

# CS 326

# Programming Languages, Concepts and Implementation

---

Instructor: Mircea Nicolescu

Prolog

# The Prolog Programming Language

---

- Spectrum of Languages:
- Imperative (“how should the computer do it?”)
  - Von Neumann: Fortran, Basic, Pascal, C
    - Computing via “side-effects” (modification of variables)
  - Object-oriented: Smalltalk, Eiffel, C++, Java
    - Interactions between objects, each having an *internal state* and *functions* which manage that state
- Declarative (“what should the computer do?”)
  - Functional: Lisp, Scheme, ML, Haskell
    - Program  $\leftrightarrow$  application of functions from inputs to outputs
    - Inspired from *lambda-calculus* (Alonzo Church)
  - **Logic, constraint-based: Prolog**
    - Specify constraints / relationships, find values that satisfy them
    - Based on *propositional logic*

# The Prolog Programming Language

---

- Developed in the early 1970s by:
  - Alain Colmerauer and Philippe Roussel – University of Aix-Marseille
  - Robert Cowalski – University of Edinburgh
- Prolog – **P**rogramming in **L**ogic
- Considered the most significant logic programming language
- Various dialects
- Partially standardized in 1995
- Applications
  - Mathematical logic
  - Natural language processing
  - Symbolic equation solving
  - Many areas of artificial intelligence

# The Prolog Programming Language

---

- General approach in logic programming:
  - Express the problem as a collection of relationships (constraints) between objects
  - The implementation will find the values that satisfy all constraints
- Problem: how many elements are in a list?
  - Imperative programming:
    - traverse the list from first element until last; at each element increment the number of elements **N**
  - Functional programming:
    - the number of elements **N** is 0 for an empty list; otherwise, it is 1 plus the number of elements in the list tail (without the first element)
  - Logic programming:
    - the proposition **nr\_elem (lst, N)** is true if
      - list **lst** is empty and **N** is 0, or
      - list **lst** has a head **h** and a tail **t**, and **nr\_elem (t, N-1)** is true

# The Structure of a Prolog Program

---

- Programming in Prolog consists of:
  - specifying some **facts** about objects and their relationships
  - defining some **rules** about objects and their relationships
  - asking **questions** (**queries**) about objects and their relationships
- Prolog program – database (knowledge base) of **clauses**:
  - facts
  - rules

```
cold_outside.           % this is a fact
```

- Run a Prolog program (interpreter-based) – ask a query:

```
?- cold_outside.       % this is a query
```

```
yes                     % system answer
```

```
?-                     % wait for next query
```

# The Structure of a Prolog Program

---

- A Prolog clause is composed of **terms**

- A term may be:

- a **constant**

- a number:            52            3.14

- an atom (must start with lowercase):

- foo            cold\_outside            'Hi!'            +

- a **variable** (must start with uppercase):

- Foo            X

- a **structure**:

- car(ford, explorer, 2003)

- % has a **functor** (car)

- % and **components** (ford, explorer, 2003)

# Facts

---

- Express the fact that "John likes Mary"
  - have two objects (**John** and **Mary**) and a relationship (**likes**)  
  
likes(john, mary).
- Such a relationship is called a **predicate**
  - similar to a function that returns true or false
  - number of arguments – **arity** of the predicate
  - specifying the fact above means that **likes(john, mary)** is true
- Syntactically, a predicate is defined as a structure (functor and arguments/components)

- SWI-Prolog
  - free downloads for Windows, Linux, Mac
- The essentials:
  - Write your database of facts and rules into a file
  - `consult('Tests/Prolog/my_file.pl')`.
    - % to load the database in Prolog (you can also select “Consult” from the menu in Windows)
  - Run queries from Prolog prompt
  - `^C h`     % help
  - `^C a`     % abort a running query
  - `^C e`     % exit
- Documentation available on SWI-Prolog webpage



# Queries

---

- Consider the database:

likes(john, flowers).

likes(john, mary).

likes(paul, mary).

- Some queries:

?- likes(john, mary).

yes

?- likes(mary, john).

no

?- likes(john, money).

no

- Prolog searches for a fact that unifies with the query (tries to satisfy the query goal)
- The **yes/no** answers mean that the query predicate can/cannot be proven based on the database
- If the query predicate is not in the database:

?- king(john, france).

no    % in most implementations

ERROR: Undefined procedure: king% in other implementations

# Variables

---

- Consider the database:

likes(john, flowers).

likes(john, mary).

likes(paul, mary).

- Ask what John likes:

?- likes(john, X).

% variable X is initially uninstantiated

X = flowers

% likes(john, X) unifies with likes(john, flowers)

% X becomes instantiated to flowers

% Prolog waits for further instructions:

% - press **ENTER** to stop searching for more answers

yes

# Variables

---

- Same database:

likes(john, flowers).

likes(john, mary).

likes(paul, mary).

- If we want all answers:

?- likes(john, X).

% variable X is initially uninstantiated

X = flowers ;

% likes(john, X) unifies with likes(john, flowers)

% X becomes instantiated to flowers

% a marker is placed in database where the

% unifier was found → likes(john, flowers)

% - press ; to continue searching

% X becomes uninstantiated again

% search is resumed from the place marker

X = mary ;

% X becomes instantiated to mary

no

% no more answers

# Variables

---

- Same database:

likes(john, flowers).

likes(john, mary).

likes(paul, mary).

- Ask who likes Mary:

?- likes(X, mary).

X = john ;

X = paul ;

no

# Conjunctions

---

- Consider the database:

likes(mary, chocolate).

likes(mary, wine).

likes(john, wine).

likes(john, mary).

- Ask if John and Mary like each other
  - need to ask if John likes Mary **and** Mary likes John

?- likes(john, mary), likes(mary, john).      % comma between two goals represents  
% their conjunction ("and")

no

- How does Prolog try to satisfy a goal (or conjunction of goals)?
  - **backtracking** (backward-chaining)

# Backtracking

---

- Same database:

likes(mary, chocolate).  
likes(mary, wine).  
likes(john, wine).  
likes(john, mary).

- Ask if there is something that both Mary and John like:

?- likes(mary, X), likes(john, X).  
% scope of X is the entire clause

1. Try to satisfy first goal `likes(mary, X)`, where `X` is uninstantiated

- Succeeds in unifying with `likes(mary, chocolate)`
- `X` becomes instantiated to `chocolate`
- Mark the place in database where first goal succeeded

2. Try to satisfy second goal `likes(john, chocolate)` – it fails

# Backtracking (cont.)

---

- Same database:

likes(mary, chocolate).  
likes(mary, wine).  
likes(john, wine).  
likes(john, mary).

- Ask if there is something that both Mary and John like:

?- likes(mary, X), likes(john, X).  
% scope of X is the entire clause

3. Try to re-satisfy first goal `likes(mary, X)`, with `X` again uninstantiated

- Start from the marker of first goal – succeeds in unifying with `likes(mary, wine)`
- `X` becomes instantiated to `wine`
- Mark the place in database where first goal succeeded

4. Try to satisfy second goal `likes(john, wine)`

- Succeeds in unifying with `likes(john, wine)`
- Mark the place in database where second goal succeeded
- Now the query is satisfied:

`X = wine ;`                      % ask for more answers – try to re-satisfy second goal `likes(john, wine)` from its marker – it fails

    % try to re-satisfy first goal `likes(mary, X)` from  
no its marker – it fails → no more answers

# Rules

---

- **Rules** – express a predicate that depends on other predicates
- John likes anyone who likes wine:  
likes(john, X) :- likes(X, wine).
- John likes any female who likes wine:  
likes(john, X) :- female(X), likes(X, wine).
- A bird is an animal which has feathers:  
bird(X) :- animal(X), has\_feathers(X).
- A rule has a **head** (bird(X)) and a **body** (animal(X), has\_feathers(X))
- :- is read "if"
- Scope of variables:
  - the scope of a variable is only the clause in which it appears
  - all X should represent the same object in second rule above
  - X in likes(john, X) and X in bird(X) do not have anything in common



# Backtracking with Rules

---

- Consider the database:

male(albert).

male(edward).

female(alice).

female(victoria).

parents(edward, victoria, albert). % victoria and albert are parents of edward

parents(alice, victoria, albert).

sister\_of(X, Y) :- female(X), parents(X, M, F), parents(Y, M, F).

?- sister\_of(alice, edward).

1. Query unifies with `sister_of(X, Y)`, so `X` is `alice`, and `Y` is `edward`

Now try to satisfy goals in the body, one by one

2. Try to satisfy `female(alice)` - succeeds

Place a marker for this goal (at 3<sup>rd</sup> clause)

# Backtracking with Rules (cont.)

---

- Consider the database:

male(albert).

male(edward).

female(alice).

female(victoria).

parents(edward, victoria, albert). % victoria and albert are parents of edward

parents(alice, victoria, albert).

sister\_of(X, Y) :- female(X), parents(X, M, F), parents(Y, M, F).

?- sister\_of(alice, edward).

- Try to satisfy `parents(alice, M, F)` – succeeds, `M` is `victoria`, and `F` is `albert`

Place a marker for this goal (at 6<sup>th</sup> clause)

- Try to satisfy `parents(edward, victoria, albert)` – succeeds

Place a marker for this goal (at 5<sup>th</sup> clause)

Now the entire query succeeds:

yes

# Rules – Shared Variables

---

- Same database:

```
male(albert).
male(edward).
female(alice).
female(victoria).
parents(edward, victoria, albert).      % victoria and albert are parents of edward
parents(alice, victoria, albert).
sister_of(X, Y) :- female(X), parents(X, M, F), parents(Y, M, F).      % clause 7
?- sister_of(alice, X).
X = edward
```

- **X** in the query `sister_of(alice, X)` is not the same as **X** in clause 7
- When unifying query with head of clause 7:
  - **X** in clause 7 will instantiate with `alice`
  - **Y** in clause 7 and **X** in query remain uninstantiated, but they are **shared** (co-references)
    - when one becomes instantiated, the other will be instantiated to the same object

# Anonymous Variables

---

```
male(albert).
male(edward).
male(jimmy).
female(alice).
female(victoria).
parents(edward, victoria, albert).      % victoria and albert are parents of edward
parents(alice, victoria, albert).
parents(jimmy, lisa, albert).
sister_of(X, Y) :- female(X), parents(X, M, F), parents(Y, M, F).
```

- Is Alice anyone's sister?

```
?- sister_of(alice, _).      % _ is a placeholder for an anonymous variable
    % don't need to know who it is
yes
```

- Do Alice and Jimmy have the same father?

```
?- parents(alice, _, X), parents(jimmy, _, X).
X = albert ;                  % anonymous variables do not co-refer with any other      % variable or anonymous
    variable
no
```

# Structures

---

- Structures can also appear in predicates:

`owns(john, book(wuthering_heights, author(emily, bronte))).`

- Can have queries about components of structures – ask if John owns a book by any of the Bronte sisters:

`?- owns(john, book(X, author(Y, bronte))).`

`X = wuthering_heights`

`Y = emily`

`yes`

- Or, with anonymous variables:

`?- owns(john, book(_, author(_, bronte))).`

`yes`

- Why do predicates and structures have the same syntax?
  - convenient to represent a Prolog program as a set of structures
  - can add/remove clauses dynamically, at run-time

# Unification

---

- Prolog rules for **unification**:
- An **uninstantiated variable** unifies with any object
  - if the object has a value (is a constant or an instantiated variable), the first variable becomes instantiated to that object
  - if the object is an uninstantiated variable, the two variables will remain uninstantiated, but will co-refer
- A **constant** unifies only with itself or with an uninstantiated variable
- A **structure** will unify with another structure if they have the same **functor** and **arity** (number of arguments), and the corresponding arguments also unify recursively; exactly the same rule also applies to **predicates**

# The Occurs Check

---

- Consider the following query:

?- p(X) = X.

- Should  $p(X)$  and  $X$  unify?
  - Conceptually, no – for any constant  $a$ , it's obvious that  $p(a)$  is not the same as  $a$
  - However, checking for such occurrences would be potentially time-consuming
  - Therefore, this **occurs check** is not performed in Prolog
    - An uninstantiated variable unifies with anything
  - The answer is:

$X = p(p(p(p(p(p(p(p(p(\dots))))))))))$

yes

# Programming with Matching

---

- Example (horizontal and vertical lines):

```
vertical(line(point(X,Y), point(X,Z))).
```

```
horizontal(line(point(X,Y), point(Z,Y))).
```

```
?- vertical(line(point(1, 1), point(1, 3))).
```

yes

```
?- horizontal(line(point(1, 1), point(2, Y))).
```

```
Y = 1 ;
```

no

```
?- horizontal(line(point(2, 3), P)).
```

```
P = point(_G405,3) ;           % any x-coordinate will do
```

no



# Equality

---

- **Equality** - defined in terms of "unifiability"
- The goal  $\text{=(X, Y)}$  which can also be written as  $X = Y$ , succeeds if and only if  $X$  and  $Y$  can unify

- Examples:

?- a = a.

yes

% constant unifies with itself

?- a = b.

no % but not with another constant

?- foo(a, b) = foo(a, b).

yes

% structures are recursively identical

?- X = a.

X = a ;

no

% variable unifies with constant, also becomes

instantiated

% only once

# Equality

---

- More examples:

?- foo(a, b) = foo(X, b).

X = a ;                      % arguments must unify  
no                              % only one possibility

?- foo(a, b) = X.

X = foo(a, b) ;              % variable unifies with anything  
no                              % only once

?- X = Y.

X = \_G204                      % variables remain uninstantiated, but will co-refer  
Y = \_G204 ;                      % \_G204 is some implementation tag that represents  
    their (shared) location  
no

# Arithmetic

---

- The usual arithmetic operators are available
  - however, they are functors, not functions
  - $+(2, 3)$  which can also be written as  $2 + 3$ , is a two-argument structure, not a function call – it will not unify with  $5$ :

?-  $X = 2 + 3$ .

$X = 2 + 3$

?-  $(2 + 3) = 5$ .

no

- To actually compute the value of an expression – use the infix predicate **is**:
  - succeeds if it can unify its first argument (must be a variable) with the arithmetic value of its second argument

?-  $X$  is  $1+2$ .

$X = 3$

% evaluates the arithmetic expression

# Arithmetic

---

- More examples:

?- 1+2 is 4-1.

no% first argument is not a variable

?- X is Y.

ERROR % second argument must be instantiated

?- Y is 1+2, X is Y.

X = 3

Y = 3 % Y is instantiated by the time it is needed

# Arithmetic

---

- Predicates for comparing numbers:

$X ::= Y$

same number

$X \neq Y$

different numbers

$X < Y$

$X > Y$

$X \leq Y$

less than or equal (not the usual  $\leq$  notation)

$X \geq Y$

- Both arguments must be instantiated
- All these predicates also evaluate expressions:

?- 3 ::= 2+1.

yes

?- 3\*2 < 7+1.

yes

# Arithmetic

---

- Example (reigns of Princes of Wales in 9th and 10th centuries):

reigns(rhodri, 844, 878).

reigns(anarawd, 878, 916).

reigns(hywel\_dda, 916, 950).

reigns(lago\_ap\_idwal, 950, 979).

reigns(hywel\_ap\_ieuaf, 979, 985).

reigns(cadwallon, 985, 986).

reigns(maredudd, 986, 999).

prince(X, Y) :- reigns(X, A, B), Y >= A, Y <= B.      % X was a prince during year Y

?- prince(cadwallon, 986).

yes

?- prince(X, 979).

X = lago-ap\_idwal ;

X = hywel\_ap\_ieuaf ;

no

# Arithmetic

---

- Example (compute the population density):

pop(india, 548).                      % in millions (old data)

pop(china, 800).

pop(brazil, 108).

area(india, 1).                      % in millions of square miles

area(china, 4).

area(brazil, 3).

density(X, Y) :- pop(X, P), area(X, A), Y is P/A.

?- density(china, X).

X = 200

yes

?- density(turkey, X).

no

# Lists

---

- **List** – non-homogeneous collection of elements

- Defined recursively

`.(a, .(b, .(c, [])))`

`.` is a functor (similar to `cons`) that builds a list from head and tail

`[]` is the empty list

- Shorthand notation:

`[a, b, c]`

- Can also specify a list by its first elements (not only head), and the rest (tail):

`[a | [b, c]]`

`[a, b | [c]]`

`[a, b, c | []]`



# Lists

---

- Instantiations with lists:

$p([1, 2, 3]).$

$p([the, cat, sat, [on, the, mat]]).$

?-  $p([X|Y]).$

$X = 1$

$Y = [2, 3] ;$

$X = the$

$Y = [cat, sat, [on, the, mat]] ;$

no

?-  $p([_, _, _, [X|_]]).$

$X = [the, mat] ;$

no

# Lists

---

- Instantiations with lists:

List 1

[X, Y, Z]

[cat]

[X, Y|Z]

[[the, Y]|Z]

List 2

[john, likes, fish]

[X|Y]

[mary, likes, wine]

[[X, hare], [is, here]]

Instantiations

X = john

Y = likes

Z = fish

X = cat

Y = []

X = mary

Y = likes

Z = [wine]

X = the

Y = hare

Z = [[is, here]]

# Lists

---

- Instantiations with lists (cont.):

List 1

List 2

Instantiations

[golden|T]

[golden, norfolk] T = [norfolk]

[black, horse]

[horse, X]

(none)

[white|Q]

[P|horse]

P = white

Q = horse

- Proper and improper lists:

```
?- [white|[horse]] = .(white, .(horse, [])).
```

```
yes % proper list
```

```
?- [white|horse] = .(white, .(horse, [])).
```

```
no
```

```
?- [white|horse] = .(white, horse).
```

```
yes % improper list (tail is not a list)
```

# Recursion

---

- Membership in a list:

1. Do not need to check the empty list – if `member(X, [ ])` does not appear in database => it is false

2. Check the first element (head):

`member(X, [H|T]) :- X = H.`

or better:

`member(X, [X|T]).`

or even better:

`member(X, [X|_]).`

3. Check the rest of the list (tail):

`member(X, [_|T]) :- member(X, T).`

Note: each recursive instance of a goal (`member`) is a new "copy" (with its own place marker in the database)

# Recursion

---

- Using the `member` predicate:

- Check for membership:

```
?- member(2, [1, 2, 3]).
```

yes

- Enumerate elements of a list:

```
?- member(X, [1,2,3]).
```

```
X = 1 ;
```

```
X = 2 ;
```

```
X = 3 ;
```

```
no
```

# Recursion

---

- Using the `member` predicate (cont.):

- Search in a dictionary (list of pairs):

```
?- member([3,Y], [[1,a],[2,m],[3,z],[4,v],[3,p]]).  
Y = z ;  
Y = p ;  
no
```

- Find elements in a list that satisfy some constraint (their square is  $< 100$ ):

```
?- member(X, [23,9,19,45,6]), X*X < 100.  
X = 9 ;  
X = 6 ;  
no
```

# Recursion

---

- Check if a list is sorted:

```
sorted([]).                % empty list is sorted
sorted([ _ ]).             % list with one element is sorted
sorted([A,B|T]) :- A <= B, sorted([B|T]).
```

- Count the number of elements in a list:

```
nrelem([], 0).             % empty list has 0 elements
nrelem([ _|T], N) :- nrelem(T, X), N is X+1.
```

- What if we wrote:

```
nrelem([ _|T], N) :- nrelem(T, X), X is N-1.
```

- Although logically correct, it does not work – after satisfying `nrelem(T, X)`, `X` is instantiated and `N` is not; the `is` operator requires:
    - left operand must be uninstantiated
    - right operand must be an expression that can be evaluated

# Recursion

---

- Append two lists into a third list:

```
append([], L, L).  
append([H|T], L, [H|L1]) :- append(T, L, L1).
```

```
?- append([1,2,3], [4,5], [1,2,3,4,5]).  
yes
```

```
?- append([1,2,3], [4,5], A).  
A = [1,2,3,4,5]
```

```
?- append(A, [4,5], [1,2,3,4,5]).  
A = [1,2,3]
```

```
?- append([1,2,3], A, [1,2,3,4,5]).  
A = [4,5]
```

- In general, Prolog does not distinguish between "input" and "output" arguments
- Difference between functional and logic languages:
  - Functional languages – apply functions to input arguments in order to generate results
  - Logic languages – search for values for which a predicate is true



# Recursion

- Append (cont.):

```
?- append(X, Y, [a,b,c,d]).
```

$X = []$                        $Y = [a,b,c,d]$  ;

$$X = [a] \qquad Y = [b, c, d] ;$$
$$X = [a,b] \qquad Y = [c,d] ;$$
$$X = [a, b, c] \quad Y = [d] ;$$

$X = [a,b,c,d]$        $Y = []$  ;

no

- Can also use `append` to split a list into 2 sublists

# Recursion

---

- Check if list **P** is a prefix of list **L**:

`prefix(P, L) :- append(P, _, L).`

`?- prefix(X, [a,b,c,d]).`

`X = [] ;`

`X = [a] ;`

`X = [a,b] ;`

`X = [a,b,c] ;`

`X = [a,b,c,d] ;`

`no`

# Recursion

---

- Similarly, check if list **S** is a suffix of list **L**:

`suffix(S, L) :- append(_, S, L).`

- Check if **SubL** is a sublist of list **L**:

(sublists of [a,b,c] are :

[ ], [a], [b], [c], [a,b], [b,c], [a,b,c])

`sublist(SubL, L) :- suffix(S, L), prefix(SubL, S).`

% **SubL** is a sublist of **L** if there is some suffix **S** of **L** of which **SubL** is a prefix

# Recursion

- Given a list of **a**'s and a list of **b**'s, check if they have the same length:

`a2b([], []).`

`a2b([a|T1], [b|T2]) :- a2b(T1, T2).`

`?- a2b([a,a,a], [b,b,b]).`

yes

`?- a2b([a,a,a,a], [b,b,b]).`

no

`?- a2b([a,c,a,a], [b,b,5,4]).`

no

`?- a2b([a,a,a,a], X).`

`X = [b,b,b,b] ;`

no

`?- a2b(X, Y).`

`X = []`

`Y = [] ;`

`X = [a]`

`Y = [b] ;`

`X = [a, a]`

`Y = [b, b] ;`

`X = [a, a, a]`

`Y = [b, b, b] ;`

...

# Announcements

---

- Readings
  - Prolog resources
- Homework
  - HW 7 out – due May 7
  - Submission
    - Submit in your code in Canvas as one “hw7.pl” file containing all your predicates.
    - The file must be able to load (with “consult”) and be tested in the interpreter.
      - Consequently, the file must be in a plain text format; do not submit Word, PDF, RTF, JPG or any such types of files.
      - Also make sure that any auxiliary information (such as your name or question numbers) is commented out.

# Ordering

---

- In propositional logic, order does not matter:

A and B  $\Leftrightarrow$  B and A

A or B  $\Leftrightarrow$  B or A

- However, in Prolog order matters:

- Subgoals are tried from left to right
- Clauses are tried from top to bottom

child(martha, charlotte).

child(charlotte, caroline).

child(caroline, laura).

child(laura, rose).

descend(X, Y) :- child(X, Y).

descend(X, Y) :- child(X, Z), descend(Z, Y).

?- descend(martha, rose).

yes

# Ordering

---

- Same database:

```
child(martha, charlotte).
```

```
child(charlotte, caroline).
```

```
child(caroline, laura).
```

```
child(laura, rose).
```

```
descend(X, Y) :- child(X, Y).
```

```
descend(X, Y) :- child(X, Z), descend(Z, Y).
```

- Now change the order of subgoals in the last rule:

```
descend(X, Y) :- descend(Z, Y), child(X, Z).
```

- Same query:

```
?- descend(martha, rose).
```

```
yes
```

```
% still works, but is less efficient
```

# Ordering

---

- Same database:

```
child(martha, charlotte).  
child(charlotte, caroline).  
child(caroline, laura).  
child(laura, rose).
```

```
descend(X, Y) :- child(X, Y).  
descend(X, Y) :- child(X, Z), descend(Z, Y).
```

- Now change:

- the order of the two subgoals in the last rule
- the order of the two rules

```
descend(X, Y) :- descend(Z, Y), child(X, Z).  
descend(X, Y) :- child(X, Y).
```

- Same query:

```
?- descend(martha, rose).
```

```
ERROR: Out of local stack      % infinite loop
```



# Ordering

---

- Consider again the **member** predicate:

```
member(X, [X|_]).  
member(X, [_|T]) :- member(X, T).
```

```
?- member(X, [1,2,3]).
```

```
X = 1 ;
```

```
X = 2 ;
```

```
X = 3 ;
```

```
no
```

- Processing:

```
member(X, [1, 2, 3]
```

```
member(X, [2, 3])
```

```
member(X, [3])
```

```
member(X, [])
```

```
member(1, [1, 2, 3])
```

```
member(2, [2, 3])
```

```
member(3, [3])
```

```
X = 1
```

```
X = 2
```

```
X = 3
```

```
<fail>
```

<nothing else to try>

# Ordering

---

- **Member** predicate - now change the order of the rules:

```
member(X, [_|T]) :- member(X, T).  
member(X, [X|_]).
```

```
?- member(X, [1,2,3]).
```

```
X = 3 ;
```

```
X = 2 ;
```

```
X = 1 ;
```

```
no
```

- **Processing:**

member(X, [1, 2, 3])	member(X, [2, 3])	member(X, [3])	member(X, [])	<fail>
		member(3, [3])		X = 3
	member(2, [2, 3])			X = 2
member(1, [1, 2, 3])				X = 1
				<nothing else to try>

# Accumulators

---

- Recall the following predicate (counts the number of elements in a list):

```
nrelem([], 0).  
nrelem([_|T], N) :- nrelem(T, X), N is X+1.
```

- Is it tail recursive?
  - No – there is still work to do (**N is X+1**) after the recursive "call" (**nrelem(T, X)**)
- A **tail recursive** version – update an accumulator (the number found so far):

```
nrelemTR(L, N) :- nrelemAcc(L, 0, N).           % initialize accumulator with 0  
  
nrelemAcc([], A, A).  
nrelemAcc([_|T], A, N) :- Anew is A+1, nrelemAcc(T, Anew, N).
```

# Imperative Control Flow

---

- Control over the way Prolog performs backtracking

- The **!** predicate (**cut**):

`p(X) :- a(X).`

`p(X) :- b(X), c(X), !, d(X), e(X).`

`p(X) :- f(X).`

`q(X) :- p(X), foo.`

- How **!** works:
  - **!** always succeeds
  - once **!** is encountered, it commits Prolog to all choices made since that rule was chosen
  - if something later fails (for example **d**, **e** or **foo**)
    - no more backtracking (try to re-satisfy) over **b** and **c**
    - no attempt to choose another rule for **p**
    - however, normal backtracking over **d** and **e**

# Imperative Control Flow

---

- Example - without **cut**:

$p(X) \text{ :- } a(X).$

$p(X) \text{ :- } b(X), c(X), d(X), e(X).$

$p(X) \text{ :- } f(X).$

$a(1). b(1). c(1).$

$b(2). c(2). d(2). e(2).$

$f(3).$

$?- p(X).$

$X = 1 ;$

$X = 2 ;$

$X = 3 ;$

no

# Imperative Control Flow

- Same example - with **cut**:

$p(X) :- a(X).$

$p(X) :- b(X), c(X), !, d(X), e(X).$

$p(X) :- f(X).$

$a(1). b(1). c(1).$

$b(2). c(2). d(2). e(2).$

$f(3).$

$?- p(X).$

$X = 1 ;$

no

– What happens?

- First rule is chosen, new goal is  $a(X)$ , then  $a(x)$  matches with  $a(1)$ , first solution is  $X = 1$ .
- Look for more solutions
- Second rule is chosen, new goal is  $b(X), c(X), !, d(X), e(X)$ , then  $b(X)$  matches with  $b(1)$ , now  $X$  is  $1$ , then  $c(1)$  succeeds, then  $!$  succeeds, then  $d(1)$  fails
- Because of the  $!$ :
  - no attempt to resatisfy  $b$  and  $c$
  - no attempt to choose another rule for  $p$

# Imperative Control Flow

---

- Common uses for the **cut**:
- Tell Prolog that it has found the right rule for a particular goal:
  - "if you got this far, you have picked the correct rule for this goal"
- Tell Prolog to fail a goal immediately, without trying for alternative solutions:
  - "if you got here, no solutions will be found – you should stop trying to satisfying this goal"
- Tell Prolog to stop searching for other solutions (the one found is the only one, or we don't care whether there are others):
  - "if you got here, there is no point in looking for alternatives"

# Imperative Control Flow

---

- Use **cut** to achieve the effect of **if a then b else c**:

```
p :- a, !, b.
```

```
p :- c.
```

- Use **cut** to achieve the effect of a **loop**:

```
natural(1).                % generate all natural numbers
```

```
natural(N) :- natural(M), N is M+1.
```

```
my_loop(N) :- natural(Ind),
```

```
                        write(Ind), nl,    % body of loop (nl prints a new line)
```

```
                        Ind >= N, !.
```

```
?- my_loop(3).
```

```
1
```

```
2
```


```
3
```

```
yes
```




# Formal Logic

---



# Towers of Hanoi

---



- Goal – move  $N$  disks from left peg to right peg using the center peg as an auxiliary holding peg
- Only one disk can be moved at a time
- At no time can a larger disk be placed upon a smaller disk
- Recursive solution:
  - move  $N-1$  disks from left to center (smaller version of same problem)
  - move the remaining 1 disk from left to right (elementary problem)
  - move the  $N-1$  disks from center to right (smaller version of same problem)

# Towers of Hanoi

---

- Predicate `move(N, X, Y, Z)` – moves N disks from X to Y using Z as auxiliary

```
move(1, X, Y, _) :-
```

```
    write('Move top disk from '),  
    write(X),  
    write(' to '),  
    write(Y),  
    nl.
```

```
move(N, X, Y, Z) :-
```

```
    N > 1,  
    M is N-1,  
    move(M, X, Z, Y),  
    move(1, X, Y, _),  
    move(M, Z, Y, X).
```

```
?- move(2, left, right, center).
```

```
Move top disk from left to center
```

```
Move top disk from left to right
```

```
Move top disk from center to right
```

```
yes
```

```
?- move(3, left, right, center).
```

```
Move top disk from left to right
```

```
Move top disk from left to center
```

```
Move top disk from right to center
```

```
Move top disk from left to right
```

```
Move top disk from center to left
```

```
Move top disk from center to right
```

```
Move top disk from left to right
```

```
yes
```

# Tic-Tac-Toe

---

- Board layout:

1	2	3
4	5	6
7	8	9

- A program for the **x** player:

% Ordered lines:

```
ordered_line(1,2,3).    ordered_line(4,5,6).  
ordered_line(7,8,9).    ordered_line(1,4,7).  
ordered_line(2,5,8).    ordered_line(3,6,9).  
ordered_line(1,5,9).    ordered_line(3,5,7).
```

% Check if three cells are in line:

```
line(A,B,C) :- ordered_line(A,B,C).  
line(A,B,C) :- ordered_line(A,C,B).  
line(A,B,C) :- ordered_line(B,A,C).  
line(A,B,C) :- ordered_line(B,C,A).  
line(A,B,C) :- ordered_line(C,A,B).  
line(A,B,C) :- ordered_line(C,B,A).
```

# Tic-Tac-Toe

---

% How to make a move:

move(A) :- good(A), empty(A).

% Define full and empty cell:

full(A) :- x(A).

full(A) :- o(A).

empty(A) :- not(full(A)).

% Strategy (order is essential) - what is a good move:

good(A) :- win(A).

good(A) :- block\_win(A).

good(A) :- split(A).

good(A) :- block\_split(A).

good(A) :- build(A).

# Tic-Tac-Toe


---

% Strategy

win(A) :- x(B), x(C), line(A,B,C).

block\_win(A) :- o(B), o(C), line(A,B,C).

split(A) :- x(B), x(C), not(B=C), line(A,B,D), line(A,C,E), empty(D), empty(E).



% place an **x** on **A** to create a split and win next move

block\_split(A) :- o(B), o(C), not(B=C), line(A,B,D), line(A,C,E), empty(D), empty(E).

build(A) :- x(B), line(A,B,C), empty(C).

% Otherwise, choose any empty cell

% Priority is – center, corners, sides

good(5).


good(1). good(3). good(7). good(9).

good(2). good(4). good(6). good(8).

# Tic-Tac-Toe

---

- Suppose that current configuration is stored in database:




x(5). o(2).

x(1). o(8).

?- move(A).

A = 9            % move to win

- Another configuration:



x(1). o(5).

x(8). o(9).

?- move(A).

A = 3            % move to block a split from the o player

# Announcements

---

- Readings
  - Prolog resources