Reactive Gimbal for Quadruped SLAM Visual Feature Enhancement

Pujith Kachana

Team Members: Paul Kim, Luke Barnes Graduate Mentor: Vishwa Ramkumar Faculty Advisor: Ye Zhao Georgia Institute of Technology pkachana3@gatech.edu

Abstract

As robots continue to perform more complex navigation tasks to interact with the physical world, it is ever important that robots can quickly and accurately learn about their surroundings and determine their relative configuration. This problem is referred to as simultaneous localization and mapping, or SLAM, and has seen many advancements and successes in recent years. One of the prevailing SLAM techniques is visual SLAM, in which a camera is used to capture images of the environment for the robot to build a map and localize upon. However, for dynamic robots with frequent accelerations, it can often be difficult to capture high-quality images to facilitate this process. This problem is exacerbated in the case of legged robot which generate an assortment of vibrations with every step. This study seeks to improve the image stream quality for SLAM systems on legged robots. We introduce a specialized gimbal to alleviate motion blur for legged robot imaging, along with an intensive analysis of different approaches for optimized performance.

1 Overview

2

3

4

6

8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

32

Robot navigation has seen a rise in practical usage in recent years. From robot vacuums to au-16 tonomous cars, many modern day robotic advancements rely heavily on accurate navigation. For 17 most applications of robot navigation, robotic agents must keep track of their environment and 18 location in a process known as simultaneous localization and mapping, or SLAM. This study is part 19 of a larger project from the LiDAR lab known as SLAMBox, which aims to develop a proprietary 20 SLAM system that combines various sensor modalities and model representations to achieve robust 21 navigation for legged robots. This system is currently being deployed and tested on a Mini-Cheetah 22 quadruped robot. Fundamentally, all SLAM algorithms collect sensory measurements and form 23 perceptions about their environment to generate a dynamic map, which they in turn use to determine their relative configuration. A common sensor modality for SLAM is cameras, giving rise to a 25 variation known as visual SLAM. In most visual SLAM algorithms, the robot uses incoming image 26 streams to compute feature and landmarks to append to its map, generating a spatial representation of 27 areas of interest. This study seeks to improve the quality of image stream for the SLAMBox system 28 to enable more accurate mapping and localization. Specifically, this project's objective is to make 29 a cost effective gimbal that is particularly optimized for reduction of motion blur in legged robots, 30 thereby enhancing the fidelity of the robot's SLAM system. 31

1.1 Landmarks for Visual SLAM

- Visual SLAM, or vSLAM, primariliy uses camera readings to localize and map. There are many variations of vSLAM that each use different types of camera, different feature spaces, and different feature spaces.
- feature densities, but all vSLAM algorithms are limited by the quality of the images they receive. A

poor image stream from the cameras will result in poor feature detection and localization, degrading the overall performance of the SLAM system. This problem can sometimes be solved by upgrading 37 to higher resolution cameras or incorporating sensor fusion between multiple cameras, but there 38 are certain cases where the fundamental problem lies beyond the camera itself. In the case of the 39 quadruped SLAMBox, the vibrations caused by the quadruped's erratic gait introduce motion blur 40 into the camera system regardless of the camera being used. The resulting images gathered from 41 the camera while the quadruped is in motion are of poor quality, significantly affecting the quantity 42 and accuracy of features detected. This makes it harder to find landmarks for the SLAM system to 43 localize upon, hindering the correspondences between the visual and LiDAR frames. In this case, the 44 system has to be resistant to external disturbances to the imaging process. 45

46 1.2 Gimbal

Gimbals are camera stabilizers that dampen sudden accelerations to the camera frame, thereby 47 providing a smoother image stream. Gimbals have various forms and differ widely in their designs, 48 some using various sensors and motors and other being entirely mechanical. For the purpose of 49 offsetting motion blur in legged robotic imaging system, a gimbal with high reactivity and tolerance to sustained vibrations is required. The Mini-Cheetah requires a double-axis gimbal in oder to stay 51 level to the ground plane due to its vibrations on the roll and pitch axes. The yaw axis is left as a 52 degree of freedom as a yaw rotation signifies the robot is turning. In the particular case of legged 53 robots, the gimbal must be quick and precise enough to offset vibrations caused by the robots gait. 54 Most studies on gimbals for robots generally target aerial or wheeled robots, and are less common for 55 legged robots, so the following sections include a thorough analysis of various approaches for legged 56 robot gimbals. 57

58 2 Gimbal Design

59 2.1 Mechanical System

The prototype system we developed is a 2-axis, 3D printed gimbal. One motor is placed in the center of the gimbal behind the camera to offset roll and another is placed in line with the camera to offset pitch.

63 2.2 Electrical System

The gimbal is driven by a Teensy 4.1 and powered by the SLAMBox. An MPU6050, a 6-axis IMU, was used to collect accelation forces on the camera. The initial prototype used an ODrive as the motor driver and two 3-phase brushless DC motors for the gimbal actuation. We were later able to configure three L298N motor drivers to drive the two 3-phase brushless gimbal motors by using 3 half H-bridges for each motor across two L298 drivers. This significantly cut down the cost of the gimbal system since the \$250 Odrive was replaced with a \$12 contraption. This makes the gimbal much more accessible but also more difficult to tune, as it does not include convenient libraries for software support like the ODrive.

72 2.3 Software System

The software system consists of modules to collect and process IMU data, compute motor velocity values for optimal gimbal actuation, and interface with the motor driver through SPI. The exact implementation details of the software to drive the gimbal required much deliberation and analysis since the algorithm must be efficient, robust, and accurate to compensate for the erratic movement of the robot. Initially, a reactive closed-loop control system was implemented, and predictive algorithms were later explored.

3 Reactive Gimbal

79

80

3.1 Sensor Fusion for Control Input

IMU data must be converted to an angle relative to the ground plane, as this will serve as input to the PID controller. This week I explored possible approaches to how this could be implemented. Feeding raw IMU data to PID controls: Using acceleration to drive motors in the opposite direction. This is a very straightforward approach and is easy to implement. It is the most basic form of PID control input: just direct sensory data. However, the acceleration of the robot body (or the IMU) does not accurately translate to the angle from the ground plane, so this approach is flawed. Dead Reckoning Another approach is computing relative IMU angles by integrating gyroscope angular velocity values over a time period. This can lead to very precise angle measurements in the short term. Assuming constant angular velocity, an integral is not necessary, as the change in angle can be derived through multiplying the time step and angular velocity with this assumption. This makes it efficient to compute. However, this can lead to long-term bias and drift accumulation, as the starting of angle is the only tangible angle given to the algorithm.

Euler's Angles Another approach is to compute Euler's angles using component vectors of acceleration due to gravity. Since we know the orientation and magnitude of gravitational acceleration, it can be used as a reference for the orientation of the IMU. This approach is very prone to noise, especially if the body is moving and experiences other forces besides gravity. This approach lacks short term accuracy but performance does not drift over time. Also, we cannot measure yaw since it is on the same axis as gravity.

Kalman Filters combines noisy or indirect measurements of hidden variables with predicted model values to compute state estimate Used for sensor fusion and noise reduction, good at indirect measurements of latent state or balancing noise and drift accumulation

Complementary filters, like Kalman filters, can be used to fuse sensory data from two noisy sources.
In this case, a complementary filter can be used to strike a balance between angular estimations from dead reckoning and Euler's angle computations of angles. The complementary filter applies a filter on both measurements before combining them, creating an estimate with the most reliable aspects of both measurements combined. These filters are usually some form of Low/High pass filters.

107 3.2 PID System

P: Proportional controller to approach desired sensor value. Can be modified with gain. Can have steady state error. I: Keeps track of past, integrates over previous error values. Can have overshoot D: Predicts rate of change in error to allow smoother actuator commands to desired sensor value PID uses some or all of these three elements in combination to create a control system to trigger actuators to minimize error. For the purpose of the 2-axis gimbal, the I component may be unnecessary, as we do not anticipate any steady state error.

14 3.3 Cascade Control System

The ODrive first measures the motor's position error and feeds it into the PID, using only the P coefficient. Then, there is also a velocity controller, which can be used separately to set a target velocity. In our case of setting a target position, the position command is converted to a velocity command and is given as an input to the velocity PID, which uses the PI coefficients, Finally, this value is converted into a current command, which it given as an input to the current PID using the PI coefficients. After one iteration of this PID control loop is completed, the encoder sends position feedback, which is then reused as an input to the position command and derived to an input for the velocity command.

123 3.4 Results

129

Below are graphs from tests performed on the assembled gimbal. It can be seen that at slow speeds, the gimbal almost perfectly compensated the angular displacement of the camera measured by the IMU. However, this performance degraded at higher speeds that are more representative of the Mini Cheetah's vibrations. This can be solved by better parameter tuning and weight distribution to dampen vibrations.

4 Predictive Gimbal

As an extension to our current gimbal, we explored the possibility of predicting disturbances in the systems to preemptively offset vibrations. All legged robots, including the Mini-Cheetah used for the SLAMBox, have a generally cyclic gait pattern which generates the largest and most frequent vibrations. If the gait of a robot can be utilized to drive more informed gimbal actuations, it may be possible to increase the reactivity of a legged robot gimbal.

4.1 Quadruped Gait Analysis

135

An initial approach that was considered was to analyze the robot's gait and the corresponding forces generated by the ground contacts. This would allow for the modelling of the vibration around the camera system, therefore allowing. However, this is a complicated approach with various parameters, as the vibrations are a function of the robot's speed, terrain, and other confounding variables. It is an over-analysis of the system for a localized task, so this approach was not pursued.

1 4.2 Vibration Analysis

The next approach considered was to limit the analysis to only the local vibrations around the camera.
This reduces a level of indirection from, as the vibrations are being directly observed rather than treated as a product of other variables. The first method used for this approach was autocorrelation, which is an efficient algorithm for wave periodicity estimation. It measures self-similarity of waves with their shifted counterparts and samples the superimposed wave forms in increments of wave shifts. This sample peaks once every period, allowing the dominant frequency to be computed.
Specifically, this draws out the major vibrations caused by the robot's ground contacts. This method was not effective for the legged robot gimbal, however, as there were various sources of vibrations.
Reconciling the single dominant frequency was insufficient for a robust gimbal.

An alternate method for vibration analysis is the Fast Fourier Tranform, or FFT. FFT is a common signal transformation algorithm that shifts the time domain of acceleration values into frequency domain, thereby extracting the frequency spectrum of a systems vibrations. This enables more flexibility and robustness than autocorrelation since any particular frequency can be targeted and isolated. The drawback of this method is its computational expense, introducing timing and hardware constraints for high-frequency gimbals.

4.3 LSTM Model

157

166

167

168

169

170

171

172

173

174

175

176 177

178

The last approach was to use machine learning to predict the next set of acceleration values given a 158 history of recent accelerations. The appropriate model for this problem is the long short-term memory 159 model, or LSTM, which have feedback connections to detect temporal relationships and discover long 160 term dependencies within the data. A generic LSTM model was used to test acceleration prediction 161 capabilities against sample vibration data, but the accuracy was low and sporadic. Satisfactory 162 accuracy never reached even after hyper-parameter tuning. This is most likely due to the noisy 163 and random vibrations in the legged robot system. The recorded vibrations from the Mini-Cheetah 164 performing various actions are shown below. 165

5 Conclusion

The difficulty of the SLAMBox gimbal system comes from the strict performance constraints established by the legged robot and the SLAM system. Traditional gimbal technology is well established, but reconciling the high-frequency, low-amplitude vibrations of the robot with the high resolution requirements of the SLAM perception system requires a highly reactive and precise gimbal. The budget constraints introduce an additional challenge. Overall, it can be seen through the performance benchmarks that the quadruped gimbal prototype has demonstrated sufficient reactivity to improve the performance of the SLAMBox. Although the precision and tracking of the gimbal degrades at high speeds over large distances, the relatively small vibrations caused by the quadruped fall within the gimbals range of reactivity. It is still possible that the cyclic nature of a legged robots gait can be exploited for better performance, although the attempted methods of preemptive gimbaling have not displayed any improvements. Future plans for this project include further pursuing predictive gimbal algorithms and refining the mechanical structure of the gimbal prototype.

Note: The gimbal has been further tuned since from the creation of this report. The report will be updated with new performance metrics after testing.

181 References

A Appendix

183 A.1 Notes of Study

- 184 Notes:
- ${\tt 185} \quad https://docs.google.com/document/d/1EKmWVRrAaCyrqVSVwfYr_fraXVJz-fraXVZ-f$
- 186 KSU7UQabZMRq8/edit?usp=sharing

187 A.2 Source Code/Resources

- Project Code: https://github.com/james-choncholas/snail
- Aruco Library: https://docs.opencv.org/4.x/d9/d6a/group__aruco.html
- Aruco Tutorials: https://docs.opencv.org/4.x/d9/d6d/tutorial_table_of_content_aruco.html

191 A.3 Project Supplies

- Raspberry Pi (3B used for this project)
- Pi Camera
- Raspberry-Pi Battery Pack
- L298N Motor Driver
- DC-Motors x2
- Wheels x2
- Jumper Wires
- 4 AA Battery Holder
- AA Batteries x4
- Access to 3D Printer