	Question 1 What is the optimal value of alpha for ridge and lasso regression? What will be the changes in the model if you choose double the value of alpha for both ridge and lasso? What will be the most important predictor variables after the change is implemented?
Т	Answer: The optimal value for alpha for ridge is 0.3 and the optimal value for lasso regression is 50 Let's double the alpha value for ridge
79]:	params = {'alpha': [0.0001, 0.001, 0.01, 0.05, 0.1, 0.2, 0.3, 0.4, 0.5, 0.6, 0.7, 0.8, 0.9, 1.0, 2.0, 3.0, 4.0, 5.0, 6.0, 7.0, 8.0, 9.0, 10.0, 20, 50, 1000]} ridge = Ridge()
	<pre># cross validation folds = 5 model_cv = GridSearchCV(estimator = ridge,</pre>
	<pre>cv = folds, return_train_score=True, verbose = 1) model_cv.fit(X_train, y_train) print(model_cv.best_params_)</pre>
80]:	Fitting 5 folds for each of 28 candidates, totalling 140 fits {'alpha': 0.3} alpha = 0.6 #doubling alpha ridge = Ridge(alpha=alpha)
	ridge.fit(X_train, y_train) print(ridge.coef_) [90294.28482529
	-65444.30276826 57972.59151671 -29510.21876633 26920.77647077 30360.03920854 20314.33533742 -24783.12727807 0197318.35151522 -16510.52724713 26723.29145461 -17370.78868175 21257.01561289 17711.43807094 -1897.91220283 3447.9749362 -12281.68167077 -10866.04606468 -34011.94762456 0. 0. 0. 32164.30143714 -3959.50954667
81]:	545.89947043 3413.61007624 -17831.9435029 -65253.98762327 -2194.39655531 15751.0758664 -5094.46294719 5144.24097985 -533.02687968 1302.25347797 8073.87915407 4763.35159138 -19973.25564723 20323.94515146] # Lets calculate some metrics such as R2 score, RSS and RMSE
	<pre>y_pred_train = ridge.predict(X_train) y_pred_test = ridge.predict(X_test) metric2 = [] r2_train_lr = r2_score(y_train, y_pred_train) print(r2_train_lr)</pre>
	<pre>metric2.append(r2_train_lr) r2_test_lr = r2_score(y_test, y_pred_test) print(r2_test_lr) metric2.append(r2_test_lr)</pre>
	<pre>rss1_lr = np.sum(np.square(y_train - y_pred_train)) print(rss1_lr) metric2.append(rss1_lr) rss2_lr = np.sum(np.square(y_test - y_pred_test)) print(rss2_lr)</pre>
	<pre>metric2.append(rss2_lr) mse_train_lr = mean_squared_error(y_train, y_pred_train) print(mse_train_lr) metric2.append(mse_train_lr**0.5)</pre>
	<pre>mse_test_lr = mean_squared_error(y_test, y_pred_test) print(mse_test_lr) metric2.append(mse_test_lr**0.5)</pre> 0.8704481316669731
:	-596627472.58226 396067297523.43884 7.917691503156823e+20 441546597.01609683 2.056543247573201e+18 Let's double the alpha value for Lasso
82]:	<pre>lasso = Lasso() # cross validation model_cv = GridSearchCV(estimator = lasso,</pre>
	<pre>param_grid = params, scoring= 'neg_mean_absolute_error', cv = folds, return_train_score=True, verbose = 1)</pre>
	model_cv.fit(X_train, y_train) Fitting 5 folds for each of 28 candidates, totalling 140 fits GridSearchCV(cv=5, estimator=Lasso(),
3]:	4.0, 5.0, 6.0, 7.0, 8.0, 9.0, 10.0, 20, 50, 100, 500, 100, 500, 1000]}, return_train_score=True, scoring='neg_mean_absolute_error', verbose=1) # Printing the best hyperparameter alpha
	<pre>print(model_cv.best_params_) {'alpha': 50} alpha =100 #doubling the alpha</pre>
	<pre>lasso = Lasso(alpha=alpha) lasso.fit(X_train, y_train)</pre>
5]:	Lasso(alpha=100) lasso.coef_ array([61637.06626021, 154436.95132414, 34709.68994909, 37935.87975256 3571.0526077 -0
	37935.87975256, 3571.0526077, -0. , 101824.29760127, 83958.01968029, 68380.89526796, -0. , 0. , -0. , -58001.64145975, 57621.15676299, -0. , 24178.28298834, 26680.24285438, 17288.75086436, -5767.83260734, 0. , -216619.21342456, 0. , 0. , -4749.96058276,
	0. , 0. , 0. , 0. , 0. , 0. , 0. , 0. ,
	-0.
	<pre>y_pred_train = lasso.predict(X_train) y_pred_test = lasso.predict(X_test) metric3 = [] r2_train_lr = r2_score(y_train, y_pred_train) print(r2_train_lr)</pre>
	<pre>print(r2_train_lr) metric3.append(r2_train_lr) r2_test_lr = r2_score(y_test, y_pred_test) print(r2_test_lr) metric3.append(r2_test_lr)</pre>
	<pre>rss1_lr = np.sum(np.square(y_train - y_pred_train)) print(rss1_lr) metric3.append(rss1_lr) rss2_lr = np.sum(np.square(y_test - y_pred_test)) print(rss2_lr)</pre>
	<pre>metric3.append(rss2_lr) mse_train_lr = mean_squared_error(y_train, y_pred_train) print(mse_train_lr) metric3.append(mse_train_lr**0.5)</pre>
	<pre>mse_test_lr = mean_squared_error(y_test, y_pred_test) print(mse_test_lr) metric3.append(mse_test_lr**0.5) 0.8600601168644859 -313470738.64233243</pre>
	-313470738.64233243 427825642674.2644 4.159990482590774e+20 476951664.07387334 1.0805170084651361e+18 # Creating a table which contain all the metrics
	<pre>lr_table = {'Metric': ['R2 Score (Train)', 'R2 Score (Test)', 'RSS (Train)', 'RSS (Test)',</pre>
	<pre>lr_metric = pd.DataFrame(lr_table ,columns = ['Metric', 'Linear Regression']) rg_metric = pd.Series(metric2, name = 'Ridge Regression') ls_metric = pd.Series(metric3, name = 'Lasso Regression') final_metric = pd.concat([lr_metric, rg_metric, ls_metric], axis = 1)</pre>
7]:_	final_metric Metric Linear Regression Ridge Regression Lasso Regression
	0 R2 Score (Train) 8.796891e-01 8.704481e-01 8.600601e-01 1 R2 Score (Test) -1.264357e+09 -5.966275e+08 -3.134707e+08 2 RSS (Train) 3.678157e+11 3.960673e+11 4.278256e+11 3 RSS (Test) 1.677896e+21 7.917692e+20 4.159990e+20
01.	4 MSE (Train) 2.024972e+04 2.101301e+04 2.183922e+04 5 MSE (Test) 2.087624e+09 1.434065e+09 1.039479e+09 betas = pd.DataFrame(index=X[col].columns)
9]:	<pre>betas.rows = X[col].columns betas['Linear'] = lm.coef_ betas['Ridge'] = ridge.coef_</pre>
р	betas['Lasso'] = lasso.coef_ od.set_option('display.max_rows', None) betas.head(50) From the above table we can see that the doubling the alpha, value of the coefficient also changed. The most important feature is LotArea after doubling the alpha
]:	
Y	Question 2 You have determined the optimal value of lambda for ridge and lasso regression during the assignment. Now, which one will you choose to apply and why?
L	Lasso eliminate feature completely so there are less number of features in X. Lasso regression would be a better option it would help in feature elimination and the model will be more robust. Question 3
v A	After building the model, you realised that the five most important predictor variables in the lasso model are not available in the incoming data. You will now have to create another model excluding the five most important predictor variables. Which are the five most important precivant precipitation precivant precipitation precipit
	 LotArea OverallQual OverallCond
	 BsmtFinSF1 BsmtFinSF2 So lets remove these and train again
	<pre>X_train_new = X_train.drop(['LotArea', 'OverallQual', 'OverallCond', 'BsmtFinSF1', 'BsmtFinSF2'], axis=1) X_test_new = X_test.drop(['LotArea', 'OverallQual', 'OverallCond', 'BsmtFinSF1', 'BsmtFinSF2'], axis=1) X_train_new.shape</pre> <pre>X_train_new.shape</pre>
L]:	(897, 45) X_test_new.shape
3]:	<pre>(385, 45) lasso_modified = Lasso() param = {'alpha': [0.0001, 0.001, 0.01]}</pre>
	<pre>folds = 5 # cross validation lasso_cv_model_modified = GridSearchCV(estimator = lasso,</pre>
	<pre>cv = folds, return_train_score=True, verbose = 1) lasso_cv_model_modified.fit(X_train_new, y_train) Fitting 5 folds for each of 3 candidates, totalling 15 fits</pre>
3]: (GridSearchCV(cv=5, estimator=Lasso(alpha=100), param_grid={'alpha': [0.0001, 0.001, 0.001]}, return_train_score=True, scoring='neg_mean_absolute_error', verbose=1)
	lasso_cv_new_results = pd.DataFrame(lasso_cv_model_modified.cv_results_) lasso_cv_new_results.head() mean_fit_time std_fit_time mean_score_time std_score_time param_alpha params split0_test_score split1_test_score split2_test_score split3_test_score split3_test_score std_test_score split0_train_score split1_train_score split2_train_score split3_test_score split3_test_score split3_test_score split3_test_score split4_train_score split4_train_scor
	0 0.024535 0.001824 0.00232 0.00232 0.00013 0.001 {'alpha': 0.0001} -19700.611294 -23065.675171 -22606.237204 -21154.911698 -21485.341501 1215.877029 1 -19858.738204 -19595.147030 -19290.291962 -19700.616556 1 0.020817 0.004050 0.001837 0.000486 0.01 {'alpha': 0.001} -19700.616556 -23065.671486 -22606.894519 -21154.905155 -21485.462305 1215.989544 3 -19858.749123 -19595.154091 -19290.298041 -19700.668893 2 0.015662 0.000411 0.001337 0.000699 0.01 {'alpha': 0.001 -19700.668893 -23065.634515 -22606.808048 -21154.839610 -21485.427108 1215.967193 2 -19588.858313 -19595.224692 -19290.359092 -1930.359092 -1930.359092 -1930.359092 -1930.359092 -1930.359092 -1930.359092 -1930.359092 -1930.359092 -1930.359092 -1930.359092 -1930.359092 -1930.359092 -1930.359092 -1930.359092 -1930.359092 -1930.359092 -1930.359092 -1930.359092 -1930.359092
3	3 rows × 21 columns
5]:	<pre># Printing the best hyperparameter alpha model_cv.best_params_ {'alpha': 50}</pre>
	<pre>lasso = Lasso(alpha=50) lasso.fit(X_train_new,y_train) y_train_pred = lasso.predict(X_train_new) y_test_pred = lasso.predict(X_test_new)</pre>
	print("Lasso Regression train r2:",r2_score(y_true=y_train,y_pred=y_train_pred)) print("Lasso Regression test r2:",r2_score(y_true=y_test,y_pred=y_test_pred)) Lasso Regression train r2: 0.7914417306990572 Lasso Regression test r2: -61447644.607664935
	<pre>model_param = list(lasso.coef_) model_param.insert(0, lasso.intercept_) cols = X_train_new.columns cols.insert(0, 'const') lasso_coef = pd.DataFrame(list(zip(cols, model_param, (abs(ele) for ele in model_param))))</pre>
]: _	lasso_coef.columns = ['Feature', 'Coef', 'mod'] lasso_coef.sort_values(by='mod', ascending=False).head(5) Feature Coef mod 16 Condition2_RRAe -297024.912591 297024.912591
	2
	So after the elimination of 5 most significant feature, here is new list • Condition2_RRAe
	 1stFlrSF 2ndFlrSF LowQualFinSF GarageArea
	Question 4
) Ir	How can you make sure that a model is robust and generalisable? What are the implications of the same for the accuracy of the model and why? Answer: In order to make the model more robust and generalisable
	Perform Exploratory Data Analaysis, such as handling null values, outliers, classify numerical value to category if necessary etc., Total Error
	Wodel Company Total Error
	Model
	• Maintain bias and variance trade-off as shown below
	Wodel Complexity Variance
	• Maintain bias and variance trade-off as shown below