

# Evaluating Error Function on Complex Numbers

Pramook Khungurn

December 11, 2019

This document outlines an algorithm for computing the error function  $\operatorname{erf}(z)$  where  $z$  is a complex number. We assume that we can already evaluate  $\operatorname{erf}(x)$  for any real number  $x$ . The algorithm comes from the note “Error function of complex numbers” by Marcel Leutenegger [2], and it is based on the series expansion given in Abramowitz and Stegun [1]. This document is a rewrite of [2], and I wrote it because I think Leutenegger did a very bad job explaining things.

## 1 Introduction

- The *error function* is given by:

$$\operatorname{erf}(z) = \frac{2}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_0^z e^{-t^2} dt$$

Note that it is a definite integral of the Gaussian distribution with mean 0 and standard deviation 1. The integral is scaled so that  $\operatorname{erf}(+\infty) = 1$ .

- For all  $z \in \mathbb{C}$ , we have that
  - $\operatorname{erf}(-z) = -\operatorname{erf}(z)$ , and
  - $\operatorname{erf}(z^*) = \operatorname{erf}(z)^*$  where  $z^*$  is the complex conjugate of  $z$ .
- The Taylor series expansion of the error function can be derived from the Taylor series of  $e^{-z^2}$ :

$$e^{-z^2} = 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n}{n!} z^{2n}.$$

This yields:

$$\operatorname{erf}(z) = \frac{2z}{\sqrt{\pi}} \left( 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n z^{2n}}{n!(2n+1)} \right). \quad (1)$$

## 2 Numerical Evaluation

- One can evaluate  $\operatorname{erf}(z)$  upto precision  $\varepsilon$  by first finding an integer  $n_c$  where

$$\frac{|z|^{2n_c}}{n_c!(2n_c+1)} \leq \varepsilon.$$

and then add up the first  $n_c$  terms of (1). However,  $n_c$  depends on the magnitude of  $z$ , and this is not good for big  $|z|$ .

- Abramowitz and Stegun gives a series expansion whose accuracy does not depend on  $|z|$ :

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{erf}(x + iy) &= \operatorname{erf}(x) + \frac{e^{-x^2}(1 - e^{-2ixy})}{2\pi x} \\ &\quad + \frac{e^{-x^2}}{2\pi} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{e^{-n^2/4}}{n^2/4 + x^2} [2x - e^{-2ixy}(2x \cosh(ny) - in \sinh(ny))] + \epsilon(x, y) \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

where  $|\epsilon(x, y)| \approx 10^{-16} |\operatorname{erf}(x + iy)|$ .

- Leutenegger proposes breaking (2) — without the  $\epsilon(x, y)$  term — into 5 constituent functions:

$$\operatorname{erf}(x + iy) \approx \operatorname{erf}(x) + E(x, y) + F(x, y) - e^{-2ixy}(G(x, y) + H(x, y))$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} E(x, y) &= \frac{e^{x^2}(1 - e^{-2ixy})}{2\pi x} \\ F(x, y) &= \frac{xe^{-x^2}}{\pi} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{e^{-n^2/4}}{n^2/4 + x^2}. \end{aligned}$$

The last two functions come from the derivation:

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{e^{-x^2}}{2\pi} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{e^{-n^2/4}}{n^2/4 + x^2} [-e^{-2ixy}(2x \cosh(ny) - in \sinh(ny))] \\ &= -e^{-2ixy} \left( \frac{e^{-x^2}}{2\pi} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{e^{-n^2/4}}{n^2/4 + x^2} \left( 2x \frac{e^{ny} + e^{-ny}}{2} - in \frac{e^{ny} - e^{-ny}}{2} \right) \right) \\ &= -e^{-2ixy} \left( \frac{e^{-x^2}}{2\pi} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{e^{-n^2/4}}{n^2/4 + x^2} (e^{ny}(x - in/2) + e^{-ny}(x + in/2)) \right) \\ &= -e^{-2ixy} \left( \frac{e^{-x^2}}{2\pi} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{e^{ny-n^2/4}}{n^2/4 + x^2} (x - in/2) + \frac{e^{-x^2}}{2\pi} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{e^{-ny-n^2/4}}{n^2/4 + x^2} (x + in/2) \right). \end{aligned}$$

So, we set

$$\begin{aligned} G(x, y) &= \frac{e^{-x^2}}{2\pi} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{e^{ny-n^2/4}}{n^2/4 + x^2} (x - in/2), \text{ and} \\ H(x, y) &= \frac{e^{-x^2}}{2\pi} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{e^{-ny-n^2/4}}{n^2/4 + x^2} (x + in/2). \end{aligned}$$

- We now seek to evaluate  $E(x, y)$ ,  $F(x, y)$ ,  $G(x, y)$ , and  $H(x, y)$  as accurately as possible relative to a floating point number representation. We make the following assumption about it:
  - Let  $\varepsilon$  be the *unit in the last place* (ulp) of the system.
  - Let  $\xi$  be a positive number such that, if  $|x| < \xi$ , then  $x$  underflows to 0.
  - Let  $\Xi$  be a positive number such that, if  $|x| > \Xi$ , then  $x$  overflows to  $\pm\infty$ .
- $E(x, y)$  will underflow if  $|x| \geq \sqrt{-\ln(\pi\xi)}$ , so there's no need to evaluate it in this case.
- For  $F(x, y)$ , Leutenegger proposes the following criteria:
  - Skip the evaluation if  $|x| \geq \sqrt{-\ln(\pi\xi) - 1/4}$  because the term will underflow.

- Otherwise, evaluate the sum up to  $n \approx \sqrt{1 - 4 \ln \varepsilon}$ .
- Let us define the number of terms we need to evaluate the sum as  $N(\varepsilon) = \sqrt{1 - 4 \ln \varepsilon}$ .
- For  $G(x, y)$  and  $H(x, y)$ , we now assume that  $y > 0$  as we can use the rule  $\operatorname{erf}(z^*) = \operatorname{erf}(z)^*$  otherwise.
- Given that  $y > 0$ , here are the criteria for evaluating  $H(x, y)$ :
  - Skip the evaluation if  $|y| \geq \sqrt{-\ln \varepsilon}$  because  $\varepsilon |G(x, y)| \geq |H(x, y)|$ .
  - Otherwise, evaluate the sum up to  $n \approx N(\varepsilon)$ .
- Finally, here are the criteria for evaluating  $G(x, y)$  given that  $y > 0$ :
  - Evaluate the sum only if

$$\ln \xi \leq y^2 - x^2 - \frac{1}{2} \ln(y^2 + x^2) - \ln(2\pi) \leq \ln \Xi.$$

If the lower equality is violated, then  $G(x, y)$  underflows and we can skip the evaluation.

If the upper inequality is violated, then  $G(x, y)$  will overflow, and we can set the whole function equal to  $\infty - i\infty$

- Otherwise, evaluate the sum from  $n = \max\{1, \lfloor 2y - N(\varepsilon) \rfloor\}$  to  $n = \lceil 2y + N(\varepsilon) \rceil$ .
- If the evaluation is done with the IEEE `double`, we have that  $\varepsilon = 2^{-53}$ ,  $\xi = 2^{-1022}$ , and  $\Xi = (1 - \varepsilon)2^{1024}$ . We also have that:
  - $N(\varepsilon) \leq 12.2$ .
  - As a result, no more than 13 terms are sufficient to evaluate  $F(x, y)$  and  $H(x, y)$ .
  - Also, no more than 27 terms are sufficient to evaluate  $G(x, y)$  if  $|y| < |x|$ .
  - If  $|y| < |x|$ , the constituent functions underflow to zero if  $|x| > 26.6$ .
  - We have that, if  $|y| > |x|$  and

$$|y| > \sqrt{\ln \Xi + \log(2\pi) + x^2 + \frac{1}{2} \log(2x^2)} \approx \sqrt{712 + x^2 + \ln x},$$

then there's a good chance that  $G(x, y)$  overflows.

## References

- [1] Milton Abramowitz and Irene A. Stegun. *Handbook of Mathematical Functions with Formulas, Graphs, and Mathematical Tables*. Dover, New York, ninth dover printing, tenth gpo printing edition, 1964.
- [2] Marcel Leutenegger. Error function of complex numbers. <http://www.mathworks.com/matlabcentral/fileexchange/18312>, 2008.