Those old stories Hesiod and Parmenides tell about the gods—those things happened under Necessity, not Love, if what they say is true. For not one of all those violent deeds would have been done—no castrations, no imprisonments—if Love had been present among them. There would have been peace and brotherhood instead, as there has been now as long as Love has been king of the gods.

So he is young. And besides being young, he is delicate. It takes a poet as good as Homer to show how delicate the god is. For Homer says that Mischief is a god and that she is delicate—well, that her feet are delicate, anyway! He says:

... hers are delicate feet: not on the ground

Does she draw nigh; she walks instead upon the heads of men. To

A lovely proof, I think, to show how delicate she is: she doesn't walk on anything hard; she walks only on what is soft. We shall use the same proof about Love, then, to show that he is delicate. For he walks not on earth, not even on people's skulls, which are not really soft at all, but in the softest of all the things that are, there he walks, there he has his home. For he makes his home in the characters, in the souls, of gods and men—and not even in every soul that comes along: when he encounters a soul with a harsh character, he turns away; but when he finds a soft and gentle character, he settles down in it. Always, then, he is touching with his feet and with the whole of himself what is softest in the softest places. He must therefore be most delicate.

196

He is youngest, then, and most delicate; in addition he has a fluid, supple shape. For if he were hard, he would not be able to enfold a soul completely or escape notice when he first entered it or withdrew. Besides, his graceful good looks prove that he is balanced and fluid in his nature. Everyone knows that Love has extraordinary good looks, and between ugliness and Love there is unceasing war.

their elders approve and even encourage such mocking—when you take all this into account, you're bound to come to the conclusion that we Athenians consider such behavior the most shameful thing in the world.

In my opinion, however, the fact of the matter is this. As I said earlier, love is, like everything else, complex: considered simply in itself, it is neither honorable nor a disgrace—its character depends entirely on the behavior it gives rise to. To give oneself to a vile man in a vile way is truly disgraceful behavior; by contrast, it is perfectly honorable to give oneself honorably to the right man. Now you may want to know who counts as vile in this context. I'll tell you: it is the common, vulgar lover, who loves the body rather than the soul, the man whose love is bound to be inconstant, since what he loves is itself mutable and unstable. The moment the body is no longer in bloom, "he flies off and away," his promises and vows in tatters behind him. How different from this is a man who loves the right sort of character, and who remains its lover for life, attached as he is to something that is permanent.

184

We can now see the point of our customs: they are designed to separate the wheat from the chaff, the proper love from the vile. That's why we do everything we can to make it as easy as possible for lovers to press their suits and as difficult as possible for young men to comply; it is like a competition, a kind of test to determine to which sort each belongs. This explains two further facts: First, why we consider it shameful to yield too quickly: the passage of time in itself provides a good test in these matters. Second, why we also consider it shameful for a man to be seduced by money or political power, either because he cringes at ill-treatment and will not endure it or because, once he has tasted the benefits of wealth and power, he will not rise above them. None of these benefits is stable or permanent, apart from the fact that no genuine affection can possibly be based upon them.

Our customs, then, provide for only one honorable way of taking

108

93

as it is, I have no fears on this score." of the art of love, I'd be afraid that they'd have nothing left to say. But

afraid. You'll be at your wit's end, as I am now." position I'll be in after Agathon's spoken so well, then you'll really be test, Eryximachus. But if you ever get in my position, or rather the Then Socrates said, "That's because you did beautifully in the con-

194

me think the audience expects great things of my speech, so I'll get "You're trying to bewitch me, Socrates," said Agathon, "by making

could I expect you to be flustered by us, when we are so few?" play, and you weren't the least bit panicked. After seeing that, how enormous audience. You were about to put your own writing on distheater platform along with the actors and looked straight out at that how brave and dignified you were when you walked right up to the "Agathon!" said Socrates, "How forgetful do you think I am? I saw

you're intelligent, you find a few sensible men much more frightening than a senseless crowd?" theater audiences on my mind! So you suppose I don't realize that, if "Why, Socrates," said Agathon. "You must think I have nothing but

into any wise men, other than yourself, you'd certainly be ashamed at ater too, you know, part of the ordinary crowd. Still, if you did run crude in any way, Agathon. I'm sure that if you ever run into people the thought of doing anything ugly in front of them. Is that what you people. But you can't suppose we're in that class; we were at the theyou consider wise, you'll pay more attention to them than to ordinary "No," he said, "It wouldn't be very handsome of me to think you

'That's true," he said

in front of ordinary people. Is that it?" "On the other hand, you wouldn't be ashamed to do something ugly

d

At that point Phaedrus interrupted: "Agathon, my friend, if you an-

this, of course, is the Heavenly Love of the heavenly goddess. Love's concern. All other forms of love belong to the vulgar goddess. compels the lover and his loved one alike to make virtue their central value to the city as a whole and to the citizens is immeasurable, for he your lover for virtue's sake is honorable, whatever the outcome. And be more honorable than that? It follows, therefore, that giving in to person who will do anything for the sake of virtue—and what could too has demonstrated something about himself: that he is the sort of

contribution on the subject of Love. Phaedrus, I'm afraid this hasty improvisation will have to do as my

turned to the doctor, Eryximachus, who was next in line, and said to probably stuffed himself again, though, of course, it could have been anything—that making a speech was totally out of the question. So he ing to Aristodemus. But he had such a bad case of the hiccups—he'd figure from our clever rhetoricians), it was Aristophanes' turn, accord-When Pausanias finally came to a pause (I've learned this sort of fine

my turn." "Eryximachus, it's up to you—as well it should be. Cure me or take

or two will cure even the most persistent case." even this has no effect, then tickle your nose with a feather. A sneeze your hiccups. If it fails, the best remedy is a thorough gargle. And if and I shall also cure you. While I am giving my speech, you should hold take your turn—you can speak in my place as soon as you feel better your breath for as long as you possibly can. This may well eliminate "As a matter of fact," Eryximachus replied, "I shall do both. I shall

follow your instructions to the letter." "The sooner you start speaking, the better," Aristophanes said. "I'll

This, then, was the speech of Eryximachus:

in my opinion he did not develop it sufficiently. Let me therefore try Pausanias introduced a crucial consideration in his speech, though could want?" see if this is what you desire: wouldn't this be all the good fortune you being, and by the same token, when you died, you would be one and would share one life, as long as you lived, because you would be one whole, so that the two of you are made into one. Then the two of you and never to separate, day or night? Because if that's your desire, I'd of every lover longs for something else; his soul cannot say what it is, great and deep a joy in being with the other. It's obvious that the soul is the intimacy of sex—that mere sex is the reason each lover takes so not two in Hades, having died a single death. Look at your love, and for the two of you to become parts of the same whole, as near as can be perplexed, and he asks them again: "Is this your heart's desire, then you human beings really want from each other?" And suppose they're haestus⁶⁷ stands over them with his mending tools, asking, "What is it hides behind a riddle. Suppose two lovers are lying together and Hepbut like an oracle it has a sense of what it wants, and like an oracle it not say what it is they want from one another. No one would think it like to weld you together and join you into something that is naturally These are the people who finish out their lives together and still can-

Surely you can see that no one who received such an offer would turn it down; no one would find anything else that he wanted. Instead, everyone would think he'd found out at last what he had always wanted: to come together and melt together with the one he loves, so that one person emerged from two. Why should this be so? It's because, as I said, we used to be complete wholes in our original nature, and now "Love" is the name for our pursuit of wholeness, for our desire to be complete.

193

Long ago we were united, as I said; but now the god has divided us as punishment for the wrong we did him, just as the Spartans divided the Arcadians. 68 So there's a danger that if we don't keep order before the gods, we'll be split in two again, and then we'll be walking around

Which are those elements? They are, of course, those that are most opposed to one another, as hot is to cold, bitter to sweet, wet to dry, cases like those. In fact, our ancestor Asclepius first established medicine as a profession when he learned how to produce concord and love between such opposites—that is what those poet fellows say, and—this time—I concur with them.

187

of the effects of Love on rhythm and harmony. with itself" "like the attunement of a bow or a lyre." os Naturally, it is so are physical education and farming as well. Further, a moment's rebetween these various opposites. Music is therefore simply the science sic, like medicine, creates agreement by producing concord and love earlier discordant, are brought into agreement with each other. Mu-Rhythm, for example, is produced only when fast and slow, though come to an agreement, and they therefore cannot produce a harmony. ment. Discordant elements, as long as they are still in discord, cannot there can be no harmony so long as high and low are still discordant; by resolving the prior discord between high and low notes. For surely Heraclitus probably meant that an expert musician creates a harmony discordant or that its elements are still in discord with one another patently absurd to claim that an attunement or a harmony is in itself desired. The one, he says, "being at variance with itself is in agreement cisely the same. Indeed, this may have been just what Heraclitus had harmony, after all, is consonance, and consonance is a species of agreein mind, though his mode of expression certainly leaves much to be flection suffices to show that the case of poetry and music, too, is pre-Medicine, therefore, is guided everywhere by the god of Love, and

These effects are easily discernible if you consider the constitution of rhythm and harmony in themselves; Love does not occur in both his forms in this domain. But the moment you consider, in their turn, the effects of rhythm and harmony on their audience—either through composition, which creates new verses and melodies, or through mu-

d

97

104

As he cut each one, he commanded Apollo to turn its face and half its neck towards the wound, so that each person would see that he'd been cut and keep better order. Then Zeus commanded Apollo to heal the rest of the wound, and Apollo did turn the face around, and he drew skin from all sides over what is now called the stomach, and there he made one mouth, as in a pouch with a drawstring, and fastened it at the center of the stomach. This is now called the navel. Then he smoothed out the other wrinkles, of which there were many, and he shaped the breasts, using some such tool as shoemakers have for smoothing wrinkles out of leather on the form. But he left a few wrinkles around the stomach and the navel, to be a reminder of what happened long ago.

191

Now, since their natural form had been cut in two, each one longed for its own other half, and so they would throw their arms about each other, weaving themselves together, wanting to grow together. In that condition they would die from hunger and general idleness, because they would not do anything apart from each other. Whenever one of the halves died and one was left, the one that was left still sought another and wove itself together with that. Sometimes the half he met came from a woman, as we'd call her now, sometimes it came from a man; either way, they kept on dying.

Then, however, Zeus took pity on them, and came up with another plan: he moved their genitals around to the front! Before then, you see, they used to have their genitals outside, like their faces, and they cast seed and made children, not in one another, but in the ground, like cicadas. So Zeus brought about this relocation of genitals, and in doing so he invented interior reproduction, by the man in the woman. The purpose of this was so that, when a man embraced a woman, he would cast his seed and they would have children; but when male embraced male, they would at least have the satisfaction of intercourse, after which they could stop embracing, return to their jobs, and look after their other needs in life. This, then, is the source of our desire to

d

that is diseased. For what is the origin of all impiety? Our refusal to gratify the orderly kind of Love, and our deference to the other sort, when we should have been guided by the former sort of Love in every action in connection with our parents, living or dead, and with the gods. The task of divination is to keep watch over these two species of Love and to doctor them as necessary. Divination, therefore, is the practice that produces loving affection between gods and men; it is simply the science of the effects of Love on justice and piety.

d

Such is the power of Love—so varied and great that in all cases it might be called absolute. Yet even so it is far greater when Love is directed, in temperance and justice, toward the good, whether in heaven or on earth: happiness and good fortune, the bonds of human society, concord with the gods above—all these are among his gifts.

Perhaps I, too, have omitted a great deal in this discourse on Love. If so, I assure you, it was quite inadvertent. And if in fact I have overlooked certain points, it is now your task, Aristophanes, to complete the argument—unless, of course, you are planning on a different approach. In any case, proceed; your hiccups seem cured.

189

Then Aristophanes took over (so Aristodemus said): "The hiccups have stopped all right—but not before I applied the Sneeze Treatment to them. Makes me wonder whether the 'orderly sort of Love' in the body calls for the sounds and itchings that constitute a sneeze, because the hiccups stopped immediately when I applied the Sneeze Treatment."

"You're good, Aristophanes," Eryximachus answered. "But watch what you're doing. You are making jokes before your speech, and you're forcing me to prepare for you to say something funny, and to put up my guard against you, when otherwise you might speak at peace."

Then Aristophanes laughed. "Good point, Eryximachus. So let me 'unsay what I have said.' But don't put up your guard. I'm not worried