

Appendix Overview of the instances

Fisher and Thompson

H. FISHER and G. L. THOMPSON. *Probabilistic Learning Combinations of Local Job-Shop Scheduling Rules*. In: *Industrial Scheduling*, 15: 225–251. ed. by J. F. MUTH and G. L. THOMPSON. Prentice Hall, 1963

Instance	# jobs	# machines	Lower bound	Upper bound
ft06	6	6	55 ^[16] ^a	55 ^[16] ^a
ft10	10	10	930 ^[8] ^b	930 ^c
ft20	20	5	1165 ^[28]	1165 ^[28]

^[8] Carlier and Pinson (1989)

^[16] Florian, Trepant, and McMahon (1971)

^[28] McMahon and Florian (1975)

^a Using algorithms of Schrage [37] and Balas [3]

^b Achieved in 1986 [see 1]

^c B.J. Lageweg (1984) [see 24]

Table 1: Instances of Fisher and Thompson [15]

Lawrence

S. LAWRENCE. *Resource Constrained Project Scheduling: An Experimental Investigation of Heuristic Scheduling Techniques (Supplement)*. Carnegie-Mellon University, 1984

Instance	# jobs	# machines	Lower bound	Upper bound
la01	10	5	666 ^[1]	666 ^[1]
la02	10	5	655 ^[1]	655 ^[27]
la03	10	5	597 ^[2]	597 ^[27]
la04	10	5	590 ^[2]	590 ^[27]
la05	10	5	593 ^[1]	593 ^[1]
la06	15	5	926 ^[1]	926 ^[1]
la07	15	5	890 ^[1]	890 ^[1]
la08	15	5	863 ^[1]	863 ^[1]
la09	15	5	951 ^[1]	951 ^[1]
la10	15	5	958 ^[1]	958 ^[27]

^[1] Adams, Balas, and Zawack (1988)

^[2] Applegate and Cook (1991)

^[27] Matsuo, Suh, and Sullivan (1988)

Table 2: Instances of Lawrence [25]

Instance	# jobs	# machines	Lower bound	Upper bound
la11	20	5	1222 ^[1]	1222 ^[1]
la12	20	5	1039 ^[1]	1039 ^[1]
la13	20	5	1150 ^[1]	1150 ^[1]
la14	20	5	1292 ^[1]	1292 ^[1]
la15	20	5	1207 ^[1]	1207 ^[1]
la16	10	10	945 ^[9]	945 ^[9]
la17	10	10	784 ^[9]	784 ^[27]
la18	10	10	848 ^[2]	848 ^[27]
la19	10	10	842 ^[2]	842 ^[27]
la20	10	10	902 ^[2]	902 ^[2]
la21	15	10	1046 ^[45]	1046 ^[45]
la22	15	10	927 ^[2]	927 ^[27]
la23	15	10	1032 ^[1]	1032 ^[1]
la24	15	10	935 ^[2]	935 ^[2]
la25	15	10	977 ^[2]	977 ^[2]
la26	20	10	1218 ^[1]	1218 ^[27]
la27	20	10	1235 ^[1]	1235 ^[10]
la28	20	10	1216 ^[1]	1216 ^[2]
la29	20	10	1152 ^[26]	1152 ^[26]
la30	20	10	1355 ^[1]	1355 ^[1]
la31	30	10	1784 ^[1]	1784 ^[1]
la32	30	10	1850 ^[1]	1850 ^[1]
la33	30	10	1719 ^[1]	1719 ^[1]
la34	30	10	1721 ^[1]	1721 ^[1]
la35	30	10	1888 ^[1]	1888 ^[1]
la36	15	15	1268 ^[9]	1268 ^[9]
la37	15	15	1397 ^[2]	1397 ^[2]
la38	15	15	1196 ^[45]	1196 ^[31]
la39	15	15	1233 ^[2]	1233 ^[2]
la40	15	15	1222 ^[2]	1222 ^[2]

^[1] Adams, Balas, and Zawack (1988)

^[2] Applegate and Cook (1991)

^[9] Carlier and Pinson (1990)

^[10] Carlier and Pinson (1994)

^[26] Martin (1996)

^[27] Matsuo, Suh, and Sullivan (1988)

^[31] Nowicki and Smutnicki (1996)

^[45] Vaessens, Aarts, and Lenstra (1996)

Table 2: Instances of Lawrence [25] (continued)

Adams, Balas, and Zawack

JOSEPH ADAMS, EGON BALAS, and DANIEL ZAWACK. *The Shifting Bottleneck Procedure for Job Shop Scheduling*. Management Science, **34.3**: 391–401, 1988

Instance	# jobs	# machines	Lower bound	Upper bound
abz5	10	10	1234 ^[2]	1234 ^[2]
abz6	10	10	943 ^[2]	943 ^[1]
abz7	20	15	656 ^[26]	656 ^[26]
abz8	20	15	648 ^[46,47]	665 ^[26]
abz9	20	15	678 ^[23]	678 ^[50]

^[1] Adams, Balas, and Zawack (1988)

^[2] Applegate and Cook (1991)

^[23] Koshimura et al. (2010)

^[26] Martin (1996)

^[46] Vilím, Laborie, and Shaw (2015)

^[47] Vilím, Laborie, and Shaw (2015)

^[50] Zhang et al. (2008)

Table 3: Instances of Adams, Balas, and Zawack [1]

Applegate and Cook

DAVID APPLEGATE and WILLIAM COOK. *A Computational Study of the Job-Shop Scheduling Problem*. ORSA Journal on Computing, **3.2**: 149–156, 1991

Instance	# jobs	# machines	Lower bound	Upper bound
orb01	10	10	1059 ^[2]	1059 ^[2]
orb02	10	10	888 ^[2]	888 ^[2]
orb03	10	10	1005 ^[2]	1005 ^[2]
orb04	10	10	1005 ^[2]	1005 ^[2]
orb05	10	10	887 ^[2]	887 ^[2]
orb06	10	10	1010 ^a	1010 ^a
orb07	10	10	397 ^a	397 ^a
orb08	10	10	899 ^a	899 ^a
orb09	10	10	934 ^a	934 ^a
orb10	10	10	944 ^a	944 ^a

^[2] Applegate and Cook (1991)

^a R.J.M. Vaessens using algorithms of [2] (1994) [see 22]

Table 4: Instances of Applegate and Cook [2]

Storer, Wu, and Vaccari

ROBERT H. STORER, S. DAVID WU, and RENZO VACCARI. *New Search Spaces for Sequencing Problems with Application to Job Shop Scheduling*. Management Science, **38.10**: 1495–1509, 1992

Instance	# jobs	# machines	Lower bound	Upper bound
swv01	20	10	1407 ^[26]	1407 ^[26]
swv02	20	10	1475 ^[26]	1475 ^[26]
swv03	20	10	1398 ^[7]	1398 ^[44]
swv04	20	10	1464 ^[46,47]	1464 ^[46,47]
swv05	20	10	1424 ^[26]	1424 ^[26]
swv06	20	15	1630 ^[46,47]	1671 ^[36]
swv07	20	15	1513 ^[46,47]	1594 ^[30]
swv08	20	15	1671 ^[46,47]	1752 ^[11]
swv09	20	15	1633 ^[46,47]	1655 ^[30]
swv10	20	15	1663 ^[46,47]	1743 ^[18]
swv11	50	10	2983 ^[44]	2983 ^[33]
swv12	50	10	2972 ^[44]	2977 ^[36]
swv13	50	10	3104 ^[44]	3104 ^[43]
swv14	50	10	2968 ^[4]	2968 ^[4]
swv15	50	10	2885 ^[44]	2885 ^[36]
swv16	50	10	2924 ^[39]	2924 ^[39]
swv17	50	10	2794 ^[39]	2794 ^[39]
swv18	50	10	2852 ^[39]	2852 ^[39]
swv19	50	10	2843 ^[39]	2843 ^[39]
swv20	50	10	2823 ^[39]	2823 ^[39]

^[4] Balas and Vazacopoulos (1994)

^[7] Brinkkötter and Brucker (2001)

^[11] Cheng, Peng, and Lü (2013)

^[18] Gonçalves and Resende (2014)

^[26] Martin (1996)

^[30] Nagata and Tojo (2009)

^[33] Nowicki and Smutnicki (2005)

^[36] Peng, Lü, and Cheng (2015)

^[39] Storer, Wu, and Vaccari (1992)

^[43] Thomsen (1997)

^[44] Vaessens (1996)

^[46] Vilím, Laborie, and Shaw (2015)

^[47] Vilím, Laborie, and Shaw (2015)

Table 5: Instances of Storer, Wu, and Vaccari [39]

Yamada and Nakano

TAKESHI YAMADA and RYOHEI NAKANO. *A genetic algorithm applicable to large-scale job-shop instances*. In: *Parallel instance solving from nature 2*: 281–290. ed. by REINHARD MÄNNER and BERNARD MANDERICK. Elsevier, 1992.

Instance	# jobs	# machines	Lower bound	Upper bound
yn1	20	20	884 ^[23]	884 ^[50]
yn2	20	20	870 ^[7]	904 ^[18]
yn3	20	20	859 ^[46,47]	892 ^[33]
yn4	20	20	929 ^[46,47]	968 ^[43]

^[7] Brinkkötter and Brucker (2001)

^[18] Gonçalves and Resende (2014)

^[23] Koshimura et al. (2010)

^[33] Nowicki and Smutnicki (2005)

^[43] Thomsen (1997)

^[46] Vilím, Laborie, and Shaw (2015)

^[47] Vilím, Laborie, and Shaw (2015)

^[50] Zhang et al. (2008)

Table 6: Instances of Yamada and Nakano [48]

Taillard

E.D. TAILLARD. *Benchmarks for basic scheduling problems*. European Journal of Operational Research, 64.2: 278–285, 1993

Instance	# jobs	# machines	Lower bound	Upper bound
ta01	15	15	1231 ^[42,40]	1231 ^[42,40]
ta02	15	15	1244 ^a	1244 ^[31]
ta03	15	15	1218 ^[7]	1218 ^[4]
ta04	15	15	1175 ^[7]	1175 ^b
ta05	15	15	1224 ^[7]	1224 ^[7]
ta06	15	15	1238 ^[7]	1238 ^[7]
ta07	15	15	1227 ^[7]	1227 ^[7]
ta08	15	15	1217 ^[7]	1217 ^[4]
ta09	15	15	1274 ^[7]	1274 ^[4]
ta10	15	15	1241 ^a	1241 ^[4]

^[4] Balas and Vazacopoulos (1994)

^[7] Brinkkötter and Brucker (2001)

^[31] Nowicki and Smutnicki (1996)

^[40] Taillard (1993)

^[42] Taillard (1994)

^a R.J.M. Vaessens (1995) [see 41]

^b M. Wennink (1995) [see 41]

Table 7: Instances of Taillard [40]

Instance	# jobs	# machines	Lower bound	Upper bound
ta11	20	15	1357 ^[46,47]	1357 ^[34]
ta12	20	15	1367 ^[46,47]	1367 ^[4]
ta13	20	15	1342 ^[46,47]	1342 ^[19]
ta14	20	15	1345 ^a	1345 ^[31]
ta15	20	15	1339 ^[46,47]	1339 ^[34]
ta16	20	15	1360 ^[46,47]	1360 ^{[19] b}
ta17	20	15	1462 ^c	1462 ^{[33] d}
ta18	20	15	1377 ^[46,47]	1396 ^[4]
ta19	20	15	1332 ^[46,47]	1332 ^[34]
ta20	20	15	1348 ^[46,47]	1348 ^[34]
ta21	20	20	1642 ^[46,47]	1642 ^[6]
ta22	20	20	1561 ^[46,47]	1600 ^{[33] e}
ta23	20	20	1518 ^[46,47]	1557 ^{[33] e}
ta24	20	20	1644 ^[46,47]	1644 ^[6]
ta25	20	20	1558 ^[46,47]	1595 ^{[33] d}
ta26	20	20	1591 ^[46,47]	1643 ^[6]
ta27	20	20	1652 ^[46,47]	1680 ^{[33] e}
ta28	20	20	1603 ^[46,47]	1603 ^[50]
ta29	20	20	1573 ^[46,47]	1625 ^f
ta30	20	20	1519 ^[46,47]	1584 ^{[33] e}
ta31	30	15	1764 ^[40]	1764 ^g
ta32	30	15	1774 ^[40]	1784 ^h
ta33	30	15	1788 ^[46,47]	1791 ^[35]
ta34	30	15	1828 ^[40]	1829 ^{[33] e}
ta35	30	15	2007 ^a	2007 ^[42,40]
ta36	30	15	1819 ^a	1819 ^g
ta37	30	15	1771 ^[40]	1771 ^[36]
ta38	30	15	1673 ^[40]	1673 ^b
ta39	30	15	1795 ^a	1795 ^g
ta40	30	15	1651 ^[46,47]	1669 ^[18]

^[4] Balas and Vazacopoulos (1994)

^[6] Beck, Feng, and Watson (2011)

^[18] Gonçalves and Resende (2014)

^[19] Henning (2002)

^[31] Nowicki and Smutnicki (1996)

^[33] Nowicki and Smutnicki (2005)

^[34] Pardalos and Shylo (2006)

^[35] Pardalos, Shylo, and Vazacopoulos (2010)

^[36] Peng, Lü, and Cheng (2015)

^[40] Taillard (1993)

^[42] Taillard (1994)

^[46] Vilím, Laborie, and Shaw (2015)

^[47] Vilím, Laborie, and Shaw (2015)

^[50] Zhang et al. (2008)

^a R.J.M. Vaessens (1995) [see 41]

^b A. Henning (2000) [see 41]

^c R. Schilham (2000) [see 41]

^d Achieved in 2002 [see 41]

^e Achieved in 2001 [see 41]

^f E. Aarts (1996) [see 41]

^g E. Aarts, H. ten Eikelder, J.K.

Lenstra and R. Schilham (1999) [see 41]

^h In [35] (2010) [see 38]. However 1790 is mentioned. 1785 is found in [18]

Table 7: Instances of Taillard [40] (continued)

Instance	# jobs	# machines	Lower bound	Upper bound
ta41	30	20	1906 ^[46,47]	2005 ^[29]
ta42	30	20	1884 ^[46,47]	1937 ^[18]
ta43	30	20	1809 ^a	1846 ^[36]
ta44	30	20	1948 ^[46,47]	1979 ^[29]
ta45	30	20	1997 ^a	2000 ^{[33] b}
ta46	30	20	1957 ^[46,47]	2004 ^[18]
ta47	30	20	1807 ^[46,47]	1889 ^[36]
ta48	30	20	1912 ^a	1941 ^c
ta49	30	20	1931 ^[46,47]	1961 ^[29]
ta50	30	20	1833 ^[46,47]	1923 ^c
<hr/>				
ta51	50	15	2760 ^[42,40]	2760 ^[42,40]
ta52	50	15	2756 ^[42,40]	2756 ^[42,40]
ta53	50	15	2717 ^[42,40]	2717 ^[42,40]
ta54	50	15	2839 ^[42,40]	2839 ^[42,40]
ta55	50	15	2679 ^[40]	2679 ^[31]
ta56	50	15	2781 ^[42,40]	2781 ^[42,40]
ta57	50	15	2943 ^[42,40]	2943 ^[42,40]
ta58	50	15	2885 ^[42,40]	2885 ^[42,40]
ta59	50	15	2655 ^[42,40]	2655 ^[42,40]
ta60	50	15	2723 ^[42,40]	2723 ^[42,40]
<hr/>				
ta61	50	20	2868 ^[40]	2868 ^[31]
ta62	50	20	2869 ^a	2869 ^d
ta63	50	20	2755 ^[40]	2755 ^[31]
ta64	50	20	2702 ^[4]	2702 ^[31]
ta65	50	20	2725 ^[40]	2725 ^[31]
ta66	50	20	2845 ^[40]	2845 ^[31]
ta67	50	20	2825 ^a	2825 ^[21]
ta68	50	20	2784 ^[4]	2784 ^[31]
ta69	50	20	3071 ^[40]	3071 ^[31]
ta70	50	20	2995 ^[40]	2995 ^[31]

^[4] Balas and Vazacopoulos (1994)

^[18] Gonçalves and Resende (2014)

^[21] Jain (1998)

^[29] Nagata and Ono (2013)

^[31] Nowicki and Smutnicki (1996)

^[33] Nowicki and Smutnicki (2005)

^[36] Peng, Lü, and Cheng (2015)

^[40] Taillard (1993)

^[42] Taillard (1994)

^[46] Vilím, Laborie, and Shaw (2015)

^[47] Vilím, Laborie, and Shaw (2015)

^a R.J.M. Vaessens (1995) [see 41]

^b Achieved in 2001 [see 41]

^c O. V. Shylo (2013) [see 38]

^d J. P. Caldeira (2003) [see 41]

Table 7: Instances of Taillard [40] (continued)

Instance	# jobs	# machines	Lower bound	Upper bound
ta71	100	20	5464 ^[42,40]	5464 ^[42,40]
ta72	100	20	5181 ^[42,40]	5181 ^[42,40]
ta73	100	20	5568 ^[42,40]	5568 ^[42,40]
ta74	100	20	5339 ^[42,40]	5339 ^[42,40]
ta75	100	20	5392 ^[42,40]	5392 ^[42,40]
ta76	100	20	5342 ^[42,40]	5342 ^[42,40]
ta77	100	20	5436 ^[42,40]	5436 ^[42,40]
ta78	100	20	5394 ^[42,40]	5394 ^[42,40]
ta79	100	20	5358 ^[42,40]	5358 ^[42,40]
ta80	100	20	5183 ^[40]	5183 ^[31]

^[31] Nowicki and Smutnicki (1996)

^[40] Taillard (1993)

^[42] Taillard (1994)

Table 7: Instances of Taillard [40] (continued)

Demirkol, Mehta, and Uzsoy

EBRU DEMIRKOL, SANJAY MEHTA, and REHA UZSOY. *Benchmarks for shop scheduling problems*. European Journal of Operational Research, 109.1: 137–141, 1998

Instance	# jobs	# machines	Lower bound	Upper bound
dmu01	20	15	2501 ^[7]	2563 ^[19]
dmu02	20	15	2651 ^[7]	2706 ^[19]
dmu03	20	15	2731 ^[7]	2731 ^[7]
dmu04	20	15	2601 ^[7]	2669 ^[7]
dmu05	20	15	2749 ^[7]	2749 ^[7]
dmu06	20	20	3042 ^[20]	3244 ^[35]
dmu07	20	20	2828 ^[20]	3046 ^[35]
dmu08	20	20	3051 ^a	3188 ^[35]
dmu09	20	20	2956 ^a	3092 ^[19]
dmu10	20	20	2858 ^a	2984 ^[34]

^[7] Brinkkötter and Brucker (2001)

^[19] Henning (2002)

^[20] van Hoorn (2016)

^[34] Pardalos and Shylo (2006)

^[35] Pardalos, Shylo, and Vazacopoulos (2010)

^a Gharbi and Labidi (2011) using algorithms described in [17] [see 38]

Table 8: Instances of Demirkol, Mehta, and Uzsoy [14]

Instance	# jobs	# machines	Lower bound	Upper bound
dmu11	30	15	3395 ^[12,13,14]	3430 ^[36]
dmu12	30	15	3481 ^[20]	3495 ^[36]
dmu13	30	15	3681 ^[12,13,14]	3681 ^[49]
dmu14	30	15	3394 ^[12,13,14]	3394 ^[32]
dmu15	30	15	3343 ^a	3343 ^[21]
dmu16	30	20	3734 ^a	3751 ^[18]
dmu17	30	20	3709 ^a	3814 ^b
dmu18	30	20	3844 ^[12,13,14]	3844 ^[18]
dmu19	30	20	3672 ^[20]	3768 ^[36]
dmu20	30	20	3604 ^[12,13,14]	3710 ^[36]
dmu21	40	15	4380 ^[12,13,14]	4380 ^[21]
dmu22	40	15	4725 ^[12,13,14]	4725 ^[21]
dmu23	40	15	4668 ^[12,13,14]	4668 ^[21]
dmu24	40	15	4648 ^[12,13,14]	4648 ^[21]
dmu25	40	15	4164 ^[12,13,14]	4164 ^[21]
dmu26	40	20	4647 ^[12,13,14]	4647 ^[49]
dmu27	40	20	4848 ^[12,13,14]	4848 ^[32]
dmu28	40	20	4692 ^[12,13,14]	4692 ^[21]
dmu29	40	20	4691 ^[12,13,14]	4691 ^[32]
dmu30	40	20	4732 ^[12,13,14]	4732 ^[32]
dmu31	50	15	5640 ^[12,13,14]	5640 ^[21]
dmu32	50	15	5927 ^[12,13,14]	5927 ^[12,13,14]
dmu33	50	15	5728 ^[12,13,14]	5728 ^[12,13,14]
dmu34	50	15	5385 ^[12,13,14]	5385 ^[12,13,14]
dmu35	50	15	5635 ^[12,13,14]	5635 ^[12,13,14]
dmu36	50	20	5621 ^[12,13,14]	5621 ^[21]
dmu37	50	20	5851 ^[12,13,14]	5851 ^[32]
dmu38	50	20	5713 ^[12,13,14]	5713 ^[21]
dmu39	50	20	5747 ^[12,13,14]	5747 ^[21]
dmu40	50	20	5577 ^[12,13,14]	5577 ^[21]

^[12] Demirkol, Mehta, and Uzsoy (1996)

^[13] Demirkol, Mehta, and Uzsoy (1997)

^[14] Demirkol, Mehta, and Uzsoy (1998)

^[18] Gonçalves and Resende (2014)

^[20] van Hoorn (2016)

^[21] Jain (1998)

^[32] Nowicki and Smutnicki (2001)

^[36] Peng, Lü, and Cheng (2015)

^[49] Zhang et al. (2007)

^a Gharbi and Labidi (2011) using algorithms described in [17] [see 38]

^b O. V. Shylo (2013) [see 38]

Table 8: Instances of Demirkol, Mehta, and Uzsoy [14] (continued)

Instance	# jobs	# machines	Lower bound	Upper bound
dmu41	20	15	3007 ^a	3248 ^[36]
dmu42	20	15	3224 ^[20]	3390 ^[36]
dmu43	20	15	3292 ^a	3441 ^b
dmu44	20	15	3299 ^[20]	3488 ^[18]
dmu45	20	15	3039 ^[20]	3272 ^b
dmu46	20	20	3575 ^a	4035 ^b
dmu47	20	20	3522 ^a	3939 ^[18]
dmu48	20	20	3447 ^a	3763 ^b
dmu49	20	20	3403 ^a	3710 ^[36]
dmu50	20	20	3496 ^a	3729 ^[36]
dmu51	30	15	3954 ^[20]	4167 ^[36]
dmu52	30	15	4094 ^[20]	4311 ^[36]
dmu53	30	15	4141 ^a	4394 ^[36]
dmu54	30	15	4202 ^a	4362 ^b
dmu55	30	15	4146 ^[20]	4271 ^[36]
dmu56	30	20	4554 ^a	4941 ^[36]
dmu57	30	20	4302 ^a	4655 ^b
dmu58	30	20	4319 ^a	4708 ^[36]
dmu59	30	20	4219 ^[20]	4624 ^[36]
dmu60	30	20	4319 ^a	4755 ^[36]
dmu61	40	15	4917 ^a	5172 ^b
dmu62	40	15	5041 ^[20]	5265 ^b
dmu63	40	15	5111 ^a	5326 ^[36]
dmu64	40	15	5130 ^[12,13,14]	5250 ^b
dmu65	40	15	5107 ^[20]	5190 ^b
dmu66	40	20	5397 ^[20]	5717 ^[36]
dmu67	40	20	5589 ^a	5813 ^b
dmu68	40	20	5426 ^a	5773 ^[36]
dmu69	40	20	5423 ^a	5709 ^[36]
dmu70	40	20	5501 ^a	5889 ^b

^[12] Demirkol, Mehta, and Uzsoy (1996)

^[13] Demirkol, Mehta, and Uzsoy (1997)

^[14] Demirkol, Mehta, and Uzsoy (1998)

^[18] Gonçalves and Resende (2014)

^[20] van Hoorn (2016)

^[36] Peng, Lü, and Cheng (2015)

^a Gharbi and Labidi (2011) using algorithms described in [17] [see 38]

^b O. V. Shylo (2013) [see 38]

Table 8: Instances of Demirkol, Mehta, and Uzsoy [14] (continued)

Instance	# jobs	# machines	Lower bound	Upper bound
dmu71	50	15	6080 ^a	6223 ^[36]
dmu72	50	15	6395 ^a	6483 ^[36]
dmu73	50	15	6001 ^a	6163 ^[36]
dmu74	50	15	6123 ^a	6220 ^b
dmu75	50	15	6029 ^a	6197 ^[36]
dmu76	50	20	6342 ^a	6813 ^[36]
dmu77	50	20	6499 ^a	6822 ^[36]
dmu78	50	20	6586 ^a	6770 ^[36]
dmu79	50	20	6650 ^a	6970 ^[36]
dmu80	50	20	6459 ^a	6686 ^[36]

^[36] Peng, Lü, and Cheng (2015)

^a Gharbi and Labidi (2011) using algorithms described in [17] [see 38]

^b O. V. Shylo (2013) [see 38]

Table 8: Instances of Demirkol, Mehta, and Uzsoy [14] (continued)

References

- [1] JOSEPH ADAMS, EGON BALAS, and DANIEL ZAWACK. *The Shifting Bottleneck Procedure for Job Shop Scheduling*. Management Science, 34.3: 391–401, 1988. DOI: [10.1287/mnsc.34.3.391](https://doi.org/10.1287/mnsc.34.3.391) JSTOR: [2632051](https://www.jstor.org/stable/2632051)
- [2] DAVID APPLGATE and WILLIAM COOK. *A Computational Study of the Job-Shop Scheduling Problem*. ORSA Journal on Computing, 3.2: 149–156, 1991. DOI: [10.1287/ijoc.3.2.149](https://doi.org/10.1287/ijoc.3.2.149)
- [3] EGON BALAS. *Machine Sequencing via Disjunctive Graphs: An Implicit Enumeration Algorithm*. Operations Research, 17.6: 941–957, 1969. DOI: [10.1287/opre.17.6.941](https://doi.org/10.1287/opre.17.6.941) JSTOR: [168317](https://www.jstor.org/stable/168317)
- [4] EGON BALAS and ALKIS VAZACOPOULOS. *Guided Local Search with Shifting Bottleneck for Job Shop Scheduling*. Tech. rep. Management Science Research Report, 609. Carnegie Mellon University, 1994
- [5] J. E. BEASLEY. *OR-Library (jobshop1.txt)*. URL: <http://people.brunel.ac.uk/~mastjjb/jeb/orlib/files/jobshop1.txt>
- [6] J. CHRISTOPHER BECK, T. K. FENG, and JEAN-PAUL WATSON. *Combining Constraint Programming and Local Search for Job-Shop Scheduling*. INFORMS Journal on Computing, 23.1: 1–14, 2011. DOI: [10.1287/ijoc.1100.0388](https://doi.org/10.1287/ijoc.1100.0388)
- [7] WOLFGANG BRINKKÖTTER and PETER BRUCKER. *Solving open benchmark instances for the job-shop problem by parallel head-tail adjustments*. Journal of Scheduling, 4.1: 53–64, 2001. DOI: [10.1002/1099-1425\(200101/02\)4:1<53::AID-JOS59>3.0.CO;2-Y](https://doi.org/10.1002/1099-1425(200101/02)4:1<53::AID-JOS59>3.0.CO;2-Y)

- [8] J. CARLIER and E. PINSON. *An Algorithm for Solving the Job-shop Problem*. Management Science, 35.2: 164–176, 1989. DOI: [10.1287/mnsc.35.2.164](https://doi.org/10.1287/mnsc.35.2.164) JSTOR: 2631909
- [9] J. CARLIER and E. PINSON. *A Practical Use of Jackson’s Preemptive Schedule for Solving the Job Shop Problem*. Annals of Operations Research, 26: 269–287, 1990
- [10] J. CARLIER and E. PINSON. *Adjustment of heads and tails for the job-shop problem*. European Journal of Operational Research, 78.2: 146–161, 1994. DOI: [10.1016/0377-2217\(94\)90379-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/0377-2217(94)90379-4)
- [11] T.C.E. CHENG, BO PENG, and ZHIPENG LÜ. *A hybrid evolutionary algorithm to solve the job shop scheduling problem*. Annals of Operations Research: 1–15, 2013. DOI: [10.1007/s10479-013-1332-5](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10479-013-1332-5)
- [12] EBRU DEMIRKOL, SANJAY V. MEHTA, and REHA UZSOY. *Benchmarking for Shop Scheduling Problems*. Tech. rep. Research memorandum, 96-4. Purdue University, 1996
- [13] EBRU DEMIRKOL, SANJAY MEHTA, and REHA UZSOY. *A Computational Study of Shifting Bottleneck Procedures for Shop Scheduling Problems*. Journal of Heuristics, 3.2: 111–137, 1997. DOI: [10.1023/A:1009627429878](https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1009627429878)
- [14] EBRU DEMIRKOL, SANJAY MEHTA, and REHA UZSOY. *Benchmarks for shop scheduling problems*. European Journal of Operational Research, 109.1: 137–141, 1998. DOI: [10.1016/S0377-2217\(97\)00019-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0377-2217(97)00019-2)
- [15] H. FISHER and G. L. THOMPSON. *Probabilistic Learning Combinations of Local Job-Shop Scheduling Rules*. In: *Industrial Scheduling*, 15: 225–251. ed. by J. F. MUTH and G. L. THOMPSON. Prentice Hall, 1963. OCLC: 781815542
- [16] M. FLORIAN, P. TREPANT, and G. MCMAHON. *An Implicit Enumeration Algorithm for the Machine Sequencing Problem*. Management Science, 17.12: B-782–B-792, 1971. DOI: [10.1287/mnsc.17.12.B782](https://doi.org/10.1287/mnsc.17.12.B782) JSTOR: 2629469
- [17] ANIS GHARBI and MOHAMED LABIDI. *Extending the Single Machine-Based Relaxation Scheme for the Job Shop Scheduling Problem*. Electronic Notes in Discrete Mathematics, 36: 1057–1064, 2010. DOI: [10.1016/j.endm.2010.05.134](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.endm.2010.05.134)
- [18] JOSÉ FERNANDO GONÇALVES and MAURICIO G. C. RESENDE. *An extended Akers graphical method with a biased random-key genetic algorithm for job-shop scheduling*. International Transactions in Operational Research, 21.2: 215–246, 2014. DOI: [10.1111/itor.12044](https://doi.org/10.1111/itor.12044)
- [19] ANDRÉ HENNING. *Praktische Job-Shop Scheduling-Probleme*. PhD thesis. Friedrich-Schiller-Universität Jena, 2002. URL: <http://www.db-thueringen.de/servlets/DocumentServlet?id=873>
- [20] JELKE J. VAN HOORN. *Dynamic Programming for Routing and Scheduling: Optimizing Sequences of Decisions*. PhD thesis. VU University Amsterdam, 2016. ISBN: 978-94-6332-008-5. URL: <http://dare.ubvu.vu.nl/handle/1871/54396>

- [21] ANANT SINGH JAIN. *A Multi-Level Hybrid Framework for the Deterministic Job-Shop Scheduling Problem*. PhD thesis. University Of Dundee, 1998. URL: <http://www.personal.dundee.ac.uk/~asjain/papers/publications.html>
- [22] A.S. JAIN and S. MEERAN. *Deterministic job-shop scheduling: Past, present and future*. European Journal of Operational Research, 113.2: 390–434, 1999. DOI: [10.1016/S0377-2217\(98\)00113-1](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0377-2217(98)00113-1)
- [23] MIYUKI KOSHIMURA, HIDETOMO NABESHIMA, HIROSHI FUJITA, and RYUZO HASEGAWA. *Solving Open Job-Shop Scheduling Problems by SAT Encoding*. IEICE Transactions on Information and Systems, E93.D.8: 2316–2318, 2010. DOI: [10.1587/transinf.E93.D.2316](https://doi.org/10.1587/transinf.E93.D.2316)
- [24] PETER J. M. VAN LAARHOVEN, EMILE H. L. AARTS, and JAN KAREL LENSTRA. *Job shop scheduling by simulated annealing*. Operations Research, 40.1: 113–125, 1992. DOI: [10.1287/opre.40.1.113](https://doi.org/10.1287/opre.40.1.113) JSTOR: [171189](https://www.jstor.org/stable/171189)
- [25] S. LAWRENCE. *Resource Constrained Project Scheduling: An Experimental Investigation of Heuristic Scheduling Techniques (Supplement)*. Carnegie-Mellon University, 1984
- [26] PAUL DOUGLAS MARTIN. *A time-oriented approach to computing optimal schedules for the job-shop scheduling problem*. PhD thesis. Cornell University, 1996. OCLC: [64683112](https://www.worldcat.org/oclc/64683112)
- [27] HIROFUMI MATSUO, CHANG JUCK SUH, and ROBERT S. SULLIVAN. *A Controlled Search Simulated Annealing Method for the General Job-Shop Scheduling Problem*. Working paper 03-04-88. The University of Texas at Austin, 1988
- [28] GRAHAM MCMAHON and MICHAEL FLORIAN. *On Scheduling with Ready Times and Due Dates to Minimize Maximum Lateness*. Operations Research, 23.3: 475–482, 1975. DOI: [10.1287/opre.23.3.475](https://doi.org/10.1287/opre.23.3.475) JSTOR: [169697](https://www.jstor.org/stable/169697)
- [29] YUICHI NAGATA and ISAO ONO. *Guided Constructive Local Search for the Job Shop Scheduling Problem*. 2013. submitted
- [30] YUICHI NAGATA and SATOSHI TOJO. *Guided Ejection Search for the Job Shop Scheduling Problem*. In: *Evolutionary Computation in Combinatorial Optimization*: 168–179. ed. by CARLOS COTTA and PETER COWLING. LNCS, 5482. Springer, 2009. ISBN: [978-3-642-01008-8](https://www.worldcat.org/oclc/978-3-642-01008-8) DOI: [10.1007/978-3-642-01009-5_15](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-01009-5_15)
- [31] EUGENIUSZ NOWICKI and CZESLAW SMUTNICKI. *A Fast Taboo Search Algorithm for the Job Shop Problem*. Management Science, 42.6: 797–813, 1996. DOI: [10.1287/mnsc.42.6.797](https://doi.org/10.1287/mnsc.42.6.797) JSTOR: [2634595](https://www.jstor.org/stable/2634595)
- [32] EUGENIUSZ NOWICKI and CZESLAW SMUTNICKI. *Some new tools to solve the job-shop problem*. Tech. rep. 60/02. Wroclaw University of Technology, 2001

- [33] EUGENIUSZ NOWICKI and CZESLAW SMUTNICKI. *An Advanced Tabu Search Algorithm for the Job Shop Problem*. Journal of Scheduling, 8.2: 145–159, 2005. DOI: [10.1007/s10951-005-6364-5](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10951-005-6364-5)
- [34] PANOS M. PARDALOS and OLEG V. SHYLO. *An Algorithm for the Job Shop Scheduling Problem based on Global Equilibrium Search Techniques*. Computational Management Science, 3.4: 331–348, 2006. DOI: [10.1007/s10287-006-0023-y](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10287-006-0023-y)
- [35] PANOS M. PARDALOS, OLEG V. SHYLO, and ALKIS VAZACOPOULOS. *Solving job shop scheduling problems utilizing the properties of backbone and “big valley”*. Computational Optimization and Applications, 47.1: 61–76, 2010. DOI: [10.1007/s10589-008-9206-5](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10589-008-9206-5)
- [36] BO PENG, ZHIPENG LÜ, and T.C.E. CHENG. *A tabu search/path relinking algorithm to solve the job shop scheduling problem*. Computers & Operations Research, 53: 154–164, 2015. DOI: [10.1016/j.cor.2014.08.006](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cor.2014.08.006)
- [37] LINUS SCHRAGE. *Solving Resource-Constrained Network Problems by Implicit Enumeration-Nonpreemptive Case*. Operations Research, 18.2: 263–278, 1970. DOI: [10.1287/opre.18.2.263](https://doi.org/10.1287/opre.18.2.263) JSTOR: [168683](https://www.jstor.org/stable/168683)
- [38] OLEG V. SHYLO. *Job Shop Scheduling at Oleg V. Shylo: Personal Webpage*. URL: <http://optimizer.com/jobshop.php>
- [39] ROBERT H. STORER, S. DAVID WU, and RENZO VACCARI. *New Search Spaces for Sequencing Problems with Application to Job Shop Scheduling*. Management Science, 38.10: 1495–1509, 1992. DOI: [10.1287/mnsc.38.10.1495](https://doi.org/10.1287/mnsc.38.10.1495)
- [40] E.D. TAILLARD. *Benchmarks for basic scheduling problems*. European Journal of Operational Research, 64.2: 278–285, 1993. DOI: [10.1016/0377-2217\(93\)90182-M](https://doi.org/10.1016/0377-2217(93)90182-M)
- [41] ÉRIC D. TAILLARD. *Éric Taillard’s page*. URL: <http://mistic.heig-vd.ch/taillard/problemes.dir/ordonnancement.dir/ordonnancement.html>
- [42] ÉRIC D. TAILLARD. *Parallel Taboo Search Techniques for the Job Shop Scheduling Problem*. ORSA Journal on Computing, 6.2: 108–117, 1994. DOI: [10.1287/ijoc.6.2.108](https://doi.org/10.1287/ijoc.6.2.108)
- [43] SØREN THOMSEN. *Metaheuristikker kombineret med Branch & Bound*. MA thesis. Copenhagen Business School, 1997. OCLC: [464628711](https://www.worldcat.org/oclc/464628711)
- [44] R. J. M. VAESSENS. *Addition to the OR-Library [5]*. 1996
- [45] R. J. M. VAESSENS, E.H.L. AARTS, and J.K. LENSTRA. *Job Shop Scheduling by Local Search*. INFORMS Journal on Computing, 8.3: 302–317, 1996. DOI: [10.1287/ijoc.8.3.302](https://doi.org/10.1287/ijoc.8.3.302)
- [46] PETR VILÍM, PHILIPPE LABORIE, and PAUL SHAW. *Failure-Directed Search for Constraint-Based Scheduling*. In: *Integration of AI and OR Techniques in Constraint Programming*: 437–453. ed. by LAURENT MICHEL. LNCS, 9075. Springer, 2015. ISBN: [978-3-319-18007-6](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-18007-6) DOI: [10.1007/978-3-319-18008-3_30](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-18008-3_30)

- [47] PETR VILÍM, PHILIPPE LABORIE, and PAUL SHAW. *Failure-Directed Search for Constraint-Based Scheduling — Detailed Experimental Results*. 2015. URL: <http://vilim.eu/petr/cpaior2015-results.pdf>
- [48] TAKESHI YAMADA and RYOHEI NAKANO. *A genetic algorithm applicable to large-scale job-shop instances*. In: *Parallel instance solving from nature 2*: 281–290. ed. by REINHARD MÄNNER and BERNARD MANDERICK. Elsevier, 1992. ISBN: 978-0-444-89730-5
- [49] CHAOYONG ZHANG, PEIGEN LI, ZAILIN GUAN, and YUNQING RAO. *A tabu search algorithm with a new neighborhood structure for the job shop scheduling problem*. *Computers & Operations Research*, 34.11: 3229–3242, 2007. DOI: [10.1016/j.cor.2005.12.002](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cor.2005.12.002)
- [50] CHAOYONG ZHANG, PEIGEN LI, YUNQING RAO, and ZAILIN GUAN. *A very fast TS/SA algorithm for the job shop scheduling problem*. *Computers & Operations Research*, 35.1: 282–294, 2008. DOI: [10.1016/j.cor.2006.02.024](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cor.2006.02.024)