Fractional Action Principle: A Rational Standard Model

Rosetta Ledger Program — Evan Wesley

Vivi The Physics Slayer!

Version 2.0 September 5, 2025

Abstract

We present a formulation of the Standard Model in which all seed parameters are exact rationals. Fields, operators, and symmetries are conventional, but couplings, mixing angles, and mass ratios are specified by a rational registry. The action is therefore specified over \mathbb{Q} (with explicit π retained symbolically), enabling auditably exact predictions at anchor scales. We formalize (i) a selection rule that treats anomaly equalities as preconditions on field content (thereby requiring three right-handed neutrinos when B-L is gauged), (ii) a rational running scheme between anchor scales via Möbius/spline maps that preserve exactness at nodes, and (iii) a rational path-sum discretization. The framework doubles as a proof-of-principle and a teaching guide with unit tests (CKM geometry, rare-decay ratios, g-2, EW identities) implemented as exact checks.

Contents

1	Axioms of the Q-First Program	3
2	Seed Registry and Immediate Consequences2.1 Electroweak and QCD seeds	3 3
3	The Fractional Action 3.1 Gauge sector	4
4	Selection Rule: Anomalies as Preconditions	4
5	Electroweak Symmetry Breaking and Exact Mass Relations	4
6	Exact Mixing Geometry 6.1 CKM	
7	Rational Running Between Anchor Scales	5
8	Path-Sum over Rational Configurations	5
9	Unit Tests (Exact Checks)	5

1 Axioms of the Q-First Program

- **A1. Rational seeds.** Every dimensionless input is fixed as $p/q \in \mathbb{Q}$. Transcendentals (e.g., π) are left as symbols.
- **A2. Field content.** $SU(3)_c \times SU(2)_L \times U(1)_Y$ with three families; include three sterile ν_R to satisfy anomaly preconditions if B-L is gauged.
- A3. Renormalizable operator basis. The canonical SM Lagrangian; only the weights (couplings) are exact rationals.
- A4. Exact constraints as preconditions. Anomaly equalities and custodial identities imposed exactly.
- A5. Rational running. Between anchors, couplings follow rational maps preserving node exactness.
- **A6.** Audit discipline. Each prediction carries its exact fraction and MDL cost MDL(p/q) = $\lceil \log_2 p \rceil + \lceil \log_2 q \rceil.$

$\mathbf{2}$ Seed Registry and Immediate Consequences

2.1Electroweak and QCD seeds

$$\alpha_{\rm em} := \frac{2639}{361638},\tag{2.1}$$

$$\alpha_{\text{em}} := \frac{2639}{361638},$$

$$\alpha_s(M_Z) := \frac{23}{195},$$
(2.1)

$$\sin^2 \theta_W := \frac{25}{108},\tag{2.3}$$

$$\cos^2 \theta_W := 1 - \frac{25}{108},\tag{2.4}$$

$$\lambda_H := \frac{9}{40}.\tag{2.5}$$

Then
$$e^2 = 4\pi \frac{2639}{361638}$$
, $g^2 = 4\pi \frac{2639}{361638} / \frac{25}{108}$, $g'^2 = 4\pi \frac{2639}{361638} / (1 - \frac{25}{108})$, $g_3^2 = 4\pi \frac{23}{195}$

2.2Flavor and mixing seeds

For CKM we adopt Wolfenstein-like seeds

$$\lambda = \frac{2}{9}, \quad A = \frac{21}{25}, \quad \bar{\rho} = \frac{3}{20}, \quad \bar{\eta} = \frac{7}{20}.$$
 (2.6)

For PMNS,

$$\sin^2 \theta_{12} = \frac{7}{23}, \quad \sin^2 \theta_{13} = \frac{2}{89}, \quad \sin^2 \theta_{23} = \frac{9}{16}, \quad \delta_{\text{PMNS}} = -\frac{\pi}{2}.$$
 (2.7)

3 The Fractional Action

Let $\Phi = \{Q_L, u_R, d_R, L_L, e_R, \nu_R, H; G^a_{\mu}, W^i_{\mu}, B_{\mu}\}$. The action is

$$S_{\mathbb{Q}}[\Phi] = \int d^4x \left(\mathcal{L}_{\text{gauge}} + \mathcal{L}_{\text{kin}} + \mathcal{L}_H + \mathcal{L}_Y \right). \tag{3.1}$$

3.1 Gauge sector

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{gauge}} = -\frac{1}{4g_3^2} G_{\mu\nu}^a G^{a\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{4g^2} W_{\mu\nu}^i W^{i\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{4g'^2} B_{\mu\nu} B^{\mu\nu}. \tag{3.2}$$

3.2 Matter kinetics

$$\mathcal{L}_{kin} = \sum_{\psi} \bar{\psi} i \gamma^{\mu} D_{\mu} \psi + (D_{\mu} H)^{\dagger} (D^{\mu} H), \quad D_{\mu} = \partial_{\mu} - i g T^{i} W_{\mu}^{i} - i g' Y B_{\mu} - i g_{3} T^{a} G_{\mu}^{a}.$$
 (3.3)

3.3 Higgs potential

$$\mathcal{L}_H = -V(H), \qquad V(H) = \lambda_H \left(H^{\dagger} H - \frac{1}{2} v^2\right)^2, \qquad \lambda_H = \frac{9}{40}.$$
 (3.4)

3.4 Yukawa sector

$$\mathcal{L}_Y = -\left(\bar{Q}_L \tilde{H} Y_u u_R + \bar{Q}_L H Y_d d_R + \bar{L}_L H Y_e e_R + \bar{L}_L \tilde{H} Y_\nu \nu_R\right) + \text{h.c.}, \tag{3.5}$$

$$Y_f = \sqrt{2}\operatorname{diag}(m_f^{(1)}, m_f^{(2)}, m_f^{(3)})/v, \quad m_f^{(i)}/v \in \mathbb{Q}.$$
 (3.6)

4 Selection Rule: Anomalies as Preconditions

Definition 4.1 (Admissibility). A field content is *admissible* iff the non-abelian cubic, abelian cubic, mixed abelian—non-abelian, and mixed gravitational anomalies satisfy the exact equalities

$$\sum_{\text{LH Wevl}} \text{tr}\left(\{T^a, T^b\}T^c\right) = 0, \qquad \sum Y = 0, \qquad \sum Y^3 = 0, \tag{4.1}$$

$$\sum Y \operatorname{tr}(T^a T^a) = 0, \qquad \sum Y = 0 \text{ (grav)}.$$
(4.2)

Proposition 4.2 (Necessity of ν_R for gauged B-L). With three generations, the above equalities hold exactly for hypercharge without ν_R ; gauging B-L makes inclusion of a sterile ν_R per generation necessary so that linear and cubic B-L sums vanish.

5 Electroweak Symmetry Breaking and Exact Mass Relations

At tree level,

$$M_W = \frac{1}{2}gv, \qquad M_Z = \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{g^2 + g'^2}v, \qquad \sin^2\theta_W = 1 - \frac{M_W^2}{M_Z^2}.$$
 (5.1)

Proposition 5.1 (Rational closure of boson masses). If $\sin^2 \theta_W \in \mathbb{Q}$ and $\alpha_{\rm em} \in \mathbb{Q}$, then $g^2, g'^2 \in \pi \mathbb{Q}$ and $(M_W/M_Z)^2 = 1 - \sin^2 \theta_W \in \mathbb{Q}$.

6 Exact Mixing Geometry

6.1 CKM

With $(\lambda, A, \bar{\rho}, \bar{\eta})$ rational, the unitarity triangle has apex $(\bar{\rho}, \bar{\eta})$ and

$$\tan \beta = \frac{\bar{\eta}}{1 - \bar{\rho}} = \frac{7}{17}, \qquad \sin 2\beta = \frac{2 \tan \beta}{1 + \tan^2 \beta} = \frac{119}{169}.$$
(6.1)

The Jarlskog is $J = A^2 \lambda^6 \bar{\eta} \in \mathbb{Q}$ to the chosen order.

6.2 PMNS

Take $\sin^2 \theta_{ij}$ rational and $\delta_{\text{PMNS}} = -\pi/2$. Then $|U_{e1}|^2, |U_{e2}|^2, |U_{e3}|^2$ are exact rationals; the leptonic Jarlskog $J_{\text{PMNS}} = c_{12}s_{12}c_{23}s_{23}c_{13}^2s_{13}\sin\delta$ inherits exactness.

7 Rational Running Between Anchor Scales

Definition 7.1 (Rational map). Let $\{\mu_k\}$ be anchor scales with node values $\alpha_i(\mu_k) \in \mathbb{Q}$. A rational running is a piecewise map on intervals $[\mu_k, \mu_{k+1}]$ of the form

$$\alpha_i(\mu) = \frac{a_i \mu + b_i}{c_i \mu + d_i}, \qquad a_i, b_i, c_i, d_i \in \mathbb{Q}, \tag{7.1}$$

(or a rational spline in $\ln \mu$) chosen to interpolate node values (and optionally slopes) exactly.

8 Path-Sum over Rational Configurations

Definition 8.1 (Rational mode ledger). Choose a finite rational basis (e.g., box modes on a rational lattice). Replace the continuum functional integral by a sum over coefficients in a bounded subset of \mathbb{Q} .

9 Unit Tests (Exact Checks)

- U1. CKM geometry: compute $\sin 2\beta = 119/169$ and $J = A^2 \lambda^6 \bar{\eta}$ from seeds.
- **U2. Rare-decay ratio:** using $|V_{td}|^2/|V_{ts}|^2 = 169/4050$, predict BR $(B_d \to \mu^+ \mu^-)/BR(B_s \to \mu^+ \mu^-)$ up to hadronic factors; record the exact CKM fraction.
- **U3.** EW identity: verify $\sin^2 \theta_W + (M_W/M_Z)^2 = 1$ or snap variant.
- **U4. Higgs mass lock:** with $\lambda_H = 9/40$ and an EW anchor for v, check $M_H^2 = 2\lambda_H v^2$.
- U5. Muon g-2 (QED core): evaluate $a_{\mu}^{\text{QED}} = \sum_{\ell=1}^{5} C_{\ell} (\alpha_{\text{em}}/\pi)^{\ell}$ with exact α_{em} .

10 Appendices (Selected)

A: Explicit CKM/PMNS blocks

$$\sin \delta = \frac{7}{\sqrt{58}}$$
, $\cos \delta = \frac{3}{\sqrt{58}}$; first-row PMNS probabilities example $(\frac{1392}{2047}, \frac{609}{2047}, \frac{2}{89})$.

B: Anomaly ledgers

Left-handed basis tables show exact cancellation of $[SU(3)]^2U(1)_Y$, $[SU(2)]^2U(1)_Y$, $[U(1)_Y]^3$, and mixed gravitational anomalies; gauged B-L requires ν_R .

C: Running templates

Two-node Möbius or rational spline in $\ln \mu$ with node exactness.

End of Document