

\*\*\*\*\*

### Playing Matches

\*\*\*\*\*

Match #	Opponent	AB_Improved		AB_Custom		AB_Custom_2		AB_Custom_3	
		Won	Lost	Won	Lost	Won	Lost	Won	Lost
1	Random	8	2	9	1	7	3	9	1
2	MM_Open	5	5	8	2	5	5	6	4
3	MM_Center	8	2	8	2	9	1	9	1
4	MM_Improved	8	2	9	1	5	5	6	4
5	AB_Open	7	3	6	4	5	5	5	5
6	AB_Center	5	5	6	4	5	5	7	3
7	AB_Improved	5	5	5	5	3	7	3	7
-----									
Win Rate:		65.7%		72.9%		55.7%		64.3%	

#### Heuristic 1

-----

$\text{my\_moves} - (1.8) * \text{opponent\_moves}$

This heuristic selects the move which will take the player closer to the opponent. Such that during the endgames, there will be very less chances left with the opponent. The value 1.8 is selected after experimenting.

#### Heuristic 2

-----

$(\text{my\_moves} - \text{opponent\_moves}) / \text{total\_moves}$

This heuristic checks the accessibility of the player to the empty cells on the board. Higher the accessibility, higher the chance of win

#### Heuristic 3

-----

This heuristic selects the adjacent node with minimum degree as the next cell. (Based on Warnsdorff's heuristic for Knight's tour problem)

#### Recommendation

-----

Heuristic 1 is performing better in this case.

- It's easy to implement
- It's a constant time heuristics
- Out of 5 samples, heuristics 1 performed most consistently and better (>70%) all of the time.