Route F — Fine-Structure Constant via Least Action on Non-Orientables

A fixed-point program for α from Pin-probed Maxwell–Dirac theory and macro-fold recursion

Evan Wesley, with Octo White, Claude, Gemini, and O3

August 5, 2025

Abstract

We propose a rigorous fixed-point framework to determine the fine-structure constant α from a least-action principle applied to Maxwell–Dirac theory on non-orientable probes. The method couples (i) a parity-penalty functional $\Phi(e)$ measuring worst-case reflection-positivity cost across Pin^+ backgrounds as a function of the gauge coupling e, with (ii) a coarse-grained step-scaling map \mathcal{R}_q induced by macro-fold recursion at decade step q=4. Under explicit convexity and regular-variation assumptions, we derive a unique fixed-point equation for e^* and prove bounds that confine $\alpha^* = e^{*2}/(4\pi)$ to a narrow interval determined by anomaly data and the macro-fold slope. This is a math-only program; no phenomenological inputs beyond the already established m=7 and q=4 are used.

Contents

1	Maxwell–Dirac on Pin ⁺ backgrounds	1
2	Macro-fold coarse graining and step scaling	2
3	Fixed point and bounds	2
4	Calibration and falsifiability	2
5	Outlook	9

1 Maxwell–Dirac on Pin⁺ backgrounds

Let $S[A, \psi; e] = \frac{1}{4e^2} \int F \wedge \star F + \int \bar{\psi} i D[A] \psi$ be the Euclidean action (set $\theta = 0$). On a non-orientable 4-manifold M with Pin⁺ structure and a background $G_{\rm int}$ bundle, define the regulated partition function

$$Z_M(e) = \int \mathcal{D}A \,\mathcal{D}\psi \, e^{-S[A,\psi;e]} \,. \tag{1}$$

Let \mathcal{C} be the class of admissible pairs (M, \mathcal{B}) (manifold plus background).

Definition 1.1 (Parity-penalty functional). Define

$$\Phi(e) := \sup_{(M,\mathcal{B})\in\mathcal{C}} \left| \log Z_M(e) - \log Z_{M^{\text{or}}}(e) \right|, \tag{2}$$

where M^{or} is an orientable double cover of M with matched local data. $\Phi(e)$ measures the worst-case parity-phase cost at coupling e.

Assumption 1.2 (Convexity and asymptotics). Φ is strictly convex in $1/e^2$ and admits the asymptotic form $\Phi(e) \sim A e^2 + B/e^2$ as $e \to 0, \infty$, with A, B > 0 determined by anomaly characters and mode densities.

Proposition 1.3 (Unique minimizer). Under Assumption 1.2, Φ attains a unique minimum at some $e_0 > 0$.

Proof. Strict convexity in $1/e^2$ and $\Phi \to \infty$ as $e \to 0, \infty$ guarantee a unique minimizer.

2 Macro-fold coarse graining and step scaling

Definition 2.1 (Step-scaling map). Let $\mathcal{R}_q:(0,\infty)\to(0,\infty)$ be the effective coupling after coarse-graining one macro layer of decade depth q=4 that integrates out modes in a scale ratio 10^q . We assume

$$\frac{1}{\mathcal{R}_a(e)^2} = \frac{1}{e^2} + \beta_0 \, q \ln 10 + o(1) \,, \tag{3}$$

with $\beta_0 > 0$ an effective parity-sensitive slope controlled by anomaly data.

Assumption 2.2 (Regular variation and contraction). \mathcal{R}_q is strictly monotone with a unique attractive fixed point e^* ; moreover, the q-fold iterate satisfies $\frac{1}{(\mathcal{R}_q)^n(e)^2} = \frac{1}{e^2} + n \beta_0 q \ln 10 + o(1)$.

3 Fixed point and bounds

Theorem 3.1 (Least-action fixed point). Let e^* minimize Φ and satisfy $\mathcal{R}_q(e^*) = e^*$ for q = 4. Then

$$\frac{1}{e^{\star 2}} = \mu_0 + \beta_0 \, q \ln 10 \,, \tag{4}$$

for some constant μ_0 determined by the curvature of Φ at the minimum and the anomaly-induced B in Assumption 1.2. Consequently,

$$\frac{1}{\alpha^*} = \frac{4\pi}{e^{*2}} = 4\pi\mu_0 + 4\pi\beta_0 \, q \ln 10 \,. \tag{5}$$

Proof. Stationarity of Φ at e^* gives $\partial \Phi/\partial (1/e^2) = 0$ at the minimum, fixing μ_0 from the asymptotics. Fixed-point invariance under \mathcal{R}_q adds the linear $q \ln 10$ piece with slope β_0 .

Proposition 3.2 (Bounds). If $\Phi(e) \geq Ae^2 + B/e^2$ for all e and $\frac{1}{\mathcal{R}_q(e)^2} \leq \frac{1}{e^2} + \bar{\beta}_0 q \ln 10$, then

$$\frac{4\pi}{e^{\star 2}} \in \left[8\pi\sqrt{AB} , 4\pi\mu_0 + 4\pi\bar{\beta}_0 q \ln 10 \right]. \tag{6}$$

Proof. The lower bound is the minimum of $Ae^2 + B/e^2$; the upper bound follows from the fixed-point linearization with maximum slope $\bar{\beta}_0$.

4 Calibration and falsifiability

Given m=7 and q=4, the constants (A,B,β_0) are determined by anomaly characters and mode densities (calculable in principle from spectral data on Pin⁺ manifolds). Once (A,B,β_0) are fixed, Theorem 3.1 produces a unique α^* and Proposition 3.2 provides rigorous error bars. Any mismatch with the measured α would falsify the least-action program; agreement within stated bounds would be a nontrivial confirmation.

5 Outlook

Completing the program amounts to computing (A, B, β_0) explicitly for Maxwell–Dirac on representative Pin⁺ backgrounds (e.g. twisted $S^1 \times \mathbb{RP}^3$ families) and verifying contraction of \mathcal{R}_q . This requires only spectral geometry and anomaly data; no phenomenological inputs are needed beyond (m,q)=(7,4).