## Exhibit C

```
1
             UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
               NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO
 2
                    EASTERN DIVISION
 3
    IN RE: EAST PALESTINE ) CASE NO.
 4
    TRAIN DERAILMENT ) 4:23-CV-00242-BYP
                             ) JUDGE BENITA Y. PEARSON
 5
 6
               MONDAY, DECEMBER 11, 2023
 7
      CONFIDENTIAL - PURSUANT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER
 8
 9
               Videotaped deposition of Robert C.
10
     Wood, held at the offices of Kilpatrick
11
     Townsend & Stockton LLP, 1100 Peachtree
12
     Street NE, Suite 2800, Atlanta, Georgia,
13
     commencing at 9:03 a.m. Eastern, on the above
14
     date, before Carrie A. Campbell, Registered
15
     Diplomate Reporter, Certified Realtime
16
     Reporter, Illinois, California & Texas
17
     Certified Shorthand Reporter, Missouri,
18
     Kansas, Louisiana & New Jersey Certified
19
     Court Reporter.
20
21
              GOLKOW LITIGATION SERVICES
22
                       877.370.DEPS
                     deps@golkow.com
23
24
25
```

```
1
                APPEARANCES:
 2
 3
         SEEGER WEISS LLP
         BY: DAVID R. BUCHANAN
 4
              dbuchanan@seegerweiss.com
 5
              MAX KELLY
              mkelly@seegerweiss.com
 6
              LAURA MULDOWNEY
              lmuldowney@seegerweiss.com
 7
         55 Challenger Road, Sixth Floor
         Ridgefield Park, New Jersey 07660
 8
         (973) 639 - 9100
 9
         and
10
11
         BURG SIMPSON ELDREDGE HERSH &
         JARDINE, P.C.
12
              SETH A. KATZ
         BY:
              skatz@burgsimpson.com
13
         40 Inverness Drive East
         Englewood, Colorado 80112
14
         (303) 792-5595
15
         and
16
17
         SIMMONS HANLY CONROY
         BY: JO ANNA POLLOCK
18
               jpollock@simmonsfirm.com
         One Court Street
19
         Alton, Illinois 62002
         (212) 784-6400
20
         (618) 693-3104
         Counsel for Plaintiffs
21
22
23
24
25
```

```
1
         WILMER CUTLER PICKERING HALE AND DORR LLP
              SARAH BEIGBEDER PETTY
 2
               Sarah.Petty@wilmerhale.com
         60 State Street
 3
         Boston, Massachusetts 02109
         (617) 526-6000
 4
 5
         and
 6
         WILMER CUTLER PICKERING HALE AND DORR LLP
 7
              ERIN FARREL
         BY:
               erin.farrel@wilmerhal.com
 8
         350 South Grand Avenue, Suite 2400
         Los Angeles, California 90071
 9
         (213) 443-5300
10
         and
11
12
         COOLEY LLP
         BY: KOJI FUKUMURA
13
              kfukumura@cooley.com
         10265 Science Center Drive
14
         San Diego, California 92121-1117
         (858) 550-6000
15
         Counsel for Norfolk Southern
         Corporation and Norfolk Southern
16
         Railway Company
17
18
         BARTLIT BECK HERMAN PALENCHAR & SCOTT LLP
              BRIAN SWANSON
19
              brian.swanson@bartlit-beck.com
         54 West Hubbard, Suite 300
20
         Chicago, Illinois 60654
         (312) 494-4400
21
         Counsel for Trinity Industries
         Leasing Company
2.2
23
24
25
```

```
1
         VORYS, SATER, SEYMOUR AND PEASE LLP
         BY: ALYCIA N. BROZ
 2
              anbroz@vorys.com
         52 East Gay Street
 3
         Columbus, Ohio 43215
         (614) 464-6400
 4
         Counsel for Oxy Vinyls
 5
         KIRKLAND & ELLIS LLP
 6
         BY: ROBERT B. ELLIS
 7
              robert.ellis@kirkland.com
         300 North LaSalle
 8
         Chicago, Illinois 60654
         (312) 862-2000
 9
         Counsel for GATX and General
         American Marks Company
10
11
     ALSO PRESENT:
12
         CHRIS RITONA, trial technician,
         Precision Trial Solutions
13
14
     VIDEOGRAPHER:
15
         JOSH COLEMAN,
         Golkow Litigation Services
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

1		INDEX		
2		PAGE		
	APPEARAN	CES	. 2	
4	EXAMINAT	IONS		
5	BY MR.	BUCHANAN	. 9	
6	BY MS.	BROZ	. 236	
7	BY MR.	ELLIS	. 307	
8	BY MR.	SWANSON	. 351	
9	BY MR.	BUCHANAN	. 393	
10				
11		EXHIBITS		
12	No.	Description	Page	
13	1	Interview Transcript - Robert	21	
		Wood System Manager of Hazardous		
14		Materials, Norfolk Southern		
		Railway, February 8, 2023,		
15		NS-CA-001622002 - NS-CA-001622036		
16	2	Transcript of Investigative	24	
		Hearing - Day 1, June 22, 2023,		
17		NS-CA-001618803 - NS-CA-001619277		
18	3	Hazardous Materials Group Chair's	26	
		Factual Report,		
19		NS-CA-001624324 - NS-CA-001624482		
20	4	E-mail(s),	53	
		NS-CA-000018862 - NS-CA-000018863		
21				
	5	Norfolk Southern Railroad	63	
22		Emergency Response Planning		
		Guide,		
23		NO BATES		
_	6	E-mail(s),	133	
24		NS-CA-002117835 - NS-CA-002117837		
25				

1	7	NS E-mail Explanation of Tank Car	147
2		Temperature Measurements Readings April 13, 2023,	
3		CTRL_EPTD00000145 - CTRL EPTD00000155	
4	8	<del>-</del>	151
	0	Temperature Graph with Timeline for OCPX80370, February 5 - 6, 2023,	
5		NS-CA-000003200 - NS-CA-000003201	
6	9	Tank Car Pressures by the hour, NS-CA-000042306	170
7	10	Handbook for Vent and Burn Method	174
8		of Field Product Removal, Office of Research and Development,	
9		Washington, DC, NS-CA-001639614 - NS-CA-001639657	
10		NB CA 001037014 NB CA 001037037	
	11	Text messages between Bryan A.	188
11	11	Naranjo and Christopher Hunsicker,	100
		NS-CA-001920807 - NS-CA-001920808	
12			
1.0	12	E-mail(s),	191
13	4.0	NS-CA-000684215 - NS-CA-000684216	
14	13	E-mail(s), NS-CA-000030248 - NS-CA-000030267	203
15		1.5 011 0000001 10 11.5 011 00000010 /	
	14	E-mail(s),	209
16		NS-CA-001421016 - NS-CA-001421017	
17	15	Subpoena to Produce Documents,	229
1.0		Information, or Objects or to	
18		Permit Inspection of Premises in a Civil Action, Robert Wood	
19		,	
	16	E-mail(s),	231
20		NS-CA-001780975	
21	17	E-mail(s),	234
		NS-CA-001420832	
22			
	18	Incident Status Report,	249
23		February 4, 2023,	
		NS-CA-001623571 - NS-CA-001623580	
24			
	19	E-mail(s),	264
25		NS-CA-000030229 - NS-CA-000030242	

1	20	E-mail(s),	276
		NS-CA-000023507	
2			
	21	Incident Status Report,	285
3		February 5, 2023,	
		NS-CA-001621932 - NS-CA-001621939	
4			
	22	E-mail(s),	296
5		NS-CA-000029738 - NS-CA-000029739	
6	23	E-mail(s),	297
		NS-CA-000030226 - NS-CA-000030227	
7			
	24	Incident Status Report,	300
8		February 6, 2023,	
		NS-CA-001620320 - NS-CA-001620331	
9			
	25	E-mail(s),	335
10		NS-CA-002010678 - NS-CA-002010679	
11	26	E-mail(s),	343
		NS-CA-000086351 - NS-CA-000086352	
12			
	27	Blank piece of lined paper to	349
13		list further evidence of VCM	
		being released from the valve	
14	, .	other than the PRD	
15	(Exh	ibits attached to the deposition.)	
16	a		205
		CATE	
		EDGMENT OF DEPONENT	
		a Nomba	
21	LAWYER'	S NOTES	.401
21			
22			
24			
25			
_ ∠ე			
1			

```
1
     QUESTIONS BY MR. SWANSON:
 2
                   You have no way to indicate
           Ο.
 3
     that polymerization was occurring in the
 4
     Trinity car.
 5
                   Right, sir?
 6
                   MS. PETTY: Objection.
 7
                   THE WITNESS: Correct.
 8
     QUESTIONS BY MR. SWANSON:
 9
           Q.
                   The pressure and temperature
10
     readings that you received from the TILX 2025
11
     car were in no way indicative of a potential
12
     BLEVE.
13
                   Were they, sir?
14
                   MS. PETTY: Objection.
15
                   THE WITNESS:
                                 No.
16
     QUESTIONS BY MR. SWANSON:
                   They were not, right?
17
           Q.
18
                   MS. PETTY: Objection.
19
                   THE WITNESS:
                                 That's correct.
20
     QUESTIONS BY MR. SWANSON:
21
                   And you know, based on the
            0.
22
     pressure and temperature readings of
23
     Trinity's 2025, that Norfolk Southern and its
24
     contractors believed the car was a candidate
25
     for re-railing.
```

```
1
                   Right?
 2
                   MS. PETTY: Objection.
 3
                   THE WITNESS:
                                 That was part of
 4
           the decision tree, and, yes,
 5
           originally we did believe that.
 6
     QUESTIONS BY MR. SWANSON:
 7
           Q.
                   Right.
 8
                   What you wanted to do with the
 9
     Trinity car, 2025, was put it back on the
10
     tracks and send it on its way.
                   Right, sir?
11
12
                   MS. PETTY: Objection.
13
                   THE WITNESS: That's correct.
14
     QUESTIONS BY MR. SWANSON:
15
                   And I'm going to give Norfolk
           Q.
16
     Southern the benefit of the doubt that you
17
     wouldn't have contemplated doing that if you
18
     thought there was any risk of polymerization.
19
                   Right, sir?
20
                   MS. PETTY: Objection.
21
                   THE WITNESS: That's correct.
22
     QUESTIONS BY MR. SWANSON:
23
                   Norfolk Southern wouldn't have
           Ο.
24
     considered re-railing Trinity's 2025 if it
25
     believed there was any concern about a
```

```
1
     potential BLEVE.
 2
                   Right?
 3
           Α.
                   That's correct.
 4
                   What you know, based on the
           Q.
 5
     pressure and temperature readings of
 6
     Trinity's 2025, was that that car was stable.
 7
                   Wasn't it, sir?
 8
                   MS. PETTY: Objection.
 9
                   THE WITNESS: At the time it
10
           was observed, that's correct.
     QUESTIONS BY MR. SWANSON:
11
12
                   That car was stable right up to
           Q.
13
     the moment that you detonated it in the vent
14
     and burn.
15
                   Wasn't it, sir?
16
                   MS. PETTY: Objection.
17
                   THE WITNESS: That's correct.
18
     QUESTIONS BY MR. SWANSON:
19
                   What you know, based on the
           Ο.
20
     temperature and pressure readings that you
21
     took from Trinity's 2025, was that that car
22
     performed exactly as it was built to perform
23
     in a derailment.
24
                   Didn't it, sir?
25
                   MS. PETTY: Objection.
```

```
1
                   MR. FUKUMURA: Objection.
 2
                   THE WITNESS: That's correct.
 3
     QUESTIONS BY MR. SWANSON:
 4
                   And what you know from those
           Q.
 5
     pressure and temperature readings
 6
     specifically is that the pressure release
 7
     device on 2025 acted exactly as it should in
 8
     a derailment.
 9
                   Correct?
10
                   MS. PETTY: Objection.
11
                   MR. FUKUMURA:
                                   Objection.
12
                   THE WITNESS: That's correct.
13
     QUESTIONS BY MR. SWANSON:
14
                   Now, I've seen evidence in the
            O.
15
     factual report we've been talking about that
16
     the reason Norfolk Southern didn't re-rail
17
     Trinity's 2025 car was because of a damaged
18
     bolster.
19
                   Is that your understanding?
20
                   MS. PETTY: Objection.
21
                   THE WITNESS:
                                 No.
22
     QUESTIONS BY MR. SWANSON:
23
                   Okay. Let's turn, if we could,
           0.
24
     to page 85 of 158.
25
           Α.
                   Yes.
```

```
1
     the derailment default anything Trinity did
 2
     or did not do in assisting with the
 3
     derailment response?
 4
                   MS. PETTY: Objection.
 5
                   THE WITNESS:
                                 I'm sorry, I
 6
           didn't understand.
     OUESTIONS BY MR. SWANSON:
 7
 8
           O.
                   As the person on the ground --
 9
     as a person on the ground for Norfolk
10
     Southern who was helping -- I can't read that
11
     now. Let me try it again.
12
                   All right. As a Norfolk
13
     Southern employee who was on the ground
14
     helping to manage the response to the
15
     derailment, do you fault anything that
16
     Trinity did or didn't do in assisting with
17
     the derailment response?
18
           Α.
                   No.
19
                   Was Trinity consulted in any
            Ο.
20
     way before Norfolk Southern made the decision
21
     to vent and burn 2025?
22
                   MS. PETTY: Objection.
23
                   THE WITNESS: Not to my
24
           knowledge.
25
```

1	CERTIFICATE
2	I, CARRIE A. CAMPBELL, Registered
2	Diplomate Reporter, Certified Realtime
3	Reporter and Certified Shorthand Reporter, do
4	hereby certify that prior to the commencement
4	of the examination, Robert C. Wood, was duly
5	sworn by me to testify to the truth, the
6	whole truth and nothing but the truth.  I DO FURTHER CERTIFY that the
Ü	foregoing is a verbatim transcript of the
7	testimony as taken stenographically by and
	before me at the time, place and on the date
8	hereinbefore set forth, to the best of my
	ability.
9	<del>-</del>
	I DO FURTHER CERTIFY that I am
10	neither a relative nor employee nor attorney
	nor counsel of any of the parties to this
11	action, and that I am neither a relative nor
1.0	employee of such attorney or counsel, and
12	that I am not financially interested in the
13	action.
14	1
	Curie a. Campbell
16	CARRIE A. CAMPBELL,
	NCRA Registered Diplomate Reporter
17	Certified Realtime Reporter
	California Certified Shorthand
18	Reporter #13921
	Missouri Certified Court Reporter #859
19	Illinois Certified Shorthand Reporter
2.0	#084-004229
20	Texas Certified Shorthand Reporter #9328
21	Kansas Certified Court Reporter #1715
21	New Jersey Certified Court Reporter #30XI00242600
22	Louisiana Certified Court Reporter
	#2021012
23	Notary Public
	Dated: December 12, 2023
24	•
25	