

Synergistic Information^{3.0}

Antoine Dubus and Patrick Legros*

November 30, 2020

Very preliminary, do not circulate.

At time 0, firm 2 offers the option to firm 1 to share amount of information s^* at price p , in which case firm 2 has full information about θ when merger happens at time 1. If firm 1 does not share data, firm 2 will offer a revelation mechanism $\{\alpha(\theta), T(\theta)\}$ to firm 1.

At time 0, let \mathcal{N} be the set of types of firm 1 that firm 2 expects *not to share*. We claim that $\mathcal{N} = [\theta_0, \bar{\theta}]$.

Optimal mechanism at $t = 1$ given \mathcal{N} .

At this stage, firm 1 has for outside option not to accept the mechanism and obtain a profit level of $\max_e eX - \frac{e^2}{2} = \frac{X^2}{2}$, independent of θ . The problem is a standard screening problem for firm 2, with the caveat that firm 1's effort when choosing the element (α, T) solves $\max_e \alpha X(1 + \theta) + T - \frac{e^2}{2}$, or $e = X \frac{1+\theta}{2}$, increasing in θ .

If there is truth telling, type θ has payoff

$$U(\theta) = \alpha(\theta)^2 \frac{X^2(1 + \theta)^2}{2} + T(\theta)$$

Hence, by choosing $(\alpha(\hat{\theta}), T(\hat{\theta}))$, type θ has payoff

$$U(\hat{\theta}|\theta) = \alpha(\hat{\theta})^2 \frac{X^2(1 + \theta)^2}{2} + T(\hat{\theta}).$$

Noting that $U(\hat{\theta}|\theta) = U(\hat{\theta}) + \alpha(\hat{\theta})X^2(\theta - \hat{\theta}) \left(1 + \frac{\theta + \hat{\theta}}{2}\right)$, the incentive conditions $U(\theta) \geq U(\hat{\theta}|\theta)$ and $U(\hat{\theta}) \geq U(\theta|\hat{\theta})$ yield

$$\alpha(\theta)X^2(\theta - \hat{\theta}) \left(1 + \frac{\theta + \hat{\theta}}{2}\right) \leq U(\theta) - U(\hat{\theta}) \leq \alpha(\hat{\theta})X^2(\theta - \hat{\theta}) \left(1 + \frac{\theta + \hat{\theta}}{2}\right)$$

*We would like to thank.

Therefore, as $\theta > \hat{\theta}$, we must have $\alpha(\theta) \geq \alpha(\hat{\theta})$ and $U(\theta) \geq U(\hat{\theta})$. The standard results follow: firm 2 will want to bind the participation constraint of type $\theta_0 := \inf \mathcal{N}$ and $U(\theta)$ is an increasing function of θ .

Now, if a type shares information, firm 2 extracts all surplus at the merger stage, and therefore type θ has payoff from sharing equal to $\frac{(X-\theta l s^*)^2}{2} + p$. Her outside option is the best of not doing anything and get $\frac{X^2}{2}$ or plays the mechanism $\{\alpha(\theta), T(\theta)\}$.

This allows us to show that \mathcal{N} is an interval $[\theta_0, \bar{\theta}]$. Indeed, suppose that types θ, θ' are in \mathcal{N} . Any type in (θ, θ') has the option not to share data and play the mechanism and obtain at least $U(\theta|\hat{\theta})$, which is strictly greater than $\max\left\{\frac{X^2}{2}, \frac{(X-\hat{\theta} l s^*)^2}{2} + p\right\}$: the first element is because $U(\theta|\hat{\theta}) \geq U(\theta_0|th) \geq \frac{X^2}{2}$ and the second element is because type t prefers not to share than to share.

Incentive compatibility requires that for almost all $\theta \in [\theta_0, \bar{\theta}]$, $\dot{U}(\theta) = \alpha^2(\theta)X^2(1+\theta)$, hence in 2's problem we can replace $T(\theta)$ by $-U(\theta_0) - \int_{\theta_0}^{\theta} \alpha^2(t)2X^2(1+t)dt$, and after integration by parts, and binding θ_0 's participation constraint obtain the maximization problem

$$\frac{X^2}{1-F(\theta_0)} \int_{\theta_0}^{\bar{\theta}} \alpha(\theta)(1+\theta)^2 - \alpha^2(\theta)(1+\theta) \left(\frac{(1+\theta)}{2} + \frac{1-F(\theta)}{f(\theta)} \right) dF(\theta) \quad (1)$$

This is maximized for

$$\alpha^*(\theta) = \frac{(1+\theta)}{(1+\theta) + 2\frac{1-F(\theta)}{f(\theta)}}. \quad (2)$$

Hence, conditional on firm 1 not sharing, firm 2's expected payoff is

$$\frac{X^2}{1-F(\theta_0)} \int_{\theta_0}^{\bar{\theta}} \frac{(1+\theta)^3}{2(1+\theta) + \frac{1-F(\theta)}{f(\theta)}} f(\theta) d\theta$$

Is it obvious that firm 2 will always desire to merge? I think yes, but this should be established. Akin to showing that firm 2 does not want to exclude some types.

Equilibrium Data Sharing

There are three possible cases: when $\theta_0 = 0$ and there is no sharing of data, when $\theta_0 \in (0, \bar{\theta})$, and there is a mixture of data sharing by firms with low values of θ , and when $\theta_0 = \bar{\theta}$ and all firms share data.

Because type θ_0 has no rent if firm 1 does not share data (that is $U(\theta_0) = \frac{X^2}{2}$) it must be the case that it has also zero rent if firm 1 shares data. Hence, we must have $\frac{(X-\theta_0 l s^*)^2}{2} + p = \frac{X^2}{2}$,

or

$$p = \frac{\theta_0 l s^*}{2} (2X - \theta_0 l s^*). \quad (3)$$

For each $\theta < \theta_0$, at the merger stage, firm 2 has perfect information and offers a merger contract $(\alpha(\theta), T(\theta))$ that binds the participation constraint of firm 1. Because the effort level is $\frac{\alpha(\theta)X(1+\theta)}{2}$, the binding participation constraint is $T(\theta) = \frac{\alpha^2 X^2 (1+\theta)^2}{2} - \frac{X^2}{2}$, and firm 2 solves

$$\max_{\alpha} (1 - \alpha) \frac{\alpha X^2 (1 + \theta)^2}{2} - \frac{\alpha^2 X^2 (1 + \theta)^2}{2} + \frac{X^2}{2},$$

that is

$$\max_{\alpha} \alpha (1 - 2\alpha) \frac{X^2 (1 + \theta)^2}{2},$$

and offers $\alpha(\theta) = \frac{1}{4}$ and $T(\theta) = \frac{X^2 (1 + \theta)^2}{32} - \frac{X^2}{2}$.¹ Hence, the expected payoff of firm 2 if firm 1 shares data is (using (3))

$$\frac{X^2 (1 + \theta)^2}{16} - p = \frac{X^2 (1 + \theta)^2}{16} - \frac{\theta_0 l s^*}{2} (2X - \theta_0 l s^*).$$

The higher p is, the more likely that firm 1 shares data, that is that $\theta_0 > 0$. If the rents $\dot{U}(\theta)$ that have to be given to firm 1 for $\theta > \theta_0$ are large on average, firm 2 may prefer to induce data sharing for all types, that is choose $\theta_0 = \bar{\theta}$.

Somewhat unusual, if $\theta_0 \in (0, \bar{\theta})$, the equilibrium payoff of firm 1 is not monotonic in θ : it is decreasing in $\theta < \theta_0$ because firms with high θ lose more than lower types if there is data sharing and competition, hence are at a disadvantage when the merger happens.

Hence, for a given θ_0 , the expected payoff of firm 2 is

$$V(\theta_0) := \int_0^{\theta_0} \left[\frac{X^2 (1 + \theta)^2}{16} - \frac{\theta_0 l s^*}{2} (2X - \theta_0 l s^*) \right] f(\theta) d\theta + \int_{\theta_0}^{\bar{\theta}} X^2 \frac{(1 + \theta)^3}{2(1 + \theta) + \frac{1 - F(\theta)}{f(\theta)}} f(\theta) d\theta.$$

1 Antoine's stuff

If merger occurs:

- Industry profits: $X(1 + \theta)e - \frac{e^2}{2}$, where e is an effort exerted by firm 1, inducing quadratic costs

¹This assumes that firm 1 can make transfer payments at the time of the merger, in exchange for a share in the merged firm profits. If firm 1 cannot make transfers, that is if T must be non-negative, then firm 1 will make rents at the merger phase.

- Firm 2 gets the whole profits, and gives a share α to firm 1 to exert positive efforts
- the profits of the firms are thus

$$\Pi_2(\alpha(\theta)) = (1 - \alpha(\theta))X(1 + \theta)e - T(\theta)$$

$$\Pi_1(\alpha(\theta)) = \alpha(\theta)X(1 + \theta)e - \frac{e^2}{2} + T(\theta).$$

- firm 2 does not know the type of firm 1, and thus ignores whether the share α that maximizes its profits is enough to ensure participation.
- the optimal effort for firm 1 is

$$e^* = \alpha(\theta)X(1 + \theta)$$

and

$$\Pi_1^* = \frac{\alpha(\theta)^2 X^2 (1 + \theta)^2}{2} + T(\theta)$$

which is larger than X for

$$\theta \geq \frac{\sqrt{2(X - T(\theta))}}{\alpha(\theta)X} - 1$$

- With a fixed α and a fixed T , the profits of firm 2 thus are

$$\int_{\frac{\sqrt{2(X-T)}}{\alpha X} - 1}^{\bar{\theta}} \alpha(1 - \alpha)X^2 \frac{(1 + \theta)^2}{2} - T dF(\theta)$$

- firm 2 can offer a screening contract composed of a couple $(T(\theta), \alpha(\theta))$, such that the profit of firm 1 of type θ selecting couple $(\alpha(\hat{\theta}), T(\hat{\theta}))$ is

$$U(\hat{\theta}|\theta) = \alpha(\hat{\theta})X(1 + \theta)e + T(\hat{\theta}) - \frac{e^2}{2}$$

and with the equilibrium value of e

$$U(\hat{\theta}|\theta) = \frac{\alpha^2(\hat{\theta})X^2(1 + \theta)^2}{2} + T(\hat{\theta})$$

- incentive compatibility constraint implies

$$\frac{\alpha^2(\hat{\theta})X^2[(2 + \theta + \hat{\theta})(\theta - \hat{\theta})]}{2} \leq U(\theta) - U(\hat{\theta}) \leq \frac{\alpha^2(\theta)X^2[(2 + \theta + \hat{\theta})(\theta - \hat{\theta})]}{2}$$

- This implies that $\alpha(\theta)$ is non decreasing almost everywhere, and that

$$\dot{U}(\theta) = \alpha(\theta)2X^2(1 + \theta)$$

- The profit maximizing function of firm 2 is

$$\begin{aligned} & \max_{\alpha(\cdot)} \int_{\underline{\theta}}^{\bar{\theta}} (1 - \alpha(\theta))\alpha(\theta)X^2(1 + \theta)^2 - T(\theta)dF(\theta) \\ \iff & \max_{\alpha(\cdot)} \int_{\underline{\theta}}^{\bar{\theta}} (1 - \alpha(\theta))\alpha(\theta)X^2(1 + \theta)^2 - U(\theta) + \frac{\alpha^2(\theta)X^2(1 + \theta)^2}{2}dF(\theta) \quad (4) \\ & U(\theta) \geq \frac{X^2}{2} \quad IR \\ & U(\theta) \geq U(\hat{\theta}|\theta) \quad IC \end{aligned}$$

- This is equivalent to maximizing

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\underline{\theta}}^{\bar{\theta}} (1 - \alpha(\theta))\alpha(\theta)X^2(1 + \theta)^2 - U(\theta) + \frac{\alpha^2(\theta)X^2(1 + \theta)^2}{2}dF(\theta) \\ = & \int_{\underline{\theta}}^{\bar{\theta}} \alpha(\theta)X^2(1 + \theta)^2 - U(\theta) - \frac{\alpha^2(\theta)X^2(1 + \theta)^2}{2}dF(\theta) \\ = & X^2 \int_{\underline{\theta}}^{\bar{\theta}} \alpha(\theta)(1 + \theta)^2 - \int_{\underline{\theta}}^{\theta} \alpha^2(\theta)(1 + \theta)d\theta - \frac{\alpha^2(\theta)(1 + \theta)^2}{2}dF(\theta) \\ = & X^2 \int_{\underline{\theta}}^{\bar{\theta}} \alpha(\theta)(1 + \theta)^2 - \alpha^2(\theta)(1 + \theta) \left(\frac{(1 + \theta)}{2} + \frac{1 - F(\theta)}{f(\theta)} \right) dF(\theta) \quad (5) \end{aligned}$$

- This is maximized for

$$\alpha^*(\theta) = \frac{(1 + \theta)f(\theta)}{(1 + \theta)f(\theta) + 2 - 2F(\theta)}$$

Question: how to model partial information sharing with moral hazard?

- Without mergers, firm 1 makes profits $Xe - \frac{e^2}{2}$

- with information sharing, firm 1 makes profits $(X - ls^*)e - \frac{e^2}{2}$ and firm 2 makes profits $e\theta s$
- sharing information thus decreases the incentives to effort of firm 1
- in turn, this changes the outside option of firm 1 when merging with prior information sharing:

$$\alpha^2(\theta)X^2(1 + \theta) \geq (X - ls^*)^2$$

- The optimal share of information is identical to above, however the transfer changes as the bargaining power of Firm 1 decreases with prior information sharing

References