

## Appendix Overview of the instances

### Fisher and Thompson

H. FISHER and G. L. THOMPSON. *Probabilistic Learning Combinations of Local Job-Shop Scheduling Rules*. In: *Industrial Scheduling*, 15: 225–251. ed. by J. F. MUTH and G. L. THOMPSON. Prentice Hall, 1963

Instance	# jobs	# machines	Lower bound	Upper bound
ft06	6	6	55 <sup>[16]</sup> <sup>a</sup>	<b>55</b> <sup>[16]</sup> <sup>a</sup>
ft10	10	10	930 <sup>[8]</sup> <sup>b</sup>	<b>930</b> <sup>c</sup>
ft20	20	5	1165 <sup>[28]</sup>	<b>1165</b> <sup>[28]</sup>

<sup>[8]</sup> Carlier and Pinson (1989)

<sup>[16]</sup> Florian, Trepant, and McMahon (1971)

<sup>[28]</sup> McMahon and Florian (1975)

<sup>a</sup> Using algorithms of Schrage [37] and Balas [3]

<sup>b</sup> Achieved in 1986 [see 1]

<sup>c</sup> B.J. Lageweg (1984) [see 24]

**Table 1:** Instances of Fisher and Thompson [15]

### Lawrence

S. LAWRENCE. *Resource Constrained Project Scheduling: An Experimental Investigation of Heuristic Scheduling Techniques (Supplement)*. Carnegie-Mellon University, 1984

Instance	# jobs	# machines	Lower bound	Upper bound
la01	10	5	666 <sup>[1]</sup>	<b>666</b> <sup>[1]</sup>
la02	10	5	655 <sup>[1]</sup>	<b>655</b> <sup>[27]</sup>
la03	10	5	597 <sup>[2]</sup>	<b>597</b> <sup>[27]</sup>
la04	10	5	590 <sup>[2]</sup>	<b>590</b> <sup>[27]</sup>
la05	10	5	593 <sup>[1]</sup>	<b>593</b> <sup>[1]</sup>
la06	15	5	926 <sup>[1]</sup>	<b>926</b> <sup>[1]</sup>
la07	15	5	890 <sup>[1]</sup>	<b>890</b> <sup>[1]</sup>
la08	15	5	863 <sup>[1]</sup>	<b>863</b> <sup>[1]</sup>
la09	15	5	951 <sup>[1]</sup>	<b>951</b> <sup>[1]</sup>
la10	15	5	958 <sup>[1]</sup>	<b>958</b> <sup>[27]</sup>

<sup>[1]</sup> Adams, Balas, and Zawack (1988)

<sup>[2]</sup> Applegate and Cook (1991)

<sup>[27]</sup> Matsuo, Suh, and Sullivan (1988)

**Table 2:** Instances of Lawrence [25]

Instance	# jobs	# machines	Lower bound	Upper bound
la11	20	5	1222 <sup>[1]</sup>	<b>1222<sup>[1]</sup></b>
la12	20	5	1039 <sup>[1]</sup>	<b>1039<sup>[1]</sup></b>
la13	20	5	1150 <sup>[1]</sup>	<b>1150<sup>[1]</sup></b>
la14	20	5	1292 <sup>[1]</sup>	<b>1292<sup>[1]</sup></b>
la15	20	5	1207 <sup>[1]</sup>	<b>1207<sup>[1]</sup></b>
la16	10	10	945 <sup>[9]</sup>	<b>945<sup>[9]</sup></b>
la17	10	10	784 <sup>[9]</sup>	<b>784<sup>[27]</sup></b>
la18	10	10	848 <sup>[2]</sup>	<b>848<sup>[27]</sup></b>
la19	10	10	842 <sup>[2]</sup>	<b>842<sup>[27]</sup></b>
la20	10	10	902 <sup>[2]</sup>	<b>902<sup>[2]</sup></b>
la21	15	10	1046 <sup>[45]</sup>	<b>1046<sup>[45]</sup></b>
la22	15	10	927 <sup>[2]</sup>	<b>927<sup>[27]</sup></b>
la23	15	10	1032 <sup>[1]</sup>	<b>1032<sup>[1]</sup></b>
la24	15	10	935 <sup>[2]</sup>	<b>935<sup>[2]</sup></b>
la25	15	10	977 <sup>[2]</sup>	<b>977<sup>[2]</sup></b>
la26	20	10	1218 <sup>[1]</sup>	<b>1218<sup>[27]</sup></b>
la27	20	10	1235 <sup>[1]</sup>	<b>1235<sup>[10]</sup></b>
la28	20	10	1216 <sup>[1]</sup>	<b>1216<sup>[2]</sup></b>
la29	20	10	1152 <sup>[26]</sup>	<b>1152<sup>[26]</sup></b>
la30	20	10	1355 <sup>[1]</sup>	<b>1355<sup>[1]</sup></b>
la31	30	10	1784 <sup>[1]</sup>	<b>1784<sup>[1]</sup></b>
la32	30	10	1850 <sup>[1]</sup>	<b>1850<sup>[1]</sup></b>
la33	30	10	1719 <sup>[1]</sup>	<b>1719<sup>[1]</sup></b>
la34	30	10	1721 <sup>[1]</sup>	<b>1721<sup>[1]</sup></b>
la35	30	10	1888 <sup>[1]</sup>	<b>1888<sup>[1]</sup></b>
la36	15	15	1268 <sup>[9]</sup>	<b>1268<sup>[9]</sup></b>
la37	15	15	1397 <sup>[2]</sup>	<b>1397<sup>[2]</sup></b>
la38	15	15	1196 <sup>[45]</sup>	<b>1196<sup>[31]</sup></b>
la39	15	15	1233 <sup>[2]</sup>	<b>1233<sup>[2]</sup></b>
la40	15	15	1222 <sup>[2]</sup>	<b>1222<sup>[2]</sup></b>

<sup>[1]</sup> Adams, Balas, and Zawack (1988)

<sup>[26]</sup> Martin (1996)

<sup>[2]</sup> Applegate and Cook (1991)

<sup>[27]</sup> Matsuo, Suh, and Sullivan (1988)

<sup>[9]</sup> Carlier and Pinson (1990)

<sup>[31]</sup> Nowicki and Smutnicki (1996)

<sup>[10]</sup> Carlier and Pinson (1994)

<sup>[45]</sup> Vaessens, Aarts, and Lenstra (1996)

**Table 2:** Instances of Lawrence [25] (continued)

## Adams, Balas, and Zawack

JOSEPH ADAMS, EGON BALAS, and DANIEL ZAWACK. *The Shifting Bottleneck Procedure for Job Shop Scheduling.* Management Science, 34.3: 391–401, 1988

Instance	# jobs	# machines	Lower bound	Upper bound
abz5	10	10	1234 <sup>[2]</sup>	<b>1234<sup>[2]</sup></b>
abz6	10	10	943 <sup>[2]</sup>	<b>943<sup>[1]</sup></b>
abz7	20	15	656 <sup>[26]</sup>	<b>656<sup>[26]</sup></b>
abz8	20	15	648 <sup>[46,47]</sup>	665 <sup>[26]</sup>
abz9	20	15	678 <sup>[23]</sup>	<b>678<sup>[50]</sup></b>

<sup>[1]</sup> Adams, Balas, and Zawack (1988)

<sup>[2]</sup> Applegate and Cook (1991)

<sup>[23]</sup> Koshimura et al. (2010)

<sup>[26]</sup> Martin (1996)

<sup>[46]</sup> Vilím, Laborie, and Shaw (2015)

<sup>[47]</sup> Vilím, Laborie, and Shaw (2015)

<sup>[50]</sup> Zhang et al. (2008)

**Table 3:** Instances of Adams, Balas, and Zawack [1]

## Applegate and Cook

DAVID APPLEGATE and WILLIAM COOK. *A Computational Study of the Job-Shop Scheduling Problem.* ORSA Journal on Computing, 3.2: 149–156, 1991

Instance	# jobs	# machines	Lower bound	Upper bound
orb01	10	10	1059 <sup>[2]</sup>	<b>1059<sup>[2]</sup></b>
orb02	10	10	888 <sup>[2]</sup>	<b>888<sup>[2]</sup></b>
orb03	10	10	1005 <sup>[2]</sup>	<b>1005<sup>[2]</sup></b>
orb04	10	10	1005 <sup>[2]</sup>	<b>1005<sup>[2]</sup></b>
orb05	10	10	887 <sup>[2]</sup>	<b>887<sup>[2]</sup></b>
orb06	10	10	1010 <sup>a</sup>	<b>1010<sup>a</sup></b>
orb07	10	10	397 <sup>a</sup>	<b>397<sup>a</sup></b>
orb08	10	10	899 <sup>a</sup>	<b>899<sup>a</sup></b>
orb09	10	10	934 <sup>a</sup>	<b>934<sup>a</sup></b>
orb10	10	10	944 <sup>a</sup>	<b>944<sup>a</sup></b>

<sup>[2]</sup> Applegate and Cook (1991)

<sup>a</sup> R.J.M. Vaessens using algorithms of [2] (1994) [see 22]

**Table 4:** Instances of Applegate and Cook [2]

## Storer, Wu, and Vaccari

ROBERT H. STORER, S. DAVID WU, and RENZO VACCARI. *New Search Spaces for Sequencing Problems with Application to Job Shop Scheduling*. Management Science, 38.10: 1495–1509, 1992

Instance	# jobs	# machines	Lower bound	Upper bound
swv01	20	10	1407 <sup>[26]</sup>	<b>1407</b> <sup>[26]</sup>
swv02	20	10	1475 <sup>[26]</sup>	<b>1475</b> <sup>[26]</sup>
swv03	20	10	1398 <sup>[7]</sup>	<b>1398</b> <sup>[44]</sup>
swv04	20	10	1464 <sup>[46,47]</sup>	<b>1464</b> <sup>[46,47]</sup>
swv05	20	10	1424 <sup>[26]</sup>	<b>1424</b> <sup>[26]</sup>
swv06	20	15	1630 <sup>[46,47]</sup>	1671 <sup>[36]</sup>
swv07	20	15	1513 <sup>[46,47]</sup>	1594 <sup>[30]</sup>
swv08	20	15	1671 <sup>[46,47]</sup>	1752 <sup>[11]</sup>
swv09	20	15	1633 <sup>[46,47]</sup>	1655 <sup>[30]</sup>
swv10	20	15	1663 <sup>[46,47]</sup>	1743 <sup>[18]</sup>
swv11	50	10	2983 <sup>[44]</sup>	<b>2983</b> <sup>[33]</sup>
swv12	50	10	2972 <sup>[44]</sup>	2977 <sup>[36]</sup>
swv13	50	10	3104 <sup>[44]</sup>	<b>3104</b> <sup>[43]</sup>
swv14	50	10	2968 <sup>[4]</sup>	<b>2968</b> <sup>[4]</sup>
swv15	50	10	2885 <sup>[44]</sup>	<b>2885</b> <sup>[36]</sup>
swv16	50	10	2924 <sup>[39]</sup>	<b>2924</b> <sup>[39]</sup>
swv17	50	10	2794 <sup>[39]</sup>	<b>2794</b> <sup>[39]</sup>
swv18	50	10	2852 <sup>[39]</sup>	<b>2852</b> <sup>[39]</sup>
swv19	50	10	2843 <sup>[39]</sup>	<b>2843</b> <sup>[39]</sup>
swv20	50	10	2823 <sup>[39]</sup>	<b>2823</b> <sup>[39]</sup>

- <sup>[4]</sup> Balas and Vazacopoulos (1994)
- <sup>[7]</sup> Brinkkötter and Brucker (2001)
- <sup>[11]</sup> Cheng, Peng, and Lü (2013)
- <sup>[18]</sup> Gonçalves and Resende (2014)
- <sup>[26]</sup> Martin (1996)
- <sup>[30]</sup> Nagata and Tojo (2009)
- <sup>[33]</sup> Nowicki and Smutnicki (2005)

- <sup>[36]</sup> Peng, Lü, and Cheng (2015)
- <sup>[39]</sup> Storer, Wu, and Vaccari (1992)
- <sup>[43]</sup> Thomsen (1997)
- <sup>[44]</sup> Vaessens (1996)
- <sup>[46]</sup> Vilím, Laborie, and Shaw (2015)
- <sup>[47]</sup> Vilím, Laborie, and Shaw (2015)

**Table 5:** Instances of Storer, Wu, and Vaccari [39]

## Yamada and Nakano

TAKESHI YAMADA and RYOHEI NAKANO. *A genetic algorithm applicable to large-scale job-shop instances.* In: *Parallel instance solving from nature 2: 281–290.* ed. by REINHARD MÄNNER and BERNARD MANDERICK. Elsevier, 1992.

Instance	# jobs	# machines	Lower bound	Upper bound
yn1	20	20	884 <sup>[23]</sup>	<b>884</b> <sup>[50]</sup>
yn2	20	20	870 <sup>[7]</sup>	904 <sup>[18]</sup>
yn3	20	20	859 <sup>[46,47]</sup>	892 <sup>[33]</sup>
yn4	20	20	929 <sup>[46,47]</sup>	968 <sup>[43]</sup>

[7] Brinkkötter and Brucker (2001)

[18] Gonçalves and Resende (2014)

[23] Koshimura et al. (2010)

[33] Nowicki and Smutnicki (2005)

[43] Thomsen (1997)

[46] Vilím, Laborie, and Shaw (2015)

[47] Vilím, Laborie, and Shaw (2015)

[50] Zhang et al. (2008)

**Table 6:** Instances of Yamada and Nakano [48]

## Taillard

E.D. TAILLARD. *Benchmarks for basic scheduling problems.* European Journal of Operational Research, 64.2: 278–285, 1993

Instance	# jobs	# machines	Lower bound	Upper bound
ta01	15	15	1231 <sup>[42,40]</sup>	<b>1231</b> <sup>[42,40]</sup>
ta02	15	15	1244 <sup>a</sup>	<b>1244</b> <sup>[31]</sup>
ta03	15	15	1218 <sup>[7]</sup>	<b>1218</b> <sup>[4]</sup>
ta04	15	15	1175 <sup>[7]</sup>	<b>1175</b> <sup>b</sup>
ta05	15	15	1224 <sup>[7]</sup>	<b>1224</b> <sup>[7]</sup>
ta06	15	15	1238 <sup>[7]</sup>	<b>1238</b> <sup>[7]</sup>
ta07	15	15	1227 <sup>[7]</sup>	<b>1227</b> <sup>[7]</sup>
ta08	15	15	1217 <sup>[7]</sup>	<b>1217</b> <sup>[4]</sup>
ta09	15	15	1274 <sup>[7]</sup>	<b>1274</b> <sup>[4]</sup>
ta10	15	15	1241 <sup>a</sup>	<b>1241</b> <sup>[4]</sup>

[4] Balas and Vazacopoulos (1994)

<sup>a</sup> R.J.M. Vaessens (1995) [see 41]

[7] Brinkkötter and Brucker (2001)

<sup>b</sup> M. Wennink (1995) [see 41]

[31] Nowicki and Smutnicki (1996)

[40] Taillard (1993)

[42] Taillard (1994)

**Table 7:** Instances of Taillard [40]

Instance	# jobs	# machines	Lower bound	Upper bound
ta11	20	15	1357 <sup>[46,47]</sup>	<b>1357</b> <sup>[34]</sup>
ta12	20	15	1367 <sup>[46,47]</sup>	<b>1367</b> <sup>[4]</sup>
ta13	20	15	1342 <sup>[46,47]</sup>	<b>1342</b> <sup>[19]</sup>
ta14	20	15	1345 <sup>a</sup>	<b>1345</b> <sup>[31]</sup>
ta15	20	15	1339 <sup>[46,47]</sup>	<b>1339</b> <sup>[34]</sup>
ta16	20	15	1360 <sup>[46,47]</sup>	<b>1360</b> <sup>[19] b</sup>
ta17	20	15	1462 <sup>c</sup>	<b>1462</b> <sup>[33] d</sup>
ta18	20	15	1377 <sup>[46,47]</sup>	1396 <sup>[4]</sup>
ta19	20	15	1332 <sup>[46,47]</sup>	<b>1332</b> <sup>[34]</sup>
ta20	20	15	1348 <sup>[46,47]</sup>	<b>1348</b> <sup>[34]</sup>
ta21	20	20	1642 <sup>[46,47]</sup>	<b>1642</b> <sup>[6]</sup>
ta22	20	20	1561 <sup>[46,47]</sup>	1600 <sup>[33] e</sup>
ta23	20	20	1518 <sup>[46,47]</sup>	1557 <sup>[33] e</sup>
ta24	20	20	1644 <sup>[46,47]</sup>	<b>1644</b> <sup>[6]</sup>
ta25	20	20	1558 <sup>[46,47]</sup>	1595 <sup>[33] d</sup>
ta26	20	20	1591 <sup>[46,47]</sup>	1643 <sup>[6]</sup>
ta27	20	20	1652 <sup>[46,47]</sup>	1680 <sup>[33] e</sup>
ta28	20	20	1603 <sup>[46,47]</sup>	<b>1603</b> <sup>[50]</sup>
ta29	20	20	1573 <sup>[46,47]</sup>	1625 <sup>f</sup>
ta30	20	20	1519 <sup>[46,47]</sup>	1584 <sup>[33] e</sup>
ta31	30	15	1764 <sup>[40]</sup>	<b>1764</b> <sup>g</sup>
ta32	30	15	1774 <sup>[40]</sup>	1784 <sup>h</sup>
ta33	30	15	1788 <sup>[46,47]</sup>	1791 <sup>[35]</sup>
ta34	30	15	1828 <sup>[40]</sup>	1829 <sup>[33] e</sup>
ta35	30	15	2007 <sup>a</sup>	<b>2007</b> <sup>[42,40]</sup>
ta36	30	15	1819 <sup>a</sup>	<b>1819</b> <sup>g</sup>
ta37	30	15	1771 <sup>[40]</sup>	<b>1771</b> <sup>[36]</sup>
ta38	30	15	1673 <sup>[40]</sup>	<b>1673</b> <sup>b</sup>
ta39	30	15	1795 <sup>a</sup>	<b>1795</b> <sup>g</sup>
ta40	30	15	1651 <sup>[46,47]</sup>	1669 <sup>[18]</sup>

[4] Balas and Vazacopoulos (1994)

[47] Vilím, Laborie, and Shaw (2015)

[6] Beck, Feng, and Watson (2011)

[50] Zhang et al. (2008)

[18] Gonçalves and Resende (2014)

<sup>a</sup> R.J.M. Vaessens (1995) [see 41]

[19] Henning (2002)

<sup>b</sup> A. Henning (2000) [see 41]

[31] Nowicki and Smutnicki (1996)

<sup>c</sup> R. Schilham (2000) [see 41]

[33] Nowicki and Smutnicki (2005)

<sup>d</sup> Achieved in 2002 [see 41]

[34] Pardalos and Shylo (2006)

<sup>e</sup> Achieved in 2001 [see 41]

[35] Pardalos, Shylo, and Vazacopoulos

<sup>f</sup> E. Aarts (1996) [see 41]

(2010)

<sup>g</sup> E. Aarts, H. ten Eikelder, J.K.

[36] Peng, Lü, and Cheng (2015)

Lenstra and R. Schilham (1999) [see

[40] Taillard (1993)

41]

[42] Taillard (1994)

<sup>h</sup> In [35] (2010) [see 38]. However 1790

[46] Vilím, Laborie, and Shaw (2015)

is mentioned. 1785 is found in [18]

**Table 7:** Instances of Taillard [40] (continued)

Instance	# jobs	# machines	Lower bound	Upper bound
ta41	30	20	1906 <sup>[46,47]</sup>	2005 <sup>[29]</sup>
ta42	30	20	1884 <sup>[46,47]</sup>	1937 <sup>[18]</sup>
ta43	30	20	1809 <sup>a</sup>	1846 <sup>[36]</sup>
ta44	30	20	1948 <sup>[46,47]</sup>	1979 <sup>[29]</sup>
ta45	30	20	1997 <sup>a</sup>	2000 <sup>[33] b</sup>
ta46	30	20	1957 <sup>[46,47]</sup>	2004 <sup>[18]</sup>
ta47	30	20	1807 <sup>[46,47]</sup>	1889 <sup>[36]</sup>
ta48	30	20	1912 <sup>a</sup>	1941 <sup>c</sup>
ta49	30	20	1931 <sup>[46,47]</sup>	1961 <sup>[29]</sup>
ta50	30	20	1833 <sup>[46,47]</sup>	1923 <sup>c</sup>
ta51	50	15	2760 <sup>[42,40]</sup>	<b>2760</b> <sup>[42,40]</sup>
ta52	50	15	2756 <sup>[42,40]</sup>	<b>2756</b> <sup>[42,40]</sup>
ta53	50	15	2717 <sup>[42,40]</sup>	<b>2717</b> <sup>[42,40]</sup>
ta54	50	15	2839 <sup>[42,40]</sup>	<b>2839</b> <sup>[42,40]</sup>
ta55	50	15	2679 <sup>[40]</sup>	<b>2679</b> <sup>[31]</sup>
ta56	50	15	2781 <sup>[42,40]</sup>	<b>2781</b> <sup>[42,40]</sup>
ta57	50	15	2943 <sup>[42,40]</sup>	<b>2943</b> <sup>[42,40]</sup>
ta58	50	15	2885 <sup>[42,40]</sup>	<b>2885</b> <sup>[42,40]</sup>
ta59	50	15	2655 <sup>[42,40]</sup>	<b>2655</b> <sup>[42,40]</sup>
ta60	50	15	2723 <sup>[42,40]</sup>	<b>2723</b> <sup>[42,40]</sup>
ta61	50	20	2868 <sup>[40]</sup>	<b>2868</b> <sup>[31]</sup>
ta62	50	20	2869 <sup>a</sup>	<b>2869</b> <sup>d</sup>
ta63	50	20	2755 <sup>[40]</sup>	<b>2755</b> <sup>[31]</sup>
ta64	50	20	2702 <sup>[4]</sup>	<b>2702</b> <sup>[31]</sup>
ta65	50	20	2725 <sup>[40]</sup>	<b>2725</b> <sup>[31]</sup>
ta66	50	20	2845 <sup>[40]</sup>	<b>2845</b> <sup>[31]</sup>
ta67	50	20	2825 <sup>a</sup>	<b>2825</b> <sup>[21]</sup>
ta68	50	20	2784 <sup>[4]</sup>	<b>2784</b> <sup>[31]</sup>
ta69	50	20	3071 <sup>[40]</sup>	<b>3071</b> <sup>[31]</sup>
ta70	50	20	2995 <sup>[40]</sup>	<b>2995</b> <sup>[31]</sup>

[4] Balas and Vazacopoulos (1994)

[18] Gonçalves and Resende (2014)

[21] Jain (1998)

[29] Nagata and Ono (2013)

[31] Nowicki and Smutnicki (1996)

[33] Nowicki and Smutnicki (2005)

[36] Peng, Lü, and Cheng (2015)

[40] Taillard (1993)

[42] Taillard (1994)

[46] Vilim, Laborie, and Shaw (2015)

[47] Vilim, Laborie, and Shaw (2015)

<sup>a</sup> R.J.M. Vaessens (1995) [see 41]

<sup>b</sup> Achieved in 2001 [see 41]

<sup>c</sup> O. V. Shylo (2013) [see 38]

<sup>d</sup> J. P. Caldeira (2003) [see 41]

**Table 7:** Instances of Taillard [40] (continued)

Instance	# jobs	# machines	Lower bound	Upper bound
ta71	100	20	5464 <sup>[42,40]</sup>	<b>5464</b> <sup>[42,40]</sup>
ta72	100	20	5181 <sup>[42,40]</sup>	<b>5181</b> <sup>[42,40]</sup>
ta73	100	20	5568 <sup>[42,40]</sup>	<b>5568</b> <sup>[42,40]</sup>
ta74	100	20	5339 <sup>[42,40]</sup>	<b>5339</b> <sup>[42,40]</sup>
ta75	100	20	5392 <sup>[42,40]</sup>	<b>5392</b> <sup>[42,40]</sup>
ta76	100	20	5342 <sup>[42,40]</sup>	<b>5342</b> <sup>[42,40]</sup>
ta77	100	20	5436 <sup>[42,40]</sup>	<b>5436</b> <sup>[42,40]</sup>
ta78	100	20	5394 <sup>[42,40]</sup>	<b>5394</b> <sup>[42,40]</sup>
ta79	100	20	5358 <sup>[42,40]</sup>	<b>5358</b> <sup>[42,40]</sup>
ta80	100	20	5183 <sup>[40]</sup>	<b>5183</b> <sup>[31]</sup>

<sup>[31]</sup> Nowicki and Smutnicki (1996)

<sup>[40]</sup> Taillard (1993)

<sup>[42]</sup> Taillard (1994)

**Table 7:** Instances of Taillard [40] (continued)

### Demirkol, Mehta, and Uzsoy

Ebru DEMIRKOL, Sanjay MEHTA, and Reha UZSOY. *Benchmarks for shop scheduling problems*. European Journal of Operational Research, 109.1: 137–141, 1998

Instance	# jobs	# machines	Lower bound	Upper bound
dmu01	20	15	2501 <sup>[7]</sup>	2563 <sup>[19]</sup>
dmu02	20	15	2651 <sup>[7]</sup>	2706 <sup>[19]</sup>
dmu03	20	15	2731 <sup>[7]</sup>	<b>2731</b> <sup>[7]</sup>
dmu04	20	15	2601 <sup>[7]</sup>	2669 <sup>[7]</sup>
dmu05	20	15	2749 <sup>[7]</sup>	<b>2749</b> <sup>[7]</sup>
dmu06	20	20	3042 <sup>[20]</sup>	3244 <sup>[35]</sup>
dmu07	20	20	2828 <sup>[20]</sup>	3046 <sup>[35]</sup>
dmu08	20	20	3051 <sup>a</sup>	3188 <sup>[35]</sup>
dmu09	20	20	2956 <sup>a</sup>	3092 <sup>[19]</sup>
dmu10	20	20	2858 <sup>a</sup>	2984 <sup>[34]</sup>

<sup>[7]</sup> Brinkkötter and Brucker (2001)

<sup>[19]</sup> Henning (2002)

<sup>[20]</sup> van Hoorn (2016)

<sup>[34]</sup> Pardalos and Shylo (2006)

<sup>[35]</sup> Pardalos, Shylo, and Vazacopoulos (2010)

<sup>a</sup> Gharbi and Labidi (2011) using algorithms described in [17] [see 38]

**Table 8:** Instances of Demirkol, Mehta, and Uzsoy [14]

Instance	# jobs	# machines	Lower bound	Upper bound
dmu11	30	15	3395 <sup>[12,13,14]</sup>	3430 <sup>[36]</sup>
dmu12	30	15	3481 <sup>[20]</sup>	3495 <sup>[36]</sup>
dmu13	30	15	3681 <sup>[12,13,14]</sup>	<b>3681</b> <sup>[49]</sup>
dmu14	30	15	3394 <sup>[12,13,14]</sup>	<b>3394</b> <sup>[32]</sup>
dmu15	30	15	3343 <sup>a</sup>	<b>3343</b> <sup>[21]</sup>
dmu16	30	20	3734 <sup>a</sup>	3751 <sup>[18]</sup>
dmu17	30	20	3709 <sup>a</sup>	3814 <sup>b</sup>
dmu18	30	20	3844 <sup>[12,13,14]</sup>	<b>3844</b> <sup>[18]</sup>
dmu19	30	20	3672 <sup>[20]</sup>	3768 <sup>[36]</sup>
dmu20	30	20	3604 <sup>[12,13,14]</sup>	3710 <sup>[36]</sup>
dmu21	40	15	4380 <sup>[12,13,14]</sup>	<b>4380</b> <sup>[21]</sup>
dmu22	40	15	4725 <sup>[12,13,14]</sup>	<b>4725</b> <sup>[21]</sup>
dmu23	40	15	4668 <sup>[12,13,14]</sup>	<b>4668</b> <sup>[21]</sup>
dmu24	40	15	4648 <sup>[12,13,14]</sup>	<b>4648</b> <sup>[21]</sup>
dmu25	40	15	4164 <sup>[12,13,14]</sup>	<b>4164</b> <sup>[21]</sup>
dmu26	40	20	4647 <sup>[12,13,14]</sup>	<b>4647</b> <sup>[49]</sup>
dmu27	40	20	4848 <sup>[12,13,14]</sup>	<b>4848</b> <sup>[32]</sup>
dmu28	40	20	4692 <sup>[12,13,14]</sup>	<b>4692</b> <sup>[21]</sup>
dmu29	40	20	4691 <sup>[12,13,14]</sup>	<b>4691</b> <sup>[32]</sup>
dmu30	40	20	4732 <sup>[12,13,14]</sup>	<b>4732</b> <sup>[32]</sup>
dmu31	50	15	5640 <sup>[12,13,14]</sup>	<b>5640</b> <sup>[21]</sup>
dmu32	50	15	5927 <sup>[12,13,14]</sup>	<b>5927</b> <sup>[12,13,14]</sup>
dmu33	50	15	5728 <sup>[12,13,14]</sup>	<b>5728</b> <sup>[12,13,14]</sup>
dmu34	50	15	5385 <sup>[12,13,14]</sup>	<b>5385</b> <sup>[12,13,14]</sup>
dmu35	50	15	5635 <sup>[12,13,14]</sup>	<b>5635</b> <sup>[12,13,14]</sup>
dmu36	50	20	5621 <sup>[12,13,14]</sup>	<b>5621</b> <sup>[21]</sup>
dmu37	50	20	5851 <sup>[12,13,14]</sup>	<b>5851</b> <sup>[32]</sup>
dmu38	50	20	5713 <sup>[12,13,14]</sup>	<b>5713</b> <sup>[21]</sup>
dmu39	50	20	5747 <sup>[12,13,14]</sup>	<b>5747</b> <sup>[21]</sup>
dmu40	50	20	5577 <sup>[12,13,14]</sup>	<b>5577</b> <sup>[21]</sup>

<sup>[12]</sup> Demirkol, Mehta, and Uzsoy (1996)

<sup>[13]</sup> Demirkol, Mehta, and Uzsoy (1997)

<sup>[14]</sup> Demirkol, Mehta, and Uzsoy (1998)

<sup>[18]</sup> Gonçalves and Resende (2014)

<sup>[20]</sup> van Hoorn (2016)

<sup>[21]</sup> Jain (1998)

<sup>[32]</sup> Nowicki and Smutnicki (2001)

<sup>[36]</sup> Peng, Lü, and Cheng (2015)

<sup>[49]</sup> Zhang et al. (2007)

<sup>a</sup> Gharbi and Labidi (2011) using algorithms described in [17] [see 38]

<sup>b</sup> O. V. Shylo (2013) [see 38]

**Table 8:** Instances of Demirkol, Mehta, and Uzsoy [14] (continued)

Instance	# jobs	# machines	Lower bound	Upper bound
dmu41	20	15	3007 <sup>a</sup>	3248 <sup>[36]</sup>
dmu42	20	15	3224 <sup>[20]</sup>	3390 <sup>[36]</sup>
dmu43	20	15	3292 <sup>a</sup>	3441 <sup>b</sup>
dmu44	20	15	3299 <sup>[20]</sup>	3488 <sup>[18]</sup>
dmu45	20	15	3039 <sup>[20]</sup>	3272 <sup>b</sup>
dmu46	20	20	3575 <sup>a</sup>	4035 <sup>b</sup>
dmu47	20	20	3522 <sup>a</sup>	3939 <sup>[18]</sup>
dmu48	20	20	3447 <sup>a</sup>	3763 <sup>b</sup>
dmu49	20	20	3403 <sup>a</sup>	3710 <sup>[36]</sup>
dmu50	20	20	3496 <sup>a</sup>	3729 <sup>[36]</sup>
dmu51	30	15	3954 <sup>[20]</sup>	4167 <sup>[36]</sup>
dmu52	30	15	4094 <sup>[20]</sup>	4311 <sup>[36]</sup>
dmu53	30	15	4141 <sup>a</sup>	4394 <sup>[36]</sup>
dmu54	30	15	4202 <sup>a</sup>	4362 <sup>b</sup>
dmu55	30	15	4146 <sup>[20]</sup>	4271 <sup>[36]</sup>
dmu56	30	20	4554 <sup>a</sup>	4941 <sup>[36]</sup>
dmu57	30	20	4302 <sup>a</sup>	4655 <sup>b</sup>
dmu58	30	20	4319 <sup>a</sup>	4708 <sup>[36]</sup>
dmu59	30	20	4219 <sup>[20]</sup>	4624 <sup>[36]</sup>
dmu60	30	20	4319 <sup>a</sup>	4755 <sup>[36]</sup>
dmu61	40	15	4917 <sup>a</sup>	5172 <sup>b</sup>
dmu62	40	15	5041 <sup>[20]</sup>	5265 <sup>b</sup>
dmu63	40	15	5111 <sup>a</sup>	5326 <sup>[36]</sup>
dmu64	40	15	5130 <sup>[12,13,14]</sup>	5250 <sup>b</sup>
dmu65	40	15	5107 <sup>[20]</sup>	5190 <sup>b</sup>
dmu66	40	20	5397 <sup>[20]</sup>	5717 <sup>[36]</sup>
dmu67	40	20	5589 <sup>a</sup>	5813 <sup>b</sup>
dmu68	40	20	5426 <sup>a</sup>	5773 <sup>[36]</sup>
dmu69	40	20	5423 <sup>a</sup>	5709 <sup>[36]</sup>
dmu70	40	20	5501 <sup>a</sup>	5889 <sup>b</sup>

[12] Demirkol, Mehta, and Uzsoy (1996)

[13] Demirkol, Mehta, and Uzsoy (1997)

[14] Demirkol, Mehta, and Uzsoy (1998)

[18] Gonçalves and Resende (2014)

[20] van Hoorn (2016)

[36] Peng, Lü, and Cheng (2015)

<sup>a</sup> Gharbi and Labidi (2011) using algorithms described in [17] [see 38]

<sup>b</sup> O. V. Shylo (2013) [see 38]

**Table 8:** Instances of Demirkol, Mehta, and Uzsoy [14] (continued)

Instance	# jobs	# machines	Lower bound	Upper bound
dmu71	50	15	6080 <sup>a</sup>	6223 <sup>[36]</sup>
dmu72	50	15	6395 <sup>a</sup>	6483 <sup>[36]</sup>
dmu73	50	15	6001 <sup>a</sup>	6163 <sup>[36]</sup>
dmu74	50	15	6123 <sup>a</sup>	6220 <sup>b</sup>
dmu75	50	15	6029 <sup>a</sup>	6197 <sup>[36]</sup>
dmu76	50	20	6342 <sup>a</sup>	6813 <sup>[36]</sup>
dmu77	50	20	6499 <sup>a</sup>	6822 <sup>[36]</sup>
dmu78	50	20	6586 <sup>a</sup>	6770 <sup>[36]</sup>
dmu79	50	20	6650 <sup>a</sup>	6970 <sup>[36]</sup>
dmu80	50	20	6459 <sup>a</sup>	6686 <sup>[36]</sup>

<sup>[36]</sup> Peng, Lü, and Cheng (2015)

<sup>a</sup> Gharbi and Labidi (2011) using algorithms described in [17] [see 38]

<sup>b</sup> O. V. Shylo (2013) [see 38]

**Table 8:** Instances of Demirkol, Mehta, and Uzsoy [14] (continued)

## References

- [1] JOSEPH ADAMS, EGON BALAS, and DANIEL ZAWACK. *The Shifting Bottleneck Procedure for Job Shop Scheduling*. Management Science, 34.3: 391–401, 1988. DOI: [10.1287/mnsc.34.3.391](https://doi.org/10.1287/mnsc.34.3.391) JSTOR: [2632051](#)
- [2] DAVID APPLEGATE and WILLIAM COOK. *A Computational Study of the Job-Shop Scheduling Problem*. ORSA Journal on Computing, 3.2: 149–156, 1991. DOI: [10.1287/ijoc.3.2.149](https://doi.org/10.1287/ijoc.3.2.149)
- [3] EGON BALAS. *Machine Sequencing via Disjunctive Graphs: An Implicit Enumeration Algorithm*. Operations Research, 17.6: 941–957, 1969. DOI: [10.1287/opre.17.6.941](https://doi.org/10.1287/opre.17.6.941) JSTOR: [168317](#)
- [4] EGON BALAS and ALKIS VAZACOPOULOS. *Guided Local Search with Shifting Bottleneck for Job Shop Scheduling*. Tech. rep. Management Science Research Report, 609. Carnegie Mellon University, 1994
- [5] J. E. BEASLEY. *OR-Library (jobshop1.txt)*. URL: <http://people.brunel.ac.uk/~mastjjb/jeb/orlib/files/jobshop1.txt>
- [6] J. CHRISTOPHER BECK, T. K. FENG, and JEAN-PAUL WATSON. *Combining Constraint Programming and Local Search for Job-Shop Scheduling*. INFORMS Journal on Computing, 23.1: 1–14, 2011. DOI: [10.1287/ijoc.1100.0388](https://doi.org/10.1287/ijoc.1100.0388)
- [7] WOLFGANG BRINKKÖTTER and PETER BRUCKER. *Solving open benchmark instances for the job-shop problem by parallel head-tail adjustments*. Journal of Scheduling, 4.1: 53–64, 2001. DOI: [10.1002/1099-1425\(200101/02\)4:1<53::AID-JOS59>3.0.CO;2-Y](https://doi.org/10.1002/1099-1425(200101/02)4:1<53::AID-JOS59>3.0.CO;2-Y)

- [8] J. CARLIER and E. PINSON. *An Algorithm for Solving the Job-shop Problem*. Management Science, 35.2: 164–176, 1989. DOI: [10.1287/mnsc.35.2.164](https://doi.org/10.1287/mnsc.35.2.164) JSTOR: [2631909](#)
- [9] J. CARLIER and E. PINSON. *A Practical Use of Jackson's Preemptive Schedule for Solving the Job Shop Problem*. Annals of Operations Research, 26: 269–287, 1990
- [10] J. CARLIER and E. PINSON. *Adjustment of heads and tails for the job-shop problem*. European Journal of Operational Research, 78.2: 146–161, 1994. DOI: [10.1016/0377-2217\(94\)90379-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/0377-2217(94)90379-4)
- [11] T.C.E. CHENG, BO PENG, and ZHIPENG LÜ. *A hybrid evolutionary algorithm to solve the job shop scheduling problem*. Annals of Operations Research: 1–15, 2013. DOI: [10.1007/s10479-013-1332-5](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10479-013-1332-5)
- [12] EBRU DEMIRKOL, SANJAY V. MEHTA, and REHA UZSOY. *Benchmarking for Shop Scheduling Problems*. Tech. rep. Research memorandum, 96-4. Purdue University, 1996
- [13] EBRU DEMIRKOL, SANJAY MEHTA, and REHA UZSOY. *A Computational Study of Shifting Bottleneck Procedures for Shop Scheduling Problems*. Journal of Heuristics, 3.2: 111–137, 1997. DOI: [10.1023/A:1009627429878](https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1009627429878)
- [14] EBRU DEMIRKOL, SANJAY MEHTA, and REHA UZSOY. *Benchmarks for shop scheduling problems*. European Journal of Operational Research, 109.1: 137–141, 1998. DOI: [10.1016/S0377-2217\(97\)00019-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0377-2217(97)00019-2)
- [15] H. FISHER and G. L. THOMPSON. *Probabilistic Learning Combinations of Local Job-Shop Scheduling Rules*. In: *Industrial Scheduling*, 15: 225–251. ed. by J. F. MUTH and G. L. THOMPSON. Prentice Hall, 1963. OCLC: [781815542](#)
- [16] M. FLORIAN, P. TREPANT, and G. McMAHON. *An Implicit Enumeration Algorithm for the Machine Sequencing Problem*. Management Science, 17.12: B-782–B-792, 1971. DOI: [10.1287/mnsc.17.12.B782](https://doi.org/10.1287/mnsc.17.12.B782) JSTOR: [2629469](#)
- [17] ANIS GHARBI and MOHAMED LABIDI. *Extending the Single Machine-Based Relaxation Scheme for the Job Shop Scheduling Problem*. Electronic Notes in Discrete Mathematics, 36: 1057–1064, 2010. DOI: [10.1016/j.endm.2010.05.134](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.endm.2010.05.134)
- [18] JOSÉ FERNANDO GONÇALVES and MAURICIO G. C. RESENDE. *An extended Akers graphical method with a biased random-key genetic algorithm for job-shop scheduling*. International Transactions in Operational Research, 21.2: 215–246, 2014. DOI: [10.1111/itor.12044](https://doi.org/10.1111/itor.12044)
- [19] ANDRÉ HENNING. *Praktische Job-Shop Scheduling-Probleme*. PhD thesis. Friedrich-Schiller-Universität Jena, 2002. URL: <http://www.db-thueringen.de/servlets/DocumentServlet?id=873>
- [20] JELKE J. VAN HOORN. *Dynamic Programming for Routing and Scheduling: Optimizing Sequences of Decisions*. PhD thesis. VU University Amsterdam, 2016. ISBN: 978-94-6332-008-5. URL: <http://dare.uvbu.vu.nl/handle/1871/54396>

- [21] ANANT SINGH JAIN. *A Multi-Level Hybrid Framework for the Deterministic Job-Shop Scheduling Problem*. PhD thesis. University Of Dundee, 1998. URL: <http://www.personal.dundee.ac.uk/~asjain/papers/publications.html>
- [22] A.S. JAIN and S. MEERAN. *Deterministic job-shop scheduling: Past, present and future*. European Journal of Operational Research, 113.2: 390–434, 1999. DOI: [10.1016/S0377-2217\(98\)00113-1](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0377-2217(98)00113-1)
- [23] MIYUKI KOSHIMURA, HIDETOMO NABESHIMA, HIROSHI FUJITA, and RYUZO HASEGAWA. *Solving Open Job-Shop Scheduling Problems by SAT Encoding*. IEICE Transactions on Information and Systems, E93.D.8: 2316–2318, 2010. DOI: [10.1587/transinf.E93.D.2316](https://doi.org/10.1587/transinf.E93.D.2316)
- [24] PETER J. M. VAN LAARHOVEN, EMILE H. L. AARTS, and JAN KAREL LENSTRA. *Job shop scheduling by simulated annealing*. Operations Research, 40.1: 113–125, 1992. DOI: [10.1287/opre.40.1.113](https://doi.org/10.1287/opre.40.1.113) JSTOR: [171189](https://www.jstor.org/stable/171189)
- [25] S. LAWRENCE. *Resource Constrained Project Scheduling: An Experimental Investigation of Heuristic Scheduling Techniques (Supplement)*. Carnegie-Mellon University, 1984
- [26] PAUL DOUGLAS MARTIN. *A time-oriented approach to computing optimal schedules for the job-shop scheduling problem*. PhD thesis. Cornell University, 1996. OCLC: [64683112](https://www.worldcat.org/oclc/64683112)
- [27] HIROFUMI MATSUO, CHANG JUCK SUH, and ROBERT S. SULLIVAN. *A Controlled Search Simulated Annealing Method for the General Job-Shop Scheduling Problem*. Working paper 03-04-88. The University of Texas at Austin, 1988
- [28] GRAHAM McMAHON and MICHAEL FLORIAN. *On Scheduling with Ready Times and Due Dates to Minimize Maximum Lateness*. Operations Research, 23.3: 475–482, 1975. DOI: [10.1287/opre.23.3.475](https://doi.org/10.1287/opre.23.3.475) JSTOR: [169697](https://www.jstor.org/stable/169697)
- [29] YUICHI NAGATA and ISAO ONO. *Guided Constructive Local Search for the Job Shop Scheduling Problem*. 2013. submitted
- [30] YUICHI NAGATA and SATOSHI TOJO. *Guided Ejection Search for the Job Shop Scheduling Problem*. In: *Evolutionary Computation in Combinatorial Optimization*: 168–179. ed. by CARLOS COTTA and PETER COWLING. LNCS, 5482. Springer, 2009. ISBN: [978-3-642-01008-8](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-01008-8) DOI: [10.1007/978-3-642-01009-5\\_15](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-01009-5_15)
- [31] EUGENIUSZ NOWICKI and CZESLAW SMUTNICKI. *A Fast Taboo Search Algorithm for the Job Shop Problem*. Management Science, 42.6: 797–813, 1996. DOI: [10.1287/mnsc.42.6.797](https://doi.org/10.1287/mnsc.42.6.797) JSTOR: [2634595](https://www.jstor.org/stable/2634595)
- [32] EUGENIUSZ NOWICKI and CZESLAW SMUTNICKI. *Some new tools to solve the job-shop problem*. Tech. rep. 60/02. Wroclaw University of Technology, 2001

- [33] EUGENIUSZ NOWICKI and CZESLAW SMUTNICKI. *An Advanced Tabu Search Algorithm for the Job Shop Problem*. Journal of Scheduling, 8.2: 145–159, 2005. DOI: [10.1007/s10951-005-6364-5](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10951-005-6364-5)
- [34] PANOS M. PARDALOS and OLEG V. SHYLO. *An Algorithm for the Job Shop Scheduling Problem based on Global Equilibrium Search Techniques*. Computational Management Science, 3.4: 331–348, 2006. DOI: [10.1007/s10287-006-0023-y](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10287-006-0023-y)
- [35] PANOS M. PARDALOS, OLEG V. SHYLO, and ALKIS VAZACOPOULOS. *Solving job shop scheduling problems utilizing the properties of backbone and “big valley”*. Computational Optimization and Applications, 47.1: 61–76, 2010. DOI: [10.1007/s10589-008-9206-5](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10589-008-9206-5)
- [36] BO PENG, ZHIPENG LÜ, and T. C. E. CHENG. *A tabu search/path relinking algorithm to solve the job shop scheduling problem*. Computers & Operations Research, 53: 154–164, 2015. DOI: [10.1016/j.cor.2014.08.006](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cor.2014.08.006)
- [37] LINUS SCHRAGE. *Solving Resource-Constrained Network Problems by Implicit Enumeration-Nonpreemptive Case*. Operations Research, 18.2: 263–278, 1970. DOI: [10.1287/opre.18.2.263](https://doi.org/10.1287/opre.18.2.263) JSTOR: [168683](#)
- [38] OLEG V. SHYLO. *Job Shop Scheduling at Oleg V. Shylo: Personal Webpage*. URL: <http://optimizer.com/jobshop.php>
- [39] ROBERT H. STORER, S. DAVID WU, and RENZO VACCARI. *New Search Spaces for Sequencing Problems with Application to Job Shop Scheduling*. Management Science, 38.10: 1495–1509, 1992. DOI: [10.1287/mnsc.38.10.1495](https://doi.org/10.1287/mnsc.38.10.1495)
- [40] E.D. TAILLARD. *Benchmarks for basic scheduling problems*. European Journal of Operational Research, 64.2: 278–285, 1993. DOI: [10.1016/0377-2217\(93\)90182-M](https://doi.org/10.1016/0377-2217(93)90182-M)
- [41] ÉRIC D. TAILLARD. *Éric Taillard’s page*. URL: <http://mistic.heig-vd.ch/taillard/problems.dir/ordonnancement.dir/ordonnancement.html>
- [42] ÉRIC D. TAILLARD. *Parallel Taboo Search Techniques for the Job Shop Scheduling Problem*. ORSA Journal on Computing, 6.2: 108–117, 1994. DOI: [10.1287/ijoc.6.2.108](https://doi.org/10.1287/ijoc.6.2.108)
- [43] SØREN THOMSEN. *Metaheuristikker kombineret med Branch & Bound*. MA thesis. Copenhagen Business School, 1997. OCLC: [464628711](#)
- [44] R. J. M. VAESSENS. *Addition to the OR-Library [5]*. 1996
- [45] R. J. M. VAESSENS, E.H.L. AARTS, and J.K. LENSTRA. *Job Shop Scheduling by Local Search*. INFORMS Journal on Computing, 8.3: 302–317, 1996. DOI: [10.1287/ijoc.8.3.302](https://doi.org/10.1287/ijoc.8.3.302)
- [46] PETR VILÍM, PHILIPPE LABORIE, and PAUL SHAW. *Failure-Directed Search for Constraint-Based Scheduling*. In: *Integration of AI and OR Techniques in Constraint Programming*: 437–453. ed. by LAURENT MICHEL. LNCS, 9075. Springer, 2015. ISBN: [978-3-319-18007-6](#) DOI: [10.1007/978-3-319-18008-3\\_30](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-18008-3_30)

- [47] PETR VILÍM, PHILIPPE LABORIE, and PAUL SHAW. *Failure-Directed Search for Constraint-Based Scheduling — Detailed Experimental Results*. 2015. URL: <http://viliim.eu/petr/cpaior2015-results.pdf>
- [48] TAKESHI YAMADA and RYOHEI NAKANO. *A genetic algorithm applicable to large-scale job-shop instances*. In: *Parallel instance solving from nature 2*: 281–290. ed. by REINHARD MÄNNER and BERNARD MANDERICK. Elsevier, 1992. ISBN: 978-0-444-89730-5
- [49] CHAOYONG ZHANG, PEIGEN LI, ZAILIN GUAN, and YUNQING RAO. *A tabu search algorithm with a new neighborhood structure for the job shop scheduling problem*. Computers & Operations Research, 34.11: 3229–3242, 2007. DOI: [10.1016/j.cor.2005.12.002](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cor.2005.12.002)
- [50] CHAOYONG ZHANG, PEIGEN LI, YUNQING RAO, and ZAILIN GUAN. *A very fast TS/SA algorithm for the job shop scheduling problem*. Computers & Operations Research, 35.1: 282–294, 2008. DOI: [10.1016/j.cor.2006.02.024](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cor.2006.02.024)