

①

04/01/2023

Mathematical Modelling 1-2.

$$\begin{pmatrix} \dot{R} \\ \dot{S} \\ \dot{F} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} r_R \\ r_S \\ r_F \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} a_{RR} & a_{RS} & a_{RF} \\ a_{SR} & a_{SS} & a_{SF} \\ a_{FR} & a_{FS} & a_{FF} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} R \\ S \\ F \end{pmatrix}$$

r_R : independent of S, F ,
dependent on R

should ~~be positive~~ have
a positive affect on R

r_S : independent of R, F
dependent on S

should have a positive affect on
 S

Probably lower than r_R

r_F : independent of R, S

should have a negative affect on
 F , as Foxes should be dependent
on R, S populations, as they must
hunt to reproduce + survive.

Die out if no food (Rabbits or Sheep).

②

04/01/2023

Mathematical Modelling 1-2

Rabbits:

~~a_{RR}~~

a_{RS} : How is the rabbit population affected by sheep?

- negatively through food competition.

a_{RF} : How is the rabbit population affected by foxes?

- negatively, more significantly than a_{RS}

Sheep:

- Similar to rabbits.

a_{SR} : How sheep are affected by rabbits

- negative food competition.

Smaller than a_{RS} ?

- ~~more rabbits~~ more rabbits, an individual rabbit isn't gonna affect as much as a sheep.

~~Foxes~~

a_{SF} : How sheep are affected by foxes.

- negatively, Smaller than a_{RF} ?

③

04/01/23

Mathematical Modelling 1-2.

foxes!

a_{FR} Rabbits + Sheep should have
 a_{FS} a positive effect on the number of foxes.

a_{FR} should be smaller than a_{FS} ,
as there are more rabbits, but

$a_{FR} \cdot R > a_{FS} \cdot S$, for typical
values of R, S ; as rabbits should be
easier to hunt.

Self dependent:

a_{RR} , a_{SS} , a_{FF}

• This is how the species competes
with itself.

• How do the animals rely on
'Strength in numbers'?

• How do we punish overpopulation?

• How do these species function in
a vacuum?

• $F, S = 0$, $R \neq 0$

• Finite area, cannot support an
infinite number of rabbits.

• so it must be negative

(4)

04/01/23

Math Modelling 1-2.

for rabbits

$$\frac{\dot{R}}{R} = r_r + a_{rr}R$$

$$\dot{R} = R(r_r + a_{rr}R)$$

when $\dot{R} = 0$:

$R = 0 \Rightarrow$ species cannot become negative,
or return from extinction.

$$0 = r_r + a_{rr}R$$

$$r_r = -a_{rr}R$$

↖ negative.

$$\frac{r_r}{-a_{rr}} = R_{\max} \Rightarrow \text{max achievable population of Rabbits.}$$

what about other species?

$$\dot{R} = R \left(r_r + \underbrace{a_{rr}R}_{\text{negative}} + \underbrace{a_{rs}S}_{\text{negative}} + \underbrace{a_{rf}F}_{\text{negative}} \right) + \underbrace{O(S, F)}_{\text{'some' negative.}}$$

$$\Rightarrow \text{when } \dot{R} = 0: r_r + a_{rr}R + O(S, F)$$

$$\frac{r_r}{-a_{rr} - O(S, F)} = R < R_{\max}$$

⑤

05/10/23

Mathematical Modelling 1-2.

$$\frac{r_r}{-a_{rr}} = R_{\max} = \text{Carrying capacity of Rabbits.}$$

as per brief,

$$R_{\max} > S_{\max}$$

$$\left| \frac{r_r}{-a_{rr}} > \frac{r_s}{-a_{ss}} \right| \text{ Must be maintained.}$$

What about foxes?

we could give them a carrying capacity:

$$\frac{r_f}{-a_{ff}} = F_{\max}$$

but r_f is negative
 so for F_{\max} to be positive, a_{ff}
 would also have to be positive.

But this doesn't seem right!
 (Foxes becoming more effective in larger quantities).

In isolation ($R, S = 0$)

$$\frac{\dot{F}}{F} = r_f + a_{ff} F$$

$$\dot{F} = r_f \cdot F + a_{ff} \cdot F^2$$

~~But~~ In this scenario we would want foxes
 to die out due to lack of food, but
 for $F > \frac{-r_f}{a_{ff}}$, $\dot{F} > 0$!

no page ⑥,

⑦

05/01/23

Mathematical Modelling 1-2

However, a_{ff} affects f much more significantly than r_f , so while any $a_{ff} \leq 0$ is valid, it should probably be close to zero.

So what do we know now?

- $r_R > r_S > 0 > r_f$

- $a_{RF} < a_{RS} < 0$

- $a_{SF} < a_{SR} < 0$

- $a_{FR} > a_{FS} > 0$

- a_{RR} , a_{SS} controls the carrying capacity of sheep and Rabbits

- $R_{max} = \frac{r_R}{-a_{RR}}$

- $S_{max} = \frac{r_S}{-a_{SS}}$

- Values of a_{RR} , a_{SS} must maintain $R_{max} > S_{max}$

- $a_{ff} \leq 0$; $f_{max} = \frac{-r_f - \sqrt{r_f^2 + 4a_{ff}(a_{FR}R + a_{FS}S)}}{2a_{ff}}$