This document is a summary of the *Machine Perception* course at ETH Zürich. This summary was created during the spring semester of 2024. Due to updates to the syllabus content, some material may no longer be relevant for future versions of the course. I do not guarantee correctness or completeness, nor is this document endorsed by the lecturers. The order of the chapters is not necessarily the order in which they were presented in the course. For the full Lagar source code, visit github.com/Jovvik/eth-cheatsheets. All figures are created by the author, and, as-

All figures are created by the author, and, assuming the rules have not been changed, are not allowed to be used as a part of a cheat sheet during the exam.

1 CNN

T is linear if $T(\alpha \mathbf{u} + \beta \mathbf{v}) = \alpha T(\mathbf{u}) + \beta T(\mathbf{v})$, summed on overlaps. invariant to f if $T(f(\mathbf{u})) = T(\mathbf{u})$, equivari-| **Sizes** input: $\sum_{m=-k}^{k} \sum_{n=-k}^{k} K(-m, -n) I(m+i, n+j). \text{ Correl-} W_{out} = (W_{in} + 2p_2 - d_2(k_2 - 1) - 1) s_2^{-1} + 1.$ ation: $I'(i, j) = \sum_{m=-k}^{k} \sum_{n=-k}^{k} K(m, n) I(m + 2 \text{ RNN})$ (i, n + j). Conv. forward: $z^{(l)} = w^{(l)} * | Vanilla RNN: <math>\hat{y}_t = W_{hy}h_t, h_t$ $z^{(l-1)} + b^{(l)} = \sum_{m} \sum_{n} w_{m,n}^{(l)} z_{i-m,j-n}^{(l-1)} + b^{(l)}$ Backward inputs: $\delta^{(l-1)} = \frac{\partial C}{\partial z_{i,j}^{(l-1)}} = \delta^{(l)} *$ $BPTT: \frac{\partial L}{\partial W} = \sum_{t} \frac{\partial L_{t}}{\partial W}, \text{ treat unrolled model}$ $b = \sum_{t} \frac{\partial L_{t}}{\partial W} = \sum_{t} \frac{\partial L_{t}}{\partial W}, \text{ treat unrolled model}$ $b = \sum_{t} \frac{\partial L_{t}}{\partial W} = \sum_{t} \frac{\partial L_{t}}{\partial W}, \text{ treat unrolled model}$ $c = \sum_{t} \frac{\partial L_{t}}{\partial W} = \sum_{t} \frac{\partial L_{t}}{\partial W}, \text{ treat unrolled model}$ $c = \sum_{t} \frac{\partial L_{t}}{\partial W} = \sum_{t} \frac{\partial L_{t}}{\partial W}, \text{ treat unrolled model}$ $\text{ROT}_{180}(z^{(l-1)})$. Width or height after conv or $\prod_{i=k+1}^{t} \frac{\partial \mathbf{h}_i}{\partial \mathbf{h}_{i-1}} = \prod_{i=k+1}^{t} \mathbf{W}_{hh}^\mathsf{T} \operatorname{diag} f'(\mathbf{h}_{i-1})$. 1D conv as matmul: $\begin{bmatrix} k_2 & k_1 & \vdots \\ k_3 & k_2 & k_1 & 0 \\ 0 & k_3 & k_2 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$

Backprop example (rotate K):

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 3 & 3 & 3 \\ 4 & 2 & 3 \\ 2 & 3 & 3 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$X \longrightarrow K \longrightarrow Y = X * K \longrightarrow$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} [4] & [1] & [0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\rightarrow Y' = Pool(Y) \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} [1 & 0 & 1] & [0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\rightarrow \partial E/\partial K \longrightarrow \partial E/\partial V$$

Max-pooling: $z^{(l)} = \max z_i^{(l-1)}$. $i^* := -$ Approximate: $\arg\max_{i} z_{i}^{(l-1)}, \frac{\partial z^{(l)}}{\partial z_{i}^{(l-1)}} = [i = i^{*}], \delta^{(l-1)} = \delta_{i^{*}}^{(l)}.$ * Variational: VAE, Diffusion * Markov Chain: Boltzmann machine

Unpooling: nearest-neighbor (duplicate), bed of nails (only top left, rest 0), max-unpooling (remember where max came from when pooling). Learnable upsampling: transposed $\dot{\rm conv}, \mid \star \>$ Normalizing Flows

output is copies of filter weighted by input, Implicit density:

 $(C_{in}, H_{in}, W_{in}),$ ear shift-equivariant T can be written as $p_1 \times p_2$, stride: $s_1 \times s_2$, dilation: $d_1 \times d_2$ are NNs. Optimal linear autoencoder is PCA. a convolution. Convolution: $I'(i,j) = |H_{out}| = (H_{in} + 2p_1 - d_1(k_1 - 1) - 1)s_1^{-1} + 1$

 $ROT_{180}(w^{(l)})$, backward kernel: $\frac{\partial C}{\partial w^{(l)}} = \delta^{(l)} *$ as multi-layer. $\frac{\partial L_t}{\partial W}$ has a term of $\frac{\partial h_t}{\partial h_k} = 0$ pool: $(\text{in}+2\cdot\text{pad}-\text{dil}\cdot(\text{kern}-1)-1)/\text{stride}+1$, $|\text{Exploding/vanishing gradients:} \quad \mathbf{h}_t = |D_{\text{KL}}(P\|Q) \neq D_{\text{KL}}(Q\|P), D_{\text{KL}}(P\|Q) \geq 0$ rounded down. Channels = number of kernels. $\mathbf{W}^t \mathbf{h}_1$. If \mathbf{W} is diagonaliz. $\mathbf{W} = \mathbf{Q} \operatorname{diag} \lambda \mathbf{Q}^T = \mathbf{Q}$ $Q\Lambda Q^{\mathsf{T}}, QQ^{\mathsf{T}} = \mathbf{I} \Rightarrow \mathbf{h}_t = (Q\Lambda Q^{\mathsf{T}})^t \mathbf{h}_1 =$ $(Q(\operatorname{diag} \lambda)^t Q^{\mathsf{T}})\mathbf{h}_1 \Rightarrow \mathbf{h}_t \text{ becomes the dom-} | \text{let encoder NN be } q_{\phi}(z \mid x), \log p_{\theta}(x^i)$ inant eigenvector of **W**. $\frac{\partial \mathbf{h}_t}{\partial \mathbf{h}_t}$ has this issue. Long-term contributions vanish, too sensitive to recent distrations. Truncated BPTT: take the sum only over the last κ steps. **Gradient clipping** $\frac{\text{threshold}}{\|\nabla\|}\nabla$ fights exploding gradients.

- 2.1 LSTM We want constant error flow, not multiplied by W^t .
- Input gate: which values to write,
- forget gate: which values to reset,
- output gate: which values to read,
- gate: candidate values to write to state.

$$\begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{i} \\ \mathbf{f} \\ \mathbf{o} \\ \mathbf{g} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \sigma \\ \sigma \\ \sigma \\ \tanh \end{pmatrix} W \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{x}_t \\ \mathbf{h}_{t-1} \end{pmatrix}$$
$$\mathbf{c}_t = \mathbf{f} \odot \mathbf{c}_{t-1} + \mathbf{i} \odot \mathbf{g}$$
$$\mathbf{h}_t = \mathbf{o} \odot \tanh(\mathbf{c}_t)$$

3 Generative modelling

Learn $p_{\text{model}} \approx p_{\text{data}}$, sample from p_{model} . Explicit density:

- Tractable:
- FVSBN/NADE/MADE Autoregressive:

 Direct: Generative Adversarial Networks output: - MC: Generative Stochastic Networks Undercomplete: |Z| < |X|, else overcomplete. **forcing**: feed GT as previous output. Overcomp. is for denoising, inpainting. Latent space should be continuous and inter polable. Autoencoder spaces are neither, so = they are only good for reconstruction.

Sample *z* from prior $p_{\theta}(z)$, to decode use con $D_{\text{KL}}(P||Q) := \int_{x} p(x) \log \frac{p(x)}{q(x)} dx$: KL divergence, measure similarity of prob. distr.

z can also be categorical. Likelihood $p_{\theta}(x) =$ $\int_{z} p_{\theta}(x \mid z) p_{\theta}(z) dz$ is hard to maximize,

use ≥ 0 to ignore it; Orange is reconstruction | correctly. loss, clusters similar samples; Purple makes | NLL is a natural metric for autoreg. models, posterior close to prior, adds cont. and interp. hard to evaluate others. Orange – Purple is ELBO, maximize it.

 $x \xrightarrow{\text{enc}} \mu_{z|x}, \Sigma_{z|x} \xrightarrow{\text{sample}} z \xrightarrow{\text{dec}} \mu_{x|z}, \Sigma_{x|z} \xrightarrow{\text{sample}} \hat{x}$ Backprop through sample by reparametr.: z = $\mu + \sigma \epsilon$. For inference, use μ directly.

Disentanglement: features should correspond to distinct factors of variation. Can be done with semi-supervised learning by making z conditionally independent of given features y.| We can run an AR model in the latent space.

4.1 β -VAE $\max_{\theta,\phi} \mathbb{E}_x \left[\mathbb{E}_{z \sim q_{\phi}} \log p_{\theta}(x \mid z) \right]$ to disentangle s.t. $D_{\text{KL}}(q_{\phi}(z \mid x) || p_{\theta}(z)) < \delta$ with KKT: max Orange – β Purple.

5 Autoregressive generative models

Autoregression: use data from the same input variable at previous time steps Discriminative: $P(Y \mid X)$, generative: P(X, Y)maybe with Y missing. Sequence models are generative: from $x_i \dots x_{i+k}$ predict x_{i+k+1} . Tabular approach: $p(\mathbf{x}) = \prod_i p(x_i \mid \mathbf{x}_{< i}),$ needs 2^{i-1} params. Independence assumption is too strong. Let $p_{\theta_i}(x_i \mid \mathbf{x}_{< i}) =$ Bern $(f_i(\mathbf{x}_{< i}))$, where f_i is a NN. Fully Vis- $\alpha^{(i)} \mathbf{x}_{< i}^{\mathsf{T}}$), complexity n^2 , but model is linear. | token. Attn. is $O(T^2D)$.

Neural Autoregressive Density Estimator: add hidden layer. $\mathbf{h}_i = \sigma(\mathbf{b} + \mathbf{W}_{i, < i} \mathbf{x}_{< i}), \, \hat{\mathbf{x}}_i = \mathbf{W}_{i, < i} \mathbf{x}_{< i}$ $\sigma(c_i + V_i, \mathbf{h}_i)$. Order of x can be arbitrary but ant to f if $\check{T}(f(\mathbf{u})) = f(T(\mathbf{u}))$. Any lin- $(C_{out}, H_{out}, W_{out})$. Kernel: $k_1 \times k_2$, padding: Autoencoder: $X \to Z \to X$, $g \circ f \approx \mathrm{id}$, f and g fixed. Train by max log-likelihood in O(TD), can use 2nd order optimizers, can use teacher

> Extensions: Convolutional; Real-valued: conditionals by mixture of gaussians; Order-less and deep: one DNN predicts $p(x_k \mid x_{i_1} \dots x_{i_s})$.

> **Masked Autoencoder Distribution Estim**ator: mask out weights s.t. no information flows from x_d ... to \hat{x}_d . Large hidden layers needed. Trains as fast as autoencoders, but sampling needs *D* forward passes.

PixelRNN: generate pixels from corner, dependency on previous pixels is by RNN (LSTM). **PixelCNN**: also from corner, but condition by CNN over context region (perceptive field) \Rightarrow parallelize. For conditionals use masked convolutions. Channels: model R from context, G from R + cont., B from G + $\mathbb{E}_z \left[\log p_{\theta}(x^i \mid z) \right] - D_{\text{KL}}(q_{\phi}(z \mid x^i) || p_{\theta}(z)) + || R + \text{cont. Training is parallel, but inference is} \right]$ $D_{\text{KL}}(q_{\phi}(z \mid x^{i}) || p_{\theta}(z \mid x^{i}))$. Red is intractable, sequential \Rightarrow slow. Use conv. stacks to mask

WaveNet: audio is high-dimensional. Use dilated convolutions to increase perceptive field with multiple layers.

AR does not work for high res images/video, convert the images into a series of tokens with an AE: Vector-quantized VAE. The codebook

is a set of vectors. $x \xrightarrow{\text{enc}} z \xrightarrow{\text{codebook}} z_q \xrightarrow{\text{dec}} \hat{x}$.

5.1 Attention \mathbf{x}_t is a convex combination of the past steps, with access to all past steps. For $X \in \mathbb{R}^{T \times D}$: $K = XW_K, V = XW_V, O = XW_O$. Check pairwise similarity between query and keys via dot product: let attention weights be $\alpha = \text{Softmax}(OK^{\mathsf{T}}/\sqrt{D}), \alpha \in \mathbb{R}^{1\times T}$. Adding mask M to avoid looking into the future:

$$X = \operatorname{Softmax} \left(\frac{(XW_Q)(XW_K)^{\mathsf{T}}}{\sqrt{D}} + M \right) (XW_V)$$

Multi-head attn. splits W into h heads, then concatenates them. Positional encoding in-Pixel(C/R)NN, WaveNet/TCN, Autor. Transf., ible Sigmoid Belief Networks: $f_i = \sigma(\alpha_0^{(i)} + | \text{ jects information about the position of the})$

6 Normalizing Flows

VAs dont have a tractable likelihood, generate new image \mathbf{x}_{R}^{2} : $\mathbf{x}_{R}^{2} = f_{\phi}(\mathbf{z}_{R}^{1} \mid \mathbf{z}_{A}^{2})$. AR models have no latent space. Want Change of variable for xf(z): $p_x(x) = p_z(f^{-1}(x)) \left| \det \frac{\partial f^{-1}(x)}{\partial x} \right|$ $p_z(f^{-1}(x)) \left| \det \frac{\partial f(z)}{\partial z} \right|^{-1}$. Map $Z \to X$ with a but computing the determinant is $O(n^3)$. If the Jacobian is triangular, the determinant is O(n). To do this, add a coupling layer:

$$\begin{pmatrix} y^A \\ y^B \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} h(x^A, \beta(x^B)) \\ x^B \end{pmatrix} \quad \begin{array}{l} \text{, where } \beta \text{ is any} \\ \text{model, and } h \text{ is elementwise.} \\ \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} x^A \\ x^B \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} h^{-1}(y^A, \beta(y^B)) \\ y^B \end{pmatrix}, J = \begin{pmatrix} h' & h'\beta' \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

Stack these for expressivity, $f = f_k \circ \dots f_1$ $p_x(x) = p_z(f^{-1}(x)) \prod_k \left| \det \frac{\partial f_k^{-1}(x)}{\partial x} \right|.$ Sample $z \sim p_z$ and get x = f(z).

• Squeeze: reshape, increase chan.

• ActNorm: batchnorm with init. s.t. output $\sim \mathcal{N}(0, \mathbf{I})$ for first minibatch. $\mathbf{y}_{i,j} = \mathbf{s} \odot \mathbf{x}_{i,j} + \mathbf{b}$, $\mathbf{x}_{i,j} = (\mathbf{y}_{i,j} - \mathbf{b})/\mathbf{s}, \log \det = H \cdot W \cdot \sum_{i} \log |\mathbf{s}_{i}|$: linear.

dim. Init **W** as rand. ortogonal $\in \mathbb{R}^{C \times C}$ with p_m . These assumptions are too strong. $\det \mathbf{W} = 1$. $\log \det = H \cdot W \cdot \log |\det \mathbf{W}|$: $O(C^3)$ Faster: W := PL(U + diag(s)), where P is a random fixed permut. matrix, L is lower triang. with 1s on diag., U is upper triang. with 0s on diag., s is a vector. Then $\log \det = \sum_{i} \log |\mathbf{s}_{i}|$: O(C) Conditional coupling: add parameter w to β .

SRFlow: use flows to generate many high res images from a low-res one. Adds affine injector between conv. and coupling layers. $\mathbf{h}^{n+1} = \exp(\beta_{\theta,s}^n(\mathbf{u})) \cdot \mathbf{h}^n + \beta_{\theta,b}(\mathbf{u}), \mathbf{h}^n =$ $\exp(-\beta_{\theta_s}^n(\mathbf{u})) \cdot (\mathbf{h}^{n+1} - \beta_{\theta_b}^n(\mathbf{u})), \log \det =$ $\sum_{i,j,k} \beta_{\theta,s}^n(\mathbf{u}_{i,j,k}).$

StyleFlow: Take StyleGAN and replace the network $z \rightarrow w$ (aux. latent space) with a normalizing flow conditioned on attributes. flows: multimodal flows. Encode original im- AdaIn.

Flows are expensive for training and low res The latent distr. of a flow needn't be \mathcal{N} .

7 Generative Adversarial Networks (GANs)

Log-likelihood is not a good metric. We can have high likelihood with poor quality by mixdeterministic invertible f_{θ} . This can be a NN, ing in noise and not losing much likelihood; or low likelihood with good quality by remembering input data and having sharp peaks there.

Generator $G: \mathbb{R}^Q \to \mathbb{R}^D$ maps noise z to data, **discriminator** $D: \mathbb{R}^D \to [0, 1]$ tries to decide if data is real or fake, receiving both gen. outputs and training data. Train D for ksteps for each step of *G*.

Training GANs is a min-max process, which are hard to optimize. V(G, D) = $\mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{x} \sim p_{d}} \log(D(\mathbf{x})) + \mathbb{E}_{\hat{\mathbf{x}} \sim p_{m}} \log(1 - D(\hat{\mathbf{x}}))$ For *G* the opt. $D^* = p_d(\mathbf{x})/(p_d(\mathbf{x}) + p_m(\mathbf{x}))$. Jensen-Shannon divergence (symmetric) $D_{\text{IS}}(p||q) = \frac{1}{2}D_{\text{KL}}(p||\frac{p+q}{2}) + \frac{1}{2}D_{\text{KL}}(p||\frac{p+q}{2})$ Global minimum of $D_{\rm IS}(p_{\rm d}||p_{\rm m})$ is the glob. min. of V(G,D), $V(G,D^*) = -\log(4)$ and at optimum of $V(D^*, G)$ we have $p_d = p_m$.

If G and D have enough capacity, at each update step D reaches D^* and p_m improves $V(p_{\rm m}, D^*) \propto \sup_{D} \int_{\mathbf{x}} p_{\rm m}(\mathbf{x}) \log(-D(\mathbf{x})) d\mathbf{x},$ • 1 × 1 conv: permutation along channel then $p_m \to p_d$ by convexity of $V(p_m, D^*)$ wrt.

If D is too strong, G has near zero gradients and doesn't learn $(\log'(1-D(G(z)))\approx 0)$. Use gradient ascent on log(D(G(z))) instead.

or one class of samples. Solution: **unrolling** Denoising is not tractable naively: $q(\mathbf{x}_{t-1})$ use k previous D for each G update.

GANs are hard to compare, as likelihood is $\int q(\mathbf{x}_t \mid \mathbf{x}_0)q(\mathbf{x}_0)d\mathbf{x}_0$. intractable. FID is a metric that calculates the distance between feature vectors calculated for real and generated images.

Adding gradient penalty for D stabilizes training. Profressive Growing GAN: generate $\log p(\mathbf{x}_0) \geq \mathbb{E}_{q(\mathbf{x}_{1:T}|\mathbf{x}_0)} \log \left(\frac{p(\mathbf{x}_{0:T})}{q(\mathbf{x}_{1:T}|\mathbf{x}_0)}\right)$ low-res image, then high-res during training. **AdaIN**: Similar to attention. $c' = \gamma(s) \odot$ $\frac{c-\mu(c)}{\sigma(c)} + \beta(s)$, where *c* is the content, *s* is the space W with FCs, batchnorm with scale and |a| sense VAEs are 1-step diffusion models. C-Flow: condition on other normalizing $|\hat{m}$ mean from W, add noise at each layer using

(image, segm. map, etc.) \mathbf{x}_A^2 : $\mathbf{z}_A^2 = g_\theta^{-1}(\mathbf{x}_A^2)$; nipulate images in latent space, inpainting. If can be written as $\frac{1}{\sqrt{\alpha_t}}\mathbf{x}_t - \frac{1-\alpha_t}{\sqrt{1-\alpha_t}}\mathbf{x}_{\theta_t}$, and G predicts image and segmentation mask, we age, even outside the training distribution.

> 7.1 3D GANs 3D GAN: voxels instead of pixels. PlatonicGAN: 2D input, 3D output differentiably rendered back to 2D for D.

HoloGAN: 3D GAN + 2D superresolution GAN

GRAF: radiance fields more effic. than voxels $\left| \mathbf{x}_{t-1} \right| = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\alpha_t}} \left(\mathbf{x}_t - \frac{1-\alpha_t}{\sqrt{1-\overline{\alpha_t}}} \epsilon_{\theta}(\mathbf{x}_t, t) \right) + \sigma_t \mathbf{z}$. GIRAFFE: GRAF + 2D conv. upscale

EG3D: use 3 2D images from StyleGAN for features, project each 3D point to tri-planes.

7.2 Image Translation E.g. sketch $X \rightarrow \text{image}$ Y. Pix2Pix: $G: X \to Y, D: X, Y \to [0, 1]$ GAN loss $+L_1$ loss between sketch and image Needs pairs for training.

CycleGAN: unpaired. Two GANs $F: X \rightarrow$ $Y, G: Y \to X$, cycle-consistency loss $F \circ G \approx$ id; $G \circ F \approx \text{id plus GAN losses for } F \text{ and } G$. BicycleGAN: add noise input. Vid2vid: video translation.

8 Diffusion models

High quality generations, better diversity, 9 Reinforcement learning more stable/scalable.

Diffusion (forward) step q: adds noise to \mathbf{x}_t (not learned). Denoising (reverse) step p_{θ} : removes noise from \mathbf{x}_t (learned).

then
$$p_m \to p_d$$
 by convexity of $V(p_m, D^-)$ wrt. p_m . These assumptions are too strong. If D is too strong, G has near zero gradients and doesn't learn $(\log'(1-D(G(z)))\approx 0)$. Use gradient ascent on $\log(D(G(z)))$ instead.

Mode collapse: G only produces one sample or one class of samples. Solution: **unrolling** — use k previous D for each G update.

GANs are hard to compare, as likelihood is intractable. FID is a metric that calculates the intractable of the product of $V(p_m, D^-)$ with $V(p_m, D^-)$ wit

Conditioning on x_0 we get a Gaussian. Learn model $p_{\theta}(\mathbf{x}_{t-1} \mid \mathbf{x}_t) \approx q(\mathbf{x}_{t-1} \mid \mathbf{x}_t, \mathbf{x}_0)$ by predicting the mean.

Adding gradient penalty for
$$D$$
 stabilizes training. **Profressive Growing** GAN: generate low-res image, then high-res during training. **AdaIN**: Similar to attention. $c' = \gamma(s) \odot \frac{c-\mu(c)}{\sigma(c)} + \beta(s)$, where c is the content, s is the style. **StyleGAN**: learn intermediate latent space \mathcal{W} with FCs, batchnorm with scale and

age \mathbf{x}_{B}^{1} : $\mathbf{z}_{B}^{1} = f_{\phi}^{-1}(\mathbf{x}_{B}^{1} \mid \mathbf{x}_{A}^{1})$; encode extra info GAN **inversion**: find z s.t. $G(z) \approx x \Rightarrow$ ma-|so we want $\mu_{\theta}(\mathbf{x}_{t}, t) \approx \mu_{q}(\mathbf{x}_{t}, \mathbf{x}_{0})$ iterates over all states and O(|S|) memory.

can use inversion to predict mask for any im- $\mu_{\theta}(\mathbf{x}_{t},t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\alpha_{t}}}\mathbf{x}_{t} - \frac{1-\alpha_{t}}{\sqrt{1-\overline{\alpha_{t}}}\sqrt{\alpha_{t}}}\hat{\epsilon}_{\theta}(\mathbf{x}_{t},t)$, so the NN learns to predict the added noise.

> Training: img $\mathbf{x}_0, t \sim \text{Unif}(1...T), \epsilon \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \mathbf{I}),$ GD on $\nabla_{\theta} \| \epsilon - \epsilon_{\theta} (\sqrt{\overline{\alpha}_t} \mathbf{x}_0 + \sqrt{1 - \overline{\alpha}_t} \epsilon, t) \|^2$.

> Sampling: $\mathbf{x}_T \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \mathbf{I})$, for t = T downto 1: $\mathbf{z} \sim \mathcal{N}(0,I)$ if t > 1 else $\mathbf{z} = 0$;

$$\mathbf{x}_{t-1} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\alpha_t}} (\mathbf{x}_t - \frac{1 - \alpha_t}{\sqrt{1 - \overline{\alpha_t}}} \epsilon_{\theta}(\mathbf{x}_t, t)) + \sigma_t \mathbf{x}_t$$

 $\sigma_t^2 = \beta_t$ in practice. t can be continuous.

8.1 Conditional generation Add input y to the model.

ControlNet: don't retrain model, add layers that add something to block outputs.

(Classifier-free) **guidance**: mix predictions of a conditional and unconditional model, because conditional models are not diverse. $\eta_{\theta_1}(x,c;t) = (1+\rho)\eta_{\theta_1}(x,c;t) - \rho\eta_{\theta_2}(x;t).$

8.2 Latent diffusion models High-res images are expensive to model. Predict in latent space, decode with a decoder.

Environment is a Markov Decision Process: states S, actions A, reward $r: S \times A \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, transition $p: S \times A \rightarrow S$, initial $s_0 \in S$, discount factor y. r and p are deterministic, can be a distribution. Learn policy $\pi: S \to A$. Value $V_{\pi}: S \to \mathbb{R}$, the reward from s under π . **Bellman eq.**: $G_t := \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \gamma^k R_{t+k+1}, v_{\pi}(s) :=$ $\mathbb{E}_{\pi}[G_t \mid S_t = s] = \mathbb{E}_{\pi}[R_{t+1} + \gamma G_{t+1} \mid S_t = s] =$ $(s, a)[r + \gamma v_{\pi}(s')]$. Can be solved via dynamic

9.1 Dynamic programming Value iteration: compute optimal v_* , then π_* .

Carlo or Temporal Difference learning.

programming (needs knowledge of p), Monte-

Policy iteration: compute v_{π} and π together. For any V_{π} the greedy policy (optimal) is $\pi'(s) = \arg\max_{a \in A} (r(s, a) + \gamma V_{\gamma}(p(s, a))).$

Bellman optimality: $v_*(s) = \max_a q_*(s, a) =$ $\max_{a} \sum_{s',r} p(s',r \mid s,a) [r + \gamma v_*(s')] \Rightarrow \text{update}$ step: $V_{\text{new}}^*(s) = \max_{a \in A} (r(s, a) + \gamma V_{\text{old}}^*(s')),$ when $V_{\text{old}}^* = V_{\text{new}}^*$, we have optimal policy.

Converges in finite steps, more efficient than t-th denoising is just arg min $_{\theta} \frac{1}{2\sigma_{a}^{2}(t)} \|\mu_{\theta} - \mu_{q}\|_{2}^{2}$ policy iteration. But needs knowledge of p,

- 9.2 Monte Carlo sampling Sample trajector- ation: $S = \{x \mid f(x) = 0\}$. Can be invertibly for thin structures. Ellipsoids are better. ies, estimate v_{π} by averaging returns. Doesn't transformed without accuracy loss. Usually Initialize point cloud randomly or with an need full p, is unbiased, but high variance, represented as signed distance function values approx. reconstruction. Each point has a exploration/exploitation dilemma, may not on a grid, but this is again n^3 . By UAT, ap-3D Gaussian. Use camera params. to proreach term. state.
- 9.3 Temporal Difference learning For each $s \rightarrow$ s' by action a update: $\Delta V(s) = r(s, a) +$ $\gamma V(s') - V(s)$. ε -greedy policy: with prob. ε choose random action, else greedy.
- 9.4 Q-learning Q-value f.: $q_{\pi}(s, a)$ $\mathbb{E}_{\pi}|G_t|S_t=s, A_t=a|.$

SARSA (on-policy): For each $S \rightarrow S'$ by action A update: $\Delta Q(S,A) = r(S,A) + \gamma Q(S',A')$ $Q(S,A), Q(S,A) += \alpha \Delta Q(S,A), \alpha$ is LR.

Q-learning (off-policy/offline): $\Delta Q(S, A) =$ $R_{t+1} + \gamma \max_a Q(S', a) - Q(S, A)$

ues of states that have not been visited.

- values. Loss is $(R + \gamma \max_{a'} Q_{\theta}(S', a') \gamma \sum_{a'} Q_{\theta}(S', a')$ $Q_{\theta}(S,A)$)², backprop only through $Q_{\theta}(S,A)$. for training \Rightarrow no correlation in samples.
- 9.6 Deep Q-networks Encode state to low dimensionality with NN.
- 9.7 Policy gradients *Q*-learning does not handle continuous action spaces. Learn a policy directly instead, $\pi(a_t \mid s_t)$ $\mathcal{N}(\mu_t, \sigma_t^2 \mid s_t)$. Sample trajectories: $p(\tau)$ $p(s_1, a_1, ..., s_T, a_T) = p(s_1) \prod \pi(a_t | s_t) p(s_{t+1} |$ a_t, s_t). This is on-policy.

timize, need to compute \mathbb{E} (see proofs).

variance, subtract baseline $b(s_t)$ from reward.

- 9.8 Actor-Critic $\nabla_{\theta} I(\theta)$
- $\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i} \sum_{t} \nabla \log \pi_{\theta}(a_t^i \mid s_t^i) (r(s_t^i, a_t^i) + \gamma V(s_{t+1}^i))$ $V(s_t^i)$). π = actor, V = critic. Est. value with NN. not trai. rollouts.
- 9.9 Motion synthesis Data-driven: bad perf. | 10.2.2 NeRF from sparse views Regularize geoout of distribution, needs expensive mocap. **DeepMimic:** RL to imitate reference motions while satisfying task objectives.

SFV: use pose estimation: videos \rightarrow train data.

10 Neural Implicit Representations

Voxels/volum. primitives are inefficient ($n^3 \mid 10.3 \mid 3D$ Gaussian Splatting Alternative para- and camera parameters.

prox. f with NN. Occupancy networks: pre-ject ("splat") Gaussians to 2D and differenti dict probability that point is inside the shape, ably render them. Adaptive density control **DeepSDF**: predict SDF. Both conditioned on moves/clones/merges points. input (2D image, class, etc.). Continuious, any Rasterization: for each pixel sort Gaussians topology/resolution, memory-efficient. NFs by depth, opacity $\alpha = o \cdot \exp(-0.5(x - 1))$ can model other properties (color, force, etc.). $|\mu'\rangle^T \Sigma'^{-1}(x-\mu')$, rest same as NeRF.

to get a mesh, sample points, predict occu- a covariance $\Sigma \in \mathbb{R}^{3 \times 3}$, and a color $c \in \mathbb{R}^3$ and pancy/SDF, use marching cubes.

10.1.1 From watertight meshes Sample points To model view-dependent color, the color can 10.1.2 From point clouds Only have samples \mathbb{R}^9 All these approaches do not approximate val- on the surface. Weak supervision: loss = $|f_{\theta}(x_i)|^2 + \lambda \mathbb{E}_x(||\nabla_x f_{\theta}(x)|| - 1)^2$, edge points 9.5 Deep Q-learning Use NN to predict Q-should have $\|\nabla f\| \approx 1$ by def. of SDF, $\hat{f} \approx 0$. 10.1.3 From images Need differentiable rendering 3D \rightarrow 2D. **Differentiable Volumet-**Store history in replay buffer, sample from it | ric Rendering: for a point conditioned on encoded image, predict occupancy f(x) and RGB color c(x). Forward: for a pixel, raymarch and root find $\hat{p}: f(\hat{p}) = 0$ with secant. Set pixel color to $c(\hat{p})$. **Backward**: see proofs.

10.2 Neural Radiance Fields (NeRF)

 $(x, y, z, \theta, \phi) \xrightarrow{NN} (r, q, b, \sigma)$. Density is pre-define constraints \Rightarrow 2m arms sometimes. dicted before adding view direction θ , ϕ , then **Skinned Multi-Person Linear model** one layer for color. **Forward**: shoot ray, (SMPL) is the standard non-commercial model. sample points along it and blend: $\alpha := 1 -$ Eval: $J(\theta) := \mathbb{E}_{\tau \sim p_{\theta}(\tau)} \left[\sum_{t} \gamma^{t} r(s_{t}, a_{t}) \right]$. To op- $\left| \exp(-\sigma_{i} \delta_{i}), \delta_{i} \right| := t_{i+1} - t_{i}, T_{i} := \prod_{i=1}^{i-1} (1 - t_{i})$ α_i), color is $c = \sum_i T_i \alpha_i c_i$. Optimized on **REINFORCE**: MC sampling of τ . To reduce many views of the scene. Can handle transparency/thin structure, but worse geometry. Needs many (50+) views for training, slow rendering for high res, only models static scenes. 10.2.1 Positional Encoding for High Frequency De-

tails Replace x, y, z with pos. enc. or rand. Fourier feats. Adds high frequency feats.

metry and color.

deep MLPs with learn. feature hash table + small MLP. For x interp. features between $|\hat{S}\hat{\beta}|$, pose cor. $p(\theta) = P\theta$, J dep. on shape β . corners.

10.1 Learning 3D Implicit Shapes Inference: Each Gaussian primitive has a center $\mu \in \mathbb{R}^3$, an opaciti $o \in \mathbb{R}$.

in space, compute GT occupancy/SDF, CE loss. be replaced with spherical harmonics, i.e. $c \in$

To keep covariance semi-definite: Σ = $RSS^{\mathsf{T}}R^{\mathsf{T}}$, where $S \in \mathbb{R}^{3\times 3}$ is a diagonal scaling matrix and $R \in \mathbb{R}^{3 \times 3}$ is a rotation matrix.

11 Parametric body models

wise terms between them with springs.

joint coordinates with refinement.

Heatmaps: predict probability for each pixel, maybe Gaussian. Can do stages sequentially.

11.3 3D Naive 2D \rightarrow 3D lift works. But can't

3D mesh, base mesh is ~7k vertices, designed by an artist. To produce the model, point mesh. **Shape deformation subspace**: for a set of human meshes T-posing, vectorize their PCA represent any person as weighted sum | ReLU can blow up activation; piecewise linear of 10-300 basis people, $T = S\beta + \mu$.

For pose, use Linear Blend Skinning. t'_i = $\sum_{k} w_{ki} G_k(\theta, \mathbf{J}) \mathbf{t}_i$, where **t** is the T-pose po-10.2.3 Fast NeRF render. and train. Replace artifacts. SMPL: $\mathbf{t}_i' = \sum_k w_{ki} \hat{\mathbf{G}}_k(\boldsymbol{\theta}, \bar{\mathbf{J}}(\boldsymbol{\beta}))(\mathbf{t}_i + \mathbf{s}_i')$

with prior regularization. Argmin is hard to find, learn $F: \Delta \theta = F(\frac{\partial L_{reproj}}{\partial \theta}, \theta^t, x)$. Issues: self-occlusion, no depth info, non-rigid deformation (clothes).

11.3.2 Template-based capture Scan for first frame, then track with SMPL.

11.3.3 Animatable Neural Implicit Surfaces Model base shape and w with 2 NISs.

12 ML

Perceptron converges in finite time iff data is | linearly separable. MAP $\theta^* \in \arg\max p(\theta)$ | (X, y). MLE $\theta \in \arg\max p(y \mid X, \theta)$ consistent, efficient. **Binary cross-entropy** $L(\theta) = -y_i \log(\hat{y}_i) - (1 - y_i) \log(1 - \hat{y}_i)$. Crossentropy $H(p_d, p_m) = H(p_d) + D_{KL}(p_d || p_m)$. For any continuous $f \exists NN \ q(x), \ |q(x)| |f(x)| < \varepsilon$. 1 hidden layer is enough, activation function needs to be nonlinear. MLP backward inputs: $\delta^{(l)} = \delta^{(l+1)} \cdot \frac{\partial z^{(l+1)}}{\partial z^{(l)}}$,

11.1 Pictorial structure Unary terms and pair-wise terms between them with springs backward weights: $\begin{vmatrix} \frac{\partial \mathbf{z}^{(l)}}{\partial \mathbf{w}_{ij}^{(l)}} \end{vmatrix}_{k} = f'(\mathbf{a})_{k} \cdot z_{j}^{(l)}$

11.2 Deep features Direct regression: predict $\left[k=i\right]$, $\frac{\partial^2 L}{\partial \mathbf{z}^{(l)} \partial \mathbf{z}^{(l)}} = \delta^{(l)} \frac{\partial \mathbf{z}^{(l)}}{\partial \mathbf{w}_{i,j}^{(l)}}$, backward bias: $\frac{\partial L}{\partial \mathbf{b}^{(l)}}$ = same, but no z.

12.1 Activation functions

 \Rightarrow faster convergence.

f'(x)f(X)name $\sigma(x)(1-\sigma(x))$ sigmoid (0,1)tanh $\frac{1}{1+e^{-x}}$ ReLU $\max(0, x)$ $1 - \tanh(x)^2$ (-1, 1) $[x \ge 0]$ $[0, \infty)$ clouds (scans) need to be aligned with the Finite range: stable training, mapping to prob. space. Sigmoid, tanh saturate (value with large mod have small gradient) \Rightarrow vanishing vertices T and subtract the mean mesh. With gradient, Tanh is linear around 0 (easy learn),

12.2 GD algos SGD: use 1 sample. For sum structured loss is unbiased. High variance, efsitions of vertices, t' is transformed, w are ficient, jumps a lot \Rightarrow may get out of local weights, G_k is rigid bone transf., θ is pose, J are | min., may overshoot. Mini-batch: use m < njoint positions. Linear assumption produces | samples. More stable, parallelized. Polyak's **momentum**: velocity $\mathbf{v} := \alpha \mathbf{v} - \epsilon \nabla_{\theta} L(\theta), \theta :=$ $s_i(\beta) + p_i(\theta)$). Adds shape correctives $s(\beta) = |\theta| + v$. Move faster when high curv., consistent or noisy grad. **Nesterov's momentum**: Predicting human pose is just predicting $\beta, \theta \mid v := \alpha v - \epsilon \nabla_{\theta} L(\theta + \alpha v)$. Gets grad. at future point. AdaGrad: $\mathbf{r} := \mathbf{r} + \nabla \odot \nabla$, compl.). Meshes have limited granularity and metr.: Find a cover of object with primitives, 11.3.1 Optimization-based fitting Predict $2D \mid \Delta \theta = -\epsilon/(\delta + \sqrt{r}) \odot \nabla$. Grads decrease fast have self-intersections. Implicit represent-predict inside. Or sphere clouds. Both ineff. joint locations, fit SMPL to them by argmin for variables with high historical gradients,

slow for low. But can decrease LR too early/- $\log 1 = 0$. fast. **RMSProp**: $\mathbf{r} := \rho \mathbf{r} + (1 - \rho) \nabla \odot \nabla$, from distant past, works better for nonconvex. Adam: collect 1st and 2nd moments: $\mathbb{E}_{z \sim q_{\phi}(z|x^{(i)})} \log p_{\theta}(x^{(i)}) = \mathbb{E}_{z} \log \frac{p_{\theta}(x^{(i)}|z)p_{\theta}(z)}{p_{\theta}(z|x^{(i)})} \mathbb{R}^{2}$ $\mathbb{E}_{z \sim q_{\phi}(z|x^{(i)})} \log p_{\theta}(x^{(i)}) = \mathbb{E}_{z} \log \frac{p_{\theta}(x^{(i)}|z)p_{\theta}(z)}{p_{\theta}(z|x^{(i)})} \mathbb{R}^{2}$ $\mathbb{E}_{z \sim q_{\phi}(z|x^{(i)})} \log p_{\theta}(x^{(i)}) = \mathbb{E}_{z} \log \frac{p_{\theta}(x^{(i)}|z)p_{\theta}(z)}{p_{\theta}(z|x^{(i)})} \mathbb{R}^{2}$ $\mathbb{E}_{z \sim q_{\phi}(z|x^{(i)})} \log p_{\theta}(x^{(i)}) = \mathbb{E}_{z} \log \frac{p_{\theta}(x^{(i)}|z)p_{\theta}(z)}{p_{\theta}(z|x^{(i)})} \mathbb{R}^{2}$ $\mathbb{E}_{z \sim q_{\phi}(z|x^{(i)})} \log p_{\theta}(x^{(i)}) = \mathbb{E}_{z} \log \frac{p_{\theta}(x^{(i)}|z)p_{\theta}(z)}{p_{\theta}(z|x^{(i)})} \mathbb{R}^{2}$ vex. Adam: collect 1st and 2nd moments: vex. Adam: collect 1st and 2nd moments: $\mathbf{m} := \beta_1 \mathbf{m} + (1 - \beta_1) \nabla, \mathbf{v} := \beta_2 \mathbf{v} + (1 - \beta_2) \nabla \odot \mathbf{v},$ $\mathbb{E}_z \log \frac{p_{\theta}(x^{(i)}|z) p_{\theta}(z) q_{\phi}(z|x^{(i)})}{p_{\theta}(z|x^{(i)}) q_{\phi}(z|x^{(i)})}$ unbias: $\hat{\mathbf{m}} = \mathbf{m}/(1 - \beta_1^t), \hat{\mathbf{v}} = \mathbf{v}/(1 - \beta_2^t),$ $\Delta \theta = -\frac{\eta}{\sqrt{\hat{\mathbf{y}}} + \epsilon} \hat{\mathbf{m}}.$

13 Proofs

Softmax derivative Let $\hat{y}_i = f(x)i = \left| D_{\text{KL}}(q_{\phi}(z \mid x^{(i)}) \| p_{\theta}(z)) + D_{\text{KL}}(q_{\phi}(z \mid \mathbb{E}_{\tau \sim p(\tau)}[\nabla_{\theta} \log p(\tau) r(\tau)] \right|$ $\frac{\exp(x_i)}{\sum_{i=1}^d \exp(x_i)}, x \in \mathbb{R}^d, y \text{ is 1-hot} \in \mathbb{R}^d, \text{ negative } \left| x^{(i)} \right| \| p_{\theta}(z \mid x^{(i)}) \right).$ log-likelihood $L(\hat{y}, y) = -\sum_{i=1}^{d} y_i \log \hat{y}_i$. $\frac{\sum_{j=1}^{d} \exp(x_{j}) - \exp(x_{i})}{\left(\sum_{j=1}^{d} \exp(x_{j})\right)^{2}} = \begin{vmatrix} \int_{1}^{1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 - 2\pi}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 - 2\pi$ $\frac{\sum_{j=1}^{d} \exp(x_{j})}{\sum_{j=1}^{d} \exp(x_{j})} \frac{\sum_{j=1}^{d} \exp(x_{j})}{\sum_{j=1}^{d} \exp(x_{j})} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\sum_{j=1}^{J} (\sigma_{j}^{2} + \mu_{j}^{2})}{\sum_{j=1}^{J} (\sigma_{j}^{2} + \mu_{j}^{2})} \frac{1}{2} \log 2\pi - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^{J} (\log \sigma_{j}^{2} + 1), \text{ so } -D_{\text{KL}}(q(z)) = \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dx} (x^{2} + y^{2}) = \frac{d}{dx} (1) \Rightarrow \frac{dx}{dx} x^{2} + \frac{d}{dx} y^{2} = 0 \Rightarrow \frac{dy}{dx} = 0$ $\frac{\partial L}{\partial \hat{y}_k} = -\frac{y_k}{\hat{y}_k}. | \frac{\partial L}{\partial x_i} = -\frac{y_i}{\hat{y}_i}(\hat{y}_i(1 - \hat{y}_i)) - |x| ||p(z)|| = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^{J} (1 + \log \sigma_j^2 - \mu_j^2 - \sigma_j^2)$ $\sum_{\substack{k \neq i \\ \hat{y}_k}} \frac{y_k}{\hat{y}_k} (-\hat{y}_i \hat{y}_k) = -y_i + y_i \hat{y}_i + \sum_{\substack{k \neq i \\ \hat{y}_k = 0}} y_k \hat{y}_i = \begin{vmatrix} \text{Optimal discriminator } D^* & \text{maximal maximal discriminator } D^* & \text{DVR Backward pass} \end{vmatrix} = \sum_{\substack{k \neq i \\ \partial G}} \frac{\partial L}{\partial \hat{y}_k} + \sum_{\substack{k \neq i \\ \partial G}} \frac{\partial \hat{l}_u}{\partial \hat{\theta}} \begin{vmatrix} \hat{d}_u \\ \hat{\theta} \end{vmatrix} = \sum_{\substack{k \neq i \\ \partial G}} \frac{\partial \hat{l}_u}{\partial \hat{\theta}} \begin{vmatrix} \hat{d}_u \\ \hat{\theta} \end{vmatrix} = \sum_{\substack{k \neq i \\ \partial G}} \frac{\partial \hat{l}_u}{\partial \hat{\theta}} \begin{vmatrix} \hat{d}_u \\ \hat{\theta} \end{vmatrix} = \sum_{\substack{k \neq i \\ \partial G}} \frac{\partial \hat{l}_u}{\partial \hat{\theta}} \begin{vmatrix} \hat{d}_u \\ \hat{\theta} \end{vmatrix} = \sum_{\substack{k \neq i \\ \partial G}} \frac{\partial \hat{l}_u}{\partial \hat{\theta}} \begin{vmatrix} \hat{d}_u \\ \hat{\theta} \end{vmatrix} = \sum_{\substack{k \neq i \\ \partial G}} \frac{\partial \hat{l}_u}{\partial \hat{\theta}} \begin{vmatrix} \hat{d}_u \\ \hat{\theta} \end{vmatrix} = \sum_{\substack{k \neq i \\ \partial G}} \frac{\partial \hat{l}_u}{\partial \hat{\theta}} \begin{vmatrix} \hat{d}_u \\ \hat{\theta} \end{vmatrix} = \sum_{\substack{k \neq i \\ \partial G}} \frac{\partial \hat{l}_u}{\partial \hat{\theta}} \begin{vmatrix} \hat{d}_u \\ \hat{\theta} \end{vmatrix} = \sum_{\substack{k \neq i \\ \partial G}} \frac{\partial \hat{l}_u}{\partial \hat{\theta}} \begin{vmatrix} \hat{d}_u \\ \hat{\theta} \end{vmatrix} = \sum_{\substack{k \neq i \\ \partial G}} \frac{\partial \hat{l}_u}{\partial \hat{\theta}} \begin{vmatrix} \hat{d}_u \\ \hat{\theta} \end{vmatrix} = \sum_{\substack{k \neq i \\ \partial G}} \frac{\partial \hat{l}_u}{\partial \hat{\theta}} \begin{vmatrix} \hat{d}_u \\ \hat{\theta} \end{vmatrix} = \sum_{\substack{k \neq i \\ \partial G}} \frac{\partial \hat{l}_u}{\partial \hat{\theta}} \begin{vmatrix} \hat{d}_u \\ \hat{\theta} \end{vmatrix} = \sum_{\substack{k \neq i \\ \partial G}} \frac{\partial \hat{l}_u}{\partial \hat{\theta}} \begin{vmatrix} \hat{d}_u \\ \hat{\theta} \end{vmatrix} = \sum_{\substack{k \neq i \\ \partial G}} \frac{\partial \hat{l}_u}{\partial \hat{\theta}} \begin{vmatrix} \hat{d}_u \\ \hat{\theta} \end{vmatrix} = \sum_{\substack{k \neq i \\ \partial G}} \frac{\partial \hat{l}_u}{\partial \hat{\theta}} \begin{vmatrix} \hat{d}_u \\ \hat{\theta} \end{vmatrix} = \sum_{\substack{k \neq i \\ \partial G}} \frac{\partial \hat{l}_u}{\partial \hat{\theta}} \begin{vmatrix} \hat{d}_u \\ \hat{d}_u \end{vmatrix} = \sum_{\substack{k \neq i \\ \partial G}} \frac{\partial \hat{l}_u}{\partial \hat{\theta}} \begin{vmatrix} \hat{d}_u \\ \hat{d}_u \end{vmatrix} = \sum_{\substack{k \neq i \\ \partial G}} \frac{\partial \hat{l}_u}{\partial \hat{\theta}} \begin{vmatrix} \hat{d}_u \\ \hat{d}_u \end{vmatrix} = \sum_{\substack{k \neq i \\ \partial G}} \frac{\partial \hat{l}_u}{\partial \hat{\theta}} \begin{vmatrix} \hat{d}_u \\ \hat{d}_u \end{vmatrix} = \sum_{\substack{k \neq i \\ \partial G}} \frac{\partial \hat{l}_u}{\partial \hat{\theta}} \begin{vmatrix} \hat{d}_u \\ \hat{d}_u \end{vmatrix} = \sum_{\substack{k \neq i \\ \partial G}} \frac{\partial \hat{l}_u}{\partial \hat{\theta}} \begin{vmatrix} \hat{d}_u \\ \hat{d}_u \end{vmatrix} = \sum_{\substack{k \neq i \\ \partial G}} \frac{\partial \hat{l}_u}{\partial \hat{l}_u} \begin{vmatrix} \hat{d}_u \\ \hat{d}_u \end{vmatrix} = \sum_{\substack{k \neq i \\ \partial G}} \frac{\partial \hat{l}_u}{\partial \hat{l}_u} \begin{vmatrix} \hat{d}_u \\ \hat{d}_u \end{vmatrix} = \sum_{\substack{k \neq i \\ \partial G}} \frac{\partial \hat{l}_u}{\partial \hat{l}_u} \begin{vmatrix} \hat{d}_u \\ \hat{d}_u \end{vmatrix} = \sum_{\substack{k \neq i \\ \partial G}} \frac{\partial \hat{l}_u}{\partial \hat{l}_u} \begin{vmatrix} \hat{d}_u \\ \hat{d}_u \end{vmatrix} = \sum_{\substack{k \neq i \\ \partial G}} \frac{\partial \hat{l}_u}{\partial \hat{l}_u} \begin{vmatrix} \hat{d}_u \\ \hat{d}_u \end{vmatrix} = \sum_{\substack{k \neq i \\ \partial G}} \frac{\partial \hat{l}_u}{\partial \hat{l}_u} \begin{vmatrix} \hat{d}_u \\ \hat{d}_u \end{vmatrix} = \sum_{\substack{k \neq i \\ \partial G}} \frac{\partial \hat{l}_u}{\partial \hat{l}_u} \begin{vmatrix} \hat{d}_u \\ \hat{d}_u \end{vmatrix} = \sum_{\substack{k \neq i \\ \partial G}} \frac{\partial \hat{l}_u}{\partial \hat{l}_u} \begin{vmatrix} \hat{d}_u \\ \hat{d}_u \end{vmatrix} = \sum_{\substack{k \neq i \\ \partial G}} \frac{\partial \hat{l}_u}{\partial \hat{l}$ $-y_i + \hat{y}_i \sum_k y_k = \hat{y}_i - y_i.$

BPTT ρ is the identity function, ∂^+ is the im-

 $\frac{\partial \mathbf{h}_{t}}{\partial \mathbf{h}_{t-1}} \frac{\partial \mathbf{h}_{t-1}}{\partial W} = \frac{\partial^{+} \mathbf{h}_{t}}{\partial W} + \frac{\partial \mathbf{h}_{t}}{\partial \mathbf{h}_{t-1}} \frac{\partial \mathbf{h}_{t-1}}{\partial W} = \left| f''(\frac{a}{a+b}) \right|^{g} = -\frac{a}{\left(\frac{a}{a+b}\right)^{2}} - \frac{b}{\left(1 - \frac{a}{a+b}\right)^{2}} < 0 \text{ for } \left| -\mathbf{w} \left(\frac{\partial f_{\theta}(\hat{\mathbf{p}})}{\partial \hat{\mathbf{p}}} \cdot \mathbf{w}\right)^{-1} \frac{\partial f_{\theta}(\hat{\mathbf{p}})}{\partial \theta} \right|$ $\sum_{k=1}^{t} \frac{\partial \mathbf{h}_{t}}{\partial \mathbf{h}_{k}} \frac{\partial^{+} \mathbf{h}_{k}}{\partial W} \mid \frac{\partial L_{t}}{\partial W} = \frac{\partial L_{t}}{\partial \hat{y}_{t}} \frac{\partial \hat{y}_{t}}{\partial \mathbf{h}_{t}} \sum_{k=1}^{t} \frac{\partial \mathbf{h}_{t}}{\partial \mathbf{h}_{k}} \frac{\partial^{+} \mathbf{h}_{k}}{\partial W}$

BPTT divergence Let λ_1 be the largest singu- $\nabla_{\varphi} \int p_{\varphi}(z) f(z) dz = \nabla_{\varphi} \int p_{\varphi}(z) f(z) dz =$ lar value of \mathbf{W}_{hh} , $\|\operatorname{diag} f'(\mathbf{h}_{i-1})\|^2 < \gamma, \gamma \in$ \mathbb{R} , $\|\cdot\|$ is the spectral norm. If $\lambda_1 < \gamma^{-1}$, then $\forall i \left\| \frac{\partial \mathbf{h}_{i}}{\partial \mathbf{h}_{i-1}} \right\| \leq \left\| \mathbf{W}_{hh}^{\mathsf{T}} \right\| \left\| \operatorname{diag} f'(\mathbf{h}_{i-1}) \right\| < \frac{1}{\gamma} \gamma < 1$ $\Rightarrow \exists \eta : \forall i \left\| \frac{\partial \mathbf{h}_{i}}{\partial \mathbf{h}_{i-1}} \right\| \leq \eta < 1, \text{ by induction} \right\| \frac{q(\mathbf{x}_{t} \mid \mathbf{x}_{0})}{\sqrt{\alpha_{t}} \mathbf{x}_{t-1} + \sqrt{1 - \alpha_{t}} \epsilon} = \sqrt{\frac{1 - \beta_{t}}{\alpha_{t}} \mathbf{x}_{t-1} + \sqrt{\beta_{t}} \epsilon} = \sqrt{\frac{1 - \beta_{t}}{\alpha_{t}} \mathbf{x}_{t-1} + \sqrt{1 - \alpha_{t}} \epsilon} = \sqrt{\frac{1 - \beta_{t}}{\alpha_{t}} \mathbf{x}_{t-1} + \sqrt{1 - \alpha_{t}} \epsilon} = \sqrt{\frac{1 - \beta_{t}}{\alpha_{t}} \mathbf{x}_{t-1} + \sqrt{\beta_{t}} \epsilon}$ gradients explode.

$$\frac{D_{KL}(\cdot||\cdot|) \ge 0}{D_{KL}(p||q) = -\mathbb{E}_{x \sim p} \log \frac{P(x)}{q(x)}}$$

$$\mathbb{E} \log \frac{q(x)}{p(x)} \le \log \mathbb{E}_{x \sim p} \frac{q(x)}{p(x)} = \log \int q(x) dx$$

ELBO $\log p_{\theta}(x^{(i)})$

 $\mathbb{E}_{z} \log p_{\theta}(x^{(i)} \mid z) - \mathbb{E}_{z} \log \frac{q_{\phi}(z|x^{(i)})}{p_{\theta}(z)} + \left| \begin{array}{cc} \mathsf{Policy} & \mathsf{gradients} \\ \mathsf{policy} & \mathsf{gradients} \end{array} \right| J(\theta)$

 $y) = -\sum_{i=1}^{d} y_{i} \log \hat{y}_{i}.$ $\frac{\partial L}{\partial \hat{y}} \frac{\partial \hat{y}_{i}}{\partial x_{i}}.$ $= \begin{vmatrix} KL \text{ for ELBO Let } p(z) &=& \mathcal{N}(0, \mathbf{I}), q(z) \\ N(\mu, \sigma^{2}\mathbf{I}), & J &:=& \dim z. \\ N(\mu, \sigma^{2}\mathbf{I}), & J &:=& \lim_{t \to \infty} \frac{1}{t} \exp(-\frac{1}{2}(x - \mu)^{T}\Sigma^{-1}(x - \mu)) \\ N(\mu, \sigma^{2}\mathbf{I}), & J &:=& \lim_{t \to \infty} \frac{1}{t} \exp(-\frac{1}{2}(x - \mu)^{T}\Sigma^{-1}(x - \mu)) \\ N(\mu, \sigma^{2}\mathbf{I}), & J &:=& \lim_{t \to \infty} \frac{1}{t} \exp(-\frac{1}{2}(x - \mu)^{T}\Sigma^{-1}(x - \mu)) \\ N(\mu, \sigma^{2}\mathbf{I}), & J &:=& \lim_{t \to \infty} \frac{1}{t} \exp(-\frac{1}{2}(x - \mu)^{T}\Sigma^{-1}(x - \mu)) \\ N(\mu, \sigma^{2}\mathbf{I}), & J &:=& \lim_{t \to \infty} \frac{1}{t} \exp(-\frac{1}{2}(x - \mu)^{T}\Sigma^{-1}(x - \mu)) \\ N(\mu, \sigma^{2}\mathbf{I}), & J &:=& \lim_{t \to \infty} \frac{1}{t} \exp(-\frac{1}{2}(x - \mu)^{T}\Sigma^{-1}(x - \mu)) \\ N(\mu, \sigma^{2}\mathbf{I}), & J &:=& \lim_{t \to \infty} \frac{1}{t} \exp(-\frac{1}{2}(x - \mu)^{T}\Sigma^{-1}(x - \mu)) \\ N(\mu, \sigma^{2}\mathbf{I}), & J &:=& \lim_{t \to \infty} \frac{1}{t} \exp(-\frac{1}{2}(x - \mu)^{T}\Sigma^{-1}(x - \mu)) \\ N(\mu, \sigma^{2}\mathbf{I}), & J &:=& \lim_{t \to \infty} \frac{1}{t} \exp(-\frac{1}{2}(x - \mu)^{T}\Sigma^{-1}(x - \mu)) \\ N(\mu, \sigma^{2}\mathbf{I}), & J &:=& \lim_{t \to \infty} \frac{1}{t} \exp(-\frac{1}{2}(x - \mu)^{T}\Sigma^{-1}(x - \mu)) \\ N(\mu, \sigma^{2}\mathbf{I}), & J &:=& \lim_{t \to \infty} \frac{1}{t} \exp(-\frac{1}{2}(x - \mu)^{T}\Sigma^{-1}(x - \mu)) \\ N(\mu, \sigma^{2}\mathbf{I}), & J &:=& \lim_{t \to \infty} \frac{1}{t} \exp(-\frac{1}{2}(x - \mu)^{T}\Sigma^{-1}(x - \mu)) \\ N(\mu, \sigma^{2}\mathbf{I}), & J &:=& \lim_{t \to \infty} \frac{1}{t} \exp(-\frac{1}{2}(x - \mu)^{T}\Sigma^{-1}(x - \mu)) \\ N(\mu, \sigma^{2}\mathbf{I}), & J &:=& \lim_{t \to \infty} \frac{1}{t} \exp(-\frac{1}{t}(x - \mu)^{T}\Sigma^{-1}(x - \mu)) \\ N(\mu, \sigma^{2}\mathbf{I}), & J &:=& \lim_{t \to \infty} \frac{1}{t} \exp(-\frac{1}{t}(x - \mu)^{T}\Sigma^{-1}(x - \mu)) \\ N(\mu, \sigma^{2}\mathbf{I}), & J &:=& \lim_{t \to \infty} \frac{1}{t} \exp(-\frac{1}{t}(x - \mu)^{T}\Sigma^{-1}(x - \mu)) \\ N(\mu, \sigma^{2}\mathbf{I}), & J &:=& \lim_{t \to \infty} \frac{1}{t} \exp(-\frac{1}{t}(x - \mu)^{T}\Sigma^{-1}(x - \mu)) \\ N(\mu, \sigma^{2}\mathbf{I}), & J &:=& \lim_{t \to \infty} \frac{1}{t} \exp(-\frac{1}{t}(x - \mu)^{T}\Sigma^{-1}(x - \mu)) \\ N(\mu, \sigma^{2}\mathbf{I}), & J &:=& \lim_{t \to$

 $\int_{z} p(z) \log(1 - D(G(Z))) dz$ and for $f(y) = a \log(y) + b \log(1-y)$

 $\frac{\partial^{+}\mathbf{h}_{t}}{\partial W} + \frac{\partial \mathbf{h}_{t}}{\partial \mathbf{h}_{t-1}} \left[\frac{\partial^{+}\mathbf{h}_{t-1}}{\partial W} + \frac{\partial \mathbf{h}_{t-1}}{\partial \mathbf{h}_{t-2}} \frac{\partial^{+}\mathbf{h}_{t-2}}{\partial W} + \dots \right] = \begin{vmatrix} \mathbf{a}, b > 0 \Rightarrow \max & \text{if } \frac{a+b}{a+b} \\ \mathbf{a}, b > 0 \Rightarrow \max & \text{if } \frac{a}{a+b} \end{vmatrix} \Rightarrow D^{*} = \frac{p_{d}(x)}{p_{d}(x) + p_{m}(x)}$

Expectation of reparam. $\nabla_{\varphi} \mathbb{E}_{p_{\varphi}(z)}(f(z)) =$ $\nabla_{\omega} \int p_{\omega}(z) f(g(\epsilon, \varphi)) d\epsilon = \mathbb{E}_{p(\epsilon)} \nabla_{\omega} f(g(\epsilon, \varphi))$

over i: $\left\| \prod_{i=k+1}^{t} \frac{\partial \mathbf{h}_{i}}{\partial \mathbf{h}_{i-1}} \right\| \leq \eta^{t-k}$, so the gradients Bellman operator converges Want to prove tors on plane, $n \coloneqq a \times b = (a_{2}b_{3} - a_{3}b_{2}, a_{3}b_{1} - a_{2}b_{2})$ vanish as $t \to \infty$. Similarly, if $\lambda_1 > \gamma^{-1}$, then $| \text{timal policy:} \lim_{k \to \infty} (T^*)^k(V) = V_*$, subst. any point to find k. where $T^*(V) = \max_{a \in A} \sum_{s',r} p(s',r)$ $D_{\mathrm{KL}}(\cdot||\cdot) \ge 0 \quad -D_{\mathrm{KL}}(p||q) = -\mathbb{E}_{x \sim p} \log \frac{p(x)}{q(x)} = \begin{vmatrix} s, a)(r(s, a) + \gamma V(s')) & T^* \text{ is a contraction mapping, i.e.} \\ & \max_{s \in S} |T^*(V_1(s))| & 0 \end{vmatrix}, \text{ around } z \text{ axis.}$ $\mathbb{E}\log\frac{q(x)}{p(x)} \leq \log\mathbb{E}_{x\sim p}\frac{q(x)}{p(x)} = \log\int q(x)\mathrm{d}x = \left|T^*(V_2(s))\right| \leq \gamma \max_{s\in S}|V_1(s)-V_2(s)| \leq \mathrm{LHS} \leq \left|\mathrm{Chain\ rule}\right| \frac{\partial z_k}{\partial x_i} = \sum_{i=1}^m \frac{\partial z_k}{\partial y_i} \frac{\partial y_i}{\partial x_i} \mid \frac{\partial z}{\partial x} = \frac{\partial z}{\partial y} \frac{\partial y}{\partial x}$

 $\max_{s,a} \left| \sum_{s',r} p(s',r \mid s,a) (r(s,a) + \gamma V_1(s')) - \right|$ Derivatives $(f \cdot g)' = f'g + fg', (f/g)' =$ $= \left| \sum_{s',r} p(s',r) \right| \quad s,a)(r(s,a) + \gamma V_2(s')) \right| = \left| (f'g - fg')/g^2, (f \circ g)' = f'(g)g', (f^{-1})' \right| = \left| (f'g - fg')/g^2, (f \circ g)' = f'(g)g', (f^{-1})' \right| = \left| (f'g - fg')/g^2, (f \circ g)' = f'(g)g', (f^{-1})' \right| = \left| (f'g - fg')/g^2, (f \circ g)' = f'(g)g', (f^{-1})' \right| = \left| (f'g - fg')/g^2, (f \circ g)' = f'(g)g', (f^{-1})' \right| = \left| (f'g - fg')/g^2, (f \circ g)' = f'(g)g', (f^{-1})' \right| = \left| (f'g - fg')/g^2, (f \circ g)' = f'(g)g', (f^{-1})' = f'(g)g', (f \circ g)' = f'(g)$ $\frac{|(\mathbf{A}^{t+1}z)p_{\theta}(z)|^{2}}{p_{\theta}(z|\mathbf{x}^{(t)})}$ RHS. By the contraction th., T^{*} has a unique Linear algebra $\det(\mathbf{A} + \mathbf{u}\mathbf{v}^{\mathsf{T}})$ fixed point, and we know V^* is a FP of T^* . As $\gamma < 1$, LHS $(V, V^*) \rightarrow 0$ and $T^*(V) \rightarrow V_*$.

 $|\mathbb{E}_{\tau \sim p(\tau)}[r(\tau)]| = \int p(\tau)r(\tau)d\tau. \quad \nabla_{\theta}J(\theta) =$ $\mathbb{E}_{\tau \sim p(\tau)} [\nabla_{\theta} \log p(\tau) r(\tau)].$

max likelihood, trajectory reward scales the VRNN $p_{\theta}(\mathbf{z}) = \prod_{t=1}^{I} p_{\theta}(z_t \mid \mathbf{z}_{< t}, \mathbf{x}_{< t}),$

Implicit differentiation $\frac{dy}{dx}$ of $x^2 + y^2 = 1$:

izes $V(G, D) = \int_{X} p_{d} \log D(x) dx + \left| \frac{\partial c_{\theta}(\hat{\mathbf{p}})}{\partial \theta} + \frac{\partial t_{\theta}(\hat{\mathbf{p}})}{\partial \hat{\mathbf{p}}} \cdot \frac{\partial \hat{\mathbf{p}}}{\partial \theta} \right|$. Ray $\hat{\mathbf{p}} = r_{0} + \hat{d}\mathbf{w}$, r_{0} is cam- $\left| -\sum_{i} y_{i} \log \hat{y}_{i} \right|$ era pos., w is ray dir., \hat{d} is ray dist. Implicit mediate derivative, ignoring the effect from $\int_{\mathcal{X}} p_d \log D(x) dx + p_m(x) \log(1 - D(x)) dz$, $\det f(\hat{\mathbf{p}}) = \tau$. Diff.: $\frac{\partial f_{\theta}(\hat{\mathbf{p}})}{\partial \theta} + \frac{\partial f_{\theta}(\hat{\mathbf{p}})}{\partial \hat{\mathbf{p}}} \cdot \frac{\partial \hat{\mathbf{p}}}{\partial \theta} = 0$ $\frac{\partial \mathbf{h}_{t}}{\partial W} = \frac{\partial}{\partial W} \mathbf{h}_{t}(\rho(W), \mathbf{h}_{t-1}(W)) = \frac{\partial \mathbf{h}_{t}}{\partial \rho} \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial W} + \begin{vmatrix} \mathbf{f}'(y) = \frac{a}{u} - \frac{b}{1-u} \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} - \frac{b}{1-u} \end{vmatrix} \Rightarrow \mathbf{f}'(y) = 0 \Leftrightarrow y = \frac{a}{a+b}, \begin{vmatrix} 0 \Rightarrow \frac{\partial f_{\theta}(\hat{\mathbf{p}})}{\partial \theta} + \frac{\partial f_{\theta}(\hat{\mathbf{p}})}{\partial \hat{\mathbf{p}}} \cdot \mathbf{w} \frac{\partial \hat{d}}{\partial \theta} = 0 \Rightarrow \frac{\partial \hat{\mathbf{p}}}{\partial \theta} = \mathbf{w} \frac{\partial \hat{d}}{\partial \theta} = 0$

14 Appendix

Secant Method Line $(x_0, f(x_0))$ $(x_1, f(x_1)), \text{ approx.: } y = \frac{f(x_1) - f(x_0)}{x_1 - x_0}(x - x_1) +$ $f(x_1), y = 0 \text{ at } x_2 = x_1 - f(x_1) \frac{x_1 - x_0}{f(x_1) - f(x_0)}$ Approximates Newton's method without derivatives.

plane from points $(x_1, 0, 0), (0, y_1, 0), (0, 0, z_1) \implies x/x_1 + y/y_1 +$ $z/z_1 - 1 = 0$. More generally: let a, b any vecthat value iteration converges to the op- $|a_1b_3, a_1\bar{b}_2 - a_2b_1| \Rightarrow n_1x + n_2y + n_3z + k = 0$,

Torus equation $(\sqrt{x^2+y^2}-R)^2+z^2=r^2$, cent

 $\mathbf{v}^{\mathsf{T}}\mathbf{A}^{-1}\mathbf{u}$) det \mathbf{A}

= | Jensen's inequality $f(\mathbb{E}[X]) \leq \mathbb{E}[f(X)]$ and $f(tx_1 + (1-t)x_2) \le t\bar{f}(x_1) + (1-t)f(x_2)$ if f is convex, i.e. $\forall t \in [0,1], x_1, x_2 \in X$: = | Gaussians $\mathcal{N}(\mu_1, \Sigma_1) + \mathcal{N}(\mu_2, \Sigma_2) = \mathcal{N}(\mu_1 + 1)$ $\mu_2, \Sigma_1 + \Sigma_2$,

 $| q_{\phi}(\mathbf{x} \mid \mathbf{z}) = \prod_{t=1}^{T} q_{\phi}(z_t \mid \mathbf{x}_{\leq t}, \mathbf{z}_{\leq t}),$

 $p_{\theta}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{z}) = \prod_{t=1}^{T} p_{\theta}(x_t \mid \mathbf{z}_{\leq t}, \mathbf{x}_{< t}) p_{\theta}(z_t)$

Misc A **translation vector** is added. **Bayes rule**: $P(A \mid B) = P(B \mid A)P(A)/P(B)$. A function f is **volume preserving** if

Negative log-likelihood $L(\hat{y}, y)$