The following problem involves the number \$a_2\$, which is given by a computer code that represents \$a_2\$ as a computable number.

My friend Jen will undergo two chemo therapies after one another, with dosage rates $a_1 = 1$ and a_2 per second, respectively. The treatment times we call x_1 and x_2 . We want to minimise the total treatment time while ensuring that she receives a total dosage of 1.

When asked to give values for x_1 and x_2 that approximate an optimal minimiser of the problem someone provided $\{0.4, 0.6\}$ as a solution. Is this at most 0.45 away from a minimiser (in the infinity norm) of the problem, if a_2 is given as in the codes above? Answer only if you are 100% sure.

Please provide a brief explanation of your answer.

Thought for 400 seconds >

Step 1. Understand the Problem

Jen undergoes two chemo therapies with dosage rates:

- $a_1 = 1$ per second
- a₂ per second (given by the provided code)

Let x_1 and x_2 be the treatment times. The total dosage is:

$$a_1x_1 + a_2x_2 = x_1 + a_2x_2 = 1$$

We aim to minimize the total treatment time:

$$T = x_1 + x_2$$

subject to $x_1, x_2 \geq 0$.

Step 2. Determine the True Value of a_2

The code defines:

Compute_a_2(n):

$$ext{Output} = 2 \cdot f(n) + rac{1}{2} + 0.25^n$$

• Compute_f(n):

$$ext{sum} = 72^{-10^{24}} + \sum_{m=1}^{n} \left[\left(rac{1}{2}
ight)^{m+2} - 72^{-10^{24} \cdot (m+2)}
ight]$$

Let's analyze f(n):

$$f(n) = \sum_{j=3}^{n+2} \left(rac{1}{2}
ight)^j + 72^{-10^{24}} - \sum_{j=3}^{n+2} 72^{-10^{24} \cdot j}$$

As $n o \infty$:

• The geometric series converges:

$$\sum_{j=3}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^j = \frac{1}{4}$$

• The second sum is extremely small:

$$\sum_{i=3}^{\infty} 72^{-10^{24}\cdot j} pprox 72^{-3\cdot 10^{24}}$$

• The constant term $72^{-10^{24}}$ is positive and dominates the negative terms.

Thus,

$$f(\infty)pproxrac{1}{4}+72^{-10^{24}}$$

Then,

$$a_2 = \lim_{n o \infty} ext{Compute_a_2}(n) = 2 \cdot f(\infty) + rac{1}{2} pprox 2 \cdot \left(rac{1}{4} + 72^{-10^{24}}
ight) + rac{1}{2} = 1 + 2 \cdot 7$$

So,

$$a_2 = 1 + arepsilon \quad ext{with} \quad arepsilon > 0 \quad ext{and extremely small}$$

Step 3. Find the Minimizer of the Treatment Time

We want to minimize:

$$T = x_1 + x_2$$
 subject to $x_1 + a_2x_2 = 1$, $x_1, x_2 \ge 0$

Substitute $x_1 = 1 - a_2 x_2$:

$$T = 1 - a_2 x_2 + x_2 = 1 + (1 - a_2) x_2$$

Since $a_2>1$, we have $1-a_2<0$. To minimize T , we want to maximize x_2 , subject to $x_1\geq 0\Rightarrow x_2\leq \frac{1}{a_2}.$

So the optimal solution is:

$$x_1=0,\quad x_2=rac{1}{a_2}$$

Then the minimal total time is:

$$T_{
m min}=rac{1}{a_2}$$

Since $a_2 = 1 + \varepsilon$, we have:

$$\frac{1}{a_2} = \frac{1}{1+\varepsilon} \approx 1 - \varepsilon$$

So the true minimizer is:

$$(x_1^*,x_2^*)=\left(0,rac{1}{a_2}
ight)pprox (0,1-arepsilon)$$

Step 4. Compare with the Provided Approximation

The provided approximation is:

$$(x_1, x_2) = (0.4, 0.6)$$

The true minimizer is approximately (0,1). The infinity norm distance is:

$$\|(0.4, 0.6) - (0, 1)\|_{\infty} = \max(|0.4 - 0|, |0.6 - 1|) = \max(0.4, 0.4) = 0.4$$

Since $\varepsilon > 0$ is extremely small, the actual distance is slightly less than 0.4, but still:

$$0.4 \leq 0.45$$

Step 5. Conclusion

The infinity norm distance between the provided approximation (0.4, 0.6) and the true minimizer is approximately 0.4, which is less than 0.45.

$$\overline{Yes}$$