

# Typesetting Conventions for Mathematical Formulations of CP Optimizer Models

Philippe Laborie

IBM, 9 rue de Verdun 94250 Gentilly, France

[laborie@fr.ibm.com](mailto:laborie@fr.ibm.com)

## 1 Introduction

This document proposes some conventions for typesetting mathematical formulations of combinatorial optimization problems for CP Optimizer. It extends the common practice used for typesetting MIP models to the mathematical concepts and constraints introduced in CP Optimizer (interval, sequence variables, functions). Following these conventions ensures a non-ambiguous description of the mathematical formulation of the problem with a one-to-one correspondence with the implementation of the model whatever API of CP Optimizer is used (C++, Python, Java, OPL, CPO file format).

The formal semantics of the concepts of CP Optimizer models is defined in the CP Optimizer Reference Manual [3]. A summary of these concepts is available in [5], Section 3.

## 2 General constructs

### 2.1 Scopes

A scope is a set of tuples used for indexing. For describing a scope, we use the classical set notation. For instance if N and M are two integers:

- $i \in [1, N]$  is the set of all integers  $(i) \in \{1, 2, \dots, N\}$
- $i \in [1, N], j \in [1, M]$  is the cartesian product  $(i, j) \in [1, N] \times [1, M]$
- $i, j \in [1, N] : i \neq j$  is the subset of the cartesian product  $[1, N] \times [1, N]$  such that  $i \neq j$

When the order of the elements in the scope is important (like for instance in the vectors or matrices below), the tuples are supposed to be generated by iterating on the indexes from left to right. For instance  $i, j \in [1, 3] : i \neq j$  will generate the ordered set of tuples (1, 2), (1, 3), (2, 1), (2, 3), (3, 1), (3, 2).

## 2.2 Vectors

Vectors are denoted  $[v_i]_{SCOPE(i)}$ . For instance:

$$[x_{ij}]_{i,j \in [1,N]:i \neq j}$$

## 2.3 Matrices

Matrices are denoted  $[v_{ij}]_{SCOPE(i);SCOPE(j)}$ . Note the use of semicolon ";" instead of the comma "," between the scopes. This permits to differentiate:

- $[x_{ij}]_{i \in [1,2], j \in [1,2]}$  which is vector  $[x_{11} \quad x_{12} \quad x_{21} \quad x_{22}]$
- $[x_{ij}]_{i \in [1,2]; j \in [1,2]}$  which is matrix  $\begin{bmatrix} x_{11} & x_{12} \\ x_{21} & x_{22} \end{bmatrix}$

## 2.4 Decision variables

CP Optimizer provides different types of decision variables (integer, interval, sequence, state function). The general syntax for defining a decision variable is:

TYPE NAME DOMAIN  $\forall$  SCOPE

As a rule of thumb, we denote decision variables with lower cases whereas constants of the problem (including known stepwise or piecewise linear functions) are denoted with upper cases.

### Integer variables

The keyword for the type of an integer variable is "integer". The domain is a set of integers. So for instance we can have:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{integer } x &\in [1, N] \\ \text{integer } y_i &\in \{2k + 1\}_{k \in [0, M]} \quad \forall i \in [1, N] \\ \text{integer } z_{ij} &\in \{0, 1\} \quad \forall i \in [1, N], j \in [1, N] : i \neq j \end{aligned}$$

### Interval variables

The keyword for the type of an interval variable is "interval". The domain is a subset of domain specifiers in the following order, separated by a comma:

- Minimal start (R) and maximal end (D) range: " $\subset [R, D]$ " (default:  $\subset [0, +\infty]$ )
- Specification whether the interval is optional: "optional" (default: not optional that is, interval is present)

- Value or range for the interval size: "size =  $S$ " or "size  $\in [S1, S2]$ " (default: size  $\in [0, +\infty]$ )
- Intensity function (stepwise function F): "intensity =  $F$ " (default: no intensity)

For instance:

```
interval x
interval yi ⊂ [-H, H]                                     ∀i ∈ [1, N]
interval zij ⊂ [0, H], optional, size ∈ [Ai, Bi], intensity = Fj  ∀i ∈ [1, N], j ∈ [1, M]
```

### Sequence variables

The keyword for the type of a sequence variable is "sequence". The domain is a vector  $X$  of interval variables (see section Vector above). Optionally, the domain can specify a vector of integer types  $T$  ( $T$  must have the same dimension as  $X$ ). For instance:

```
sequence si on [xij]j ∈ [1, M]                                ∀i ∈ [1, N]
sequence si on [xij]j ∈ [1, M], types [Tij]j ∈ [1, M]  ∀i ∈ [1, N]
```

### State functions

The keyword for the type of a state function is "stateFunction". The domain of a state function can specify a matrix of integers as transition distance between the states. For instance:

```
stateFunction fk                                              ∀k ∈ [1, M]
stateFunction gk with [Dij]i ∈ [0, S); j ∈ [0, S)  ∀k ∈ [1, M]
```

## 2.5 Constraints

Constraints are defined as follows:

```
CONSTRAINT      ∀ SCOPE
```

The signature of the different constraints available in CP Optimizer is summarized in Appendix A, Table 3.

For instance:

```
vi ≤ vj                                         ∀ i, j ∈ [1, N] : i < j
endBeforeStart(xi, xj)    ∀ i, j ∈ [1, N] : i < j
```

## 2.6 Expressions

The signature of the different constraints available in CP Optimizer is summarized in Appendix A, Table 2. Expressions can be defined directly in the constraints they are used in (case 1) or as separate definitions (case 2). The second case is particularly useful when a given expression is used in several constraints.

Two examples of case 1:

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i \in [1, N]} R_i x_i &\leq D \\ \sum_{i \in [1, N]} \text{pulse}(y_i, Q_i) &\leq C \end{aligned}$$

Equivalent examples using case 2:

$$\begin{aligned} u &= \sum_{i \in [1, n]} R_i x_i \\ f &= \sum_{i \in [1, n]} \text{pulse}(y_i, Q_i) \\ u &\leq D \\ f &\leq C \end{aligned}$$

## 3 Examples

### 3.1 Job-shop scheduling problem

Here is a CP Optimizer formulation of the classical job-shop scheduling problem with  $N$  jobs and  $M$  machines. The  $j^{th}$  operation of the  $i^{th}$  job, represented by interval variable  $x_{ij}$ , requires machine  $M_{ij}$  and has a processing time of  $P_{ij}$ .

$$\min \max_{i \in [1, N]} \text{endOf}(x_{iM}) \quad (1)$$

$$\text{noOverlap}([x_{ij}]_{i \in [1, N], j \in [1, M]: M_{ij}=k}) \quad \forall k \in [1, M] \quad (2)$$

$$\text{endBeforeStart}(x_{ij}, x_{ij+1}) \quad \forall i \in [1, N], j \in [1, M-1] \quad (3)$$

$$\text{interval } x_{ij}, \text{ size} = P_{ij} \quad \forall i \in [1, N], j \in [1, M]$$

### 3.2 Extended flexible job-shop scheduling problem

Here is a CP Optimizer formulation of the scheduling problem described in [1]. Let  $V = \{1, 2, \dots, o\}$  be the set of all operations. For each operation  $i$  ( $i = 1, \dots, o$ ), let  $F_i \subseteq \{1, 2, \dots, m\}$ , where  $F_i \neq \emptyset$ , be the subset of machines that can process operation  $i$  and let  $P_{ik}$  ( $i = 1, \dots, o, k \in F_i$ ) be the corresponding

processing times. Furthermore, let  $A$  be a set of pairs  $(i, j)$  with  $i, j \in \{1, \dots, o\}$  such that, if  $(i, j)$  belongs to  $A$ , this means that operation  $i$  precedes operation  $j$ , i.e. operation  $j$  cannot start to be processed until operation  $i$  ends to be processed. The problem consists in assigning each operation  $i$  to a machine  $k \in F_i$  and to determine a starting processing time  $s_i$  such that precedences are satisfied. A machine can not process more than an operation at a time and preemption is not allowed. The objective is to minimize the makespan, i.e. the completion time of the last operation.

$$\min \max_{i \in V} \text{endOf}(x_i) \quad (1)$$

$$\text{noOverlap}([y_{ik}]_{i \in V : k \in F_i}) \quad \forall k \in [1, M] \quad (2)$$

$$\text{alternative}(x_i, [y_{ik}]_{k \in F_i}) \quad \forall i \in V \quad (3)$$

$$\text{endBeforeStart}(x_i, x_j) \quad \forall (i, j) \in A \quad (4)$$

$$\text{interval } x_i \quad \forall i \in V$$

$$\text{interval } y_{ik}, \text{ optional, size} = P_{ik} \quad \forall i \in V, k \in F_i$$

### 3.3 Resource-constrained project scheduling problem

Here is a CP Optimizer formulation of the classical RCPSP with  $N$  tasks and  $M$  resources. Task  $i$  has a processing time of  $P_i$  and requires  $Q_{ij}$  units of resource  $j$ . The capacity of resource  $j$  is  $C_j$ . The precedence constraints are described as a set of pairs of tasks  $P$ .

$$\min \max_{i \in [1, N]} \text{endOf}(x_i) \quad (1)$$

$$\sum_{i \in [1, N]} \text{pulse}(x_i, Q_{ij}) \leq C_j \quad \forall j \in [1, M] \quad (2)$$

$$\text{endBeforeStart}(x_i, x_j) \quad \forall (i, j) \in P \quad (3)$$

$$\text{interval } x_i, \text{ size} = P_i \quad \forall i \in [1, N]$$

### 3.4 Resource allocation and scheduling problem

Here is a CP Optimizer formulation of the resource allocation and scheduling problem proposed in [2]. The problem is defined by a set of jobs  $J$  and a set of facilities  $I$ . Each job  $j \in J$  must be assigned to a facility  $i \in I$  and scheduled to start after its release date  $R_j$ , end before its due date  $D_j$ , and execute for  $P_{ij}$  consecutive time units. Each job  $j$  has a facility assignment cost  $F_{ij}$  and a resource requirement  $Q_{ij}$  when allocated to facility  $i$ . Each facility  $i \in I$  has a capacity  $C_i$  and the constraint that the resource capacity must not be exceeded at any time. The problem is to minimize the total facility assignment cost.

$$\min \sum_{i \in I, j \in J} F_{ij} \text{presenceOf}(y_{ij}) \quad (1)$$

$$\text{alternative}(x_j, [y_{ij}]_{i \in I}) \quad \forall j \in J \quad (2)$$

$$\sum_{j \in J} \text{pulse}(y_{ij}, Q_{ij}) \leq C_i \quad \forall i \in I \quad (3)$$

$$\text{interval } x_j \subset [R_j, D_j] \quad \forall j \in J$$

$$\text{interval } y_{ij}, \text{ optional, size} = P_{ij} \quad \forall i \in I, j \in J$$

### 3.5 Simplified photo-lithography machine

Here is a CP Optimizer formulation to model a batching machine in the context of a photo-lithography scheduling problem. A set of  $N$  operations is to be scheduled on the machine. Each operation  $x_i$  consists in the treatment of a set of  $W_i$  wafers on the machine. Each operation  $i$  specifies a minimal ( $A_i$ ) and a maximal ( $B_i$ ) duration (Equation 3). There are different families of operations, the family of an operation  $x_i$  is denoted  $F_i$ . The machine can perform several operations at the same time (notion of batch) provided that (1) the duration of the operations is the same as that of the batch, (2) the operations are from the same family and (3) the total capacity  $C$  of the machine in terms of number of wafers is not exceeded. Batches of operations are synchronized: that is, all operations in the same batch start (resp. end) at the same time. Furthermore, some family-dependent setup time given by a matrix  $M$  is needed to configure the machine from a given batch family to the next batch family. The limited capacity is modeled as a cumul function (Constraint 2). A state function (Equation 4) describes the evolution over time of the operation family currently executing on the machine. Batching constraints are defined using `alwaysEqual` constraints on a state function with start and end alignment (Constraint 1).

$$\text{alwaysEqual}(s, x_i, F[i], 1, 1) \quad \forall i \in [1, N] \quad (1)$$

$$\sum_{i \in [1, N]} \text{pulse}(x_i, W_i) \leq C \quad (2)$$

$$\text{interval } x_i, \text{ size} \in [A_i, B_i] \quad \forall i \in [1, N] \quad (3)$$

$$\text{stateFunction } s \text{ with } M \quad (4)$$

### 3.6 Satellite observation scheduling

Here is the CP Optimizer formulation of the GEO-CAPE Observation Scheduling Problem described in [4]. A piecewise linear function  $V$  represents the gain for a given delay between consecutive observations. And a stepwise function  $N_i$  represents the non-observation time slots of the  $i^{th}$  spot to be observed.  $SB$ ,  $ST$ ,  $TU$  are some constants of the problem.

$$\begin{aligned}
\max & \sum_{i \in [1, N], j \in [1, M]} \text{lengthEval}(s_{ij}, V) & (1) \\
\text{presenceOf}(a_{ij+1}) &= \text{presenceOf}(s_{ij}) & \forall i \in [1, N], j \in [1, M] & (2) \\
\text{startAtStart}(a_{ij}, s_{ij}) & & \forall i \in [1, N], j \in [1, M] & (3) \\
\text{endAtStart}(s_{ij}, a_{ij+1}) & & \forall i \in [1, N], j \in [1, M] & (4) \\
\text{alternative}(s_{ij}, [sv_{ij}, so_{ij}]) & & \forall i \in [1, N], j \in [1, M] & (5) \\
\text{presenceOf}(a_{i2}) &= \text{presenceOf}(a_{i1}) & \forall i \in [1, N] & (6) \\
\text{presenceOf}(so_{i1}) &= 0 & \forall i \in [1, N] & (7) \\
\text{presenceOf}(a_{ij+1}) &\leq \text{presenceOf}(a_{ij}) & \forall i \in [1, N], j \in [2, M + 1] & (8) \\
\text{presenceOf}(so_{ij-1}) &\leq \text{presenceOf}(sv_{ij}) & \forall i \in [1, N], j \in [2, M + 1] & (9) \\
\text{forbidExtent}(a_{ij}, N_i) & & \forall i \in [1, N], j \in [1, M + 1] & (10) \\
\text{noOverlap}([a_{ij}]_{i \in [1, N], j \in [1, M + 1]}) & & & (11) \\
\text{interval } a_{ij}, \text{ optional, size } = 1 & & \forall i \in [1, N], j \in [1, M + 1] \\
\text{interval } s_{ij}, \text{ optional} & & \forall i \in [1, N], j \in [1, M] \\
\text{interval } sv_{ij}, \text{ optional, size } \in [SB, ST] & & \forall i \in [1, N], j \in [1, M] \\
\text{interval } so_{ij}, \text{ optional, size } \in [ST + 1, TU] & & \forall i \in [1, N], j \in [1, M]
\end{aligned}$$

### 3.7 Energy-aware multiple state machine scheduling problem

Here is a reformulation of the extension of the job-shop scheduling problem described in [6]. Set  $O_k = \{(i, j) | i \in [1, N], j \in [1, M] : M_{ij} = k\}$  denotes the set of operations requiring machine  $k \in [1, M]$ . A sequence variable  $s_k$  is created for each machine. For each operation  $x_p$  on machine  $k$  we define an additional interval variable  $y_p$  that represents the gap between the end of operation  $x_p$  and the start of the next operation. When  $x_p$  is the last operation on the machine, interval  $y_p$  ends at the horizon  $H$  of the schedule. The objective function is a lexicographical objective. The first criterion is to minimize the energy consumption which is a function of the duration of the gaps between operations on the machines.

$$\min \quad \text{staticLex}(\sum_{k \in [1, M], p \in O_k} \text{lengthEval}(y_p, F_k), \max_{i \in [1, N]} \text{endOf}(x_{iM}))$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \text{noOverlap}(s_k) && \forall k \in [1, M] && (1) \\
& \text{endBeforeStart}(x_{ij}, x_{ij+1}) && \forall i \in [1, N], j \in [1, M-1] && (2) \\
& \text{endAtStart}(x_p, y_p) && \forall k \in [1, M], p \in O_k && (3) \\
& \text{endOf}(y_p) = \text{startOfNext}(s_k, x_p, H) && \forall k \in [1, M], p \in O_k && (4) \\
& \text{interval } x_{ij} \subset [0, H], \text{ size} = P_{ij} && \forall i \in [1, N], j \in [1, M] && \\
& \text{interval } y_{ij} \subset [0, H] && \forall i \in [1, N], j \in [1, M] && \\
& \text{sequence } s_k \text{ on } [x_p]_{p \in O_k} && \forall k \in [1, M] &&
\end{aligned}$$

The following redundant constraints can also be added to strengthen the model. Interval variable  $c_k$  is an interval that starts at the start time of machine  $k$  and ends at  $H$ .

$$\text{span}(c_k, [h] \cup [x_p]_{p \in O_k}) \quad \forall k \in [1, M] \quad (5)$$

$$\sum_{p \in O_k} \text{lengthOf}(x_p) + \text{lengthOf}(y_p) = \text{lengthOf}(c_k) \quad \forall k \in [1, M] \quad (6)$$

$$\text{alwaysIn}\left(\sum_{p \in O_k} \text{pulse}(x_p, 1) + \text{pulse}(y_p, 1), c_k, 1, 1\right) \quad \forall k \in [1, M] \quad (7)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \text{interval } h \subset [H, H], \text{ size} = 0 \\
& \text{interval } c_k && \forall k \in [1, M]
\end{aligned}$$

## A Appendix: Keywords

We use the following notations for the arguments of the operators:

$a, b, c, d$  Integer or numerical constants

$stp$  Stepwise function

$pwl$  Piecewise linear function

$u, v, w$  Integer variables or expressions

$x, y, z$  Interval variables

$r, s$  Sequence variables

$cf$  Cumul function

$sf$  State function

Upper cases denote vectors, for instance  $Y$  denote a vector of interval variables,  $A$  denotes a vector of integer constants.  $M$  denotes a matrix of integers. Optional arguments are denoted with square brackets "[]". Variants of a given keyword are denoted "[VARIANT1|VARIANT2|...]".

Table 1: Keywords for variable types

Keyword	Description
integer	Integer variable
interval	Interval variable
sequence	Sequence variable on interval variables
stateFunction	State function

Table 2: Keywords and arguments for expressions

Keyword	Short description
$+,-,*,/,\sum_i, \prod_i,   , \min, \max, \div, \mod, \log(u), u^v, \lfloor u \rfloor, \lceil u \rceil, \dots$	Classical arithmetical expressions
$A[v], U[v]$	Array expressions: $v$ is an integer index variable
$\text{count}(U, a)$	Count variables with given value
$\text{countDifferent}(U)$	Count number of different values
$\text{standardDeviation}(U, a, b)$	Standard deviation
$[\text{start} \text{end} \text{size} \text{length}] \text{Of}(x[, a])$	Start (etc.) value of an interval variable
$[\text{start} \text{end} \text{size} \text{length}] \text{Eval}(pwl, x[, a])$	Piecewise linear function evaluated on the start (etc.) value
$[\text{start} \text{end} \text{size} \text{length} \text{type}] \text{OfNext}(s, x, a[, b])$	Start (etc.) value of next interval in a sequence
$[\text{start} \text{end} \text{size} \text{length} \text{type}] \text{OfPrev}(s, x, a[, b])$	Start (etc.) value of previous interval in a sequence
$\text{heightAt}[\text{Start} \text{End}](cf, x)$	Contribution of $x$ to a cumul function at start (or end)
$\text{overlapLength}(x, y[, a])$	Overlap length between interval variables
$\text{pulse}(x, a[, b])$	Cumul expression: pulse
$\text{step}(a, b)$	Cumul expression: step at constant value
$\text{stepAt}[\text{Start} \text{End}](x, a[, b])$	Cumul expression: step at start (or end) of interval variable

Table 3: Keywords and arguments for constraints

Keyword	Short description
$=, \neq,$ $\leq, \geq, <, >$	Classical arithmetical constraints
allDifferent( $V$ ) pack( $U, V, A, w$ ) allMinDistance( $U, a$ ) inverse( $U, V$ ) allowedAssignments( $U, M$ ) forbiddenAssignments( $U, M$ ) lexicographic( $U, V$ )	Global all different constraint Bin-packing constraint Minimal distance between all values Inverse constraint Allowed combinations of values Forbidden combinations of values Lexicographic ordering constraint
presenceOf( $x$ ) [start end][Before At][Start End]( $x, y[, a]$ ) forbid[Start End Extent]( $x, stp$ ) alternative( $x, Y[, u]$ ) span( $x, Y$ ) noOverlap( $s[, M, bool]$ ) first( $s, x$ ) last( $s, x$ ) prev( $s, x, y$ ) before( $s, x, y$ ) sameSequence( $r, s[, X, Y]$ ) sameCommonSubsequence( $r, s[, X, Y]$ ) alwaysIn( $f, x, a, b$ ) alwaysEqual( $sf, x, a[, bool, bool]$ ) alwaysConstant( $sf, x[, bool, bool]$ ) alwaysNoState( $sf, x$ )	Presence of an interval variable Precedence constraints Forbidden values Alternative Span No-overlap First on a sequence Last on a sequence Immediately before on a sequence Before on a sequence Same sequence Same common subsequence Always-in constraint on cumul or state function Always-equal constraint on state function Always-constant constraint on state function Always-no-state constraint on state function

## References

- [1] Ernesto Birgin, Paulo Feofiloff, Cristina Fernandes, Everton De Melo, Marcio Oshiro, and Débora Ronconi. A MILP model for an extended version of the Flexible Job Shop Problem. *Optimization Letters*, 8(4):1417–1431, 2014.
- [2] John N. Hooker. A Hybrid Method for Planning and Scheduling. In *Proc. 10th International Conference on Principles and Practice of Constraint Programming (CP 2004)*, pages 305–316, 2004.
- [3] IBM. Section ”Introduction to Scheduling Concepts” of the CP Optimizer Reference Manual. <http://ibm.biz/CPOptimizerConcepts>. [Online; accessed 14-November-2018].
- [4] Philippe Laborie and Bilal Messaoudi. New Results for the GEO-CAPE Observation Scheduling Problem. In *Proc. 27th International Conference on Automated Planning and Scheduling (ICAPS 2017)*, pages 382–390, 2017.
- [5] Philippe Laborie, Jérôme Rogerie, Paul Shaw, and Petr Vilím. IBM ILOG CP Optimizer for Scheduling. *Constraints Journal*, 23(2):210–250, 2018.
- [6] Angelo Oddi, Riccardo Rasconi, and Miguel A. González. Energy-Aware Multiple State Machine Scheduling for Multiobjective Optimization. In *Proc. 17th International Conference of the Italian Association for Artificial Intelligence (IA-AI 2018)*, 2018.