

Dear IJCAI-03 Reviewers:

Thank you for agreeing to participate in the IJCAI-03 reviewing process.

Reading those instructions means that you were already assigned to review at least one paper for the IJCAI-03.

If you encounter any problems regarding the number of papers assigned to you, please contact your respective PC member(s) directly, since we have no influence on this process.

The IJCAI reviewing process is anonymous, but if you by any chance encounter a conflict of interest (e.g. a paper written by your close colleague or a family member), please report this immediately to the Program Committee member in charge.

Please bear in mind that this is the first time the new conference software management tool has been put to use, which means that there will certainly be some small bugs. Please be patient and report any bugs / problems you might encounter to ijcai@dbai.tuwien.ac.at and cc the message to ijcai03papers@aaai.org
We will be happy to help in any way we can.

Below, you will find explicit instructions on how to use the ConfMaster during the reviewing process.

The latest date for the submission of ALL your reviews is February 20, 2003.

However, you are strongly encouraged to start reviewing immediately and submitting your reviews as soon as possible.


This deadline is strict and non-changeable!!!

DIRECTIONS:

Please, use your login/password and log into the conference management software at:
www.aaaiservices.org/ConfMaster/pages/login.php?Conf=ijcai2003

If you lost or forgot your password, please press “password forgotten” and wait for a couple of minutes.

After you log in, you will see the following screen:



1. Go to “View assigned papers”.

2. You will see the following default screen:

EIGHTEENTH INTERNATIONAL JOINT CONFERENCE ON ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE
august 9 to 15, 2003

User: picard
• Start page
• Edit user data
• Logout

Author
• View own papers

Reviewer
• View assigned papers

List of assigned papers to review

view 10 | 50 | all per page

ID	Title	Authors	Evaluation	Significance	Originality	References	Consistency	Clarity	Reviewer
1	Accounting for Similarity-Based Reasoning within a Cognitive Architecture	(Blind Review)	Enter your own review first						
2	For a new Comprehension	(Blind Review)	Enter your own review first						
4	Deterministic Sampling: A Framework for Probabilistic Roadmap Planners	(Blind Review)	Enter your own review first						

Paper 1 to 3 of 3

Page 1 of 1

Copyright (C) www.ConfMaster.net, 2002-2003. powered by **Conf Master .net**

This page is the first page of a list of ALL papers assigned to you. **Please note that this is just an example and that you may receive more than 3 papers.**

3. Symbols:

- “R” stands for: Enter your review
- “D” stands for: Discussion, which can be triggered by the PC member in charge, only! Detailed instructions concerning this point will be available soon.
- “lens” stands for: View paper details and opens a separate page

- “Enter your own review first” takes you to the same page as “R”, but also indicates that you can’t see any other reviews for this paper before you enter your own!
 - “disk” stands for: download this paper
- NOTE: If the **arrow** beneath the disk is grey (DEFAULT COLOR IS GREEN), you won’t be able to download this paper and you will have to inform the PC member in charge!!!

ENTERING A REVIEW:

1. Once you’ve carefully read the downloaded paper, go to the review submission page.
2. You can either start by pressing “Enter your own review first” or by pressing “R”.

You will see the following screen divided in two screenshots:

EIGHTEENTH INTERNATIONAL JOINT CONFERENCE ON ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE
August 9 to 15, 2003

User: picard

- Start page
- Edit userdata
- Logout

Author

- View own papers

Reviewer

- View assigned papers

Enter review

Paper ID: 1

Paper authors: (Blind Review)

Paper title: Accounting for Similarity-Based Reasoning within a Cognitive Architecture

Paper subtitle:

Keywords: cognitive modeling, neural networks

Abstract: This work explores the importance of similarity-based reasoning in human everyday reasoning, beyond pure rule-based reasoning prevalent in AI and cognitive science. A unified framework encompassing both rule-based and similarity-based reasoning may provide explanations for a variety of human reasoning data, including both numerical measures and verbal protocols.

The paper implements this analysis in a cognitive architecture (Isc Clarion), which has previously succeeded in capturing a variety of human learning data in simulations.

The exploration of similarity-based reasoning in this architecture leads to a more complete and more comprehensive framework of human reasoning and learning. The simulation within this architecture accurately captures human reasoning data. This work demonstrates the significant role played by similarity-based reasoning. Furthermore, it demonstrates how such a reasoning process falls out of the existing structure in the cognitive architecture (Isc Clarion).

Fields marked with * are required!

	bad				middle						good	
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10		
Evaluation of work and contribution *	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>		
Significance to theory and practice *	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>		
Originality/novelty *	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>		
Relevance to the call of papers *	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>		
Readability and organization *	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>		
Overall recommendation *	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>		
Reviewer familiarity *	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>		

Comment to author *

Comment to members

Picture 1.

Eighteenth International Joint Conference on Artificial Intelligence - Netscape 6

Fields marked with * are required!

	bad				middle				good	
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
Evaluation of work and contribution *	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Significance to theory and practice *	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Originality novelty *	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Relevance to the call of papers *	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Readability and organization *	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Overall recommendation *	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Reviewer familiarity *	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

Comment to author *

Comment to members

Summary *

Nominate for best paper
 This paper fulfills the minimum requirement of a poster. ☒

Back Submit

Copyright (C) www.ConfMaster.net, 2002-2003. powered by Conf Master .net

Picture 2.

3. Here you can see this paper's abstract as well as all required fields for submitting a review.
4. Note that you can nominate paper for "The best paper" by checking the appropriate box. In addition if you think that this paper is not suitable for a full submission, but good enough to be accepted as a poster, you can leave the "poster box" checked (DEFAULT SETTING).

5. ENTERING YOUR OWN REVIEW

Please, be careful when grading each of the reviewing categories. Your comments must be written objectively and you should refrain from any offending remarks.

All fields marked with “*” are required and unless you fill them out properly and push the “submit” button” at the bottom of the screen below, your review will not be stored successfully.

Example:

The screenshot shows a web browser window titled "Eighteenth International Joint Conference on Artificial Intelligence - Netscape 6". The page is a review form with a yellow background. At the top, it says "Fields marked with * are required!". Below this is a table for rating different aspects of the paper. The table has columns for "bad", "middle", and "good", each with a range of 1 to 10. The rows are: "Evaluation of work and contribution *", "Significance to theory and practice *", "Originality novelty *", "Relevance to the call of papers *", "Readability and organization *", "Overall recommendation *", and "Reviewer familiarity *". Each row has a radio button next to each number. Below the table are three text input fields: "Comment to author *", "Comment to members", and "Summary *". Each field has a small instruction box next to it. The "Comment to author" box says "You must be aware of the fact that whatever you write here will be seen by the author." The "Comment to members" box says "This field is not obligatory. However if you decide to fill it out, your comments will be available to the Program Committee." The "Summary" box says "It is very important that you write a well balanced and comprehensive summary!". Below these fields are two checkboxes: "Nominate for best paper" and "This paper fulfills the minimum requirement of a poster.". At the bottom are "Back" and "Submit" buttons. The footer of the page says "Copyright (C) www.ConfMaster.net, 2002-2003." and "powered by Conf Master .net". The browser's status bar shows "Document: Done (1.031 sec)" and the system clock shows "19:44".

	bad			middle				good		
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
Evaluation of work and contribution *	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Significance to theory and practice *	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Originality novelty *	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Relevance to the call of papers *	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Readability and organization *	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Overall recommendation *	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Reviewer familiarity *	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

Comment to author *

You must be aware of the fact that whatever you write here will be seen by the author.

Comment to members

This field is not obligatory. However if you decide to fill it out, your comments will be available to the Program Committee.

Summary *

It is very important that you write a well balanced and comprehensive summary!

Nominate for best paper

☐ This paper fulfills the minimum requirement of a poster.

☒ This paper fulfills the minimum requirement of a poster.

Back Submit

Copyright (C) www.ConfMaster.net, 2002-2003. powered by Conf Master .net

Once you've submitted your own review, you will be able to see other reviews for this paper and still change yours, if you wish.

Example:

EIGHTEENTH INTERNATIONAL JOINT CONFERENCE ON ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE
august 9 to 15, 2003

User: picard

- Start page
- Edit userdata
- Logout

Author

- View own papers

Reviewer

- View assigned papers

List of assigned papers to review

view 10 | 50 | all per page

ID	Title	Authors	Evaluation	Significance	Originality	Relevance	Clarity	Overall	Reviewer
1	Accounting for Similarity-Based Reasoning within a Cognitive Architecture	(Blind Review)	8	8	8	8	8	8	8
2	For a new Comprehension	(Blind Review)	5	6	7	7	6	6	6
4	Deterministic Sampling: A Framework for Probabilistic Roadmap Planners	(Blind Review)	Enter your own review first				Enter your own review first		

Paper 1 to 3 of 3

Page 1 of 1

Copyright(C) www.ConfMaster.net, 2002-2003. powered by **Conf Master .net**