

Name: _____

Entry number: _____

There are 2 questions for a total of 10 points.

1. (5 points) Consider the following predicates:

1. $D(x)$: x is a dog.
2. $C(x)$: x is a cat.
3. $M(x)$: x is a mouse.
4. $B(x)$: x barks at night.
5. $H(x, y)$: x has y .
6. $L(x)$: x is a light sleeper.

Express each of the statements using quantifiers and the predicates given above. Use the domain as the set of all living creatures.

	Statement	Quantified expression
S_1	All dogs bark at night.	$\forall x [D(x) \rightarrow B(x)]$
S_2	Anyone who has any cats will not have any mice.	$\forall x \forall y [(H(x, y) \wedge C(y)) \rightarrow \neg(\exists z (H(x, z) \wedge M(z)))]$
S_3	Light sleepers do not have anything which barks at night.	$\forall x [L(x) \rightarrow \neg(\exists y (H(x, y) \wedge B(y)))]$
S_4	John has either a cat or a dog.	$\exists x [H(John, x) \wedge (C(x) \vee D(x))]$
S_5	If John is a light sleeper, then John does not have any mice.	$L(John) \rightarrow \neg(\exists x (H(John, x) \wedge M(x)))$

2. (5 points) Consider the quantified expressions S_1, \dots, S_5 obtained in the previous problem. Use the expressions obtained in the previous problem to replace S_1, \dots, S_5 below and then determine whether it makes a valid argument form. Explain your answer. (*If your answer is “yes”, then you need to show all steps while using rules of inference*)

$$\begin{array}{c} S_1 \\ S_2 \\ S_3 \\ S_4 \\ \hline \therefore S_5 \end{array}$$

Solution: We obtain the following argument form by replacing the S_1, \dots, S_5 above.

$$\begin{array}{c} \forall x [D(x) \rightarrow B(x)] \\ \forall x \forall y [(H(x, y) \wedge C(y)) \rightarrow \neg(\exists z (H(x, z) \wedge M(z)))] \\ \forall x [L(x) \rightarrow \neg(\exists y (H(x, y) \wedge B(y)))] \\ \exists x [H(John, x) \wedge (C(x) \vee D(x))] \\ \hline \therefore L(John) \rightarrow \neg(\exists x (H(John, x) \wedge M(x))) \end{array}$$

We will show that the above argument form is valid using rules of inference:

1. $\forall x [D(x) \rightarrow B(x)]$ (Premise)
2. $\forall x \forall y [(H(x, y) \wedge C(y)) \rightarrow \neg(\exists z (H(x, z) \wedge M(z)))]$ (Premise)
3. $\forall x [L(x) \rightarrow \neg(\exists y (H(x, y) \wedge B(y)))]$ (Premise)
4. $\exists x [H(John, x) \wedge (C(x) \vee D(x))]$ (Premise)
5. $H(John, a) \wedge (C(a) \vee D(a))$ for a particular element a in domain (Existential instantiation using (4))
6. $H(John, a)$ (Simplification using (5))
7. $C(a) \vee D(a)$ (Simplification using (5))
8. $D(a) \rightarrow B(a)$ (Universal instantiation using (1))
9. $\neg D(a) \vee B(a)$ (From (8) using $p \rightarrow q \equiv \neg p \vee q$)
10. $(\neg H(John, a) \vee \neg C(a)) \rightarrow \neg \exists z (H(John, z) \wedge M(z))$ (Universal instantiation using (2))
11. $\forall z [\neg H(John, a) \vee \neg C(a) \vee \neg H(John, z) \vee \neg M(z)]$ (From (10) using DeMorgan’s law for quantifiers and $p \rightarrow q \equiv \neg p \vee q$)
12. $\neg H(John, a) \vee \neg C(a) \vee \neg H(John, b) \vee \neg M(b)$ for an arbitrary b in domain (Universal instantiation using (11))
13. $\forall x \forall y [\neg L(x) \vee \neg H(x, y) \vee \neg B(y)]$ (From (3) using DeMorgan’s law for quantifiers and $p \rightarrow q \equiv \neg p \vee q$)
14. $\neg L(John) \vee \neg H(John, a) \vee \neg B(a)$ (Universal instantiation using (13))
15. $C(a) \vee B(a)$ (Resolvent of (7) and (9))
16. $\neg C(a) \vee \neg H(John, b) \vee \neg M(b)$ (Resolvent of (6) and (12))
17. $\neg L(John) \vee \neg B(a)$ (Resolvent of (6) and (14))

- | | |
|--|---|
| 18. $B(a) \vee \neg H(John, b) \vee \neg M(b)$ | (Resolvent of (15) and (16)) |
| 19. $\neg L(John) \vee \neg H(John, b) \vee \neg M(b)$ | (Resolvent of (17) and (18)) |
| 20. $\forall x [\neg L(John) \vee \neg H(John, x) \vee \neg M(x)]$ | (Universal generalization using (19)) |
| 21. $L(John) \rightarrow \neg(\exists x (H(John, x) \wedge M(x)))$ | (Using Demorgan's law for quantifiers and
$p \rightarrow q \equiv \neg p \vee q$) |