

# $G_0W_0$ for Molecules

Thesis by  
Patryk Kozłowski

In Partial Fulfillment of the Requirements for the  
Degree of  
Bachelor of Science in Chemistry

The Caltech logo, featuring the word "Caltech" in a bold, orange, sans-serif font.

CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY  
Pasadena, California

2024  
Defended [Exact Date]

© 2024

Patryk Kozłowski  
ORCID: 0009-0000-6402-4261

All rights reserved

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

[Add acknowledgements here. If you do not wish to add any to your thesis, you may simply add a blank titled Acknowledgements page.]

## ABSTRACT

[This abstract must provide a succinct and informative condensation of your work. Candidates are welcome to prepare a lengthier abstract for inclusion in the dissertation, and provide a shorter one in the CaltechTHESIS record.]

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

Acknowledgements . . . . .	iii
Abstract . . . . .	iv
Table of Contents . . . . .	v
List of Illustrations . . . . .	vi
List of Tables . . . . .	vii
Chapter I: Nomenclature . . . . .	1
Chapter II: Motivation . . . . .	2
Chapter III: Theoretical Background . . . . .	4
3.1 Mean Field Methods . . . . .	4
3.2 Many-body perturbation theory . . . . .	5
Chapter IV: $G_0W_0$ Procedure . . . . .	6
4.1 Iterative equation . . . . .	6
4.2 Correlation Self-Energy . . . . .	9
4.3 Random Phase Approximation . . . . .	9
Chapter V: Linearized $G_0W_0$ Density Matrix . . . . .	11
Chapter VI: This is the Sixth Chapter . . . . .	14
Chapter VII: This is the Seventh Chapter . . . . .	15
Chapter VIII: This is the Eighth Chapter . . . . .	16
Bibliography . . . . .	17
Appendix A: Symmetric formulation of the dRPA . . . . .	18
Appendix B: $G_0W_0$ Tests of my implementations of the dRPA and dTDA against PySCF . . . . .	20
Appendix C: Derivation of the linearized $G_0W_0$ density matrix . . . . .	21
C.1 Fully occupied block . . . . .	23
C.2 Fully Virtual Block . . . . .	25
C.3 Mixed Block . . . . .	27

## LIST OF ILLUSTRATIONS

<i>Number</i>	<i>Page</i>
2.1 Difference in the HOMO energy for the water molecule with different bases (cc-pVDZ, etc.) for $G_0W_0$ , and DFT, both starting from the PBE functional . . . . .	3
5.1 Natural occupations of the HOMO (State 1) and LUMO (State 2) of $H_2$ along the dissociation coordinate . . . . .	12
5.2 MO diagram of $H_2$ at the equilibrium bond distance. Notice that the HOMO is fully occupied with 2 electrons, while the LUMO is unoccupied. Figure from Bruneval [9] . . . . .	13

## LIST OF TABLES

<i>Number</i>	<i>Page</i>
B.1 Energy deviations (in eV) for my implementation of exact $G_0W_0$ with dTDA and dRPA for different molecules across a range of orbitals versus the existing implementation in PySCF. . . . .	20
C.1 Summary of Poles and their Residues . . . . .	24
C.2 Summary of Poles and their Residues . . . . .	26
C.3 Summary of Poles and their Residues . . . . .	28

# Chapter 1

## NOMENCLATURE

This uses the restricted Hartree-Fock formalism, meaning that two electrons with opposite spin occupy each spatial orbital.

Symbol	Description
$i, j, k, l$	Occupied orbital indices
$a, b, c, d$	Virtual orbital indices
$p, q, r, s$	General MO indices
$\mu, \nu, \lambda, \sigma$	AO indices
$(pq rs) = \int \int \psi_p^*(\mathbf{r}_1) \psi_q(\mathbf{r}_1) \frac{1}{r_{12}} \psi_r^*(\mathbf{r}_2) \psi_s(\mathbf{r}_2) d\mathbf{r}_1 d\mathbf{r}_2$	Two-electron integrals
$(pq  rs) = (pq rs) - (ps rq)$	Antisymmetrized two-electron integrals

All calculations have been done using the PySCF package.<sup>1</sup> The code for this project can be found at [https://github.com/pkozlows/gw\\_senior\\_thesis/tree/master](https://github.com/pkozlows/gw_senior_thesis/tree/master).



## Chapter 2

### MOTIVATION

The formalism of many-body perturbation theory (MBPT) provides corrections to a mean-field description such as that given by Hartree-Fock or density functional theory (DFT). The former method fails to treat the correlation between electrons with opposite spin, and the latter one treats it in an average way. DFT is often used for systems of large size, as it is fairly accurate and computationally cheap. However, DFT's reliance on empirically based functionals in some cases gives rise to the notorious self-interaction error; because one is considering an electron in the average field of the electrons in the system, you can have an electron interacting with itself. In practice, this can potentially lead to a variety of issues, including the underestimation of surface stability (overestimation of surface energies) relevant in surface science studies.<sup>2</sup> To remedy this problem, normally one would fall back onto the wave function-based MBPT methods, such as coupled cluster theory (CC) and Moller-Plesset perturbation theory to 2nd order (MP2). However, their computational scaling is steep for larger systems, such as periodic ones.<sup>3</sup> Because of this issue, such perturbative methods often are not a better option than the field-standard DFT in predicting the properties of materials, as I have learned in a previous study.<sup>4</sup> Therefore, in the quantum chemistry community, there has been an interest in applying Green's function MBPT methods, within the  $GW$  approximation, to such systems, which has shown to give accurate corrections to, for example, band gaps, on top of a prior (DFT) mean-field calculation, at a cheap computational cost.<sup>5</sup> This is the motivation for my study of the  $G_0W_0$  method.

Figure 2.1 quantifies the potential of Green's function methods, such as  $G_0W_0$ . As can be seen,  $G_0W_0$  lowers the orbital energy and, thus, captures more of the electronic correlation, as desired.




Figure 2.1: Difference in the HOMO energy for the water molecule with different bases (cc-pVDZ, etc.) for  $G_0W_0$ , and DFT, both starting from the PBE functional

## Chapter 3

### THEORETICAL BACKGROUND

We begin by writing out the Schrödinger equation for the  $N$ -electron system in a molecular field.

$$\hat{H}\Psi = E\Psi \quad (3.1)$$

with

$$\hat{H} = \sum_{i=1}^N \left( -\frac{1}{2} \nabla_i^2 \right) - \sum_{i=1}^N \sum_{\alpha} \frac{Z_{\alpha}}{r_{i\alpha}} + \sum_{i<j}^N \frac{1}{r_{ij}} \quad (3.2)$$

We note that we do not include the potential energy term associated with the nuclear-nuclear repulsion, as we are working in the Born-Oppenheimer approximation. This can be succinctly written as the sum of a kinetic energy term with a nuclear-electronic attraction term and an electron-electron repulsion term

$$\hat{H} = \hat{T} + \hat{V}_{ne} + \hat{V}_{ee} \quad (3.3)$$

The objective of many years of research in quantum chemistry has been solving for the  $V_{ee}$  term. Now, I will introduce some of the mean field methods that have been classically used to tackle this problem at a cheap computational cost.

### 3.1 Mean Field Methods

#### Hartree-Fock

In the restricted Hartree-Fock formalism, the  $V_{ee}$  is given by

$$V_{ee} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_i^{\text{occ}} \sum_j^{\text{occ}} 2(ii|jj) - (ij|ji) \quad (3.4)$$

The first term is the Coulomb term and the second term is an exchange term. We choose to make the following presentation in the restricted Hartree-Fock formalism, but considering spin here is important. In the unrestricted Hartree-Fock formalism, this would be given by

$$V_{ee} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\underline{i}}^{\text{occ}} \sum_{\underline{j}}^{\text{occ}} \left( (\underline{ii}|\underline{jj}) - (\underline{ij}|\underline{ji}) \right) \quad (3.5)$$

Where the underline denotes that these are spin orbitals; we have not performed the summation over all possible spins yet. The Hartree-Fock method fails to take

into account the exchange term in the unrestricted case; the integral vanishes when the spins of  $i$  and  $j$  are different. Physically, this means that there is no energetic stabilization for two electrons with different spins to occupy the same spatial index, whereas there is one when the spins are parallel. This was what was meant earlier by the statement that Hartree-Fock does not treat electron correlation.<sup>6</sup>

### Density Functional Theory (DFT)

The central quantity in DFT is the electron density, as represented by a density matrix. The method is fairly black box, and its intricate details will not be covered here (for further reading see Parr & Weitao [7]), but it is important to understand that it treats the electron correlation through an exchange-correlation functional, which in principle has an exact form, but in practice is approximated as semi-empirical, which leads to issues in accurately describing the electron correlation from an ab-initio perspective.

What is important to understand is that both of these mean field methods yield a reasonable first guess at molecular orbital energies  $\epsilon_p$  and coefficients  $C_{\mu p}$ , which we correct with MBPT methods.

### 3.2 Many-body perturbation theory

There are many different MBPT methods, but they all have the same purpose of recovering a portion of the electron correlation missed by these mean field methods. For example, Hartree-Fock is variational, meaning that its energy  $E_{HF}$  will always be greater or equal to the true energy of the system  $E_0$ . For HF, we define the difference between these two quantities as the correlation energy

$$E_{\text{corr}} \equiv E_0 - E_{HF} \quad (3.6)$$

The other mean field method that is often used is DFT, but it is not variational, so there is not such a simple interpretation of  $E_{\text{corr}}$  in this case, but the principle is similar. We will be focusing on recovering electron correlation with the  $G_0W_0$  method, which is a Green's function-based MBPT method.

## Chapter 4

### $G_0W_0$ PROCEDURE

#### 4.1 Iterative equation

The procedure that we used to compute quasiparticle energies, which are interpreted as effective molecular orbital energies, is given by

$$\delta_{pq} F_{pq}^{HF} [\gamma^{DFT}] + \Sigma^{corr}(\epsilon_p^{QP}) = \epsilon_p^{QP}. \quad (4.1)$$

We explain the notation starting from left to right. The first term corresponds to taking the diagonal of the Hartree-Fock matrix  $F_{pq}^{HF}$  evaluated at a given electron density  $\gamma$ . These electron densities are obtained from a previous mean-field calculation, either  $\gamma_{DFT}$  or  $\gamma_{HF}$ . The one-electron reduced density matrix is used to express the electron density here. The second term evaluates  $\Sigma^{corr}$  for the  $\epsilon_p^{QP}$  determined in the previous iteration. The right side of the equality gives the updated  $\epsilon_p^{QP}$ .

Equation 4.1 is iterated until self-consistency. We start with an initial guess for  $\epsilon_p^{QP}$ , which is given by the mean-field orbital energy  $\epsilon_p$ . This is used in the first iteration to solve for the right-hand side  $\epsilon_p^{QP}$  of Equation 4.1. In the next iteration, we use the previously obtained  $\epsilon_p^{QP}$  to determine  $\Sigma^{corr}$ . This process is repeated until we reach a convergence threshold for  $\epsilon_p^{QP}$ . One can also use the Newton-Raphson method to solve the iterative equation for  $\epsilon_p^{QP}$ .

#### The Fock Matrix

In the basis of atomic orbitals, the HF Fock matrix is

$$F_{\mu\nu}^{HF} = h_{\mu\nu} + \sum_{\lambda\sigma} P_{\lambda\sigma} (\mu\nu|\lambda\sigma) - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\lambda\sigma} P_{\lambda\sigma} (\mu\lambda|\nu\sigma) \quad (4.2)$$

where  $h_{\mu\nu}$  is the one-electron part of the Hamiltonian,  $P_{\lambda\sigma}$  is the one-electron reduced density matrix, and  $(\mu\nu|\lambda\sigma)$  is a two-electron integral.<sup>6</sup> A useful identity for  $P_{\lambda\sigma}$  in terms of the MO coefficients from the mean-field calculation is:

$$P_{\mu\nu} = 2 \sum_{i=1}^{N/2} C_{\mu i} C_{\nu i}^* \quad (4.3)$$

We note that the sum runs only over the  $N/2$  occupied *spatial* orbitals. Equation 4.2 is the form for the HF Fock matrix, and not the DFT Fock matrix. In the canonical

HF MO basis,  $F_{\mu\nu}^{HF}$  merely has the HF MO energies placed on the diagonal. We transform the Fock matrix into the MO basis with

$$F_{pq} = \sum_{\mu} \sum_{\nu} C_{\mu p}^* F_{\mu\nu} C_{\nu q} \quad (4.4)$$

where  $C$  is the matrix of MO coefficients.

### Correlation-Self Energy

$\Sigma^{corr}$  is the second term in Equation 4.1 and is the central quantity in the  $GW$  method. It is formed from the inputted Green's function  $G$  and the screened Coulomb potential  $W$  and recovers a portion of the  $E_{corr}$  mentioned earlier.  $\Sigma^{corr}$  is dynamic, as opposed to the previous Fock term that was discussed, as is updated with a new  $\varepsilon_p^{QP}$  in each iteration. In the case of the  $G_0W_0$  approximation, we use the common approximation, considering only the diagonal element of  $\Sigma^{corr}$  corresponding to the orbital with index  $p$ . This function is evaluated at the  $\varepsilon_p^{QP}$  just obtained in the previous iteration. To summarize, we are actually interested in  $\Sigma_{pp}^{corr}(\varepsilon_p^{QP})$ . We will go into greater detail about the form of  $\Sigma^{corr}$  in the next chapter.

### Updated $\varepsilon_p^{QP}$


This is the right side, or the solution, of Equation 4.1.

### Graphical solution

We are able to check Equation 4.1 in the HF case by plotting  $\Sigma^{corr}$  as a function of the input frequency, which takes on the possible values for  $\epsilon_p^{QP}$ . Since  $F_{pq}^{HF}$  is diagonal in the canonical HF MO basis, Equation 4.1 can be reformulated as

$$\epsilon_p^{HF} + \Sigma_{pp}^{corr}(\omega) = \omega \rightarrow \Sigma_{pp}^{corr}(\omega) = \omega - \epsilon_p^{HF} \quad (4.5)$$

Essentially, the line at  $\omega - \epsilon_p^{HF}$  should, and does, intersect with  $\Sigma^{corr}$  at the same  $\epsilon_p^{QP}$  that we get from our iterative procedure, namely at the  $\omega = -12.18 \text{ eV}$ . This is a useful check to see if the self-energy, which we will derive in the next chapter, is being computed correctly.



Also, at around  $\omega = -40 \text{ eV}$ , one can observe a pole structure. This would pose problems for the convergence of my iterative procedure Equation 4.1 if the  $\epsilon_p^{QP}$  that I was looking for was close to this  $\omega$  value, but luckily  $-12.18 \text{ eV}$  is far enough away from this pole at  $-40 \text{ eV}$ . Additional tests for a range of molecules and MOs with my implementation are reported in B.

## 4.2 Correlation Self-Energy

$$\Sigma_{pp}^{\text{corr}}(\omega) = \sum_{\mu}^{\text{RPA}} \left( \sum_i^{\text{occupied}} \frac{\mathbf{V}_{pi}^{\mu} \mathbf{V}_{ip}^{\mu}}{\omega - (\epsilon_i - \Omega_{\mu})} + \sum_a^{\text{virtual}} \frac{\mathbf{V}_{pa}^{\mu} \mathbf{V}_{ap}^{\mu}}{\omega - (\epsilon_a + \Omega_{\mu})} \right) \quad (4.6)$$

This is the working equation for the diagonal of the correlation self-energy for a given MO. The  $\mathbf{V}^{\mu}$  and  $\Omega_{\mu}$  are the excitation vectors and energies, respectively, from a previous RPA calculation.  $\omega$  is my input frequency and the  $\epsilon$  are the orbital energies from my previous mean-field calculation.

## 4.3 Random Phase Approximation

The RPA is a linear response theory that is used to compute the excitation energies and vectors. The working matrix equation is given by<sup>8</sup>:

$$\begin{bmatrix} A & B \\ -B & -A \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} X \\ Y \end{bmatrix} = \omega \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} X \\ Y \end{bmatrix} \quad (4.7)$$

where  $A$  is

$$\mathbf{A}_{ia,jb} = \delta_{ij} \delta_{ab} (\epsilon_a - \epsilon_i) + 2(ia||jb) \quad (4.8)$$

and  $B$  is

$$\mathbf{B}_{ia,jb} = 2(ia||jb) \quad (4.9)$$

A symmetric version of the dRPA can also be used and is derived in A as suggested in the paper.

The excitation vectors  $\mathbf{V}^{\mu}$  are taken by considering a contraction of two tensors. First, we consider the sum of  $\mathbf{X}$  and  $\mathbf{Y}$  at the same excitation energy  $\mu$ :  $\mathbf{Z}_{i,a,\mu} = \mathbf{X}_{i,a,\mu} + \mathbf{Y}_{i,a,\mu}$ . Then we contract this with the two-electron integrals:

$$\mathbf{W}_{p,q,i,a} = \sqrt{2} \sum_{p,q,i,a} (pq||ia) \quad (4.10)$$

This factor of  $\sqrt{2}$  comes from the spin integration of the restricted Hartree-Fock formalism. We defined a combined occupied-virtual index  $\nu$ , so:  $\mathbf{Z}_{i,a,\mu} \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}_{\nu,\mu}$  and  $\mathbf{W}_{p,q,i,a} \rightarrow \mathbf{W}_{p,q,\nu}$ .

And then we form the excitation vector from:

$$\mathbf{V}_{pq}^{\mu} = \sum_{\nu} \mathbf{W}_{p,q,\nu} \mathbf{Z}_{\nu,\mu} \quad (4.11)$$



### Tamm-Dancoff Approximation

In this method, we neglect the  $\mathbf{B}$  matrix of the RPA equation. So the eigenvalue equation becomes

$$\mathbf{A}\mathbf{X} = \omega\mathbf{X} \quad (4.12)$$

where we still have:

$$\mathbf{A}_{ia,jb} = \delta_{ij}\delta_{ab}(\varepsilon_a - \varepsilon_i) + 2(ia||jb) \quad (4.13)$$

And then we follow the same procedure as in the RPA to get  $\mathbf{V}_{pq}^\mu$ , where now we have  $\mathbf{Z}_{\nu,\mu} = \mathbf{X}_{\nu,\mu}$ .

### Direct approximation

Everywhere in the code, we consider the direct approximation, which just means that all instances of anti-symmetrized two-electron integrals are replaced by their non-symmetrized counterparts. In Equation 4.8, Equation 4.9, and Equation 4.13,  $(ia||jb) \rightarrow (ia|jb)$ . In the former case it was called the *direct* Random Phase Approximation (dRPA) and in the latter case it was called the *direct* Tamm-Dancoff Approximation (dTDA).

## Chapter 5

### LINEARIZED $G_0W_0$ DENSITY MATRIX

#### Implementation

These are the working equations for the linearized  $G_0W_0$  Density Matrix that were first reported in<sup>9</sup> and are derived in C. First, we consider the fully occupied block:

$$\gamma_{ij}^{GW} = 2\delta_{ij} - 2 \sum_{a\mu} \frac{\mathbf{V}_{ia}^\mu \mathbf{V}_{ja}^\mu}{(\epsilon_i - \epsilon_a - \Omega_\mu)(\epsilon_j - \epsilon_a - \Omega_\mu)} \quad (5.1)$$

where the  $\Omega_\mu$  are the excitation energies and the  $\mathbf{V}^\mu$  are the excitation vectors. The sum runs over all virtual orbitals and excitation energies. The  $\epsilon$  are the orbital energies from the prior mean-field calculation. Next, we have the virtual-virtual block:

$$\gamma_{ab}^{GW} = -2 \sum_{i\mu} \frac{\mathbf{V}_{ai}^\mu \mathbf{V}_{bi}^\mu}{(\epsilon_i - \epsilon_a - \Omega_\mu)(\epsilon_i - \epsilon_b - \Omega_\mu)} \quad (5.2)$$

Finally, we have the mixed block:

$$\gamma_{ib}^{GW} = \frac{2}{\epsilon_i - \epsilon_b} \left[ \sum_{a\mu} \frac{\mathbf{V}_{ia}^\mu \mathbf{V}_{ba}^\mu}{\epsilon_i - \epsilon_a - \Omega_\mu} - \sum_{j\mu} \frac{\mathbf{V}_{ij}^\mu \mathbf{V}_{bj}^\mu}{\epsilon_j - \epsilon_b - \Omega_\mu} \right] \quad (5.3)$$

This all contributes to the form of the density matrix as:

$$2 \begin{pmatrix} \gamma_{ij}^{GW} & \gamma_{ib}^{GW} \\ \gamma_{bi}^{GW} & \gamma_{ab}^{GW} \end{pmatrix} \quad (5.4)$$

Where  $\gamma_{bi}^{GW}$  is simply the transpose of  $\gamma_{ib}^{GW}$ , since all elements of this matrix are real. Therefore, this density matrix is Hermitian. The factor of 2 comes from the fact that we sum over both spins in the restricted Hartree-Fock formalism.

### Plotting natural occupations

The natural occupations is found by diagonalizing the density matrix. They are interpreted as being the number of electrons in a given orbital.<sup>6</sup> Here we considered the one-electron density matrix from multiple methods: We considered restricted Hartree-Fock, which contains no correlation, and Full Configuration Interaction (FCI), which contains the exact correlation. As can be seen in Figure 5.1, our implementation of the Linearized  $G_0W_0$  Density Matrix in the direct Random Phase Approximation (dRPA) and direct Tamm-Dancoff Approximation (dTDA) gives a portion of this correlation. It is interesting to see that the dTDA contains more correlation than the dRPA, as the former is a subset of the latter, and so the latter should recover more correlation. When  $H_2$  is at its equilibrium distance at a




Figure 5.1: Natural occupations of the HOMO (State 1) and LUMO (State 2) of  $H_2$  along the dissociation coordinate

low bond distance, we see in Figure 5.1 that the HOMO is fully occupied with 2 electrons, while the LUMO is unoccupied. This situation is represented by the simple MO diagram in Figure 5.2. As the molecule dissociates, the occupations for the restricted Hartree-Fock method do not change at all, while FCI, containing the exact correlation, gives the expected result of the HOMO and LUMO both having

occupations of 1 electron. The dRPA and dTDA fall somewhere in between these two extremes.




Figure 5.2: MO diagram of  $H_2$  at the equilibrium bond distance. Notice that the HOMO is fully occupied with 2 electrons, while the LUMO is unoccupied. Figure from Bruneval [9]

*Chapter 6*

THIS IS THE SIXTH CHAPTER

*Chapter 7*

THIS IS THE SEVENTH CHAPTER

*Chapter 8*

THIS IS THE EIGHTH CHAPTER

## BIBLIOGRAPHY

1. Sun, Q. *et al.* Recent developments in the PySCF program package. *The Journal of Chemical Physics* **153**, 024109. ISSN: 0021-9606. <https://doi.org/10.1063/5.0006074> (2024) (July 2020).
2. Schimka, L. *et al.* Accurate surface and adsorption energies from many-body perturbation theory. en. *Nature Materials* **9**. Publisher: Nature Publishing Group, 741–744. ISSN: 1476-4660. <https://www.nature.com/articles/nmat2806> (2024) (Sept. 2010).
3. McClain, J., Sun, Q., Chan, G. K.-L. & Berkelbach, T. C. Gaussian-Based Coupled-Cluster Theory for the Ground-State and Band Structure of Solids. *Journal of Chemical Theory and Computation* **13**. Publisher: American Chemical Society, 1209–1218. ISSN: 1549-9618. <https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jctc.7b00049> (2024) (Mar. 2017).
4. Kozłowski, P. Elucidating Catalysis with the “Gold Standard” of Quantum Chemistry. en. *CURJ*. <https://curj.caltech.edu/2021/06/29/elucidating-catalysis-with-the-gold-standard-of-quantum-chemistry/> (2024) (June 2021).
5. *Frontiers | The GW Compendium: A Practical Guide to Theoretical Photoemission Spectroscopy* <https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fchem.2019.00377/full> (2024).
6. Szabo, A. & Ostlund, N. S. *Modern Quantum Chemistry: Introduction to Advanced Electronic Structure Theory* en. Google-Books-ID: KQ3DAgAAQBAJ. ISBN: 978-0-486-13459-8 (Courier Corporation, June 2012).
7. Parr, R. G. & Weitao, Y. *Density-Functional Theory of Atoms and Molecules* en. Google-Books-ID: mGOpScSIwU4C. ISBN: 978-0-19-535773-8 (Oxford University Press, May 1994).
8. Dreuw, A. & Head-Gordon, M. Single-Reference ab Initio Methods for the Calculation of Excited States of Large Molecules. *Chemical Reviews* **105**. Publisher: American Chemical Society, 4009–4037. ISSN: 0009-2665. <https://doi.org/10.1021/cr0505627> (2024) (Nov. 2005).
9. Bruneval, F. Assessment of the Linearized GW Density Matrix for Molecules. *Journal of Chemical Theory and Computation* **15**. Publisher: American Chemical Society, 4069–4078. ISSN: 1549-9618. <https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jctc.9b00333> (2024) (July 2019).



## Appendix A

### SYMMETRIC FORMULATION OF THE DRPA

We can work to simplify this eigenvalue problem. Since we know that the negative and positive eigenvalues for the RPA are the same in magnitude, we can simplify the matrix equation to:

$$\begin{bmatrix} A & B \\ -B & -A \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} X \\ Y \end{bmatrix} = \omega \begin{bmatrix} X \\ Y \end{bmatrix} \quad (\text{A.1})$$

This suggests breaking down the problem into a set of linear equations:

$$AX + BY = \omega X \quad (\text{A.2})$$

$$-BX - AY = \omega Y \quad (\text{A.3})$$

which can be simplified by the addition:

$$(A - B)(X - Y) = \omega(X + Y) \quad (\text{A.4})$$

which means:

$$(X + Y) = \omega^{-1}(A - B)(X - Y) \quad (\text{A.5})$$

Doing the subtraction instead gives:

$$(A + B)(X + Y) = \omega(X - Y) \quad (\text{A.6})$$

Similarly, we can isolate:

$$(X - Y) = \omega^{-1}(A + B)(X + Y) \quad (\text{A.7})$$

Equations A.4 and A.6 can be combined to get, respectively:

$$\boxed{\omega = (X - Y)^\dagger (A - B)(X - Y)} \quad (\text{A.8})$$

and

$$\boxed{\omega = (X + Y)^\dagger (A + B)(X + Y)} \quad (\text{A.9})$$

Substituting in for  $X + Y$  from A.5 into equation A.6:

$$\omega^{-1}(A + B)(A - B)(X - Y) = \omega(X - Y) \quad (\text{A.10})$$

Multiplication by  $\omega$  gives:

$$(A + B)(A - B)(X - Y) = \omega^2(X - Y) \quad (\text{A.11})$$

Now, we plug the definition in A.7 for the  $(X - Y)$  terms:

$$(A + B)(A - B)\omega^{-1}(A + B)(X + Y) = \omega^2\omega^{-1}(A + B)(X + Y) \quad (\text{A.12})$$

Multiplication through by  $\omega$  gives and  $(A + B)^{-1}$  gives:

$$(A - B)(A + B)(X + Y) = \omega^2(X + Y) \quad (\text{A.13})$$

At this point we want to define  $T = (A - B)^{-\frac{1}{2}}(X + Y)$ , so that we can write:

$$(A - B)(A + B)(A - B)^{\frac{1}{2}}T = \omega^2(A - B)^{\frac{1}{2}}T \quad (\text{A.14})$$

Dividing through by  $(A - B)^{\frac{1}{2}}$  gives:

$$(A - B)^{\frac{1}{2}}(A + B)(A - B)^{\frac{1}{2}}T = \omega^2T \quad (\text{A.15})$$

Once the eigenvalue problem is solved, we need to normalize the vectors  $T$ . We see the relation:

$$(X + Y) = (A - B)^{\frac{1}{2}}T \quad (\text{A.16})$$

And then for normalization, we need:

$$(X - Y)^{\dagger}(X + Y) = 1 \quad (\text{A.17})$$

So we need:

$$(X - Y) = (A - B)^{-1}(X + Y)\omega \quad (\text{A.18})$$

## Appendix B

### $G_0W_0$ TESTS OF MY IMPLEMENTATIONS OF THE DRPA AND DTDA AGAINST PYSCF

Table B.1: Energy deviations (in eV) for my implementation of exact  $G_0W_0$  with dTDA and dRPA for different molecules across a range of orbitals versus the existing implementation in PySCF.

Orbital	$H_2O$		$HCl$		$NH_3$		$LiH$		$CO$	
	dTDA	dRPA	dTDA	dRPA	dTDA	dRPA	dTDA	dRPA	dTDA	dRPA
HOMO - 2	1.78e-15	5.33e-15	1.42e-14	2.13e-12	5.33e-15	1.42e-14	4.21e-10	3.55e-14	1.73e-10	0.00477
HOMO - 1	2.31e-14	1.07e-13	1.30e-12	5.24e-13	3.55e-15	2.33e-10	4.55e-12	2.84e-14	1.73e-10	0.00476
HOMO	4.44e-15	2.84e-13	1.48e-12	5.71e-13	1.67e-11	1.30e-12	1.33e-15	1.96e-10	1.06e-12	2.84e-13
LUMO	3.43e-14	2.65e-14	4.44e-16	1.24e-12	8.60e-14	8.78e-14	1.11e-15	2.66e-15	2.22e-15	0.00679
LUMO + 1	2.14e-13	2.71e-14	2.22e-13	1.04e-10	4.40e-13	8.78e-14	1.12e-12	2.43e-14	3.11e-15	0.00678
LUMO + 2	4.07e-11	6.92e-10	7.11e-15	1.18e-10	3.15e-14	4.97e-14	1.12e-12	3.09e-14	3.99e-14	3.99e-14

The cc-pVDZ basis set was used for all calculations. The HF Fock matrix at  $\gamma^{HF}$  was considered with just the canonical HF MO energies on the diagonal. As can be seen, my deviations from PySCF are very minuscule with the exception of my dRPA calculation for  $CO$ . It is known that this molecule has a complicated electronic structure because the electron correlation changes the dipole moment in the molecule.

## Appendix C

### DERIVATION OF THE LINEARIZED $G_0W_0$ DENSITY MATRIX

We have the equation for the density matrix:

$$\gamma^\sigma(\mathbf{r}_1, \mathbf{r}_2) = \gamma_0^\sigma(\mathbf{r}_1, \mathbf{r}_2) - \frac{i}{2\pi} \int d\mathbf{r}_3 d\mathbf{r}_4 d\omega e^{i\omega\eta} G_0^\sigma(\mathbf{r}_1, \mathbf{r}_3, \omega) \Sigma_c^\sigma(\mathbf{r}_3, \mathbf{r}_4, \omega) G_0^\sigma(\mathbf{r}_4, \mathbf{r}_2, \omega) \quad (\text{C.1})$$

In order to simplify the integral, Let us consider

$$I = \int d\mathbf{r}_3 d\mathbf{r}_4 G_0^\sigma(\mathbf{r}_1, \mathbf{r}_3) \Sigma_c^\sigma(\mathbf{r}_3, \mathbf{r}_4) G_0^\sigma(\mathbf{r}_4, \mathbf{r}_2) \quad (\text{C.2})$$

The noninteracting Green's function is defined as:

$$G_0(\mathbf{r}_1, \mathbf{r}_2) = \sum_{pq} \phi_p^*(\mathbf{r}_1) G_{pq} \phi_q(\mathbf{r}_2) \quad (\text{C.3})$$

and likewise for the self-energy:

$$\Sigma_c(\mathbf{r}_1, \mathbf{r}_2) = \sum_{pq} \phi_p^*(\mathbf{r}_1) \Sigma_{cpq} \phi_q(\mathbf{r}_2) \quad (\text{C.4})$$

where  $G_{pq}$  and  $\Sigma_{pq}$  are the matrix elements of the noninteracting Green's function and the self-energy, respectively. We can rewrite the integral as:

$$I = \sum_{pq} \sum_{rs} \sum_{tu} \int d\mathbf{r}_3 d\mathbf{r}_4 \phi_p^*(\mathbf{r}_1) G_{pq} \phi_q(\mathbf{r}_3) \phi_r^*(\mathbf{r}_3) \Sigma_{rs} \phi_s(\mathbf{r}_4) \phi_t^*(\mathbf{r}_4) G_{tu} \phi_u(\mathbf{r}_2) \quad (\text{C.5})$$

We can simplify this expression by using the orthonormality of the basis functions:

$$I = \sum_{pq} \sum_r \sum_t \phi_p^*(\mathbf{r}_1) G_{pr} \phi_r(\mathbf{r}) \phi_r^*(\mathbf{r}) \Sigma_{rt} \phi_t(\mathbf{r}') \phi_t^*(\mathbf{r}') G_{tq} \phi_q(\mathbf{r}_2) \quad (\text{C.6})$$

We use this and then also rewrite equation C.1 in terms of the matrix elements of the density matrix using the following definition:

$$D_{pq\sigma} = \langle p\sigma | \gamma^\sigma | q\sigma \rangle \quad (\text{C.7})$$

By the derivation above, we can rewrite equation C.1 as:

$$D_{pq\sigma} = \langle p\sigma | \gamma_0^\sigma | q\sigma \rangle - \frac{i}{2\pi} \sum_r \sum_t \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\omega e^{i\omega\eta} \langle p\sigma | G_0^\sigma(\omega) | r\sigma \rangle \langle r\sigma | \Sigma_c^\sigma(\omega) | t\sigma \rangle \langle t\sigma | G_0^\sigma(\omega) | q\sigma \rangle \quad (\text{C.8})$$

Next, we plug in the following definitions into equation C.8:

$$G_{0pq}^\sigma = \sum_i \frac{\delta_{pq}\delta_{pi}}{\omega - \epsilon_{i\sigma} - i\eta} + \sum_a \frac{\delta_{pq}\delta_{pa}}{\omega - \epsilon_{a\sigma} + i\eta} \quad (\text{C.9})$$

and

$$\Sigma_{cpq}^\sigma(\omega) = \sum_{is} \frac{w_{pi\sigma}^s w_{qi\sigma}^s}{\omega - \epsilon_{i\sigma} + \Omega_s - i\eta} + \sum_{as} \frac{w_{pa\sigma}^s w_{qa\sigma}^s}{\omega - \epsilon_{a\sigma} - \Omega_s + i\eta} \quad (\text{C.10})$$

to get:

$$\begin{aligned} D_{pq\sigma} = \langle p\sigma | \gamma_0^\sigma | q\sigma \rangle - \frac{i}{2\pi} \sum_r \sum_t \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\omega e^{i\omega\eta} & \left( \sum_i \frac{\delta_{pr}\delta_{pi}}{\omega - \epsilon_{i\sigma} - i\eta} + \sum_a \frac{\delta_{pr}\delta_{pa}}{\omega - \epsilon_{a\sigma} + i\eta} \right) \\ & \left( \sum_{ks} \frac{w_{rk\sigma}^s w_{tk\sigma}^s}{\omega - \epsilon_{k\sigma} + \Omega_s - i\eta} + \sum_{cs} \frac{w_{rc\sigma}^s w_{tc\sigma}^s}{\omega - \epsilon_{c\sigma} - \Omega_s + i\eta} \right) \left( \sum_j \frac{\delta_{tq}\delta_{tj}}{\omega - \epsilon_{j\sigma} - i\eta} + \sum_b \frac{\delta_{tq}\delta_{tb}}{\omega - \epsilon_{b\sigma} + i\eta} \right) \end{aligned} \quad (\text{C.11})$$

Let us just distribute the integral, which technically spawns 8 terms. Also note that the delta functions will get rid of the sums over r and t:

$$\begin{aligned} I = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\omega e^{i\omega\eta} & \left( \sum_{ijks} \left( \frac{w_{ik\sigma}^s w_{jk\sigma}^s}{(\omega - \epsilon_{k\sigma} + \Omega_s - i\eta)(\omega - \epsilon_{i\sigma} - i\eta)(\omega - \epsilon_{j\sigma} - i\eta)} \right) \right. \\ & + \sum_{ibks} \left( \frac{w_{ik\sigma}^s w_{bk\sigma}^s}{(\omega - \epsilon_{k\sigma} + \Omega_s - i\eta)(\omega - \epsilon_{i\sigma} - i\eta)(\omega - \epsilon_{b\sigma} + i\eta)} \right) \\ & + \sum_{ijcs} \left( \frac{w_{ic\sigma}^s w_{jc\sigma}^s}{(\omega - \epsilon_{c\sigma} - \Omega_s + i\eta)(\omega - \epsilon_{i\sigma} - i\eta)(\omega - \epsilon_{j\sigma} - i\eta)} \right) \\ & + \sum_{ibcs} \left( \frac{w_{ic\sigma}^s w_{bc\sigma}^s}{(\omega - \epsilon_{c\sigma} - \Omega_s + i\eta)(\omega - \epsilon_{i\sigma} - i\eta)(\omega - \epsilon_{b\sigma} + i\eta)} \right) \\ & + \sum_{ajks} \left( \frac{w_{ak\sigma}^s w_{jk\sigma}^s}{(\omega - \epsilon_{k\sigma} + \Omega_s - i\eta)(\omega - \epsilon_{a\sigma} + i\eta)(\omega - \epsilon_{j\sigma} - i\eta)} \right) \\ & + \sum_{abks} \left( \frac{w_{ak\sigma}^s w_{bk\sigma}^s}{(\omega - \epsilon_{k\sigma} + \Omega_s - i\eta)(\omega - \epsilon_{a\sigma} + i\eta)(\omega - \epsilon_{b\sigma} + i\eta)} \right) \\ & + \sum_{ajcs} \left( \frac{w_{ac\sigma}^s w_{jc\sigma}^s}{(\omega - \epsilon_{c\sigma} - \Omega_s + i\eta)(\omega - \epsilon_{a\sigma} + i\eta)(\omega - \epsilon_{j\sigma} - i\eta)} \right) \\ & \left. + \sum_{abcs} \left( \frac{w_{ac\sigma}^s w_{bc\sigma}^s}{(\omega - \epsilon_{c\sigma} - \Omega_s + i\eta)(\omega - \epsilon_{a\sigma} + i\eta)(\omega - \epsilon_{b\sigma} + i\eta)} \right) \right) \end{aligned} \quad (\text{C.12})$$

At this point, we note the following relation between integrals  $\oint_{D_\pm} f(z) = \int_{-R}^R f(z) + \int_{C_{R\pm}} f(z)$ .  $D_\pm$  is a semicircular domain in either half of the complex plane,  $C_{R\pm}$  is

the semicircle in the upper or lower part of the complex plane, and  $R$  is the radius of the semicircle. We are able to take  $R \rightarrow \infty$  and since  $f(z) = e^{i\omega\eta}g(z)$ , where  $g(z)$  is analytic on  $D$  except for a finite number of poles, the integral over the semicircle will vanish by Jordan's lemma, leaving us with  $\int_{-R=-\infty}^{R=\infty} f(z) = \oint_{D_{\pm}} f(z)$ .

### C.1 Fully occupied block

The contribution over the fully occupied block of the density matrix will be given by the following two terms in equation C.12:

$$I_{ij} = \sum_{ks} w_{ik\sigma}^s w_{jk\sigma}^s \oint_{D_+} d\omega e^{i\omega\eta} \frac{1}{(\omega - \epsilon_{k\sigma} + \Omega_s - i\eta)(\omega - \epsilon_{i\sigma} - i\eta)(\omega - \epsilon_{j\sigma} - i\eta)} \\ + \sum_{cs} w_{ic\sigma}^s w_{jc\sigma}^s \oint_{D_+} d\omega e^{i\omega\eta} \frac{1}{(\omega - \epsilon_{c\sigma} - \Omega_s + i\eta)(\omega - \epsilon_{i\sigma} - i\eta)(\omega - \epsilon_{j\sigma} - i\eta)} \quad (\text{C.13})$$

Due to the contour  $D_+$  chosen for this case, we have poles for the first term at  $\omega_{11} = \epsilon_{k\sigma} - \Omega_s + i\eta$ ,  $\omega_{12} = \epsilon_{i\sigma} + i\eta$ , and  $\omega_{13} = \epsilon_{j\sigma} + i\eta$ . For such simple poles, the Cauchy residue theorem simplifies to:

$$\text{Res}_{\omega=\omega_0} f(\omega) = \phi(\omega_0) \quad (\text{C.14})$$

where  $\phi_{\omega_0}(\omega) = (\omega - \omega_0)f(\omega)$ . For the first of these integrals in the occupied block, we have:

$$f_1(\omega) = \frac{e^{i\omega\eta}}{(\omega - \epsilon_{k\sigma} + \Omega_s - i\eta)(\omega - \epsilon_{i\sigma} - i\eta)(\omega - \epsilon_{j\sigma} - i\eta)} \quad (\text{C.15})$$

So at the first pole, in the limit  $\eta \rightarrow 0$ , we get:

$$\phi_{\omega_{11}}(\epsilon_{k\sigma} - \Omega_s + i\eta) = \frac{1}{(\epsilon_{k\sigma} - \Omega_s - \epsilon_{i\sigma})(\epsilon_{k\sigma} - \Omega_s - \epsilon_{j\sigma})} \quad (\text{C.16})$$

For the other poles, the procedure is similar:

$$\phi_{\omega_{12}}(\epsilon_{i\sigma} + i\eta) = \frac{1}{(\epsilon_{i\sigma} - \epsilon_{k\sigma} + \Omega_s)(\epsilon_{i\sigma} - \epsilon_{j\sigma})} \quad (\text{C.17})$$

$$\phi_{\omega_{13}}(\epsilon_{j\sigma} + i\eta) = \frac{1}{(\epsilon_{j\sigma} - \epsilon_{k\sigma} + \Omega_s)(\epsilon_{j\sigma} - \epsilon_{i\sigma})} \quad (\text{C.18})$$

We move on to the second integral in the occupied block. It only has two poles in the fully occupied contour:  $\omega_{21} = \epsilon_{i\sigma} + i\eta$  and  $\omega_{22} = \epsilon_{j\sigma} + i\eta$ . We have  $f_2(\omega)$  as:

$$f_2(\omega) = \frac{e^{i\omega\eta}}{(\omega - \epsilon_{c\sigma} - \Omega_s + i\eta)(\omega - \epsilon_{i\sigma} - i\eta)(\omega - \epsilon_{j\sigma} - i\eta)} \quad (\text{C.19})$$

So  $\phi_{\omega_{21}}(\omega_{21})$  is:

$$\phi_{\omega_{21}}(\epsilon_{i\sigma} + i\eta) = \frac{1}{(\epsilon_{i\sigma} - \epsilon_{c\sigma} - \Omega_s)(\epsilon_{i\sigma} - \epsilon_{j\sigma})} \quad (\text{C.20})$$

Now we consider the second pole at  $\omega_{22} = \epsilon_{j\sigma} + i\eta$

$$\phi_{\omega_{22}}(\epsilon_{j\sigma} + i\eta) = \frac{1}{(\epsilon_{j\sigma} - \epsilon_{c\sigma} - \Omega_s)(\epsilon_{j\sigma} - \epsilon_{i\sigma})} \quad (\text{C.21})$$

We summarize the results in a table C.1:

Adding the two terms together, we get:

Table C.1: Summary of Poles and their Residues

Pole Notation	Position $\omega_0$	Residue $\phi_{\omega_0}(\omega_0)$
Series $\omega_1$		
$\omega_{11}$	$\epsilon_{k\sigma} - \Omega_s + i\eta$	$\frac{1}{(\epsilon_{k\sigma} - \Omega_s - \epsilon_{i\sigma})(\epsilon_{k\sigma} - \Omega_s - \epsilon_{j\sigma})}$
$\omega_{12}$	$\epsilon_{i\sigma} + i\eta$	$\frac{1}{(\epsilon_{i\sigma} - \epsilon_{k\sigma} + \Omega_s)(\epsilon_{i\sigma} - \epsilon_{j\sigma})}$
$\omega_{13}$	$\epsilon_{j\sigma} + i\eta$	$\frac{1}{(\epsilon_{j\sigma} - \epsilon_{k\sigma} + \Omega_s)(\epsilon_{j\sigma} - \epsilon_{i\sigma})}$
Series $\omega_2$		
$\omega_{21}$	$\epsilon_{i\sigma} + i\eta$	$\frac{1}{(\epsilon_{i\sigma} - \epsilon_{c\sigma} - \Omega_s)(\epsilon_{i\sigma} - \epsilon_{j\sigma})}$
$\omega_{22}$	$\epsilon_{j\sigma} + i\eta$	$\frac{1}{(\epsilon_{j\sigma} - \epsilon_{c\sigma} - \Omega_s)(\epsilon_{j\sigma} - \epsilon_{i\sigma})}$

$$I_{ij} = 2\pi i \left( \sum_{ks} w_{ik\sigma}^s w_{jk\sigma}^s \left( \frac{1}{(\epsilon_{k\sigma} - \Omega_s - \epsilon_{i\sigma})(\epsilon_{k\sigma} - \Omega_s - \epsilon_{j\sigma})} + \frac{1}{(\epsilon_{i\sigma} - \epsilon_{k\sigma} + \Omega_s)(\epsilon_{i\sigma} - \epsilon_{j\sigma})} + \frac{1}{(\epsilon_{j\sigma} - \epsilon_{k\sigma} + \Omega_s)(\epsilon_{j\sigma} - \epsilon_{i\sigma})} \right) + \sum_{cs} w_{ic\sigma}^s w_{jc\sigma}^s \left( \frac{1}{(\epsilon_{i\sigma} - \epsilon_{c\sigma} - \Omega_s)(\epsilon_{i\sigma} - \epsilon_{j\sigma})} + \frac{1}{(\epsilon_{j\sigma} - \epsilon_{c\sigma} - \Omega_s)(\epsilon_{j\sigma} - \epsilon_{i\sigma})} \right) \right) \quad (\text{C.22})$$

Getting a common denominator for all of the terms means that the first term simplifies to 0, and the second term gives:

$$I_{ij} = -2\pi i \sum_{cs} \frac{w_{ic\sigma}^s w_{jc\sigma}^s}{(\Omega_s + \epsilon_{c\sigma} - \epsilon_{i\sigma})(\Omega_s + \epsilon_{c\sigma} - \epsilon_{j\sigma})} \quad (\text{C.23})$$

So, the expression for  $D_{ij}$  is:

$$D_{ij} = \langle i\sigma | \gamma_0^\sigma | j\sigma \rangle + \frac{2\pi i^2}{2\pi} \sum_{cs} \frac{w_{ic} w_{jc}}{(\Omega_s + \epsilon_{c\sigma} - \epsilon_{i\sigma})(\Omega_s + \epsilon_{c\sigma} - \epsilon_{j\sigma})} \quad (\text{C.24})$$

The first term is the matrix element of the noninteracting part of the density matrix, so this just simplifies to  $\delta_{ij}$  and then we relabel the virtual index  $c \rightarrow a$ :

$$D_{ij} = \delta_{ij} - \sum_{as} \frac{w_{ia} w_{ja}}{(\Omega_s + \epsilon_{a\sigma} - \epsilon_{i\sigma})(\Omega_s + \epsilon_{a\sigma} - \epsilon_{j\sigma})} \quad (\text{C.25})$$

## C.2 Fully Virtual Block

For the fully virtual block, we need to consider third to last and last terms of the integral in equation C.12:

$$\begin{aligned} I_{ab} = & \sum_{ks} w_{ak\sigma}^s w_{bk\sigma}^s \int d\omega e^{i\omega\eta} \frac{1}{(\omega - \epsilon_{k\sigma} + \Omega_s - i\eta)(\omega - \epsilon_{a\sigma} + i\eta)(\omega - \epsilon_{b\sigma} + i\eta)} \\ & + \sum_{cs} w_{ac\sigma}^s w_{bc\sigma}^s \int d\omega e^{i\omega\eta} \frac{1}{(\omega - \epsilon_{c\sigma} - \Omega_s + i\eta)(\omega - \epsilon_{a\sigma} + i\eta)(\omega - \epsilon_{b\sigma} + i\eta)} \end{aligned} \quad (\text{C.26})$$

Due to the contour  $D_-$  chosen for this case, we have poles for the first term at just  $\omega_{11} = \epsilon_{a\sigma} - i\eta$  and  $\omega_{12} = \epsilon_{b\sigma} - i\eta$ . Using the Cauchy residue theorem from equation C.14:

$$f_1(\omega) = \frac{e^{i\omega\eta}}{(\omega - \epsilon_{k\sigma} + \Omega_s - i\eta)(\omega - \epsilon_{a\sigma} + i\eta)(\omega - \epsilon_{b\sigma} + i\eta)} \quad (\text{C.27})$$

Plugging in  $\omega_{11} = \epsilon_{a\sigma} - i\eta$ , we get:

$$\phi_{\omega_{11}}(\epsilon_{a\sigma} - i\eta) = \frac{1}{(\epsilon_{a\sigma} - \epsilon_{k\sigma} + \Omega_s)(\epsilon_{a\sigma} - \epsilon_{b\sigma})} \quad (\text{C.28})$$

and

$$\phi_{\omega_{12}}(\epsilon_{b\sigma} - i\eta) = \frac{1}{(\epsilon_{b\sigma} - \epsilon_{k\sigma} + \Omega_s)(\epsilon_{b\sigma} - \epsilon_{a\sigma})} \quad (\text{C.29})$$

We move on to the second integral in the virtual block. It has now three poles in  $D_-$ :  $\omega_{21} = \epsilon_{c\sigma} + \Omega_s - i\eta$ ,  $\omega_{22} = \epsilon_{a\sigma} - i\eta$ , and  $\omega_{23} = \epsilon_{b\sigma} - i\eta$ . We have  $f_2(\omega)$  as:

$$f_2(\omega) = \frac{e^{i\omega\eta}}{(\omega - \epsilon_{c\sigma} - \Omega_s + i\eta)(\omega - \epsilon_{a\sigma} + i\eta)(\omega - \epsilon_{b\sigma} + i\eta)} \quad (\text{C.30})$$

So  $\phi_{\omega_{21}}(\omega_{21})$  is:

$$\phi_{\omega_{21}}(\epsilon_{c\sigma} + \Omega_s - i\eta) = \frac{1}{(\epsilon_{c\sigma} + \Omega_s - \epsilon_{a\sigma})(\epsilon_{c\sigma} + \Omega_s - \epsilon_{b\sigma})} \quad (\text{C.31})$$

Now we consider the second pole at  $\omega_{22} = \epsilon_{a\sigma} - i\eta$ .

$$\phi_{\omega_{22}}(\epsilon_{a\sigma} - i\eta) = \frac{1}{(\epsilon_{a\sigma} - \epsilon_{c\sigma} - \Omega_s)(\epsilon_{a\sigma} - \epsilon_{b\sigma})} \quad (\text{C.32})$$



Table C.2: Summary of Poles and their Residues

Pole Notation	Position $\omega_0$	Residue $\phi_{\omega_0}(\omega_0)$
Series $\omega_1$		
$\omega_{11}$	$\epsilon_{a\sigma} - i\eta$	$\frac{1}{(\epsilon_{a\sigma} - \epsilon_{k\sigma} + \Omega_s)(\epsilon_{a\sigma} - \epsilon_{b\sigma})}$
$\omega_{12}$	$\epsilon_{b\sigma} - i\eta$	$\frac{1}{(\epsilon_{b\sigma} - \epsilon_{k\sigma} + \Omega_s)(\epsilon_{b\sigma} - \epsilon_{a\sigma})}$
Series $\omega_2$		
$\omega_{21}$	$\epsilon_{c\sigma} + \Omega_s - i\eta$	$\frac{1}{(\epsilon_{c\sigma} + \Omega_s - \epsilon_{a\sigma})(\epsilon_{c\sigma} + \Omega_s - \epsilon_{b\sigma})}$
$\omega_{22}$	$\epsilon_{a\sigma} - i\eta$	$\frac{1}{(\epsilon_{a\sigma} - \epsilon_{c\sigma} - \Omega_s)(\epsilon_{a\sigma} - \epsilon_{b\sigma})}$
$\omega_{23}$	$\epsilon_{b\sigma} - i\eta$	$\frac{1}{(\epsilon_{b\sigma} - \epsilon_{c\sigma} - \Omega_s)(\epsilon_{b\sigma} - \epsilon_{a\sigma})}$

Finally, we consider the third pole at  $\omega_{23} = \epsilon_{b\sigma} - i\eta$ .

$$\phi_{\omega_{23}}(\epsilon_{b\sigma} - i\eta) = \frac{1}{(\epsilon_{b\sigma} - \epsilon_{c\sigma} - \Omega_s)(\epsilon_{b\sigma} - \epsilon_{a\sigma})} \quad (\text{C.33})$$

The results we got are summarized in the table C.2:

Adding the two terms together, we get:

$$\begin{aligned} I_{ab} = 2\pi i & \left( \sum_{ks} w_{ak\sigma}^s w_{bk\sigma}^s \left( \frac{1}{(\epsilon_{a\sigma} - \epsilon_{k\sigma} + \Omega_s)(\epsilon_{a\sigma} - \epsilon_{b\sigma})} + \frac{1}{(\epsilon_{b\sigma} - \epsilon_{k\sigma} + \Omega_s)(\epsilon_{b\sigma} - \epsilon_{a\sigma})} \right) \right. \\ & + \sum_{cs} w_{ac\sigma}^s w_{bc\sigma}^s \left( \frac{1}{(\epsilon_{c\sigma} + \Omega_s - \epsilon_{a\sigma})(\epsilon_{c\sigma} + \Omega_s - \epsilon_{b\sigma})} \right. \\ & + \frac{1}{(\epsilon_{a\sigma} - \epsilon_{c\sigma} - \Omega_s)(\epsilon_{a\sigma} - \epsilon_{b\sigma})} \\ & \left. \left. + \frac{1}{(\epsilon_{b\sigma} - \epsilon_{c\sigma} - \Omega_s)(\epsilon_{b\sigma} - \epsilon_{a\sigma})} \right) \right) \end{aligned} \quad (\text{C.34})$$

A similar simplification as the one done before gives:

$$I_{ab} = -2\pi i \sum_{ks} \frac{w_{ak} w_{bk}}{(\Omega_s + \epsilon_{k\sigma} - \epsilon_{a\sigma})(\Omega_s + \epsilon_{k\sigma} - \epsilon_{b\sigma})} \quad (\text{C.35})$$

So, the expression for  $D_{ab}$  is:

$$D_{ab} = \langle a\sigma | \gamma_0^\sigma | b\sigma \rangle + \frac{2\pi i^2}{2\pi} \sum_{ks} \frac{w_{ak} w_{bk}}{(\Omega_s + \epsilon_{k\sigma} - \epsilon_{a\sigma})(\Omega_s + \epsilon_{k\sigma} - \epsilon_{b\sigma})} \quad (\text{C.36})$$

The matrix element of the noninteracting density matrix does not mix virtual states and we relabel the occupied index  $k \rightarrow i$ :

$$D_{ab} = - \sum_{is} \frac{w_{ai} w_{bi}}{(\Omega_s + \epsilon_{i\sigma} - \epsilon_{a\sigma})(\Omega_s + \epsilon_{i\sigma} - \epsilon_{b\sigma})} \quad (\text{C.37})$$

### C.3 Mixed Block

Now, we want to consider the mixed block i.e. the second and fourth terms of the integral in equation C.12:

$$I_{ib} = \sum_{ks} w_{ik\sigma}^s w_{bk\sigma}^s \int d\omega e^{i\omega\eta} \frac{1}{(\omega - \epsilon_{k\sigma} + \Omega_s - i\eta)(\omega - \epsilon_{i\sigma} - i\eta)(\omega - \epsilon_{b\sigma} + i\eta)} \\ + \sum_{cs} w_{ic\sigma}^s w_{bc\sigma}^s \int d\omega e^{i\omega\eta} \frac{1}{(\omega - \epsilon_{c\sigma} - \Omega_s + i\eta)(\omega - \epsilon_{i\sigma} - i\eta)(\omega - \epsilon_{b\sigma} + i\eta)} \quad (C.38)$$

Due to the contour  $D_+$  chosen for this case, we have poles for the first term which lies in the upper half of the complex plane at  $\omega_{11} = \epsilon_{k\sigma} - \Omega_s + i\eta$  and  $\omega_{12} = \epsilon_{i\sigma} + i\eta$ . Using the Cauchy residue theorem from equation C.14:

$$f_1(\omega) = \frac{e^{i\omega\eta}}{(\omega - \epsilon_{k\sigma} + \Omega_s - i\eta)(\omega - \epsilon_{i\sigma} + i\eta)(\omega - \epsilon_{b\sigma} + i\eta)} \quad (C.39)$$

Plugging in  $\omega_{11} = \epsilon_{k\sigma} - \Omega_s + i\eta$ , we get:

$$\phi_{\omega_{11}}(\epsilon_{k\sigma} - \Omega_s + i\eta) = \frac{1}{(\epsilon_{k\sigma} - \epsilon_{i\sigma} - \Omega_s)(\epsilon_{k\sigma} - \epsilon_{b\sigma} - \Omega_s)} \quad (C.40)$$

Now we consider the second pole at  $\omega_{12} = \epsilon_{i\sigma} + i\eta$ .

$$\phi_{\omega_{12}}(\epsilon_{i\sigma} + i\eta) = \frac{1}{(\epsilon_{i\sigma} - \epsilon_{k\sigma} + \Omega_s)(\epsilon_{i\sigma} - \epsilon_{b\sigma})} \quad (C.41)$$

We move on to the second integral in the mixed block. It has two poles in  $D_-$ :  $\omega_{21} = \epsilon_{c\sigma} + \Omega_s - i\eta$  and  $\omega_{22} = \epsilon_{b\sigma} - i\eta$ . We have  $f_2(\omega)$  as:

$$f_2(\omega) = \frac{e^{i\omega\eta}}{(\omega - \epsilon_{c\sigma} - \Omega_s + i\eta)(\omega - \epsilon_{i\sigma} - i\eta)(\omega - \epsilon_{b\sigma} + i\eta)} \quad (C.42)$$

So  $\phi_{\omega_{21}}(\omega_{21})$  is:

$$\phi_{\omega_{21}}(\epsilon_{c\sigma} + \Omega_s - i\eta) = \frac{1}{(\epsilon_{c\sigma} + \Omega_s - \epsilon_{i\sigma})(\epsilon_{c\sigma} + \Omega_s - \epsilon_{b\sigma})} \quad (C.43)$$

Now we consider the second pole at  $\omega_{22} = \epsilon_{b\sigma} - i\eta$ .

$$\phi_{\omega_{22}}(\epsilon_{b\sigma} - i\eta) = \frac{1}{(\epsilon_{b\sigma} - \epsilon_{c\sigma} - \Omega_s)(\epsilon_{b\sigma} - \epsilon_{i\sigma})} \quad (C.44)$$

The results we got are summarized in the table C.3:

Adding the two terms together, we get:

Table C.3: Summary of Poles and their Residues

Pole Notation	Position $\omega_0$	Residue $\phi_{\omega_0}(\omega_0)$
Series $\omega_1$		
$\omega_{11}$	$\epsilon_{k\sigma} - \Omega_s + i\eta$	$\frac{1}{(\epsilon_{k\sigma} - \epsilon_{i\sigma} - \Omega_s)(\epsilon_{k\sigma} - \epsilon_{b\sigma} - \Omega_s)}$
$\omega_{12}$	$\epsilon_{i\sigma} + i\eta$	$\frac{1}{(\epsilon_{i\sigma} - \epsilon_{k\sigma} + \Omega_s)(\epsilon_{i\sigma} - \epsilon_{b\sigma})}$
Series $\omega_2$		
$\omega_{21}$	$\epsilon_{c\sigma} + \Omega_s - i\eta$	$\frac{1}{(\epsilon_{c\sigma} + \Omega_s - \epsilon_{i\sigma})(\epsilon_{c\sigma} + \Omega_s - \epsilon_{b\sigma})}$
$\omega_{22}$	$\epsilon_{b\sigma} - i\eta$	$\frac{1}{(\epsilon_{b\sigma} - \epsilon_{c\sigma} - \Omega_s)(\epsilon_{b\sigma} - \epsilon_{i\sigma})}$

$$\begin{aligned}
I_{ib} = 2\pi i & \left( \sum_{ks} w_{ik\sigma}^s w_{bk\sigma}^s \left( \frac{1}{(\epsilon_{k\sigma} - \epsilon_{i\sigma} - \Omega_s)(\epsilon_{k\sigma} - \epsilon_{b\sigma} - \Omega_s)} \right. \right. \\
& + \left. \frac{1}{(\epsilon_{i\sigma} - \epsilon_{k\sigma} + \Omega_s)(\epsilon_{i\sigma} - \epsilon_{b\sigma})} \right) \\
& + \sum_{cs} w_{ic\sigma}^s w_{bc\sigma}^s \left( \frac{1}{(\epsilon_{c\sigma} + \Omega_s - \epsilon_{i\sigma})(\epsilon_{c\sigma} + \Omega_s - \epsilon_{b\sigma})} \right. \\
& + \left. \left. \frac{1}{(\epsilon_{b\sigma} - \epsilon_{c\sigma} - \Omega_s)(\epsilon_{b\sigma} - \epsilon_{i\sigma})} \right) \right) \quad (C.45)
\end{aligned}$$

By getting a common denominator and simplifying terms, we get:

$$D_{ib} = \langle i\sigma | \gamma_0^\sigma | b\sigma \rangle + \frac{2\pi i^2}{2\pi (\epsilon_{i\sigma} - \epsilon_{b\sigma})} \left[ \sum_{ks} \frac{w_{ik}^s w_{bk}^s}{\epsilon_{k\sigma} - \epsilon_{b\sigma} - \Omega_s} - \sum_{cs} \frac{w_{ic}^s w_{bc}^s}{\epsilon_{c\sigma} + \Omega_s - \epsilon_{i\sigma}} \right] \quad (C.46)$$

The matrix element of the noninteracting density matrix does not mix occupied with virtual states and we relabel the occupied index  $k \rightarrow j$  and the virtual index  $c \rightarrow a$ :

$$D_{ib} = \frac{1}{\epsilon_{i\sigma} - \epsilon_{b\sigma}} \left[ \sum_{as} \frac{w_{ia}^s w_{ba}^s}{\epsilon_{i\sigma} - \epsilon_{a\sigma} - \Omega_s} - \sum_{js} \frac{w_{ij}^s w_{bj}^s}{\epsilon_{j\sigma} - \epsilon_{b\sigma} - \Omega_s} \right] \quad (C.47)$$

These are the same results reported by Bruneval [9]. Interestingly, for the mixed block, the result you get is exactly the same if you choose the opposite contour  $D_-$  for the full frequency integral of C.12. Since both  $D_-$  and  $D_+$  contain a finite number of poles, we are able to disregard the integral over the arc of the semicircular contour  $C_R$  in the limit  $R \rightarrow \infty$ . This is what one would expect from an interpretation of Jordan's Lemma.

