewski, N. E.Q. Paust, I. N. Reid, A. Rosenberg, and M. Siegel. The ACS survey of Galactic globular clusters: XII. Photometric binaries along the main sequence. *Astronomy and Astrophysics*, 540(S241):A16, apr 2012.

M. Peuten, A. Zocchi, M. Gieles, and V. Hénault-Brunet. Testing lowered isothermal models with direct N-body simulations of globular clusters - II. Multimass models. *Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society*, 470(3):2736–2761, sep 2017.

Carl L. Rodriguez, Newlin C. Weatherford, Scott C. Coughlin, Pau Amaro Seoane, Katelyn Breivik, Sourav Chatterjee, Giacomo Fragione, Fulya Kiroğlu, Kyle Kremer, Nicholas Z. Rui, Claire S. Ye, Michael Zevin, and Frederic A. Rasio. Modeling Dense Star Clusters in the Milky Way and Beyond with the Cluster Monte Carlo Code. jun 2021.

C. P. Wilson. Dynamical models of elliptical galaxies. *The Astronomical Journal*, 80:175, mar 1975.

R. v. d. R. Woolley. A Study of the Equilibrium of Globular Clusters. *Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society*, 114(2):191–209, apr 1954.

Alice Zocchi, Mark Gieles, Vincent Hénault-Brunet, and Anna Lisa Varri. Testing lowered isothermal models with direct N-body simulations of globular clusters. *Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society*, 462(1):696–714, oct 2016.