Logic Programming

Exam 2021

Answers by David De Potter

Question 1: Fail at the first hurdle

- (a) The two atoms are different, so they don't unify.
- (b) An atom doesn't unify with a complex term.
- (c) The two complex terms have the same functor, but different arity, so they don't unify.
- (d) The two complex terms have the same functor and arity, but their arguments differ: 0 doesn't unify with 1.
- (e) The two complex terms have different functors, so they don't unify.
- (f) The two complex terms have the same functor and arity, but the variable Ann is instantiated with the number 1, after which 0 can't be unified with Ann anymore.
- (g) Both sides have the same complex term bea/1, but on the left-hand side we have a complex term with arity 1 as its argument, whereas on the right-hand side we have a complex term with arity 2 as its argument. Hence, unification is impossible.
- (h) A complex term with arity 1 doesn't unify with an atom (an arbitrary sequence of characters between single quotes is treated as an atom).
- (i) A complex term doesn't unify with a list.
- (j) A list doesn't unify with an atom.
- (k) A list containing two items (the atom bea, and the empty list) doesn't unify with a list of only one item.

 The unification succeeds if we turn it into: [bea|[]] = [bea].
- (1) The second item [bea] can't be unified with the atom bea.
- (m) The second item [BEA] (a list containing a variable) can't be unified with the atom bea.

- (n) On the left-hand side we have a list with another list as its only item, whereas on the right-hand side we have a list which contains a single atom. An atom can't be unified with a list.
- (o) On the left-hand side we have a list of two items, whereas on the right-hand side we have a list containing three items, of which the third item may be anything: the tail of this list contains a single arbitrary item (anonymous variable).
- (p) On the left-hand side we have a list with three atoms (ann, bea, cee), while on the right-hand side we have a list containing two atoms and a list containing one atom. The atom cee can't be unified with the list [cee].
- (q) Both sides consist of a single list. The variable ANN can be unified with the atom ann. Likewise, the atom bea can be unified with the variable CEE. After that, however, there's nothing left to unify the tail [BEA|ANN] with.
- (r) On the left-hand side we find a list of three items, whereas the right-hand side has a complex term with a list as its only argument. A complex term of arity 1 can't be unified with a list.
- (s) A complex term can't be unfied with an atom.
- (t) Both sides have the same functor with arity 2. The variable X can be unified with the atom d. However, a list of three items can't be unified with a list of four.

Question 2: Cutting it fine

The predicate checks if the second list contains only positive numbers and if that list forms a subsequence of the first list. In other words, the predicate will fail if either the second list contains any non-positive numbers (i.e. it may not contain zero either), or if not all of these numbers occur in the first list in the same order.

- cls 1: this is the base clause, the predicate will succeed if at some point it can unify both lists with the empty list.
- cls 2: this is a recursive clause, which checks if both lists have the same positive number as their head
- cls 3: this is a recursive clause, which removes the head of the first list if it is a non-positive number

Adding cuts at different positions.

cut pos 1: This is a red cut. The predicate will only succeed if both lists are empty from the start. The cut has changed the predicate's meaning.

- cut pos 2: This is a red cut. The predicate will only succeed if both lists have the same length, since both lists need to be empty at the same time. This means that the second list can no longer have any negative numbers, i.e. the cut has changed the meaning of the predicate to check if two lists contain the same positive numbers.
- cut pos 3: This is a green cut. This is a good position for the cut, as it doesn't change the intended meaning and it enhances efficiency by cutting the third option once it's been confirmed that X>0, so that the option for $X\leq 0$ isn't checked anymore.
- cut pos 4: This is a blue cut. This is a bad position for the cut. The predicate will still yield the answer as intended, but it will end up with an open and useless stack of cuts once it has succeeded.

Question 3: Diversity matters

```
% true iff its argument is a list with at least 3 arguments and has
% elements that are all different

diversity(L) :- diversity([], L), length(L,N), N >= 3.

diversity(Acc,[H|T]) :- \+ member(H,Acc), diversity([H|Acc],T).

diversity(_,[]).
```

Question 4: Tree analysis

```
% Database for question 4: tree analysis
rebmem(X,L) :- membre(L,X).
membre([_|T],X) :- membre(T,X).
membre([H|_],H).
```

Question 5: The young ones

```
age(ann, 20). age(joe, 44).
age(bob, 40). age(min, 27).
age(cai, 30). age(ned, 27).
age(deb, 42). age(pat, 33).
age(edo, 24). age(tod, 56).
```

(a) younger/2

```
% true iff X is younger than Y
younger(X, Y) :- age(X, A), age(Y, B), A < B.</pre>
```

(b) same_age/2

(c) oldest/1

```
% true if the 1st person is older than all other people in the KB
oldest(X) :- age(X,A), \+ (age(Y,B),B>=A,Y\=X).

% alternative
oldest2(X) :- age(X,A), findall(B,age(_,B),L), max_list(L,A).
```

Question 6: Taking the train

```
train(groningen,delfzijl).
train(groningen,leeuwarden).
train(groningen,assen).

direct(X,Y) := train(X,Y).
direct(X,Y) := train(Y,X).

route(X,Y,[X,Y]) := direct(X,Y).
route(X,Y,[X|R]) := route(Z,Y,R), direct(X,Z).
```

- (a) Seven clauses.
- (b) Four rules.
- (c) Three facts.
- (d) train/2, direct/2, route/3
- (e) None.
- (f) One: route/3
- (g) None.

Question 7: A train of thought

The database on which the query is performed is the same as in question 6.




Figure 1: Search tree for ?- route(delfzijl, assen, R), !.