# Flow Matching for Generative Modeling

# Pramook Khungurn

July 24, 2024

This note was written as I read the "Flow Matching for Generative Modeling" paper by Lipman et al. [5].

# 1 Background

- A data item is denoted by  $x = (x^1, x^2, \dots, x^d) \in \mathbb{R}^d$ .
- A **probability density path** is a function  $p:[0,1]\times\mathbb{R}^d\to\mathbb{R}^+\cup\{0\}$  such that each  $p(t,\cdot)$  is a probability density function on  $\mathbb{R}^d$ . In other words, it holds that

$$\int p(t, x) \, \mathrm{d}x = 1$$

for all  $t \in [0, 1]$ .

- For a time dependent function  $f:[0,1]\times\mathbb{R}^d\to R$  for some range set R, we may write f(t,x) as  $f_t(x)$  to emphasize time dependence. Moreover, we can refer to  $f_t:\mathbb{R}^d\to R$  as a function in its own right.
  - With this, we may say that  $p_t$  is a probability distribution on  $\mathbb{R}^d$ .
- A time-dependent vector field is a function  $v:[0,1]\times\mathbb{R}^d\to\mathbb{R}^d$ .
- Given a time dependent vector field v, its **flow** is another vector field  $\phi:[0,1]\times\mathbb{R}^d\to\mathbb{R}^d$  defined by the differential equation

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\phi_t(x) = v_t(\phi_t(x)) \tag{1}$$

and the initial condition  $\phi_0(x) = x$ .

- In other words,  $\phi_t(x)$  is the position at time t of the particle that starts at x at time 0 and follows the trajectory defined by taking v as the time-dependent vector field.
- We say that  $v_t$  generates  $\phi_t$ .
- Chen et al. proposed the **neural ordinary differential equation** model [1]. The idea is to model the vector field v with a neural network  $v_t(x;\theta)$ . We then train it so that  $\phi_1$  has the property that we want.
  - If you want a refresher on neural ODE, then read my previous note on it [2].
- A neural ODE can be used to transform a probability distribution to another. Say, we start with a probability distribution  $p_0$  on  $\mathbb{R}^d$ . Then, we do the following.
  - Sample  $x \sim p_0$ .
  - Compute  $x' = \phi_t(x)$  by integrating the neural ODE from 0 up to t.

Let us denote the probability density of x' by  $p_t$ . It follows that

$$p_t(x') = p_0(\phi_t^{-1}(x')) \det \left[ \frac{\partial \phi_t^{-1}}{\partial x}(x') \right]. \tag{2}$$

This is the standard formula for tranformation of probability distribution. You can find this in section 3.1 on my notes on the subject [4].

• The formula in Equation (2) is not that great because there is an issue with variable capture. The x in  $\partial x$  is not a variable but a shorthard the positional argument of a function. I previously have introduced a system to deal with this kind of problem [3]. So, let's write the equation using that notation.

First, we note that  $\phi_t(x) = q(t, x)$  is a function that maps a (d+1)-dimensional space to a d-dimensional space. So, we can treat it in the same way as a function of signature  $\mathbb{R}^{d+1} \to \mathbb{R}^d$ . In other words, we can say that  $\phi$  takes d+1 inputs. We can then divide the d+1 inputs into two blocks.

- The first block is the first argument alone. Using Python slice notation, it is "1:2." Using my "chapter" notation, it can be abbreviated as §1.
- The second block is the rest of the arguments. Using Python slice notation, it is "2:d+2." Using my "chapter" notation, it can be abbreviate as  $\S 2$ .

Hence, using my notation for partial derivatives, we can rewrite the equation as:

$$p_t(x') = p_0(\phi_t^{-1}(x')) \det \nabla_{\S 2} \phi_t^{-1}(x')$$

or, to be even briefer

$$p_t(x') = p_0(\phi_t^{-1}(x')) |\nabla_{\S 2} \phi_t^{-1}(x')|.$$
(3)

• Note that we can rewrite Equation (1) using my notation as:

$$\nabla_1 \phi_t(x) = v_t(\phi_t(x)). \tag{4}$$

• Let  $f: \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}$  and let  $v: \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}^d$ . A **push-forward** (or a change of variable) of f according to v is a function of  $g: \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}$  defined by

$$g(y) = f(v^{-1}(y)) |\nabla v^{-1}(y)|.$$

Here,  $\nabla$  denotes the derivative operator, which gets you the Jacobian matrix. We denote the push-forward of f according to v as  $[v]_*f$ .

- In the context of the discussion so far, we have that  $p_t = [\phi_t]_* p_0$ .
- When a time-dependent vector field  $v_t$  generates a flow  $\phi_t$  and when  $p_t = [\phi_t]_* p_0$ , we says that  $v_t$  generates  $p_t$ .
- When we use a neural ODE to transform a probability distribution from one to another (i.e., transforming  $p_0$  from  $p_1$ ), we call the resulting model a **continuous normalizing flow** model.

# 2 Flow Matching

#### 2.1 Flow Matching Objective

• We want to use the above framework to transform a simple noise distribution  $p_0 = p_{\text{noise}}$  to a data distribution  $p_1 = p_{\text{data}}$ .

- $p_{\text{noise}}$  is typically a Gaussian distribution  $p_{\text{noise}} = \mathcal{N}(0, I)$ .
- As in most ML settings, we do not have access to the density function  $p_{\text{data}}$ , but we only have samples from the distribution.
- Suppose we know a probability path  $p_t$  and a time-dependent vector field  $u_t$  that has the following property:
  - $p_0$  is the desired noise distribution, and  $p_1$  is the desired data distribution.
  - $-u_t$  generates  $p_t$ .

Suppose again that we want to model  $u_t$  with a neural network  $v_t(x;\theta)$ . Then, we may do it my minimizing the flow matching objective:

$$\mathcal{L}_{FM}(\theta) = E_{t \sim \mathcal{U}([0,1]), [\|u_t(x) - v_t(x;\theta)\|^2]}.$$

$$(5)$$

• The flow maching objective is usable if we know  $p_t$  and  $u_t$  before hand. However, in our settings, we do not know anything about  $u_t$ , and we only know  $p_0 = p_{\text{noise}}$  and  $p_1 = p_{\text{data}}$  but nothing in between.

### 2.2 Special Case: Single Item Dataset

- One of the difficulty we are facing right now is that  $p_{\text{data}}$  can be quite complicated and that we only have access to its samples, not a function that can evaluate the density of sample from the distribution.
- So, let's start with a special case where the distribution can generate exactly one data item. Let us call this item  $x_{\text{data}}$ .
  - You know where this is going. We will later approximate  $p_{\text{data}}$  as a mixture of the distributions of individual samples. So, stay tuned and work with this special case first.
- The distribution  $p_{\text{data}}$  is given by  $p_{\text{data}} = \delta(x_{\text{data}})$  where  $\delta$  is the Dirac delta function.
- We want to derive a vector field  $u_t$  that generates a probability distribution  $p_t$  so that (1)  $p_0 = p_{\text{noise}} = \mathcal{N}(0, I)$  and  $p_1 = p_{\text{data}} = \delta(x_{\text{data}})$ .
- Unfortunately, I don't think there is a finite-time process that can turn a Gaussian distribution into a delta distribution. So, we will settle for an approximation. We instead require that

$$p_1 = \mathcal{N}(x_{\text{data}}, \sigma_{\min}^2 I)$$

where  $\sigma_{\min}$  is a small positive constant.

- Since  $u_t$  and  $p_t$  we shall derive is specific to  $x_{\text{data}}$ , we may write them as "conditional" vector field and probability density, using the notation  $u_t(\cdot|x_{\text{data}})$  and  $p_t(\cdot|x_{\text{data}})$ .
  - Of course, this will be used later when we approximate of  $p_{\text{data}}$  as a mixture of single item distributions.
- In other words, we want to find a time-dependent vector field  $u_t(\cdot|x_{\text{data}})$  that generates a probability path  $p_t(\cdot|x_{\text{data}})$  such that
  - $-p_0(x|x_{\text{data}}) = \mathcal{N}(x;0,I)$ , and
  - $p_1(x|x_{\text{data}}) = \mathcal{N}(x; x_{\text{data}}, \sigma_{\min}^2 I).$

• We let  $p_t(\cdot|x_{\text{data}})$  take the form

$$p_t(x|x_{\text{data}}) = \mathcal{N}(x; \mu_t(x_{\text{data}}), \sigma_t(x_{\text{data}})^2 I)$$
(6)

where  $\mu:[0,1]\times\mathbb{R}^d\to\mathbb{R}^d$  and  $\sigma:[0,1]\times\mathbb{R}\to\mathbb{R}^+$ . We will specify these two functions later, but there are many choises of them.

- To satisfy the requirement on  $p_0$ , it must be the case that
  - $-\mu_0(x_{\text{data}}) = 0$  for all  $x_{\text{data}}$ , and
  - $-\sigma_0(x_{\text{data}}) = 1 \text{ for all } x_{\text{data}}.$

Morever, to satisfy the requirment on  $p_1$ , it must be the case that

- $-\mu_1(x_{\text{data}}) = x_{\text{data}}$  for all  $x_{\text{data}}$ , and
- $-\sigma_1(x_{\text{data}}) = \sigma_{\text{min}} \text{ for all } x_{\text{data}}.$
- Now that we have specified the form of  $p_t(\cdot|x_{\text{data}})$ , it is now time to figure out the vector field  $u_t(\cdot|x_{\text{data}})$  that generates it.
- We do so by first specifying a flow  $\psi_t$  such that  $p_t(\cdot|x_{\text{data}}) = [\psi_t]_* p_0(\cdot|x_{\text{data}})$ . Then, we can define  $u_t$  according to the equation

$$\nabla_1 \psi_t(x) = u_t(\psi_t(x)|x_{\text{data}}).$$

In other words,

$$u_t(x'|x_{\text{data}}) = \nabla_1 \psi_t(\psi_t^{-1}(x')). \tag{7}$$

• Now, let's specify  $\psi_t$ . We use a very simple flow:

$$\psi_t(x) = \sigma_t(x_{\text{data}})x + \mu_t(x_{\text{data}})$$

Let's do some sanity check.

- At t = 0, we have that  $\phi_0(x) = x$ . So,  $\phi_t$  satisfies the initial condition. The distribution  $p_0$  is the distribution of x, which is  $\mathcal{N}(0, I)$  as required.
- At t=1, we have that  $\phi_1(x)=\sigma_{\min}x+x_{\text{data}}$ . Because  $x\sim\mathcal{N}(0,I)$ , we have that  $p_1\sim\mathcal{N}(x_{\text{data}},\sigma_{\min}^2I)$  as required too.
- At other values of t, we have that  $\phi_t(x) = \sigma_t(x_{\text{data}})x + \mu_t(x_{\text{data}})$ . Again, because  $x \sim \mathcal{N}(0, I)$ , we have that  $\phi_t(x) \sim \mathcal{N}(\mu_t x_{\text{data}}, \sigma_t(x_{\text{data}})^2 I)$  as required again.
- Let's derive  $u_t(x'|x_{\text{data}})$ .
  - First, we need to derive  $\psi_t^{-1}(x')$ . Let  $x = \psi_t^{-1}(x')$ . We have that

$$x' = \psi_t(x) = \sigma_t(x_{\text{data}})x + \mu_t(x_{\text{data}}).$$

So,

$$x = \frac{x' - \mu_t(x_{\text{data}})}{\sigma_t(x_{\text{data}})}.$$

In other words,

$$\psi_t^{-1}(x') = \frac{x' - \mu_t(x_{\text{data}})}{\sigma_t(x_{\text{data}})}.$$
 (8)

- Second, we need to derive the time-derivative  $\nabla_1 \psi_t(x)$ . This is also simple:

$$\nabla_1 \psi_t(x) = \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left[ \sigma_t(x_{\text{data}}) x + \mu_t(x_{\text{data}}) \right]$$

$$= \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \sigma_t(x_{\text{data}}) \right) x + \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \mu_t(x_{\text{data}})$$

$$= x \nabla_1 \sigma_t(x_{\text{data}}) + \nabla_1 \mu_t(x_{\text{data}}). \tag{9}$$

• Substituting (8) and (9) into (7), we have that

$$u_t(x'|x_{\text{data}}) = \left(\frac{x' - \mu_t(x_{\text{data}})}{\sigma_t(x_{\text{data}})}\right) \nabla_1 \sigma_t(x_{\text{data}}) + \nabla_1 \mu_t(x_{\text{data}})$$
$$= \frac{\nabla_1 \sigma_t(x_{\text{data}})}{\sigma_t(x_{\text{data}})} (x' - \mu_t(x_{\text{data}})) + \nabla_1 \mu_t(x_{\text{data}}).$$

- Theorem 1. Suppose that we are given the following functions.
  - Let  $\sigma:[0,1]\times\mathbb{R}^d\to\mathbb{R}^+$  be a differentiable function such that  $\sigma_0(x)=1$  and  $\sigma_1(x)=\sigma_{\min}$  for all x.
  - Let  $\mu: [0,1] \times \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}^d$  be a differentiable time-dependent vector field such that  $\mu_0(x) = 0$  and  $\mu_1(x) = x$  for all x.

Then, the vector field

$$u_t(x|x_{\text{data}}) = \frac{\nabla_1 \sigma_t(x_{\text{data}})}{\sigma_t(x_{\text{data}})} (x - \mu_t(x_{\text{data}})) + \nabla_1 \mu_t(x_{\text{data}})$$

generates the flow

$$\phi_t(x) = \sigma_t(x_{\text{data}})x + \mu_t(x_{\text{data}})$$

and a probablity path  $p_t(\cdot|x_{\rm data})$  such that that

$$p_t(\cdot|x_{\text{data}}) \sim \mathcal{N}(\mu_t(x_{\text{data}}), \sigma_t(x_{\text{data}})^2 I)$$

for all t. In particular, we have that

- 1.  $p_0(\cdot|x_{\text{data}}) \sim \mathcal{N}(0, I)$ , and
- 2.  $p_1(\cdot|x_{\text{data}}) \sim \mathcal{N}(x_{\text{data}}, \sigma_{\min}^2 I)$ .

So,  $u_t(\cdot|x_{\text{data}})$  transforms a Gaussian noise distribution into an approximation of a single data distribution that only contains  $x_{\text{data}}$ .

## 2.3 From single item distribution to multi-item distribution

- Now, we get back to the case where  $p_{\text{data}}$  is not a distribution that output only a single item.
- Using the law of total proability, we can define the marginal probability path as

$$p_t(x) = \int p_t(x|x_1) p_{\text{data}}(x_{\text{data}}) \, \mathrm{d}x_{\text{data}}. \tag{10}$$

• It follows that  $p_0 = \mathcal{N}(0, I)$ , and

$$p_1 = p_{\text{data}} * \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma_{\min}^2 I) \approx p_{\text{data}}.$$

where \* is the convolution operation. So, we can use  $p_1$  in place of  $p_{\text{data}}$  in many cases.

• Our task is now to find a time-dependent vector field  $u_t$  that generates  $p_t$ . The paper argues that the following marginal vector field,

$$u_t(x) = \int u_t(x|x_{\text{data}}) \frac{p_t(x|x_{\text{data}})p_{\text{data}}(x_{\text{data}})}{p_t(x)} \, \mathrm{d}x_{\text{data}}, \tag{11}$$

works.

• Theorem 2. The marginal vector field  $u_t$  defined in Equation (11) generates the marginal probability path  $p_t$  in Equation (10).

*Proof.* This proof makes heavy use of the continuity equation (12) and Theorem 4.

We showed in the last section that the conditional vector field  $u_t(\cdot|x_{\text{data}})$  generates the conditional probability path  $p_t(\cdot|x_{\text{data}})$ . To make derivation easier, we shall write  $p_t(x|x_{\text{data}})$  as  $p_{|x_{\text{data}}}(t,x)$  and  $u_t(x|x_{\text{data}})$  as  $u_{|\text{data}}(t,x)$ . With this, we have that these two functions satisfy the continuity equation

$$\nabla_1 p_{|x_{\text{data}}}(t, x) + \sum_{i=1}^d \nabla_{i+1} (p_{|x_{\text{data}}} u^i_{|x_{\text{data}}})(t, x) = 0.$$

In other words,

$$\nabla_1 p_{|x_{\text{data}}}(t, x) = -\sum_{i=1}^d \nabla_{i+1} (p_{|x_{\text{data}}} u^i_{|x_{\text{data}}})(t, x).$$

Now, recall the definition of p(t, x).

$$p(t,x) = \int p_{|x_{\text{data}}}(t,x) p_{\text{data}}(x_{\text{data}}) dx_{\text{data}}.$$

Differentiating both sides with respect to the first argument (t), we have that

$$\begin{split} \nabla_1 p(t,x) &= \int \nabla_1 p_{|x_{\text{data}}}(t,x) p_{\text{data}}(x_{\text{data}}) \, \mathrm{d}x_{\text{data}} \\ &= \int \bigg( -\sum_{i=1}^d \nabla_{i+1} (p_{|x_{\text{data}}} u^i_{|x_{\text{data}}})(t,x) \bigg) p_{\text{data}}(x_{\text{data}}) \, \mathrm{d}x_{\text{data}} \\ &= -\sum_{i=1}^d \int \nabla_{i+1} (p_{|x_{\text{data}}} u^i_{|x_{\text{data}}})(t,x) p_{\text{data}}(x_{\text{data}}) \, \mathrm{d}x_{\text{data}} \\ &= -\sum_{i=1}^d \nabla_{i+1} \bigg( \int p_{|x_{\text{data}}}(t,x) u_{|x_{\text{data}}}(t,x) p_{\text{data}}(x_{\text{data}}) \, \mathrm{d}x_{\text{data}} \bigg) \\ &= -\sum_{i=1}^d \nabla_{i+1} \bigg( \int p_t(x|x_{\text{data}}) u_t(x|x_{\text{data}}) p_{\text{data}}(x_{\text{data}}) \, \mathrm{d}x_{\text{data}} \bigg). \end{split}$$

By Equation (11), we have that

$$u_t(x)p_t(x) = \int p_t(x|x_{\text{data}})u_t(x|x_{\text{data}})p_{\text{data}}(x_{\text{data}}) dx_{\text{data}}.$$

As a result,

$$\nabla_1 p(t,x) = -\sum_{i=1}^d \nabla_{i+1} (u_t(x)p_t(x))$$

$$\nabla_1 p(t,x) = -\sum_{i=1}^d \nabla_{i+1} (up)(t,x)$$

$$\nabla_1 p(t,x) + \sum_{i=1}^d \nabla_{i+1} (up)(t,x) = 0.$$

This shows that  $u_t$  and  $p_t$  satisfies the continuity equation, which implites that  $u_t$  generates  $p_t$ .

#### 2.4 Conditional Flow Matching

- We have just identified the vector field  $u_t$  that we can used in the flow matching loss (5). However, the problem is that  $u_t$  is defined as an integral, and we do not want to compute it directly.
- Instead, we optimize the following **conditional flow matching objective** where we sample  $x_{\text{data}}$  and trying to match  $v_t(x;\theta)$  against  $u_t(x|x_{\text{data}})$ . Here, x is sampled from the conditional distribution  $p_t(x|x_{\text{data}})$ .

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{CFM}}(\theta) = E_{\substack{t \sim \mathcal{U}([0,1]), \\ x_{\text{data}} \sim p_{\text{data}}, \\ x \sim p_t(x|x_{\text{data}})}} [\|u_t(x|x_{\text{data}}) - v_t(x;\theta)\|^2].$$

- We can sample  $x_{\text{data}}$  easily because we can sample uniformly from the collections of samples we have at hand.
- We can also sample from  $p_t(x|x_{\text{data}})$  easily because  $p_t(x|x_{\text{data}}) = \mathcal{N}(x; \mu_t \text{data}(x_{\text{data}}), \sigma_t(x_{\text{data}})^2 I)$ .
- The only concern is whether the conditional flow matching objective  $\mathcal{L}_{CFM}(\theta)$  would yield the same  $\theta$  as  $\mathcal{L}_{FM}(\theta)$  after optimization. The answer is yes.
- Theorem 3. Assuming that  $p_t(x) > 0$  for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$  and  $t \in [0,1]$ , then,

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{FM}}(\theta) = \mathcal{L}_{\text{CFM}}(\theta) + C$$

where C is a constant independent of  $\theta$ . As a result,

$$\nabla_{\theta} \mathcal{L}_{\text{FM}}(\theta) = \nabla_{\theta} \mathcal{L}_{\text{CFM}}(\theta).$$

*Proof.* We assume that all functions are well-behaved so that we can say that all integrals exist use the standard trick such as exchanging the order of integration (Fubini's theorem).

We have that

$$||v_t(x;\theta) - u_t(x)||^2 = ||v_t(x;\theta)||^2 - 2\langle v_t(x;\theta), u_t(x)\rangle + ||u_t(x)||^2$$
$$||v_t(x;\theta) - u_t(x|x_{\text{data}})||^2 = ||v_t(x;\theta)||^2 - 2\langle v_t(x;\theta), u_t(x|x_{\text{data}})\rangle + ||u_t(x|x_{\text{data}})||^2.$$

So,

$$\mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{FM}}(\theta) = E_{t \sim \mathcal{U}([0,1]), [\|v_t(x;\theta)\|^2]} - 2E_{t \sim \mathcal{U}([0,1]), [\langle v_t(x;\theta), u_t(x) \rangle]} + E_{t \sim \mathcal{U}([0,1]), [\|u_t(x)\|^2]}$$

$$\mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{CFM}}(\theta) = E_{\substack{t \sim \mathcal{U}([0,1]), \\ x_{\mathrm{data}} \sim p_{\mathrm{data}}, \\ x \sim p_t(x|x_{\mathrm{data}})}} [\|v_t(x;\theta)\|^2] - 2E_{\substack{t \sim \mathcal{U}([0,1]), \\ x_{\mathrm{data}} \sim p_{\mathrm{data}}, \\ x \sim p_t(x|x_{\mathrm{data}})}} [\langle v_t(x;\theta), u_t(x|x_{\mathrm{data}}) \rangle] + E_{\substack{t \sim \mathcal{U}([0,1]), \\ x_{\mathrm{data}} \sim p_{\mathrm{data}}, \\ x \sim p_t(x|x_{\mathrm{data}})}} [\|u_t(x|x_{\mathrm{data}})\|^2].$$

Note that  $u_t(x)$  and  $u_t(x|x_{\text{data}})$  does not depend on  $\theta$ . As a result, we can treat them as constants. In other words,

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{FM}}(\theta) = E_{t \sim \mathcal{U}([0,1]), [\|v_t(x;\theta)\|^2]} - 2E_{t \sim \mathcal{U}([0,1]), [\langle v_t(x;\theta), u_t(x) \rangle]} + C_1$$

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{CFM}}(\theta) = E_{\substack{t \sim \mathcal{U}([0,1]), \\ x_{\text{data}} \sim p_{\text{data},} \\ x \sim p_t(x|x_{\text{data}})}} [\|v_t(x;\theta)\|^2] - 2E_{\substack{t \sim \mathcal{U}([0,1]), \\ x_{\text{data}} \sim p_{\text{data},} \\ x \sim p_t(x|x_{\text{data}})}} [\langle v_t(x;\theta), u_t(x|x_{\text{data}}) \rangle] + C_2.$$

Next, note that

$$E_{\substack{t \sim \mathcal{U}([0,1]), [\|v_t(x;\theta)\|^2] \\ x \sim p_t(x)}} = E_{\substack{t \sim \mathcal{U}([0,1]), \\ x_{\text{data}} \sim p_{\text{data}}, \\ x \sim p_t(x|x_{\text{data}})}} [\|v_t(x;\theta)\|^2]$$

because we still sample x from the same distribution  $p_t(x)$ . On the LHS, we sample x directly, but, on the RHS, we sample  $x_{\text{data}}$  before sampling x given  $x_{\text{data}}$ . Lastly,

$$\begin{split} E_{t \sim \mathcal{U}([0,1]), [}\langle v_t(x;\theta), u_t(x) \rangle] \\ &= E_{t \sim \mathcal{U}([0,1]), [} \left\{ \langle v_t(x;\theta), \int u_t(x|x_{\mathrm{data}}) \frac{p_t(x|x_{\mathrm{data}}) p_{\mathrm{data}}(x_{\mathrm{data}})}{p_t(x)} \, \mathrm{d}x_{\mathrm{data}} \right\} \\ &= E_{t \sim \mathcal{U}([0,1]), [} \left[ \int \langle v_t(x;\theta), u_t(x|x_{\mathrm{data}}) \rangle \frac{p_t(x|x_{\mathrm{data}}) p_{\mathrm{data}}(x_{\mathrm{data}})}{p_t(x)} \, \mathrm{d}x_{\mathrm{data}} \right] \\ &= E_{t \sim \mathcal{U}([0,1]), [} \left[ \int p_t(x) \left( \int \langle v_t(x;\theta), u_t(x|x_{\mathrm{data}}) \rangle \frac{p_t(x|x_{\mathrm{data}}) p_{\mathrm{data}}(x_{\mathrm{data}})}{p_t(x)} \, \mathrm{d}x_{\mathrm{data}} \right) \mathrm{d}x \right] \\ &= E_{t \sim \mathcal{U}([0,1])} \left[ \int \int \langle v_t(x;\theta), u_t(x|x_{\mathrm{data}}) \rangle p_t(x|x_{\mathrm{data}}) p_{\mathrm{data}}(x_{\mathrm{data}}) \, \mathrm{d}x_{\mathrm{data}} \, \mathrm{d}x \right] \\ &= E_{t \sim \mathcal{U}([0,1])} \left[ \int p_{\mathrm{data}}(x_{\mathrm{data}}) \left( \int p_t(x|x_{\mathrm{data}}) \langle v_t(x;\theta), u_t(x|x_{\mathrm{data}}) \rangle \, \mathrm{d}x \right) \, \mathrm{d}x \right] \\ &= E_{t \sim \mathcal{U}([0,1]), [} \left\{ \langle v_t(x;\theta), u_t(x|x_{\mathrm{data}}) \rangle \right]. \end{split}$$

We are done.  $\Box$ 

# 3 Conditional Vector Fields

• We said earlier that there are multiple ways to define  $\mu_t$  and  $\sigma_t$  in Equation (6). We discuss them in this section.

#### 3.1 Diffusion Conditional Vector Fields

- For a diffusion model, time is the reverse of what we have been using in this note.
  - $-p_0$  is  $p_{\text{data}}$  or an approximation of it.
  - $-p_1$  is a noise distribution.

However, to make things simple, we will reverse the time so that it complies with what we have in this note.

- Variance-exploding case.
  - Given  $x_{\text{data}}$ , we have that  $p_t(x|x_{\text{data}}) = \mathcal{N}(x; x_{\text{data}}, \sigma_t^2 I)$  where  $\sigma_1 = 0$  and  $\sigma_0 \gg 1$ .
  - Hence,  $\mu_t(x_{\text{data}}) = x_{\text{data}}$ , and  $\sigma_t(x_{\text{data}})$  does not depend on  $x_{\text{data}}$ .
  - As a resulit, the conditional vector field is given by

$$u_t(x|x_{\text{data}}) = \frac{\sigma'_t}{\sigma_t}(x - x_{\text{data}}).$$

- Variance-preserving case.
  - Given  $x_{\text{data}}$ , we have that  $p_t(x|x_{\text{data}}) = \mathcal{N}(x; \alpha_t x_{x_{\text{data}}}, (1 \alpha_t^2)I)$  where  $\alpha_t : [0, 1] \to \mathbb{R}^+ \cup \{0\}$  is a real function such that  $\alpha_0 = 0$  and  $\alpha_1 = 1$ .
  - In other words,  $\mu_t(x_{\text{data}}) = \alpha_t x_{\text{data}}$  and  $\sigma_t(x_{\text{data}}) = \sqrt{1 \alpha_t^2}$ .
  - The conditional vector field is given by

$$u_t(x|x_{\text{data}}) = \frac{\{\sqrt{1 - \alpha_t^2}\}'}{\sqrt{1 - \alpha_t^2}} (x - \alpha_t x_{\text{data}}) + \alpha_t' x_{\text{data}}$$
$$= \frac{-\alpha_t \alpha_t'}{1 - \alpha_t^2} (x - \alpha_t x_{\text{data}}) + \alpha' x_{\text{data}}.$$

### 3.2 Optimal Transport Conditional Vector Fields

• We simply make  $\mu_t$  and  $\sigma_t$  linear functions of time.

$$\mu_t(x_{\text{data}}) = tx_{\text{data}},$$

$$\sigma_t(x_{\text{data}}) = 1 - (1 - \sigma_{\text{min}})t.$$

This leads to the conditional vector field

$$u_t(x|x_{\text{data}}) = \frac{-(1 - \sigma_{\min})}{1 - (1 - \sigma_{\min})t}(x - tx_{\text{data}}) + x_{\text{data}} = \frac{x_{\text{data}} - (1 - \sigma_{\min})x}{1 - (1 - \sigma_{\min})t}.$$

# A Continuity Equation

- The continuity equation is an equation from fluid dynamics that shows up a lot in fields that involves transport pheonomena.
- Here, we start with a probability density function  $p_0$ , which tells us how the probability mass is distributed over  $\mathbb{R}^d$ . Then, we have a vector field  $v_t$  that gives us the time-dependent velocity field that governs how the mass at each point should move. The velocity field generates a probability path  $p_t$ .
- Note that, because the velocity field just move the mass around, there is no new mass added or no new mass being dropped. It follows that the total probability mass remains constant. It is *conserved*. This means that  $p_t$  is a probability distribution for all t. If you integrate it over  $\mathbb{R}^d$ , you should get 1.
- Given a probability path  $p_t$  and a time-dependent velocity field  $v_t$  that generates it, the **flux density**  $j:[0,1]\times\mathbb{R}^d\to\mathbb{R}^d$  is defined as:

$$j_t(x) = p_t(x)v_t(x).$$

It is just the velocity field weighted by the probability density.

• Let  $f: \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}^d$  be a vector field. The **divergence** of f is a scalar function  $\nabla \cdot f: \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}$  define by

$$(\nabla \cdot f)(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{d} \nabla_i f^i(x)$$

where  $f^{i}(x)$  denotes the *i*th component of f(x).

• The **continuity equation** of  $p_t$  and  $v_t$  is given by

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}p_t(x) + (\nabla \cdot j_t)(x) = 0.$$

In the above equation, we view  $j_t$  with t fixed as a vector field of signature  $\mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}^d$ .

• Let's rewrite the continuity equation with my notation and taking into account correct indexing with no ambiguity whatsoever. We have

$$\nabla_1 p(t, x) + \sum_{i=1}^d \nabla_{i+1} (pv^i)(t, x) = 0.$$
 (12)

• In our context, the continuity equation is useful because of the following theorem.

**Theorem 4.** Let  $p:[0,1]\times\mathbb{R}^d\to\mathbb{R}^+\cup\{0\}$  be a differentiable probability path. Let  $v:[0,1]\times\mathbb{R}^d\to\mathbb{R}^d$  be a differentiable time-dependent vector field. Then,  $v_t$  generates  $p_t$  if and only if the continuity equation holds.

- We shall not prove the theorem in this note, but I will be studying it more.
- Let  $h: \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}$  be any compactly supported differentiable function. Consider the time derivative of the following expectation

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} E_{x \sim p_0} [h(\phi_t(x))]$$

where  $\phi:[0,1]\times\mathbb{R}^d\to\mathbb{R}^d$  is the flow generated by  $v_t$ . We have that

$$\begin{split} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} E_{x \sim p_0}[h(\phi_t(x))] &= \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \int p_0(x) h(\phi_t(x)) \, \mathrm{d}x \\ &= \int p_0(x) \frac{\partial}{\partial t} h(\phi_t(x)) \, \mathrm{d}x \\ &= \int p_0(x) \bigg( \sum_{i=1}^d \nabla_i h(\phi_t(x)) \nabla_1 \phi_t^i(x) \bigg) \, \mathrm{d}x \\ &= \int p_0(x) \bigg( \sum_{i=1}^d \nabla_i h(\phi_t(x)) v_t^i(\phi_t(x)) \bigg) \, \mathrm{d}x \end{split}$$

## References

[1] Chen, R. T. Q., Rubanova, Y., Bettencourt, J., and Duvenaud, D. Neural ordinary differential equations, 2019.

- [2] KHUNGURN, P. Neural ordinary differential equations. https://pkhungurn.github.io/notes/notes/ml/neural-ode/neural-ode.pdf. Accessed: 2024-07-19.
- [3] KHUNGURN, P. Notation for multivariable derivatives. https://pkhungurn.github.io/notes/notes/math/multivar-deriv-notations/multivar-deriv-notations.pdf. Accessed: 2024-07-22.
- [4] KHUNGURN, P. Probability under transformation. https://pkhungurn.github.io/notes/notes/gfx/pdf-transform/pdf-transform.pdf. Accessed: 2024-07-22.
- [5] LIPMAN, Y., CHEN, R. T. Q., BEN-HAMU, H., NICKEL, M., AND LE, M. Flow matching for generative modeling, 2023.