

# 617 WINTER 2017 PROJECT REPORT

MILLIMETER-WAVE DOUBLE BALANCED GILBERT MIXER  
POUYAN KESHAVARZIAN

April 10, 2017

Contents

1 Glossary 3

2 PROJECT MOTIVATION 4

2.1 Radar Theory of Operation . . . . . 4

3 Mixer Theory of Operation 6

3.1 Basic Principle . . . . . 6

3.2 Detailed Analysis . . . . . 6

4 Simulated Results 6

5 References 7

Appendix A Cadence Simulation Plots 8

A.1 Conversion Gain . . . . . 8

A.2 RMS Spectrum . . . . . 8

A.3 Noise Figure . . . . . 9

A.4 P1dB . . . . . 9

A.5 IP3 . . . . . 10

A.6 S11 . . . . . 10

A.7 S22 . . . . . 11

List of Figures

1 Radar Transceiver Block Diagram . . . . . 4

2 Conversion Gain Cadence . . . . . 8

3 RMS Spectrum Cadence . . . . . 8

4 Noise Figure Cadence . . . . . 9

5 P1dB Cadence . . . . . 9

6 IP3 Cadence . . . . . 10

7 RF Port Match . . . . . 10

8 IF Port Match . . . . . 11

List of Tables

1 Mixer Design Goals . . . . . 4

# 1 Glossary

## 2 PROJECT MOTIVATION

In this project, I present a double balanced mixer that would be used as part of a BPSK Modulator circuit to generate a spread spectrum radar waveform in the automotive frequency band (77-81 GHz). A similar modulator that uses a double-balanced mixer is described in [CITE]. The original design benchmarks for this mixer and the achieved final results are outlined in Table 1.

Parameter	Original	Achieved
Supply Voltage	1.8V	0.85V
Power Consumption	5mW	$\approx 4.8\text{mW}$
Gain (dB)	5.4	$\approx -13\text{ dB}$
Input Match	50 $\Omega$	$45.5 + j0.1\Omega$
Output Match	730 $\Omega$	$48.9 + j0.1\Omega$
NF	10dB	$\approx 16\text{ dB}$
P1dB	–	$\approx 1.5\text{dB}$
IP3	–	$\approx 9.5\text{dB}$

Table 1: Mixer Design Goals

The original values were generated based on a few simple simulations/calculations and a power budget provided by Dr. Belostotski. Once the Id-gm characteristics were understood from simulation, the gain estimate was calculated using the following equation.

$$G = \frac{2}{\pi} \frac{R_L}{R_s + \frac{1}{g_m}}; \quad (1)$$

The exact values including plots for the final results will be clearly outlined. Furthermore, deviations from original to achieved results will be described in detail. A different design topology was used in the final design than in the original biased circuit used to calculate the conversion gain. Some targets (such as output impedance) were changed intentionally (for practical purposes) while other values were adjusted based on non-ideal aspects of the circuit. A

derivation of Equation 1 will be provided in the theory of operation section. A suitable value of  $R_L$  was chosen based on biasing and achieving high gain. This value, and others, deviate greatly from the final design. A thorough explanation of the reasons for deviating are provided. The interest in this particular-type of radar stems from spread-spectrum technology's built in interference rejection, which is an indispensable feature for automotive radar. This type of radar has recently been demonstrated in SiGe technology [CITE]. 65nm technology is chosen because the Figure of Merit,  $f_T \approx 160\text{GHz}$ , making it suitable for this millimeter-wave application.

### 2.1 Radar Theory of Operation

To demonstrate how this mixer could be used in a practical application, the theory of the type of radar is discussed. A simplified block diagram of an example spread spectrum ranging system is shown in Figure 1. The BPSK modulator is used to spread the carrier with a pseudorandom code. The parameters of the code, which govern the LO mixing frequency, are designed to provide an adequate range resolution within the context of automotive radar. The achievable range resolution of the radar system is related to the chip rate (mixer LO) of the code through Equation 2.

$$d_{min} \leq \frac{c}{2f_c} \quad (2)$$




Figure 1: Radar Transceiver Block Diagram

Therefore to achieve a  $10cm$  range resolution a chip rate of  $1.5GHz$  is chosen. The rest of the code can be designed by choosing the appropriate length.

$$T_p = \frac{N}{f_c} \quad (3)$$

and then achievable unambiguous range of the radar becomes

$$d_{max} \leq \frac{c}{2T_p} \quad (4)$$

Since the code is pseudorandom, the LO frequency could be any integer division of  $1.5GHz$  i.e  $0.75GHz, 0.5GHz$  etc. For the purposes of design we will simulate with just a  $1.5GHz$  signal to demonstrate the maximum bandwidth of operation.

## **3 Mixer Theory of Operation**

### **3.1 Basic Principle**

The Gilbert Cell is a linear time-varying circuit. The concept behind this circuit is intuitive. The RF transistors act as transconductance amplifiers which change the input voltage to a current. The differential ports act as switches that commute the output. This creates the time-domain multiplication function. FIGURES X DEMONSTRATE THIS CONCEPT.

### **3.2 Detailed Analysis**

## **4 Simulated Results**

## 5 References

# A Cadence Simulation Plots

## A.1 Conversion Gain




Figure 2: Conversion Gain Cadence

## A.2 RMS Spectrum




Figure 3: RMS Spectrum Cadence



### A.3 Noise Figure




Figure 4: Noise Figure Cadence

### A.4 P1dB




Figure 5: P1dB Cadence

# A.5 IP3




Figure 6: IP3 Cadence

# A.6 S11




Figure 7: RF Port Match

## A.7 S22




Figure 8: IF Port Match