

PEER-REVIEW

Paper title

Date

Author

REVIEWER

Name

Matriculation number

Paper summary

Overall impression

Detailed comments / constructive criticism

Paper rating

	Very Bad	Bad	Neutral	Good	Very Good
Storyline					
Structure					
Content					
Literature research					
Evaluation					
Presentation / Layout					
Writing style / English					

Overall evaluation

3 (strong accept)

2 (accept)

1 (weak accept)

0 (borderline paper)

-1 (weak reject)

-2 (reject)

-3 (strong reject)