

## Task #19 Report

### Testing Prompt Robustness by Removing Individual Contextual Elements

#### User Story #17 – Identify Essential Contextual Elements

Author: Aniket Patil

ASU ID: 1232122089

#### 1. Objective

The purpose of Task #19 is to determine which contextual elements are critical for generating high-quality auto-responses by systematically removing one contextual variable at a time from a baseline prompt.

The auto-response must:

- Be generated by the system
- Speak about the user
- Not imitate the user directly

#### 2. Baseline Prompt

Full Context Provided to LLM

User name: Aniket

Current time: 2:15 PM, Tuesday

Location: Office – Meeting Room

Activity: In a scheduled team meeting

Phone state: Locked, on silent mode

Noise level: Moderate

Sender: Manager (high priority contact)

Message urgency: Medium

Expected response time: After meeting (~30 minutes)

Prompt to LLM

Generate a polite auto-response on behalf of Aniket explaining temporary unavailability.

Baseline Response (All Context Included)

AUTO-RESPONSE: Aniket may not be available to respond at the moment as he is currently in a scheduled team meeting. His phone is on silent, and he may review this message once the meeting concludes. He is expected to respond within approximately 30 minutes.

### 3. Systematic Context Removal Tests

Each test removes one contextual element while keeping all others constant.

#### Test 1: Remove Activity Context

Removed: "In a scheduled team meeting"

#### Generated Response

AUTO-RESPONSE: Aniket may not be available to respond at the moment. His phone is currently on silent, and he may review this message once he is able to check it. A response is expected within approximately 30 minutes.

#### Observation:

- Loss of clarity
- Reason feels vague
- Still functional but less informative

Activity context is essential for meaningful justification.

#### Test 2: Remove Sender Role

Removed: High-priority sender

#### Generated Response

AUTO-RESPONSE: Aniket may not be available to respond at the moment as he is currently in a scheduled team meeting. He is expected to review and respond after the meeting concludes.

#### Observation

- Tone becomes generic
- Less sensitivity to importance
- No prioritization signal

Sender role influences tone and urgency.

#### Test 3: Remove Urgency

Removed: Message urgency level

#### Generated Response

AUTO-RESPONSE: Aniket may not be available to respond as he is currently attending a scheduled meeting. He will review this message once available.

#### Observation

- Response time unclear
- Less structured expectation setting

Urgency influences clarity of follow-up timing.

#### Test 4: Remove Expected Response Time

Removed: "~30 minutes"

#### Generated Response

AUTO-RESPONSE: Aniket may not be available to respond as he is currently in a scheduled meeting. He will review this message once the meeting concludes.

#### Observation

- Slightly less precise
- Still socially acceptable
- No major degradation

Helpful but not essential.

#### Test 5: Remove Location

Removed: Office – Meeting Room

#### Generated Response

AUTO-RESPONSE: Aniket may not be available to respond as he is currently in a scheduled team meeting. He will review this message once available.

#### Observation

- No meaningful loss
- Location did not add value beyond activity

Semantic location redundant when activity is present.

#### Test 6: Remove Device State (Phone Locked, Silent)

##### Generated Response

AUTO-RESPONSE: Aniket may not be available to respond at the moment as he is currently in a scheduled meeting. He is expected to respond after it concludes.

##### Observation

- No quality drop
- Device information unnecessary

Device state does not meaningfully improve response quality.

#### Test 7: Remove Noise Level

##### Generated Response

AUTO-RESPONSE: Aniket may not be available to respond at the moment as he is currently in a scheduled meeting. He will review this message once available.

##### Observation

- No change in clarity
- Noise level irrelevant

Environmental micro-context unnecessary.

#### 4. Comparative Results Summary

| Removed Element        | Quality Drop | Notes                   |
|------------------------|--------------|-------------------------|
| Activity               | Major        | Response became vague   |
| Sender role            | Moderate     | Tone less adaptive      |
| Urgency                | Moderate     | Response timing unclear |
| Expected response time | Minor        | Slight clarity loss     |
| Location               | Minimal      | Redundant               |
| Device state           | Minimal      | Not impactful           |
| Noise level            | None         | Unnecessary             |

## 5. Implications for Prompt Design (Epic #1)

Include in Optimal Prompt:

- Activity
- Sender role
- Urgency
- Expected response window

Avoid:

- Noise level
- Light level
- Battery level
- Raw device state

Minimal necessary prompt structure:

User name

Current activity

Sender relationship

Urgency level

Expected response window

## 7. Conclusion

Task #19 demonstrates that not all contextual elements contribute equally to response quality.

High-level activity and social context significantly improve clarity and appropriateness, while low-level environmental and device-based context provide negligible benefit.

Therefore, efficient prompt strategies should prioritize:

- Activity
- Social role
- Urgency
- Response expectation

This ensures:

- High-quality natural responses
- Reduced privacy exposure
- Minimal unnecessary data inclusion