



Module 25

Partha Pratim
Das

Objectives &
Outline

Lossless Join
Decomposition
Practice Problems

Dependency
Preservation
Practice Problems

Module Summary

Database Management Systems

Module 25: Relational Database Design/5

Partha Pratim Das

Department of Computer Science and Engineering
Indian Institute of Technology, Kharagpur

ppd@cse.iitkgp.ac.in



Module 25

Partha Pratim
Das

Objectives & Outline

Lossless Join
Decomposition
Practice Problems

Dependency
Preservation
Practice Problems

Module Summary

- Studied Algorithms for Properties of Functional Dependencies



Module 25

Partha Pratim
Das

Objectives & Outline

Lossless Join
Decomposition
Practice Problems

Dependency
Preservation
Practice Problems

Module Summary

- To Understand the Characterizations for Lossless Join Decomposition
- To Understand the Characterizations for Dependency Preservation



Module 25

Partha Pratim
Das

Objectives & Outline

Lossless Join
Decomposition

Practice Problems

Dependency
Preservation

Practice Problems

Module Summary

- Lossless Join Decomposition
- Dependency Preservation



Module 25

Partha Pratim
Das

Objectives &
Outline

**Lossless Join
Decomposition**

Practice Problems

Dependency
Preservation

Practice Problems

Module Summary

Lossless Join Decomposition



Lossless Join Decomposition

Module 25

Partha Pratim
Das

Objectives &
Outline

Lossless Join
Decomposition

Practice Problems

Dependency
Preservation

Practice Problems

Module Summary

- For the case of $R = (R_1, R_2)$, we require that for all possible relations r on schema R

$$r = \pi_{R_1}(r) \bowtie \pi_{R_2}(r)$$

- A decomposition of R into R_1 and R_2 is lossless join if at least one of the following dependencies is in F^+ :
 - $R_1 \cap R_2 \rightarrow R_1$
 - $R_1 \cap R_2 \rightarrow R_2$
- The above functional dependencies are a sufficient condition for lossless join decomposition; the dependencies are a necessary condition only if all constraints are functional dependencies

To Identify whether a decomposition is lossy or lossless, it must satisfy the following conditions:

- $R_1 \cup R_2 = R$
- $R_1 \cap R_2 \neq \phi$ and
- $R_1 \cap R_2 \rightarrow R_1$ or $R_1 \cap R_2 \rightarrow R_2$



Lossless Join Decomposition (2): Example

Module 25

Partha Pratim
DasObjectives &
OutlineLossless Join
Decomposition

Practice Problems

Dependency
Preservation

Practice Problems

Module Summary

- Consider **Supplier_Parts** schema: **Supplier_Parts(S#, Sname, City, P#, Qty)**
- Having dependencies: **S#** \rightarrow **Sname**, **S#** \rightarrow **City**, (**S#**, **P#**) \rightarrow **Qty**
- Decompose as: **Supplier(S#, Sname, City, Qty)**: **Parts(P#, Qty)**
- Take Natural Join to reconstruct: **Supplier** \bowtie **Parts**

S#	Sname	City	P#	Qty
3	Smith	London	301	20
5	Nick	NY	500	50
2	Steve	Boston	20	10
5	Nick	NY	400	40
5	Nick	NY	301	10

S#	Sname	City	Qty	P#	Qty
3	Smith	London	20	301	20
5	Nick	NY	50	500	50
2	Steve	Boston	10	20	10
5	Nick	NY	40	400	40
5	Nick	NY	10	301	10

S#	Sname	City	P#	Qty
3	Smith	London	301	20
5	Nick	NY	500	50
5	Nick	NY	20	10
2	Steve	Boston	20	10
5	Nick	NY	400	40
5	Nick	NY	301	10
2	Steve	Boston	301	10

- We get extra tuples! **Join is Lossy!**
- Common attribute **Qty** is not a superkey in **Supplier** or in **Parts**
- Does not preserve (**S#**, **P#**) \rightarrow **Qty**



Lossless Join Decomposition (3): Example

Module 25

Partha Pratim
DasObjectives &
OutlineLossless Join
Decomposition

Practice Problems

Dependency
Preservation

Practice Problems

Module Summary

- Consider **Supplier_Parts** schema: **Supplier_Parts(S#, Sname, City, P#, Qty)**
- Having dependencies: **S#** \rightarrow **Sname**, **S#** \rightarrow **City**, (**S#**, **P#**) \rightarrow **Qty**
- Decompose as: **Supplier(S#, Sname, City)**: **Parts(S#, P#, Qty)**
- Take Natural Join to reconstruct: **Supplier** \bowtie **Parts**

S#	Sname	City	P#	Qty
3	Smith	London	301	20
5	Nick	NY	500	50
2	Steve	Boston	20	10
5	Nick	NY	400	40
5	Nick	NY	301	10

S#	Sname	City
3	Smith	London
5	Nick	NY
2	Steve	Boston
5	Nick	NY
5	Nick	NY

S#	P#	Qty
3	301	20
5	500	50
2	20	10
5	400	40
5	301	10

S#	Sname	City	P#	Qty
3	Smith	London	301	20
5	Nick	NY	500	50
2	Steve	Boston	20	10
5	Nick	NY	400	40
5	Nick	NY	301	10

- We get back the original relation. **Join is Lossless.**
- Common attribute **S#** is a superkey in **Supplier**
- Preserves all dependencies



Lossless Join Decomposition (4): Example

Module 25

Partha Pratim
Das

Objectives &
Outline

Lossless Join
Decomposition

Practice Problems

Dependency
Preservation

Practice Problems

Module Summary

- $R = (A, B, C)$
 $F = \{A \rightarrow B, B \rightarrow C\}$
 - Can be decomposed in two different ways
- $R_1 = (A, B), R_2 = (B, C)$
 - Lossless-join decomposition:
 $R_1 \cap R_2 = \{B\}$ and $B \rightarrow BC$
 - Dependency preserving
- $R_1 = (A, B), R_2 = (A, C)$
 - Lossless-join decomposition:
 $R_1 \cap R_2 = \{A\}$ and $A \rightarrow AB$
 - Not dependency preserving
(cannot check $B \rightarrow C$ without computing $R_1 \bowtie R_2$)



Module 25

Partha Pratim
Das

Objectives &
Outline

Lossless Join
Decomposition

Practice Problems

Dependency
Preservation

Practice Problems

Module Summary

- **Check if the decomposition of R into D is lossless:**

a) $R(ABC) : F = \{A \rightarrow B, A \rightarrow C\}$. $D = R_1(AB), R_2(BC)$

b) $R(ABCDEF) : F = \{A \rightarrow B, B \rightarrow C, C \rightarrow D, E \rightarrow F\}$.

$D = R_1(AB), R_2(BCD), R_3(DEF)$

c) $R(ABCDEF) : F = \{A \rightarrow B, C \rightarrow DE, AC \rightarrow F\}$. $D = R_1(BE), R_2(ACDEF)$

d) $R(ABCDEG) : F = \{AB \rightarrow C, AC \rightarrow B, AD \rightarrow E, B \rightarrow D, BC \rightarrow A, E \rightarrow G\}$

i) $D1 = R_1(AB), R_2(BC), R_3(ABDE), R_4(EG)$

ii) $D2 = R_1(ABC), R_2(ACDE), R_3(ADG)$

e) $R(ABCDEFGHIJ) : F = \{AB \rightarrow C, B \rightarrow F, D \rightarrow IJ, A \rightarrow DE, F \rightarrow GH\}$

i) $D1 = R_1(ABC), R_2(ADE), R_3(BF), R_4(FGH), R_5(DIJ)$

ii) $D2 = R_1(ABCDE), R_2(BFGH), R_3(DIJ)$

iii) $D3 = R_1(ABCD), R_2(DE), R_3(BF), R_4(FGH), R_5(DIJ)$



Module 25

Partha Pratim
Das

Objectives &
Outline

Lossless Join
Decomposition

Practice Problems

**Dependency
Preservation**

Practice Problems

Module Summary

Dependency Preservation



Dependency Preservation

Module 25

Partha Pratim
Das

Objectives &
Outline

Lossless Join
Decomposition

Practice Problems

Dependency
Preservation

Practice Problems

Module Summary

- Let F_i be the set of dependencies F^+ that include only attributes in R_i
 - A decomposition is **dependency preserving**, if

$$(F_1 \cup F_2 \cup \dots \cup F_n)^+ = F^+$$

- If it is not, then checking updates for violation of functional dependencies may require computing joins, which is expensive

Let R be the original relational schema having FD set F . Let R_1 and R_2 having FD set F_1 and F_2 respectively, are the decomposed sub-relations of R . The decomposition of R is said to be preserving if

- $F_1 \cup F_2 \equiv F$ {Decomposition Preserving Dependency}
- If $F_1 \cup F_2 \subset F$ {Decomposition NOT Preserving Dependency} and
- $F_1 \cup F_2 \supset F$ {this is not possible}



Dependency Preservation (2): Testing

Module 25

Partha Pratim
DasObjectives &
OutlineLossless Join
Decomposition
Practice ProblemsDependency
Preservation

Practice Problems

Module Summary

- To check if a dependency $\alpha \rightarrow \beta$ is preserved in a decomposition of R into $D = \{R_1, R_2, \dots, R_n\}$ we apply the following test (with attribute closure done with respect to F)
- The **restriction** of F^+ to R_i is the set of all functional dependencies in F^+ that include only attributes of R_i .
 - compute F^+ ;
 - for each** schema R_i in D **do**
 - begin**
 - $F_i =$ the restriction of F^+ to R_i ;
 - end**
 - $F' = \phi$
 - for each** restriction F_i **do**
 - begin**
 - $F' = F' \cup F_i$
 - end**
 - compute F'^+ ;
 - if** $(F'^+ = F^+)$ **then** return (true)
 - else** return (false);
- The procedure for checking dependency preservation takes exponential time to compute F^+ and $(F_1 \cup F_2 \cup \dots \cup F_n)^+$

Dependency Preservation (3): Example

Module 25

Partha Pratim Das

Objectives & Outline

Lossless Join
Decomposition
Practice Problems

Dependency Preservation

Practice Problems

Module Summary

- **R** (*A, B, C, D, E, F*)
 $\mathbf{F} = \{A \rightarrow BCD, A \rightarrow EF, BC \rightarrow AD, BC \rightarrow E, BC \rightarrow F, B \rightarrow F, D \rightarrow E\}$
- Decomposition: **R1**(*A, B, C, D*) **R2**(*B, F*) **R3**(*D, E*)
 - $A \rightarrow BCD, BC \rightarrow AD$ are preserved on table R1
 - $B \rightarrow F$ is preserved on table R2
 - $D \rightarrow E$ is preserved on table R3
 - We have to check whether the remaining FDs: $A \rightarrow E, A \rightarrow F, BC \rightarrow E, BC \rightarrow F$ are preserved or not.

R1	R2	R3
$F_1 = \{A \rightarrow ABCD, B \rightarrow B, C \rightarrow C, D \rightarrow D, AB \rightarrow ABCD, BC \rightarrow ABCD, CD \rightarrow CD, AD \rightarrow ABCD, ABC \rightarrow ABCD, ABD \rightarrow ABCD, ACD \rightarrow ABCD, BCD \rightarrow ABCD\}$	$F_2 = \{B \rightarrow BF, F \rightarrow F\}$	$F_3 = \{D \rightarrow DE, E \rightarrow E\}$

- $F' = F_1 \cup F_2 \cup F_3.$
- Checking for: $A \rightarrow E, A \rightarrow F$ in F'^{+}
 - ▷ $A \rightarrow D$ (from R1), $D \rightarrow E$ (from R3) : $A \rightarrow E$ (By Transitivity)
 - ▷ $A \rightarrow B$ (from R1), $B \rightarrow F$ (from R2) : $A \rightarrow F$ (By Transitivity)
- Checking for: $BC \rightarrow E, BC \rightarrow F$ in F'^{+}
 - ▷ $BC \rightarrow D$ (from R1), $D \rightarrow E$ (from R3) : $BC \rightarrow E$ (By Transitivity)
 - ▷ $B \rightarrow F$ (from R2) : $BC \rightarrow F$ (By Augmentation)

Hence all dependencies are preserved.



Dependency Preservation (4): Example

Module 25

Partha Pratim
DasObjectives &
OutlineLossless Join
Decomposition
Practice ProblemsDependency
Preservation

Practice Problems

Module Summary

- $R(A, B, C, D)$
 $F = \{A \rightarrow B, B \rightarrow C, C \rightarrow D, D \rightarrow A\}$
- Decomposition: $R1(A, B)$ $R2(B, C)$ $R3(C, D)$
 - $A \rightarrow B$ is preserved on table R1
 - $B \rightarrow C$ is preserved on table R2
 - $C \rightarrow D$ is preserved on table R3
 - We have to check whether the one remaining FD: $D \rightarrow A$ is preserved or not.

R1	R2	R3
$F_1 = \{A \rightarrow AB, B \rightarrow BA\}$	$F_2 = \{B \rightarrow BC, C \rightarrow CB\}$	$F_3 = \{C \rightarrow CD, D \rightarrow DC\}$

- $F' = F_1 \cup F_2 \cup F_3$.
 - Checking for: $D \rightarrow A$ in F'^+
 - ▷ $D \rightarrow C$ (from R3), $C \rightarrow B$ (from R2), $B \rightarrow A$ (from R1) : $D \rightarrow A$ (By Transitivity)
- Hence all dependencies are preserved.



Dependency Preservation (5): Testing

Module 25

Partha Pratim
Das

Objectives &
Outline

Lossless Join
Decomposition
Practice Problems

Dependency
Preservation

Practice Problems

Module Summary

- To check if a dependency $\alpha \rightarrow \beta$ is preserved in a decomposition of R into R_1, R_2, \dots, R_n we apply the following test (with attribute closure done with respect to F)
 - $result = \alpha$
 - while** (changes to result) do
 - for each** R_i in the decomposition
 - $t = (result \cap R_i)^+ \cap R_i$
 - $result = result \cup t$
 - If $result$ contains all attributes in β , then the functional dependency $\alpha \rightarrow \beta$ is preserved.
 - We apply the test on all dependencies in F to check if a decomposition is dependency preserving
 - This procedure takes polynomial time, instead of the exponential time required to compute F^+ and $(F_1 \cup F_2 \cup \dots \cup F_n)^+$



Dependency Preservation (6): Example

Module 25

Partha Pratim
DasObjectives &
OutlineLossless Join
Decomposition
Practice ProblemsDependency
Preservation

Practice Problems

Module Summary

- $R(ABCDEF) \therefore F = \{A \rightarrow BCD, A \rightarrow EF, BC \rightarrow AD, BC \rightarrow E, BC \rightarrow F, B \rightarrow F, D \rightarrow E\}$
- $Decomp = \{ABCD, BF, DE\}$
- On projections:

ABCD (R1)	BF (R2)	DE (R3)
$A \rightarrow BCD$ $BC \rightarrow AD$	$B \rightarrow F$	$D \rightarrow E$

- Need to check for: ~~$A \rightarrow BCD$~~ , ~~$A \rightarrow EF$~~ , ~~$BC \rightarrow AD$~~ , $BC \rightarrow E$, $BC \rightarrow F$, ~~$B \rightarrow F$~~ , ~~$D \rightarrow E$~~
- $(BC) + /F1 = ABCD$. $(ABCD) + /F2 = ABCDF$. **$(ABCDF) + /F3 = ABCDEF$** . Preserves **$BC \rightarrow E, BC \rightarrow F$**
 $BC \rightarrow AD$ (R1), $AD \rightarrow E$ (R3) implies $BC \rightarrow E$
 $B \rightarrow F$ (R2) implies $BC \rightarrow F$
- $(A) + /F1 = ABCD$. $(ABCD) + /F2 = ABCDF$. **$(ABCDF) + /F3 = ABCDEF$** . Preserves **$A \rightarrow EF$**
 $A \rightarrow B$ (R1), $B \rightarrow F$ (R2) implies $A \rightarrow F$
 $A \rightarrow D$ (R1), $D \rightarrow E$ (R3) implies $A \rightarrow E$



Dependency Preservation (7): Example

Module 25

Partha Pratim
DasObjectives &
OutlineLossless Join
Decomposition

Practice Problems

Dependency
Preservation

Practice Problems

Module Summary

- $R(ABCDEF) : F = \{A \rightarrow BCD, A \rightarrow EF, BC \rightarrow AD, BC \rightarrow E, BC \rightarrow F, B \rightarrow F, D \rightarrow E\}$. $Decomp = \{ABCD, BF, DE\}$
- On projections:

ABCD (R1)	BF (R2)	DE (R3)
$A \rightarrow B, A \rightarrow C, A \rightarrow D, BC \rightarrow A, BC \rightarrow D$	$B \rightarrow F$	$D \rightarrow E$

- Infer reverse FD's:
 - $B + /F = BF : B \rightarrow A$ cannot be inferred
 - $C + /F = C : C \rightarrow A$ cannot be inferred
 - $D + /F = DE : D \rightarrow A$ and $D \rightarrow BC$ cannot be inferred
 - $A + /F = ABCDEF : A \rightarrow BC$ can be inferred, but it is equal to $A \rightarrow B$ and $A \rightarrow C$
 - $F + /F = F : F \rightarrow B$ cannot be inferred
 - $E + /F = E : E \rightarrow D$ cannot be inferred
- Need to check for: ~~$A \rightarrow BCD, A \rightarrow EF, BC \rightarrow AD, BC \rightarrow E, BC \rightarrow F, B \rightarrow F, D \rightarrow E$~~
 - $(BC) + /F = ABCDEF$. Preserves ~~$BC \rightarrow E, BC \rightarrow F$~~
 - $(A) + /F = ABCDEF$. Preserves ~~$A \rightarrow EF$~~



Practice Problems on Dependency Preservation

Module 25

Partha Pratim
Das

Objectives &
Outline

Lossless Join
Decomposition
Practice Problems

Dependency
Preservation
Practice Problems

Module Summary

- Check whether the decomposition of R into D is preserving dependency:

- a) $R(ABCD) : F = \{A \rightarrow B, B \rightarrow C, C \rightarrow D, D \rightarrow A\}$. $D = \{AB, BC, CD\}$
- b) $R(ABCDEF) : F = \{AB \rightarrow CD, C \rightarrow D, D \rightarrow E, E \rightarrow F\}$. $D = \{AB, CDE, EF\}$
- c) $R(ABCDEG) : F = \{AB \rightarrow C, AC \rightarrow B, BC \rightarrow A, AD \rightarrow E, B \rightarrow D, E \rightarrow G\}$. $D = \{ABC, ACDE, ADG\}$
- d) $R(ABCD) : F = \{A \rightarrow B, B \rightarrow C, C \rightarrow D, D \rightarrow B\}$. $D = \{AB, BC, BD\}$
- e) $R(ABCDE) : F = \{A \rightarrow BC, CD \rightarrow E, B \rightarrow D, E \rightarrow A\}$. $D = \{ABCE, BD\}$



Module Summary

Module 25

Partha Pratim
Das

Objectives &
Outline

Lossless Join
Decomposition

Practice Problems

Dependency
Preservation

Practice Problems

Module Summary

- Understood the Characterization for and Determination of Lossless Join
- Understood the Characterization for and Determination of Dependency Preservation

**Slides used in this presentation are borrowed from <http://db-book.com/> with kind permission of the authors.
Edited and new slides are marked with “PPD”.**