Selected Topics



Specification and Verification using ADT — **Linked Data Structures**



Linked Data Structures





```
public class LinkedList {
  private int element;
  private LinkedList next;
  public int head() { ... }
  public LinkedList tail() { ... }
  public int get(int i) { ... }
}
```

How to specify end-of-list?

- null: add /*@ nullable @*/ to next
- static unique dummy element referencing itself
- •

How to specify method

- head()?
 - e.g., \result == element
- tail()?
 - e.g., $\$ e = next
- get(int)?
 - recursive specification (see: SimpleLinkedListRec.java)
 - need to express reachability



Expressing Reachability in Dynamic Logic



Predicate Symbol

reach: Heap × LocSet × Object × Object × int

Predefined (i.e., interpreted same by any interpretation function I)

I(reach)(h, locs, o, u, n) = tt iff.

there exist $o=o_0...o_n=u$ such that

$$h(o_{i},f) = o_{i+1}, o_{i} \notin D^{\text{Null}} (i=0..n-1) \text{ and } (o_{i},f) \in locs$$

with $h \in D^{\text{Heap}}$, $locs \in D^{\text{LocSet}}$, $n \in D^{\text{int}}$ and $o, o_i, u \in D^{\text{Object}}$



Reachable: Axiom



Logic Characterization of reach

```
reach(h, locs, o, u, n) \leftrightarrow
 (n \ge 0 \land \neg(o = null) \land \neg(u = null) \land (n = 0 \land o = u) \lor \exists Object s; (reach(<math>h, locs, s, u, n - 1) \land acc(h, locs, s, o)))
```

where $acc(h, locs, s, o) \leftrightarrow \exists Field f; (singleton(s, f) \subseteq locs \land select(h, s, f) = o)$



Reachability in Dynamic Logic Examples



Are the following sequents valid?

heap = store(store(store(heap, 11, next, 12), 13, next, 11) ==>
reach(heap, allObjects(next), 13, 12, 2)

Yes, in any state satisfying the antecedent, 12 is reachable from 13 in exactly two steps via locations (13,f) and (11,f) which are in the location set allObjects(next).

heap = store(store(store(heap, 11, next, 12), 13, next, 11) ==>
reach(heap, allObjects(next), 11, 12, 2)

No. 12 is not reachable from 11 in exactly 2 steps using the specified locations in some (here actually in any) structure, which satisfies the antecedent.

heap = store(store(store(heap, 11, next, 12), 13, next, 11) ==>
reach(heap, singleton(13, next), 11, 12, 1)

No, although in any state satisfying the antecedent, 12 is reachable from 11 in exactly one step that is only the case via location (11,next) which is not in the provided set of locations.



Example: Specification of Acyclicity of a List



How to specify that a LinkedList I is acyclic in heap h?

!\exists LinkedList *l2*;

(\exists int d;reach(h, allObject(next), 1, l2, d)

 \land **\exists** int n; $(n>0 \land \text{reach}(h, \text{allObject}(\text{next}), l2, l2, n)))$

Exercise: Specify that two lists are disjoint.



Reachability in JML



\reach: \locSet × Object × Object

where

$$\mathbf{reach}(1,0,u) := (\mathbf{r},u) := 0; \mathbf{reach}(1,0,u,n)$$

Both JML \reach variants have some oddities/hacks to specify location sets

means (in DL)

[[On the slides we will most of the time use our DL syntax for location sets or short forms like {(o,f)} for singleton(o,f) etc.]]



Reachability in JML Specification of a Single Linked List



See file:

SimpleLinkedList.java



Using Abstract Data Types as Abstractions By Example "Finite Sequences"



```
interface List {
  //@ public model instance Object[] content;
  ...
}
```

Avoid problems by using abstract data types!

What is the problem using an array to represent the content of a list?

- ▶ Java type: We have to deal with all the OO-problems like aliasing
- Already expressing that two lists have same contents is convoluted:
 - other.content == this.content
 (only expresses that array objects are the same and not their content)
 - instead:

```
(this.content.length == other.content.length && (\forall int i; i>=0 && i<this.content.length; this.content[i] == other.content[i]);
```



The Finite Sequence Data Type **Core Theory — Comprehension**



Predefined Type: Seq.

The basic constructor of Sequence ADT in DL is

seqDef{int
$$i$$
;}: int \times int \times any

(logic variable binding symbol)

(logic variable binding symbol)
$$val_{S,\beta}(\text{seqDef}\{\text{int } i;\})(le,ri,e)) = \begin{cases} < a_0,...,a_{n-1}> & \text{if } n = val_{S,\beta}(ri) - val_{S,\beta}(le) > 0 \\ & \text{and with} \\ & a_k = val_{S,\beta'}(e), \ \beta' = \beta[i \ / \ val_{S,\beta}(le) + k] \\ & \text{(i.e., variable assignment } \beta' \ identical \ to \ \beta \ except \ for \ i \\ & \text{which has the specified value)} \end{cases}$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \text{otherwise}$$



Examples: Comprehension



- $seqDef\{int x;\}(0,5,1)$
 - evaluates to the sequence <1,1,1,1,1>
- seqDef $\{int x;\}(-4,0,x)$
 - evaluates to the sequence <-4,-3,-2,-1>
- seqDef{int x;}(a, b, null)
 - evaluates to <null, ..., null> if b > a holds
 <> otherwise



The Sequence Data Type Seq Core Theory — Length and Getter



- \blacktriangleright seqLen: Seq \rightarrow int (the length of the sequence)
 - Axioms: Let t be an arbitrary term.
 - $\forall \text{Seq } s; \text{ seqLen}(s) \geq 0$
 - \forall int $le, ri; ((ri > le \rightarrow seqLen(seqDef{int } i;)(le,ri,t)) = ri le)$ $<math>\land (ri \leq le \rightarrow seqLen(seqDef{int } i;)(le,ri,t)) = 0))$
- ▶ A::seqGet: Seq × int \rightarrow A for any type $A \le$ any (retrieves the n-th element of the given sequence and casts it to type A)
 - \forall int le, ri, k; ($((le \le k \land k < ri) \rightarrow A :: seqGet(seqDef\{int i;\}(le,ri,t), k) = (A) t[i/le+k]$) ($\neg (le \le k \land k < ri) \rightarrow A :: seqGet(seqDef\{int i;\}(le,ri,t), k) = (A) seqGetOutside))$
- seqGetOutside: any
 (element retrieved by A::seqGet if index was negative or greater-or-equal than seqLen of the sequence)



The Sequence Data Type Seq Core Theory — Equality



Two sequences are equal iff they have equal arguments in the same order:

```
\forall \text{Seq } s1, s2; (
s1 \doteq s2 \leftrightarrow (\text{seqLen}(s1) \doteq \text{seqLen}(s2) \land
\forall \text{int } k; (k \geq 0 \land k < \text{seqLen}(s1) \rightarrow \text{any::seqGet}(s1, k) \doteq \text{any::seqGet}(s2, k)) )
```



The Sequence Data Type

Definitional Extensions



- seqEmpty: Seq (the empty sequence)
- ► seqSingleton: any \rightarrow Seq (sequence of length 1 with the given element as content)

Example: seqSingleton(1) (describes sequence <1>)

▶ seqConcat: Seq \times Seq \rightarrow Seq (concatenation of two sequences)

Example:

seqConcat(seqSingleton(1), seqConcat(s, seqSingleton(1)))

▶ seqSub: Seq × int × int → Seq (subsequence of the given sequence between indices given as 2nd argument and 3rd argument)



Functions for the Sequence Data Type



- seqReverse : Seq → Seq (returns a sequence that is the reverse of the sequence given as 1st argument)
- seqIndexOf: Seq × any → int (returns 1st occurrence of the 2nd argument in the sequence specified as 1st argument otherwise -1)
- seqSwap: Seq × int × int → Seq
 (returns a sequence equal to the one given as 1st argument with the two elements whose indices are given as 2nd and 3rd argument swapped)
- seqRemove : Seq × int → Seq (returns a sequence equal to the given one except that the element at the specified index has been returned)
- predicates for permutation properties etc.



Using Sequences in JML



Ghost and model fields can be declared of type Seq

//@ model \seq content;

//@ ghost \seq content;

JML	DL	
$\mathbf{seq_get}(s, idx) \text{ or } s[idx]$	any::seqGet($\mathcal{E}(s1)$, $\mathcal{E}(idx)$)	
s.length	$seqLen(\mathcal{E}(s))$	
\seq_concat(s1,s2)	$seqConcat(\mathcal{E}(s1),\mathcal{E}(s2))$ Maps array to a	a finite
\dl_arr2seq(array)	$\operatorname{array2seq}(\operatorname{heap},\ \mathcal{E}(\operatorname{array}))$ sequence of sa length, content, order of element	me , and
\seq_def, \seq_empty, \seq_get, \seq_reverse, \seq_singleton, \seq_sub		

(s, s1, s2 JML expressions of type $\ensuremath{\searrow}$ idx JML expression of type int; array a JML expression of array type; heap the global program variable referring to the current program heap)



Specification of Lists Using Sequences



See file:

SimplifiedListSeq.java

Specifying an interface for lists using sequences:

See file:

List.java



Modular Specifications



Modularity

- Local reasoning
- Verification without involving whole program state

Did we achieve modularity?

- Method contracts instead of inlining to abstract from implementation
- Specification inheritance to ensure behavioural subtyping and thus to provide sound supertype abstraction



Modular Specifications

Open Problems — Specification of Assignable Clauses



But what about assignable clauses?

Classes must adhere to assignable clauses of their superclasses/interfaces

- Omitting (same as \everything)
 - Flexible for implementing classes, but practically prevents use of contract in clients
- Union of assignable of all implementing classes
 - Need to know all implementing classes (contradicts open world assumption)
 - Work-around flavour



Modular SpecificationsOpen Problems — Abstract Aliasing



Clients using the List interface

```
class Client {
   //@ public invariant \invariant_for(a) && \invariant_for(b);
   List a, b;
   /*@ normal_behavior
    @ requires a != b;
    @ ensures b.size() == \old(b.size());
    @*/
   void m() { a.add(23); }
}
```

- Not provable, if method add might change whole heap
- Still not provable when using more specific assignable
 - need to express that lists a and b do not share list elements
 - and that adding an element does not introduce sharing (!)



Dynamic Frames: Abstract Sets of Locations



Specify an abstract set Acc of locations called dynamic frame on which an

- invariant
- model field or
- method

might depend (or in other words might access) using

- model fields of type \locset (to specify the set of locations)
- accessible clauses (to frame an invariant, model field or method)



Dynamic Frames Model Fields and Invariant



```
public interface List {
                                                                Model field of type \locset representing an
  //@ public model instance \locset footprint;
                                                                abstract set of locations
  //@ public accessible footprint: footprint;
                                                             Self framing of model field footprint, otherwise set
                                                             of locations might depend on external location
  //@ public model instance \seq theList;
                                                           Frame model field the List, i.e., all representations of
  //@ public accessible theList: footprint;
                                                           the model field might only depend on the locations
                                                           contained in footprint
  //@ public invariant size() >= 0;
  //@ public accessible \inv: footprint;
                                                      Frame for the invariant
```



Dynamic Frames Methods



```
public interface List {
  //@ public model instance \locset footprint;
  //@ ...
 /*@ public normal_behavior
   @ ensures theList ==\seq_concat(\old(theList), \seq_singleton(elem));
   @ ensures size() == \old(size()) + 1;
   @ assignable footprint;
                                    Might change only locations in footprint
   @*/
  public void add (int elem);
 /*@ public normal_behavior
   @ ensures \result == theList.length;
   @ accessible footprint;
                                          Might access/depend only on locations in footprint
   @*/
  public /*@ pure @*/ int size ();
```



Dynamic Frames Specifying Location Sets in JML*



JML expression of type \locset are translated to DL terms of type LocSet

JML	DL
\singleton(o.f), \singleton(a[i])	singleton($\mathcal{E}(o)$,f), singleton($\mathcal{E}(a)$,arr($\mathcal{E}(i)$))
o.*, a[*], a[ij]	allFields($\mathcal{E}(o)$), allFields($\mathcal{E}(a)$), arrayRange($\mathcal{E}(a)$, $\mathcal{E}(i)$, $\mathcal{E}(j)$)
\set_union(s1,s2), \set_minus(s1,s2), \intersect(s1,s2), \subset(s1,s2), \disjoint(s1,s2)	***
\reachLocs(s1, o, n) (resp. \reachLocs(s1, o))	set of all locations reachable (in exactly n steps) from o using locations in location set s1

(s1, s2 JML expressions of type \locset; i,j JML expression of type int; a JML expression of array type)



Example: LinkedList



```
public interface List {
  //@ public model instance \locset footprint;
  //@ ...
public class LinkedList implements List {
  private /*@ spec_public @*/ int elem;
  private /*@ spec_public nullable @*/ LinkedList tail;
                                                                            same as
  //@ represents footprint = elem, \reachLocs(tail, this);
                                                                            \set union(\singleton(this, elem),
                                                                                      \reachLocs(tail, this))
                  not really necessary as
                                             Note: Set \reachLocs(...) contains all locations of
                  \reachLocs is reflexive
                                             reachable objects.
                                             Here: If u is reachable from this then the set
                                             contains the locations all Fields(u)
```



Modular Specifications

Abstract Aliasing — Revisited



Clients using the List interface

```
class Client {
    //@ public invariant \invariant_for(a) && \invariant_for(b);
    List a, b;
    /*@ normal_behavior
    @ requires a != b;
    @ requires \disjoint(a.footprint, b.footprint)
    @ ensures b.size() == \old(b.size());
    @*/
    void m() { a.add(23); }
}
Added that lists do not share locations
```

Can we now prove the specification of m()?

No, add changes the footprint of a and might introduce sharing.



Modular Specifications Abstract Aliasing — Revisited



//@ public invariant \invariant for(a) && \invariant for(b);

@ requires \disjoint(a.footprint, b.footprint) @ ensures b.size() == \old(b.size());

Clients using the List interface class Client {

> /*@ normal_behavior @ requires a != b:

void m() { a.add(23); }

Can we now prove the specification of m()?

```
public interface List {
  //@ public model instance \locset footprint;
  //@ ...
 /*@ public normal_behavior
   @ ensures theList ==\seq_concat(\seq_singleton(elem), \old(theList));
   @ ensures size() == \old(size()) + 1;
   @ ensures \new_elems_fresh(footprint);
   @ assignable footprint;
   (a)*/
  public void add (int elem);
                                                      exist before method invocation
```

ensures that any locations added to the footprint did not



Modular Specifications

Abstract Aliasing — Revisited



Clients using the List interface

```
class Client {
   //@ public invariant \invariant_for(a) && \invariant_for(b);
   List a, b;
   /*@ normal_behavior
    @ requires a != b;
    @ requires \disjoint(a.footprint, b.footprint)
    @ ensures b.size() == \old(b.size());
    @*/
   void m() { a.add(23); }
}
```

Can we now prove the specification of m()?

With enhanced specification of List. Now provable as disjointness is maintained.



Fresh Elements



\new_elems_fresh(s): Swinging Pivots Operator

- Only allowed in ensures
- s is a JML expression of type \locset
- Meaning: All locations in s which did where not contained in s in the pre-state must be fresh elements.

\mathbf{s} :

- Only allowed in ensures
- s is a JML expression of type \locset
- Meaning: All elements in s are fresh (did not exist in pre-state)

