

# Fraction Physics: Ledger v1.3

## Minimal-Description-Length for the Constants

Evan Wesley

August 2025

## Contents

1	Protocol (anti-numerology guardrails)	1
2	Ledger (frozen integers with decimals)	1
3	Scoring (single-line rule)	3
4	Muon $g-2$ (QED block consistency)	3
5	CKM Geometry and Rare-Decay Cores	3
6	PMNS / Neutrinos	4
7	Quantum Gravity: Structured Absence (toy monitor)	4

## Abstract

This is a fully static build of my Fraction Physics ledger: exact rational **Locks**, derived identities and predictions, compact CKM/PMNS geometry, a QED  $g-2$  consistency block seeded by an exact  $\alpha$ , rare-decay cores, EW/mass-ratio checks, flat  $\Lambda$ CDM fractions, and a small “structured absence” monitor. All decimals are precomputed (no runtime math), and we use  $\overline{\text{MS}}$  notation (no ‘\=' macros).

## 1 Protocol (anti-numerology guardrails)

- R1. Search space:** primitive rationals  $p/q$  with small description length (qualitative here).
- R2. Scheme/scale:** each entry declares a scheme/scale; comparisons never mix schemes silently.
- R3. Freeze & score:** once published, integers are fixed. New data only update  $z$ -scores on the scoreboard.
- R4. Out-of-sample digits:** crisp identities (e.g.  $\sin 2\beta = \frac{119}{169}$ ) are pre-announced and tracked.

## 2 Ledger (frozen integers with decimals)

All fractions are exact; decimals are for audit only.

## QED / Electroweak

Quantity	Exact	Decimal	Scheme/Scale	Type
Fine structure (inverse)	$\alpha^{-1} = \frac{361638}{2639}$	137.035998484	on-shell ( $\alpha(0)$ )	Lock
Fine structure	$\alpha = \frac{2639}{361638}$	0.007297352601	on-shell ( $\alpha(0)$ )	Lock
Weak mixing	$\sin^2 \theta_W = \frac{3}{13}$	0.2307692308	$\overline{\text{MS}}$ at $M_Z$	Fit/Toy
Strong coupling	$\alpha_s(M_Z) = \frac{9953}{84419}$	0.117900000	$\overline{\text{MS}}$ at $M_Z$	Lock

## CKM (priors and identities)

Parameter/Observable	Exact	Decimal	Type
$\lambda$	$\frac{2}{9}$	0.222222222	Lock
$A$	$\frac{21}{25}$	0.84	Lock
$\bar{\rho}$	$\frac{3}{20}$	0.15	Lock
$\bar{\eta}$	$\frac{7}{20}$	0.35	Lock
$\sin 2\beta$	$\frac{119}{169}$	0.704142012	Prediction
$J_{\text{CKM}}$	$\frac{197,568}{6,643,012,500}$	$2.97407 \times 10^{-5}$	Derived
$\frac{ V_{td} ^2}{ V_{ts} ^2}$	$\lambda^2[(1 - \bar{\rho})^2 + \bar{\eta}^2]$	0.0417283951	Derived

## PMNS (angles, phase, first row)

Lock	Exact	Decimal	Type
$\sin^2 \theta_{12}$	$\frac{7}{23}$	0.304347826	Lock
$\sin^2 \theta_{13}$	$\frac{2}{89}$	0.0224719101	Lock
$\sin^2 \theta_{23}$	$\frac{9}{16}$	0.5625	Lock
$\delta_{\text{PMNS}}$	$-\pi/2$	maximal	Lock
$ U_{e1} ^2$	$\frac{1392}{2047}$	0.680019541	Derived
$ U_{e2} ^2$	$\frac{609}{2047}$	0.297508549	Derived
$ U_{e3} ^2$	$\frac{2}{89}$	0.0224719101	Derived

## Neutrino splittings (illustrative)

Quantity	Exact	Decimal
$\Delta m_{31}^2$	$\frac{1}{400} \text{ eV}^2$	$2.500 \times 10^{-3} \text{ eV}^2$
$\Delta m_{21}^2$	$\frac{1}{13600} \text{ eV}^2$	$7.352941 \times 10^{-5} \text{ eV}^2$

### Cosmology (flat $\Lambda$ CDM ledger)

Quantity	Exact	Decimal	Type
Matter fraction	$\Omega_m = \frac{63}{200}$	0.315	Lock
Dark energy	$\Omega_\Lambda = \frac{137}{200}$	0.685	Lock
Split	$\Omega_b:\Omega_c = 14:75$	—	Lock
Hubble constant	$H_0 = \frac{337}{5} \text{ km s}^{-1} \text{ Mpc}^{-1}$	67.4	Lock
Implied $\Omega_b$	$\frac{14}{89} \Omega_m$	0.04955	Derived
Implied $\Omega_c$	$\frac{75}{89} \Omega_m$	0.26545	Derived

### Electroweak / mass-ratio checks

Ratio	Exact	Decimal	Type
$M_W/M_Z$	$\frac{901479375}{1022701703}$	0.881468538	Lock
$m_t/M_Z$	$\frac{1219404375}{643896907}$	1.893788216	Lock
$M_W/v$	$\frac{17807}{54547}$	0.326452417	Lock
<i>Custodial snapshot</i>	$1 - (M_W/M_Z)^2$	0.223013216	Derived

### 3 Scoring (single-line rule)

$$z(\mathcal{O}) = \frac{|\mathcal{O}_{\text{pred}} - \mathcal{O}_{\text{exp}}|}{\sigma}. \quad (1)$$

$$\chi_{\text{eff}}^2 = \sum z^2 + \lambda_{\text{MDL}} \sum L. \quad (2)$$

### 4 Muon $g-2$ (QED block consistency)

Seed the 1–5 loop QED series with  $\alpha = \frac{2639}{361638}$ :

$$0.001161409737969 + 0.000004132176294 + 0.000000301419027 + 0.000000003810037 + 0.000000000050783 =$$

Using CODATA  $\alpha$  instead shifts the total by only  $5.917 \times 10^{-12}$ ; known hadronic terms dominate any residual tension.

### 5 CKM Geometry and Rare-Decay Cores

With  $(\lambda, A, \bar{\rho}, \bar{\eta}) = (2/9, 21/25, 3/20, 7/20)$ ,

$$\tan \beta = \frac{7}{17}, \quad \sin 2\beta = \frac{119}{169} = 0.704142012, \quad J_{\text{CKM}} = 2.97407 \times 10^{-5}.$$

**Golden kaons** ( $K \rightarrow \pi \nu \bar{\nu}$ ). Using compact short-distance placeholders  $X_t = \frac{37}{25}$  and  $P_c = \frac{2}{5}$ ,

$$\text{Core}(K_L) = (A^2 \bar{\eta} X_t)^2 \approx 0.133590835, \quad \text{Core}(K^+) = \text{Core}(K_L) + [P_c + A^2(1 - \bar{\rho})X_t]^2 \approx 1.791619966.$$

**Leptonic  $B$  decays.**

$$\frac{\text{BR}(B_d \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-)}{\text{BR}(B_s \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-)} = \frac{\tau_{B_d}}{\tau_{B_s}} \frac{m_{B_d}}{m_{B_s}} \frac{f_{B_d}^2}{f_{B_s}^2} \times \frac{|V_{td}|^2}{|V_{ts}|^2}, \quad \frac{|V_{td}|^2}{|V_{ts}|^2} = 0.0417283951.$$

## 6 PMNS / Neutrinos

Locks:

$$\sin^2 \theta_{12} = \frac{7}{23}, \quad \sin^2 \theta_{13} = \frac{2}{89}, \quad \sin^2 \theta_{23} = \frac{9}{16}, \quad \delta_{\text{PMNS}} = -\pi/2.$$

Exact first row:

$$|U_{e1}|^2 = \frac{1392}{2047} = 0.680019541, \quad |U_{e2}|^2 = \frac{609}{2047} = 0.297508549, \quad |U_{e3}|^2 = \frac{2}{89} = 0.0224719101.$$

Quark–lepton complementarity (indicative):  $\theta_C = \arcsin(2/9) \approx 12.78^\circ$  and  $\theta_{12}^{(\nu)} \approx 33.4^\circ \Rightarrow \theta_C + \theta_{12}^{(\nu)} \approx 46^\circ$ .

## 7 Quantum Gravity: Structured Absence (toy monitor)

Define

$$\left(\frac{\nu}{M_P}\right)^2 \approx K \alpha^\nu [J_{\text{CKM}} \sin \theta_{13} \lambda^{2k}] (J_{\text{PMNS}})^\epsilon.$$

With  $(\nu, k, \epsilon) = (11, 2, 1)$ ,  $\alpha = \frac{2639}{361638}$ ,  $\lambda = \frac{2}{9}$ , and maximal leptonic CP, the static monitor evaluates to

$$K \approx 0.3573, \quad \text{and imposing } K = 1 \Rightarrow \nu_{\text{best}} \approx 11.209.$$

(This section is a *toy falsifier*: track whether  $K$  stays  $\mathcal{O}(1)$  as mixing inputs sharpen.)

## Scoreboard (drop in measurements)

Edit only the last two columns to update  $z$ .

Observable	Prediction	Measured	$\sigma$	$z$
$\alpha^{-1}$ (on-shell)	137.035998484	fill	fill	$ \cdot /\sigma$
$\sin 2\beta$	0.704142012	fill	fill	$ \cdot /\sigma$
$ V_{us} $	0.222222222	fill	fill	$ \cdot /\sigma$
$J_{\text{CKM}}$	$2.97407 \times 10^{-5}$	fill	fill	$ \cdot /\sigma$
$ U_{e3} ^2$	0.0224719101	fill	fill	$ \cdot /\sigma$
$\Omega_m$	0.315	fill	fill	$ \cdot /\sigma$
$H_0$	$67.4 \text{ km s}^{-1} \text{ Mpc}^{-1}$	fill	fill	$ \cdot /\sigma$
$M_W/M_Z$	0.881468538	fill	fill	$ \cdot /\sigma$
$\alpha_s(M_Z)$	0.117900000	fill	fill	$ \cdot /\sigma$
$K$ (struct. absence)	0.3573	--	--	--

## Graveyard (near-misses; transparency)

- $\lambda = 1/4$  (too far from  $|V_{us}|$  globally despite low complexity).
- $\sin^2 \theta_{13} = 1/64$  ( $|U_{e3}|^2$  too large vs reactor data).

## Gauge and gravitational anomalies (per generation)

With standard hypercharges  $(1/6, 2/3, -1/3, -1/2, -1)$  and optional  $Y_{\nu_R} = 0$ , the sums vanish:

Anomaly	Sum per generation
$[SU(3)_c]^2 U(1)_Y$	0
$[SU(2)_L]^2 U(1)_Y$	0
$U(1)_Y^3$	0
$\text{grav}^2 U(1)_Y$	0

## Reproducibility

All decimals are hard-coded from the exact fractions above. If anyone wants to update the scoreboard, change only the “Measured” and “ $\sigma$ ” cells.