

## Sample Solutions: MTL(101) Minor Exam

1. Prove that any maximal linearly independent set of vectors in a vector space,  $V$  (not necessarily finite dimensional), is a basis of  $V$ .

*Proof.* Prof Ritumoni's lecture notes 7-8.  $\square$

2. Let  $T : \mathbb{R}^4 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^4$  be the linear transformation given by

$$T(x, y, z, w) = (x + y, z, w, w)$$

Compute the rank and nullity of  $T^2$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{R}^4} = \{e_1, e_2, e_3, e_4\}$  be the standard basis of  $\mathbb{R}^4$ . So,  $\text{Im}(T)$  is the subspace of  $\mathbb{R}^4$  spanned by  $T(e_1), T(e_2), T(e_3), T(e_4)$ .

Note that

$$T(e_1) = e_1; T(e_2) = e_1; T(e_3) = e_2; T(e_4) = e_3 + e_4.$$

Thus we have

$$T^2(e_1) = e_1; T^2(e_2) = e_1; T^2(e_3) = e_1; T^2(e_4) = e_2 + e_3 + e_4.$$

So, the rank of  $T^2$  is 2. Therefore by using rank nullity we conclude that  $\text{nullity}(T^2)$  is 2.  $\square$

3. Let  $B$  and  $B'$  be the following standard ordered bases of  $P_2(\mathbb{R})$  and  $M_{2\times 2}(\mathbb{R})$ , respectively:

$$B = \{1, x, x^2\},$$

and

$$B' = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right\}.$$

Let  $T : P_2(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow M_{2\times 2}(\mathbb{R})$ , be the linear transformation given by

$$T(f(x)) = \begin{pmatrix} f'(0) & 2f(1) \\ 0 & f''(3) \end{pmatrix}.$$

Compute the matrix of linear transformation  $[T]_B^{B'}$ .

**Solution:** First we see that

$$T(1) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

So the first column of  $[T]_B^{B'}$  is the coordinate vector  $[T(1)]_{B'} = (0, 2, 0, 0)$ .

Next,

$$T(x) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

So the second column of  $[T]_B^{B'}$  is the coordinate vector  $[T(x)]_{B'} = (1, 2, 0, 0)$ .

Finally,

$$T(x^2) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 2 \\ 0 & 2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

So the third column of  $[T]_B^{B'}$  is the coordinate vector  $[T(x^2)]_{B'} = (0, 2, 0, 2)$ .

So in total we get

$$[T]_B^{B'} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 2 & 2 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

4. Find the eigenvalues of the following  $3 \times 3$  matrix

$$M = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & -1 & 0 \\ -1 & 2 & -1 \\ 0 & -1 & 2 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Also find eigenvectors corresponding to eigenvalues that are integers.

**Solution** The eigenvalues and eigenvectors are found by solving the eigenvalue problem

$$AX = \lambda X \quad X = \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \\ z \end{bmatrix}$$

Now

$$(A - \lambda I)X = 0 \quad \text{and non-trivial solutions for } X \text{ will exist if } \det(A - \lambda I) = 0$$

We start with:

$$\det \left( \begin{bmatrix} 2 & -1 & 0 \\ -1 & 2 & -1 \\ 0 & -1 & 2 \end{bmatrix} - \lambda \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \right) = 0$$

which simplifies to:

$$\begin{vmatrix} 2-\lambda & -1 & 0 \\ -1 & 2-\lambda & -1 \\ 0 & -1 & 2-\lambda \end{vmatrix} = 0.$$

Expanding this determinant, we get:

$$(2-\lambda) \begin{vmatrix} 2-\lambda & -1 \\ -1 & 2-\lambda \end{vmatrix} + \begin{vmatrix} -1 & -1 \\ 0 & 2-\lambda \end{vmatrix} = 0.$$

This simplifies to:

$$(2-\lambda)\{(2-\lambda)^2 - 1\} - (2-\lambda) = 0.$$

Factoring out  $(2-\lambda)$ :

$$(2-\lambda)\{4 - 4\lambda + \lambda^2 - 1\} = 0.$$

which simplifies to:

$$(2-\lambda)(\lambda^2 - 4\lambda + 2) = 0.$$

Solving for  $\lambda$ :

$$\lambda = 2 \quad \text{or} \quad \lambda = \frac{4 \pm \sqrt{16-8}}{2} = 2 \pm \sqrt{2}.$$

Thus, the three eigenvalues are  $2, 2 + \sqrt{2}, 2 - \sqrt{2}$ . The eigen value that is integer is  $\lambda = 2$ . Here  $AX = 2X$  implies

$$\begin{bmatrix} 2 & -1 & 0 \\ -1 & 2 & -1 \\ 0 & -1 & 2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \\ z \end{bmatrix} = 2 \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \\ z \end{bmatrix}$$

i.e.

$$\begin{aligned}2x - y &= 2x \\-x + 2y - z &= 2y \\-y + 2z &= 2z\end{aligned}$$

After simplifying the equations become:

$$\begin{aligned}-y &= 0 \quad (\text{a}) \\-x - z &= 0 \quad (\text{b}) \\-y &= 0 \quad (\text{c})\end{aligned}$$

(a), (c) imply  $y = 0$ ; (b) implies  $x = -z$ .

$\therefore$  eigenvector has the form  $\begin{bmatrix} x \\ 0 \\ -x \end{bmatrix}$  for any  $x \neq 0$ .

That is, eigenvectors corresponding to  $\lambda = 2$  are all proportional to

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix}$$

that is

$$span \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix}$$

5. Let  $V$  and  $W$  be two finite dimensional vector spaces over the field  $\mathbb{F}$  and  $T : V \rightarrow W$  be a linear transformation.
  - i) Prove that, if  $\text{Ker}(T) = \{0\}$ , then  $T$  sends linearly independent set to linearly independent set, i.e., if  $\{v_1, \dots, v_k\}$  is linearly independent in  $V$ , then  $\{T(v_1), \dots, T(v_k)\}$  is linearly independent in  $W$ .
  - ii) Is the above result true if we drop the condition  $\text{Ker}(T) = \{0\}$ ? Justify.

### Solution

- (i) Let  $\{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_k\}$  be a linearly independent set in  $V$ . We need to show that  $\{T(v_1), T(v_2), \dots, T(v_k)\}$  is linearly independent in  $W$ .
- Suppose there exist scalars  $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_k \in \mathbb{F}$  such that

$$a_1 T(v_1) + a_2 T(v_2) + \cdots + a_k T(v_k) = 0.$$

Since  $T$  is linear, we can rewrite this as:

$$T(a_1 v_1 + a_2 v_2 + \cdots + a_k v_k) = 0.$$

Given that  $\ker(T) = \{0\}$ , it follows that:

$$a_1 v_1 + a_2 v_2 + \cdots + a_k v_k = 0.$$

But since  $\{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_k\}$  is linearly independent, the only solution is:

$$a_1 = a_2 = \cdots = a_k = 0.$$

Thus,  $\{T(v_1), T(v_2), \dots, T(v_k)\}$  is also linearly independent in  $W$ .  $\square$

- (ii) No, the result does not necessarily hold if  $\ker(T) \neq \{0\}$ , meaning  $T$  may map a linearly independent set to a linearly dependent set.

**Counterexample:** Consider  $V = \mathbb{R}^3$  and  $W = \mathbb{R}^2$  with the linear transformation  $T : \mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$  defined by:

$$T(x, y, z) = (x, y).$$

The set  $\{(1, 0, 0), (0, 1, 0), (0, 0, 1)\}$  is linearly independent in  $V$ . Applying  $T$ , we obtain:

$$T(1, 0, 0) = (1, 0), \quad T(0, 1, 0) = (0, 1), \quad T(0, 0, 1) = (0, 0).$$

The set  $\{(1, 0), (0, 1), (0, 0)\}$  in  $W$  is clearly linearly dependent because  $(0, 0)$  is a zero vector.

This example demonstrates that  $T$  does not always preserve linear independence if  $\ker(T) \neq \{0\}$ .  $\square$

6. Let  $W_1$  and  $W_2$  be subspaces of  $\mathbb{R}^5$  given by

$$W_1 = \{(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5) \mid x_1 + x_2 + 2x_3 = 0, \quad 2x_4 + x_5 = 0\}$$

and

$$W_2 = \{(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5) \mid x_2 + 2x_3 = 0, \quad x_1 + 2x_4 + x_5 = 0\}.$$

Find  $\dim(W_1 \cap W_2)$ . Is  $W_1 + W_2 = \mathbb{R}^5$ ? Justify.

**Solution:**

Let  $W_1$  and  $W_2$  be subspaces of  $\mathbb{R}^5$  defined by:

$$W_1 = \{(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5) \mid x_1 + x_2 + 2x_3 = 0, \quad 2x_4 + x_5 = 0\}$$

and

$$W_2 = \{(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5) \mid x_2 + 2x_3 = 0, \quad x_1 + 2x_4 + x_5 = 0\}.$$

To find  $W_1 \cap W_2$ , we solve the system consisting of all four equations:

$$\begin{aligned} x_1 + x_2 + 2x_3 &= 0, \\ 2x_4 + x_5 &= 0, \\ x_2 + 2x_3 &= 0, \\ x_1 + 2x_4 + x_5 &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

The corresponding RREF is

$$\left[ \begin{array}{cccc|c} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & |0 \\ 0 & 1 & 2 & 0 & 0 & |0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1/2 & |0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & |0 \end{array} \right]$$

Solving this we get the solution as the general solution is:

$$(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5) = (0, -2x_3, x_3, \frac{-x_5}{2}, x_5).$$

The free variables in the system are  $x_3$  and  $x_5$ . This solution space is spanned by the vectors:

$$(0, -2, 1, 0, 0) \quad \text{and} \quad (0, 0, 0, -1/2, 1).$$

Thus, the dimension of  $W_1 \cap W_2$  is:

$$\dim(W_1 \cap W_2) = 2.$$

Using the dimension formula:

$$\dim(W_1 + W_2) = \dim(W_1) + \dim(W_2) - \dim(W_1 \cap W_2).$$

Compute dimension of  $W_1$  and  $W_2$ . Since we have a total of 5 variables and there are 2 independent equations, the number of free variables is:

$$\text{Number of variables} - \text{Number of independent equations} = 5 - 2 = 3.$$

Thus, the dimension of  $W_1$  and  $W_2$  is:

$$\dim(W_1) = 3, \quad \dim(W_2) = 3.$$

Thus, we compute:

$$\dim(W_1 + W_2) = 3 + 3 - 2 = 4.$$

Since  $\dim(W_1 + W_2) = 4 \neq 5$ , it follows that:

$$W_1 + W_2 \neq \mathbb{R}^5.$$

□

7. Prove (if true) or disprove (if false) the following statements.

i) If  $W_1, W_2$  and  $W$  are subspaces of a vector space  $V$  such that

$$W_1 \oplus W = W_2 \oplus W,$$

then  $W_1 = W_2$ .

ii) The span of the set  $S := \{(x, y, z) \in \mathbb{R}^3 : x^2 + y^2 = 4\}$  over  $\mathbb{R}$  is  $\mathbb{R}^3$ .

iii) If  $Y_1$  and  $Y_2$  are solutions of the system of linear equations

$$AX = B, \text{ where } B \neq \mathbf{0},$$

then for  $a_1 \neq 0 \neq a_2$ ,  $a_1 Y_1 + a_2 Y_2$  is not a solution of  $AX = B$ .

iv) There is a linear transformation  $T : \mathbb{R}^4 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^4$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} T(1, 1, 1, 1) &= (1, 0, 0, 0), \\ T(1, 0, 1, 0) &= (0, 1, 0, 0), \\ T(0, -1, 0, -1) &= (0, 0, 1, 0), \\ T(0, 0, 0, 1) &= (0, 0, 0, 0). \end{aligned}$$

- i) False. For example,  $V = \mathbf{R}^2$ ,  $W_1 = \mathbf{R} \times \{0\}$ ,  $W_2 = \{0\} \times \mathbf{R}$  and  $W = \{(x, y) \in \mathbf{R}^2 : x = y\}$ . Then  $W_1 \cap W = \{0\} = W_2 \cap W$ . Also observe  $(x, y) = (x - y, 0) + (y, y) = (0, y - x) + (x, x)$ .
- ii) True. Observe  $(2, 0, 0), (0, 2, 0), (0, 2, 1) \in S$  so the the span of  $S$  contains  $e_1, e_2$  and  $e_3 = (0, 2, 1) - (0, 2, 0)$  which span  $\mathbf{R}^3$ .
- iii) False.  $A(a_1 Y_1 + a_2 Y_2) = a_1 AY_1 + a_2 AY_2 = a_1 B + a_2 B = (a_1 + a_2)B = B$  if  $a_1 + a_2 = 1$ .
- iv) False. Observe  $(1, 1, 1, 1) = (1, 0, 1, 0) - (0, -1, 0, -1)$ . If  $T$  is a linear transformation  $(1, 0, 0, 0) = T(1, 1, 1, 1) = T(1, 0, 1, 0) - T(0, -1, 0, -1) = (0, 1, 0, 0) - (0, 0, 1, 0) = (0, 1, -1, 0)$ . A contradiction.