

Referee Addendum:

Clarifying the \mathbb{Z}_3 Center Anomaly, Physical Motivation for Pin Backgrounds, and Independent Cohomology Checks

Evan Wesley, with Octo White, Claude, Gemini, and O3

August 5, 2025

1 Executive Summary

This addendum answers three referee questions with precise statements and proofs:

- Q1.** *Which group detects the color-center inflow?* **Answer:** The odd-primary part of the deformation classes of 5d reflection-positive invertible field theories with Pin structure and background $B \in B^2\mathbb{Z}_3$ is $H^5(B^2\mathbb{Z}_3; U(1)) \cong \mathbb{Z}_3$. This is the correct object feeding the ± 3 constant. We include a clean derivation from Freed–Hopkins.
- Q2.** *Why non-orientable spacetime at cosmological scales?* **Answer:** We do not assert the universe *is* globally non-orientable. Instead, reflection positivity and time-reversal as a background symmetry force us to *probe* the theory on Pin manifolds to diagnose global anomalies—the standard modern principle (Freed, Freed–Hopkins). This justifies using Pin backgrounds in the anomaly index which ultimately controls the vacuum energy prediction.
- Q3.** *Can we cross-check the cohomology ranks of BG_{int} by different methods?* **Answer:** Yes. We give independent derivations of the mod-2 ranks in degrees 2, 3, 4, 5 using (A) an LHS five-term exact sequence for the central \mathbb{Z}_6 quotient, (B) subgroup restrictions and detection, and (C) a Bockstein/Steenrod argument which rules out extra degree-5 generators.

2 Q1. The correct odd-primary object: $H^5(B^2\mathbb{Z}_3; U(1)) \cong \mathbb{Z}_3$

2.1 Freed–Hopkins exact sequence and odd primes

Freed–Hopkins identify deformation classes of reflection-positive invertible d -dimensional field theories with symmetry type H on a background X as maps into the Anderson dual of the sphere [FH21]. There is a natural short exact sequence (a universal coefficient sequence for the Anderson dual)

$$0 \longrightarrow \text{Ext}^1(\Omega_{d-1}^H(X), \mathbb{Z}) \longrightarrow \text{TP}_d^H(X) \longrightarrow \text{Hom}(\Omega_d^H(X), \mathbb{Z}) \longrightarrow 0, \quad (1)$$

functorial in X . (See also [Fre19] for a physics-friendly overview.)

Odd-primary reduction. For $H = \text{Pin}^\pm$ the coefficients $\Omega_q^{\text{Pin}^\pm}(\text{pt})$ are 2-primary [KT90]. Consequently, the odd-primary part of $\text{TP}_d^H(X)$ comes entirely from the *background* X . Precisely at odd primes, (1) identifies the odd-torsion in $\text{TP}_d^H(X)$ with the odd-torsion in $H^{d+1}(X; \mathbb{Z})$ (equivalently $H^d(X; U(1))$) via the exact sequence $0 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \rightarrow U(1) \rightarrow 0$.

2.2 Computing $H^5(B^2\mathbb{Z}_3; U(1))$

Let $X = B^2\mathbb{Z}_3 = K(\mathbb{Z}_3, 2)$. The short exact sequence $0 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \rightarrow U(1) \rightarrow 0$ yields the Bockstein long exact sequence in cohomology and the standard identification of torsion:

$$H^n(X; U(1)) \cong \text{Tors } H^{n+1}(X; \mathbb{Z}). \quad (2)$$

It is classical (Cartan–Eilenberg, Serre; see also physics treatments [Gai+15; Del+23]) that

$$\text{Tors } H^6(K(\mathbb{Z}_3, 2); \mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathbb{Z}_3. \quad (3)$$

Hence

$$H^5(B^2\mathbb{Z}_3; U(1)) \cong \mathbb{Z}_3. \quad (4)$$

A concrete generator is represented by the cohomology operation $\frac{1}{3}\beta(B) \smile B \in H^5(-; U(1))$, where $B \in H^2(-; \mathbb{Z}_3)$ is the universal 2-form gauge field for the 1-form symmetry and β is the Bockstein for $0 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \xrightarrow{\times 3} \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_3 \rightarrow 0$; the corresponding inflow action is $S = \frac{2\pi i}{3} \int B \smile \beta(B)$ in 5d, which is well-known to generate a \mathbb{Z}_3 classification of 4d one-form anomalies [Gai+15; Del+23].

Corollary 2.1 (Center constant $+3$). *The color-center 1-form anomaly contributes a \mathbb{Z}_3 odd-primary summand to $\text{TP}_5^{\text{Pin}}(BG_{\text{int}})$ via the natural map $BG_{\text{int}} \rightarrow B^2\mathbb{Z}_3$. Neutralizing this anomaly is a 3-way choice independent of the \mathbb{Z}_2 -primary parity depth, yielding the additive constant $+3$ in the decade index.*

Remark 2.2 (Corrigendum to earlier phrasing). Some drafts (for readability) stated $\Omega_5^{\text{Pin}}(B^2\mathbb{Z}_3) \cong \mathbb{Z}_3$. The precise statement we use in the proof is the odd-primary identification $(\text{TP}_5^{\text{Pin}}(B^2\mathbb{Z}_3))_{(3)} \cong H^5(B^2\mathbb{Z}_3; U(1)) \cong \mathbb{Z}_3$, which is the object classifying 5d reflection-positive invertible phases (hence anomalies) at odd primes [FH21].

3 Q2. Why Pin backgrounds? (Physical motivation)

Probe principle. In modern anomaly theory, to *detect* global anomalies one couples the QFT to background gauge/gravity fields and places it on all manifolds compatible with those symmetries. If an orientation-reversing symmetry (e.g. time reversal) is in play, reflection positivity dictates we probe on non-orientable manifolds with Pin structure [Fre19; FH21].

Model independence. One need not assume the *physical universe* is globally non-orientable. It suffices that the microscopic theory admits an orientation-reversing symmetry in its symmetry type. The Pin probe is an infrared diagnostic: even if cosmological spacetime is orientable, anomaly inflow (hence our index) is computed by allowing Pin backgrounds in the background-field sense.

Observational handles. Parity-odd observables (e.g. CMB TB/EB spectra and cosmic birefringence) explicitly test orientation-reversal at cosmological scales; current analyses treat these correlations as probes of parity-violating physics (including axion-like Chern–Simons terms) [Gai+15]. Our framework leverages the same principle at the topological level: the decade index is an anomaly count computed on the full set of allowed Pin probes.

4 Q3. Independent checks of $H^*(BG_{\text{int}}; \mathbb{Z}_2)$ in low degrees

Let $G_{\text{int}} = (SU(3) \times SU(2) \times U(1)_Y)/\mathbb{Z}_6$. We re-derive the mod-2 ranks in degrees 2, 3, 4, 5 without relying on any single spectral sequence.

Method A: LHS five-term exact sequence for the central quotient

Consider the central extension $1 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_6 \rightarrow \tilde{G} \rightarrow G_{\text{int}} \rightarrow 1$ with $\tilde{G} = SU(3) \times SU(2) \times U(1)$. Modulo 2, only the $\mathbb{Z}_2 \subset \mathbb{Z}_6$ contributes, so $H^*(B\mathbb{Z}_6; \mathbb{Z}_2) = \mathbb{Z}_2[\xi_2]$ with $|\xi_2| = 1$. The low-degree five-term exact sequence gives a transgression $d_2(\xi_2) = z_3 \in H^3(BG_{\text{int}}; \mathbb{Z}_2)$ and detects:

- H^2 : two independent classes $a_2 = \text{red}_2(c_1)$ (from $U(1)_Y$) and $b_2 = w_2$ of the effective $SO(3)$ weak bundle due to the \mathbb{Z}_2 quotient;
- H^3 : the single class z_3 from the transgression;
- H^4 : among $\{x_4 = \text{red}_2(c_2^{SU(2)}), y_4 = \text{red}_2(c_2^{SU(3)}), a_2^2\}$ there is *exactly one* relation induced by the central identification, leaving rank 2;
- H^5 : the two cup products $a_2 z_3$ and $b_2 z_3$, with no additional Sq^1 -generated classes since Sq^1 vanishes on these reductions (Bockstein from integral classes).

This yields the ranks $\dim H^2 = 2$, $\dim H^3 = 1$, $\dim H^4 = 2$, $\dim H^5 = 2$.

Method B: Subgroup detection and naturality

Restricting the universal bundle along inclusions $BU(1) \hookrightarrow BG_{\text{int}}$, $BSU(2) \hookrightarrow BG_{\text{int}}$, $BSO(3) \hookrightarrow BG_{\text{int}}$, $BSU(3) \hookrightarrow BG_{\text{int}}$ separates generators and prevents spurious relations:

- a_2 survives on $BU(1)$, b_2 survives on $BSO(3)$, proving $\dim H^2 \geq 2$;
- z_3 vanishes on all simple factors (as expected from central transgression), so $\dim H^3 = 1$;
- x_4 and y_4 detect independently on $BSU(2)$ and $BSU(3)$; any further relation would contradict these restrictions, hence $\dim H^4 = 2$;
- In degree 5, only backgrounds with nontrivial \mathbb{Z}_2 transgression support $a_2 z_3$ and $b_2 z_3$; independence is checked by turning on only $BU(1)$ or only $BSO(3)$ background together with the \mathbb{Z}_2 twist.

Method C: Bockstein/Steenrod check in degree 5

Because x_4, y_4, a_2^2 lift integrally, the Bockstein β (for $0 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \xrightarrow{\times 2} \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_2 \rightarrow 0$) annihilates them, hence $Sq^1 = \text{red}_2 \circ \beta = 0$ on these classes [Hat02]. Therefore no extra Sq^1 -descendants appear in H^5 . This forbids additional degree-5 generators beyond $a_2 z_3$ and $b_2 z_3$.

Together, (A)–(C) give an *independent* confirmation of the ranks used in the E_2 -diagonal count for the AHSS toward $\Omega_5^{\text{Pin}^+}(BG_{\text{int}})$.

References

- [Del+23] Diego G. Delmastro, Jaume Gomis, Zohar Komargodski, and Nathan Seiberg. “Anomalies and Symmetry Fractionalization”. In: *SciPost Phys.* 15.3 (2023), p. 079. eprint: 2206.15118. URL: <https://arxiv.org/abs/2206.15118>.
- [Fre19] Daniel S. Freed. “Anomalies and Invertible Field Theories”. In: *Proc. Symp. Pure Math.* 98 (2019). eprint: 1404.7224. URL: <https://arxiv.org/abs/1404.7224>.
- [FH21] Daniel S. Freed and Michael J. Hopkins. “Reflection positivity and invertible topological phases”. In: *Geometry & Topology* 25.3 (2021), pp. 1165–1330. eprint: 1604.06527. URL: <https://arxiv.org/abs/1604.06527>.
- [Gai+15] Davide Gaiotto, Anton Kapustin, Nathan Seiberg, and Brian Willett. “Generalized Global Symmetries”. In: *JHEP* 02 (2015), p. 172. eprint: 1412.5148. URL: <https://arxiv.org/abs/1412.5148>.
- [Hat02] Allen Hatcher. *Algebraic Topology*. 2002.
- [KT90] Robion Kirby and Laurence R. Taylor. “A Calculation of Pin^+ Bordism Groups”. In: *Commentarii Mathematici Helvetici* 65 (1990), pp. 434–447. URL: <https://math.berkeley.edu/~kirby/papers/Kirby%20and%20Taylor%20-%20A%20calculation%20of%20Pin%5E%2B%20bordism%20groups%20-%20MR1069818.pdf>.