

Fuzzy Logic for Preferences expressible by convolutions

Krystian Jobczyk¹ and Maroua Bouzid² and Antoni Ligęza³ and Jerzy Karczmarczuk⁴

1 INTRODUCTION

In this paper we introduce a new extension of the Predicate Pavelka Logic [4], extended by P. Hajek [1], with the convolution integrals – to be called Fuzzy Integral Logic (*FLI*) suitable to express the preferences expressible by convolutions from the initial functions. We base on some observation from [2] and [3] and in Rossi *et al.* [5].

2 LOGICAL BACKGROUND OF THE ANALYSIS

We begin our construction of *FLI* with some introductory remarks on many-valued Łukasiewicz Propositional Logic *LukPL*, Rational Pavelka (Propositional) Logic (*RPL*) and Rational Pavelka (Predicate) Logic (*RPL* \forall).

Łukasiewicz propositional calculus *LukPL* is based on a language with the following connectives and constants: \rightarrow , \neg , \leftrightarrow , \wedge (weak conjunction), \otimes (strong conjunction), \vee (weak disjunction), \oplus (strong disjunction) and propositional constants $\bar{0}$ and $\bar{1}$. interpreted as follows: $\|\neg(\phi)\| = 1 - x$, $\|\rightarrow(\phi, \psi)\| = \min\{1, 1 - x + y\}$, $\|\wedge(\phi, \psi)\| = \min\{x, y\}$, $\|\vee(\phi, \psi)\| = \max\{x, y\}$, $\|\otimes(\phi, \psi)\| = \max\{0, x + y - 1\}$, and $\|\oplus(\phi, \psi)\| = \min\{1, x + y\}$ for any $x, y \in$ MV-algebra A. *LukPL* could be characterized as an extension of basic fuzzy logic BL by the axiom: $\neg\neg\phi \rightarrow \phi$. The axiomatics of *LukPL* and BL could be found in [1]. *LukPL* is complete with respect to all MV-algebras (*general completeness*).

Rational Pavelka Logic *RPL* extends *LukPL* and is based on the language of *LukPL* extended by new constants: $\hat{r}_1, \hat{r}_2, \hat{r}_3, \dots$, representing in the language $\mathcal{L}(\text{FLI})$ the rational numbers: $r_1, r_2, \dots, s_1, s_2, \dots$ etc. from the universe of MV-algebras.

Axioms of *RPL* are the axioms of *LukPL* plus the following ones:

$$\hat{r} \rightarrow \hat{s} = \widehat{\hat{r} \rightarrow s}, \quad \neg\hat{r} = \widehat{1 - r}$$

Example: $\widehat{0.6 \rightarrow 0.5} = \widehat{0.6} \widehat{\rightarrow} \widehat{0.5} = 1 - \widehat{0.6} + \widehat{0.5} = \widehat{0.9}; \widehat{\neg 0.3} = \widehat{0.7}$ because of Łukasiewicz's implication.

Rational Pavelka Predicate Logic *RPL* \forall extends the propositional language of *RPL* with general and existential quantifiers and by adding to them the axioms of first order predicate logic. The only inferential rule in *RPL* \forall in *Modus Ponens*.

Completeness of *RPL* \forall is usually expressed in the terms of the *Truth degree* of ϕ over T, which is defined as follows:

$$\|\phi\|_T = \inf\{\|\phi\|_M \mid M \models T \text{ over } \text{MV-algebra } [0, 1]\} \quad (1)$$

¹ University of Caen, France; e-mail: Krystian.Jobczyk@unicaen.fr

² University of Caen, France, email: Maroua.Bouzid@unicaen.fr

³ AGH University of Technology and Science, Kraków, Poland, email: ligeza@agh.edu.pl

⁴ University of Caen, France, email: jerzy.karczmarczuk@unicaen.fr

and the so-called *Provability degree* defined as follows:

$$|\phi|_T = \sup\{r \text{ rational} \mid T \vdash (\hat{r} \rightarrow \phi)\} \quad (2)$$

Completeness in such terms is equivalent to the condition: $\|\phi\|_T = |\phi|_T$ for each ϕ of fixed language of theory T.

Examples: If T is complete: its tautologies of T have both truth and provability degree 1; the contratautologies – 0.

3 NEW FUZZY LOGIC OF INTEGRALS *FLI*

We want to introduce now *FLI* based on $\mathcal{L}(RPL\forall)$ as a system able to express the convolution of type: $\int_0^x f(t)g(x - t)dt$ for $f, g \in L(\mathbf{R})$. We will aim at the expressing typical properties of convolutions such as: commutativity, distributivity and associativity wrt a scalar multiplication in our language $\mathcal{L}(\text{FLI})$. The approach is based at the definition of the integral $\int f d\mu$ (for each function $f: M \rightarrow [0, 1]$, M- countable or finite and a probability measure μ on M) defined as $\sum_{m \in M} f(m)\mu(m)$ and denoted shortly by $\int f dx$.

3.1 Syntax

The alphabet of $\mathcal{L}(\text{FLI})$ consists of:

- propositional variables: $\phi, \chi, \psi, \dots, x, y, \dots, \phi_t, \phi_{x-t}, \chi_t, \chi_{x-t}$
- rational constant names: $\hat{r}_1, \hat{r}_2, \dots, \hat{0}, \hat{1}$
- quantifiers: $\forall, \exists | \int()dx, \int()dxdy, \int_0^x()dt$
- operations: $\rightarrow, \neg, \vee, \wedge, \bullet, \oplus, \otimes, =$

Set of formulae *FOR*: The class of well-formed formulae *FOR* of $\mathcal{L}(\text{FLI})$ form *propositional variables* and *rational constants* as *atomic* formulae. The next - formulae obtained from given $\phi, \chi \in \text{FOR}$ by operations $\neg, \vee, \wedge, \rightarrow, \oplus, \otimes, \forall, \exists, \int()dx$ and the formulae obtained from $\phi_i, \chi_i \in \text{FOR}$ by operations $\neg, \vee, \wedge, \rightarrow, \oplus, \otimes, \bullet, \forall, \exists, \int_0^x()dt$. Finally, formulae obtained from $\phi_i \in \text{FOR}$ and rational numbers by operations $\neg, \vee, \wedge, \rightarrow, \oplus, \otimes, \bullet$ belong to *FOR* as well. These classes of formulae exhaust the list of *FOR* of $\mathcal{L}(\text{FLI})$.

Example: $\int_0^x \phi_t \bullet \chi_{x-t} dt \rightarrow \hat{r} \in \text{FOR}$, but $\int \phi dx \rightarrow \int_0^x \chi_t dt$ does not.

Axioms: We extend now the list of the following axioms considered by Hajek in [1]:

$$\int(\neg\phi)dx = \neg \int \phi dx, \quad \int(\phi \rightarrow \chi)dx \rightarrow (\int \phi dx \rightarrow \int \chi dx)$$

$$\int(\phi \otimes \chi)dx = ((\int \phi dx \rightarrow \int(\phi \wedge \chi)dx) \rightarrow \int \chi dx))$$

$$\int \int \phi dxdy = \int \int \phi dydx^5 \text{ (Fubini theorem):}$$

by new axioms defining the algebraic properties of convolutions:

$$\int_0^x \phi_t \bullet \chi_{x-t} dt = \int_0^x \phi_{x-t} \bullet \chi_t dt$$

⁵ If both sides are defined.

associativity wrt the scalar multiplication:

$$\widehat{r} \int_0^x \phi_t \bullet \chi_{x-t} dt = \int_0^x (\widehat{r}\phi_t) \bullet \chi_{x-t} dt, (r -- constant)$$

distributivity:

$$\int_0^x \phi_t \bullet (\chi_{x-t} \oplus \psi_t) dt = \int_0^x \phi_t \bullet \chi_{x-t} dt \oplus \int_0^x \phi_t \bullet \psi_{x-t} dt$$

As inference rules we assume *Modus Ponens*, generalization rule (like in *RPLV*) and two new specific rules:

$\frac{\phi}{\int \phi dx}, \frac{\phi \rightarrow \chi}{\int \phi dx \rightarrow \int \chi dx}$ and the same rules for indexed formulae and convolution integrals.

3.2 Semantics

Our intention is to interpret the axioms in another type of models, so-called *weak probabilistic model* for *FLI*. For this purpose we introduce the definition of the integrals I to interpret the \int -formulae of $\mathcal{L}(FLI)$. Let Alg be an algebra of the functions from $M \setminus \emptyset$ to $[0, 1]$ containing each rational constant function $r \in [0, 1]$ and closed on \Rightarrow (See: [1], p. 240). We define *weak integrals* on Alg as a mapping $I: f \in Alg \mapsto If(x) \in [0, 1]$ satisfying the conditions:

$$I(1 - f)dx = 1 - Ifdx, I(f \Rightarrow g)dx \leq (Ifdx \Rightarrow Igdx) \quad (3)$$

$$I(f \otimes_{Sem} g)dx = Ifdx + Igdx - I(f \wedge g)dx \quad (4)$$

$$I(Ifdx)dy = I(Ifdy)dx \quad (5)$$

We extend the above conditions, also considered in [1] by the following ones: (the commutativity of convolution:)

$$I_0^x(f(t)g(x-t))dt = I_0^xg(t)f(x-t)dt \quad (6)$$

$$rI_0^x f(t)g(x-t)dt = I_0^x(rf(t))g(x-t)dt \quad (7)$$

$$I_0^x f(t) \star (g(x-t) \oplus_{Sem} h(x-t))dt = \min\{1, I_0^x f(t) \star g(x-t)dt + I_0^x f(t) \star h(x-t)dt\} \quad (8)$$

Interpretation Let assume that $Int = (\Delta, \|\phi\|)$ with $\Delta \setminus \emptyset$ and the truth-value interpretation-function: $\|\cdot\|$ of formulae of $\mathcal{L}(RPLV)$. We inductively expand now this interpretation for new elements of the grammar $\mathcal{L}(FLI)$ as below.

syntax ($\phi \in \mathcal{L}(FLI)$)	fuzzy semantics ($\ \phi\ _{FLI}$)
ϕ_i	$f(i)$ for $i \in \{x, t, x-t, t-x\}$
$\int \phi dx, (\int_0^x \phi dx)$	$Ifdx, (I_0^x f dx)$
$\phi_i \bullet \chi_i$	$\ \phi_i\ \star \ \chi_i\ $ (i like above)
$\ \phi \otimes \chi\ , (\ \phi_i \otimes \chi_i\)$	$\ \phi\ \otimes_{Sem} \ \chi\ , (\ \phi_i\ \otimes_{Sem} \ \chi_i\)$
$\int_0^x \phi_t \bullet \chi_{x-t} dt$	$I_0^x g(t) \star f(x-t)dt$
$\widehat{r} \int_0^x dt$	$\ \widehat{r}\ \star \ I_0^x f\ dt = rI_0^x f dt$

The other operators of *FLI* are interpreted like in *RPLV* for formulae of ϕ and ϕ_i -type, especially: $\|\phi \oplus \chi\| = \|\phi\| \oplus_{Sem} \|\chi\| = \min\{1, \|\phi\| + \|\chi\|\}$.

Definition of the model: We define a *weak probabilistic model* M as a n -tuple of the form: $M = \langle |M|, \{r_0, r_1, \dots\}, f_i, If_i dx, I_0^x f_i g_j dt, \mathbf{0}, \mathbf{1}, \star \rangle$ where $|M|$ is a countable (or finite) set $\{r_0, r_1, \dots\}$, f_i are respectively: a set of rational numbers belonging to $|M|$, and atomic integrable functions. If_i are integrals on the algebra Alg of subsets of $|M|$ and $I_0^x f_i g_j dt$ are convolutions of f_i and g_j expressing preferences, and $\mathbf{0}$ and $\mathbf{1}$ are distinguished *min* and *max* elements (the best, the worst preferences). \star is a convolution multiplication.

Completeness theorem for *FLI*: For each theory T over predicate $\mathcal{L}(FLI)$ and for each formula $\phi \in \mathcal{L}(FLI)$ it holds: $|\phi|_T = \|\phi\|_T$.

Undecidability theorem for *FLI*: The set $TAUT_{FLI}$ of tautologies of the system *FLI* is undecidable and it is Π_2 -hard.

4 FLI AS LOGIC OF PREFERENCES

Here we justify that *FLI* could be recognized as a logic for preferences on a base of a short physical example.

Example: Consider that a compressible fluid A flows through two tubes P_1 and P_2 . Assume that both tubes are joined at the end and form one tube P with the same diameter as the tubes P_1 and P_2 . Assume that the fluid A has a density ρ_1 in P_1 and ρ_2 in P_2 . Let f_{ρ_1} and f_{ρ_2} be the probability density functions for ρ_1 and ρ_2 (resp.). We are interested in a computation of the probability density function f for the density ρ of the fluid A in a joined tube P . We prefer, however, that f should be smaller than a fixed $\alpha \in [0, 1]$. Our task is to build a weak probabilistic model for this preference.

Sketch of the solution: The densities ρ_1, ρ_2 of the fluid A are (together) independent random variables, hence the new density $\rho = \rho_1 + \rho_2$ and the new probability density function f for $\rho_1 + \rho_2$ is defined as follows:

$$f(x) = \int_0^x f_{\rho_1}(\rho) f_{\rho_2}(x-\rho) d\rho \quad (9)$$

Because (12) has to be smaller than α (in accordance with the preference of the physicists) we obtain:

$$Pref(x) : f(x) = \int_0^x f_{\rho_1}(\rho) f_{\rho_2}(x-\rho) d\rho < \alpha (\alpha \in [0, 1]) \quad (10)$$

as a condition for the considered preference and after reformulation:

$$f(x)_{Pref} = \frac{1}{\alpha} \int_0^x f_{\rho_1}(\rho) f_{\rho_2}(x-\rho) d\rho < 1 \quad (11)$$

Let moreover $F(x)_{Pref}$ - defined as follow:

$$F(x)_{Pref} = \frac{1}{\alpha} I_0^x f_{\rho_1}(\rho) f_{\rho_2}(x-\rho) d\rho < 1 \quad (12)$$

be a semantic integral representing $f(x)_{Pref}$ in a newly constructed weak possibilistic model \mathbf{M} . (12) ensures that the condition $F(x)_{Pref} \in [0, 1]$. After having the appropriate computation we can obtain from (12) a condition for a domain, say $|M|$, which must be countable, thus we take—for any case—a set $|M| \cap Q$ as our domain of \mathbf{M} . In result, the required model will be as follows: $\langle |M| \cap Q, f, f_{\rho_1}, f_{\rho_2}, \frac{1}{\alpha} I_0^x f_{\rho_1}(\rho) f_{\rho_2}(x-\rho), \mathbf{0}, \mathbf{1}, \star \rangle$.

5 DISCUSSION, CONCLUSIONS AND FUTURE WORK

The new complete and undecidable extensions of Pavelka-Hajek logic for convolutions has just been given. It has been also explained why *FLI* could be recognized as the logic for preferences.

It seems to be promising to expand the *FLI* towards a system able to express the problems of automatic generation of hypotheses based on empirical data (GUHA). Finally, *FLI* seems to be implementable inso-called π -calculus.

REFERENCES

- [1] P. Hajek, *Metamathematics of Fuzzy Logic*, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, 1998.
- [2] L. Khatab, P. Morris, R. Morris, and F. Rossi, ‘Temporal reasoning about preferences’, in *Proceedings of IJCAI-01*, pp. 322–327, (2001).
- [3] P. Morris, N. Muscettola, and F. Rossi, ‘Dynamic control of plans with temporal uncertainty’, in *Proceedings of IJCAI-01*, pp. 494–502, (2001).
- [4] J. Pavelka, ‘On fuzzy logic i, ii, iii’, in *Zeitschrift f. Math. Logik und Grundlagen der Math.*, volume 25, pp. 45–52, 119–134, 447–464, (1979).
- [5] F. Rossi, N. Yorke-Smith, and K. Venable, ‘Temporal reasoning with preferences and uncertainty’, in *Proceedings of AAAI*, volume 8, pp. 1385–1386, (2003).