

Ledger 2.0 — Unified Locks & Predictions By Evan Wesley

Version: v2.0 (frozen)

What this is. One-stop, versioned ledger of *simple rational locks* (fractions) for precision constants across sectors. Each lock is an exact fraction p/q . We keep it simple and falsifiable.

Acceptance rule (for locking). Prefer tiny denominators (“low-bit”) and values hugging current centrals. We tag predictions separately.

A. Core electroweak & QCD locks (frozen)

Quantity	Fraction p/q	Decimal
Effective weak mixing ($\sin^2 \theta_W$, at M_Z)	$\frac{25}{108}$	0.231481481...
Strong coupling ($\alpha_s(M_Z)$)	$\frac{23}{195}$	0.117948718...
Wolfenstein λ	$\frac{9}{40}$	0.225
Wolfenstein A	$\frac{21}{25}$	0.84

B. CKM shape (extras, frozen)

Quantity	Fraction	Decimal
$\bar{\rho}$	$\frac{3}{20}$	0.15
$\bar{\eta}$	$\frac{7}{20}$	0.35
$\sin 2\beta$	$\frac{10}{37}$	0.7
$ V_{ud} $	$\frac{38}{11}$	0.9736842105...
$ V_{us} $	$\frac{49}{3}$	0.2244897959...
$ V_{us} / V_{ud} $	$\frac{13}{2}$	0.2307692308...
$ \varepsilon_K $	$\frac{897}{31} (\times 10^{-3})$	0.0022296544...
f_K^\pm/f_π^\pm	$\frac{26}{26}$	1.192307692...

C. Neutrino mixing (3ν , NO reference, frozen)

Quantity	Fraction	Decimal
$\sin^2 \theta_{12}$	$\frac{31}{101}$	0.306930693...
$\sin^2 \theta_{13}$	$\frac{1}{45}$	0.022222222...
$\sin^2 \theta_{23}$	$\frac{5}{9}$	0.555555555...
Ratio $r \equiv \Delta m_{21}^2 / \Delta m_{3\ell}^2 $	$\frac{13}{440}$	0.0295454545...

D. Cosmology (Planck-like ridge, frozen)

Quantity	Fraction	Decimal
Matter density Ω_m	$\frac{63}{200}$	0.315
Vacuum density Ω_Λ (flat)	$\frac{137}{200}$	0.685
Spectral index n_s	$\frac{28}{73}$	0.965517241...
σ_8	$\frac{29}{73}$	0.811111111...
$\Omega_b h^2$	$\frac{90}{14}$	0.0224
$\Omega_c h^2$	$\frac{625}{3}$	0.12
Hubble fraction $h \equiv H_0/100$	$\frac{25}{31}$	0.673913043...
Baryon fraction $f_b = \Omega_b/\Omega_m$	$\frac{46}{5}$	0.15625

E. Rare-decay add-ons (kept as observables)

Channel	Lock	Meaning
$\mathcal{B}(K^+ \rightarrow \pi^+ \nu \bar{\nu})$ (exp.)	13×10^{-11}	Central-as-lock (NA62-style combined)
$\mathcal{B}(K^+ \rightarrow \pi^+ \nu \bar{\nu})$ (SM)	$\frac{89}{10} \times 10^{-11}$	8.9×10^{-11} (Fibonacci 89)
$\mathcal{B}(B_s \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-)$ (exp.)	$\frac{10}{3} \times 10^{-9}$	$3.333... \times 10^{-9}$
$\mathcal{B}(B_s \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-)$ (SM)	$\frac{91}{25} \times 10^{-10}$	3.64×10^{-9}
Ratio $R = \text{exp}/\text{SM}$ (for $B_s \rightarrow \mu\mu$)	$\frac{11}{12}$	0.9167...

F. Definitions used by predictions

We will *not* use α as input. Define two composite ratios purely from the frozen locks:

$$R_1 \equiv \frac{\lambda}{\sin^2 \theta_{13}} = \frac{9/40}{1/45} = \frac{81}{8} = 10.125, \quad R_2 \equiv \frac{1}{\alpha_s \sin^2 \theta_W} = \frac{195}{23} \cdot \frac{108}{25} = \frac{4212}{115} \approx 36.6260869565.$$

G. Predictions (frozen with Ledger v2.0)

G.1 α from other locks — primary (simple, 4 terms)

$$\alpha_{\text{simple-4}}^{-1} = 10 R_1 + R_2 - A - \frac{1}{8 R_2^2}$$

Inputs: $A = \frac{21}{25}$, $R_1 = \frac{81}{8}$, $R_2 = \frac{4212}{115}$.

Exact value:

$$\alpha_{\text{simple-4}}^{-1} = \frac{11183280301129}{81608342400} = 137.0359937752 \dots$$

(This already beats a ± 0.002 accuracy target by $\sim 370\times$.)

G.2 α from other locks — precision (10 terms)

$$\alpha_{\text{precision-10}}^{-1} = 10R_1 + R_2 - \frac{5}{6} - \frac{1}{R_1} + \frac{3}{R_2} + \frac{4}{R_1 R_2} - \frac{1}{R_2^2} + \frac{3}{R_2^3} + \frac{13}{R_2^5} + \frac{25}{R_2^7}$$

Exact value:

$$\alpha_{\text{precision-10}}^{-1} = \frac{370638943017318088595145540361}{2704683041268417903431761920} = 137.0359991770049232 \dots$$

This lands within a few parts in 10^{12} of the CODATA-22 central ($\alpha^{-1} \approx 137.035999177$), using only our other frozen fractions.

Comment. These are empirical algebraic predictions combining quantities at different renormalization scales; they are not derived from the SM Lagrangian. We freeze them here to be tested against future updates to $\lambda, \sin^2 \theta_{13}, \alpha_s, \sin^2 \theta_W$ and CODATA α .

H. Version & philosophy

Version. This document is frozen as **v2.0**. Any change (new lock or edit) becomes v2.1, v2.2, ... and so on.

Freeze & score. We never overwrite history; we publish a new version when promoting better locks. The point is to keep the integers tiny and the predictions falsifiable.

Vein primes. Many locks deliberately reuse the small-prime threads $\{5, 7, 11, 13, 23, 29, 89\}$ and simple power structures (e.g., $2^a 5^b$), echoing modular/partition “Ramanujan” patterns.