

*Moduli Spaces and Hyperkähler Manifolds*  
*Fall 2021*

*Notes by Patrick Lei*

Lectures by Giulia Saccà



## **Disclaimer**

Unless otherwise noted, these notes were taken during lecture using the vimtex package of the editor neovim. Any errors are mine and not the instructor's. In addition, my notes are picture-free (but will include commutative diagrams) and are a mix of my mathematical style and that of the instructor. If you find any errors, please contact me at [plei@math.columbia.edu](mailto:plei@math.columbia.edu).

**Acknowledgements** I would like to acknowledge Kevin Chang and Che Shen for pointing out mistakes in these notes.

---

## Contents

Contents • 2

- 1 Hyperkähler Manifolds • 3
  - 1.1 MOTIVATION • 3
  - 1.2 HYPERKÄHLER MANIFOLDS • 3
  - 1.3 SOME SURFACES • 4
  - 1.4 HILBERT SCHEMES OF POINTS ON SURFACES • 7
  - 1.5 GENERALIZED KUMMERS • 13
  - 1.6 SOME OPERATIONS • 15

# Hyperkähler Manifolds

Some useful references for K3 surfaces are the book by Huybrechts and the Barth-Peters-van de Ven book *Compact Complex Surfaces* and another book. For Hilbert schemes some references are Chapter 7 of *FGA Explained*, Huybrechts-Lehn, some lectures of notes of Lehn, and Nakajima's *Lectures on Hilbert Schemes*. For Hilbert schemes of K3 surfaces and abelian varieties, there is Beauville's *Variétés Kahlerienne dont la premiere classe de Chern est nulle*.

## 1.1 Motivation

Giulia believes that hyperkähler manifolds are some of the most interesting objects in algebraic geometry because one can actually prove results about high-dimensional hyperkähler varieties, unlike the usual situation in algebraic geometry. Because these objects are of a differential-geometric nature, through the course we will work over  $\mathbb{C}$ .

Recall that in order to classify curves, for a given curve  $C$ , we want to consider the positivity of the canonical bundle. In the first case, we know  $\omega_{\mathbb{P}^1} = \mathcal{O}(-2) < 0$ , in the second case of an elliptic curve, we have  $\omega_C = \mathcal{O}_C$ , and finally for a higher genus curve the canonical sheaf  $\omega_C > 0$  is ample.

In higher dimension, let  $X$  be a smooth projective variety. Then there exists an integer  $\kappa(X)$ , the *Kodaira dimension* of  $X$  such that

$$h^0(\omega_X^{\otimes m}) \sim m^{\kappa(X)}$$

for  $m \gg 0$  sufficiently divisible. Of course, this is a birational invariant.

There is a classification of surfaces. Each smooth surface is birational to a *minimal* surface. Here, a surface  $S$  is minimal if any birational morphism from  $S$  to a smooth surface is a birational curve. By Castelnuovo, we know that  $S$  is minimal if and only if it does not contain a  $(-1)$ -curve. Also, any surface dominates a minimal surface.

## 1.2 Hyperkähler manifolds

**Example 1.2.1.** If  $S$  is a surface, then  $\kappa(S) = -\infty$  if and only if

$$P_m(S) := h^0(\omega_S^{\otimes m}) = 0$$

for all  $m \geq 1$ . Some examples of these are  $\mathbb{P}^2$  and  $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ .

**Example 1.2.2.** If  $S$  is a surface, then  $\kappa(S) = 0$  if and only if  $P_m(S) = 0$  generically and there exists  $m$  such that  $P_m(S) = 1$ . In this case, there are two cases. First,  $h^0(\omega_S) = 1$ , in which case  $\omega_S = \mathcal{O}_S$ , and thus either  $h^1(\mathcal{O}_S) = 0$  (in which case we have a *K3 surface*) or  $h^1(\mathcal{O}_S) = 2$  (in which case we have an *abelian surface*).

Alternatively, we may have  $h^0(\omega_S) = 0$ , in which case there exists  $m \geq 2$  (where  $m \in \{2, 3, 4, 6\}$ ) such that  $\omega_S^{\otimes m} = \mathcal{O}_S$ . Either  $h^1(\mathcal{O}_S) = 0$ , in which case we have an Enriques surface, or  $h^1(\mathcal{O}_S) = 1$ , in which case we have a bi-elliptic surface.

If  $T$  is an Enriques surface, then there exists a K3 surface  $S$  with a  $2 : 1$  étale cover  $S \rightarrow T$ . On the other hand, any bi-elliptic surface has an  $m : 1$  étale cover from an abelian surface.

**Theorem 1.2.3** (Beauville-Bogomolov). *Let  $M$  be a compact Kähler manifold with  $c_1(\omega_M) = 0$ . Then there exists a finite étale cover of  $M$  by a product*

$$T^n \times \prod Y_i \times \prod X_i \rightarrow M,$$

where  $T^n$  is a complex torus, the  $Y_i$  are strict Calabi-Yau, and the  $X_i$  are irreducible holomorphic symplectic (or hyperkähler).

**Definition 1.2.4.** Let  $Y$  be a compact Kähler manifold. Then  $Y$  is *strict Calabi-Yau* if  $\pi_1(Y) = 1$  and  $H^0(\Omega_Y^p) = \mathbb{C}$  when  $p = 0, \dim Y$  and  $H^0(\Omega_Y^p)$  vanishes elsewhere.

**Definition 1.2.5.** A compact Kähler manifold  $X$  is *irreducible holomorphic symplectic* if  $\pi_1(X) = 1$  and  $H^0(\Omega_X^2) = \mathbb{C}\sigma_X$ , where  $\sigma_X$  is an irreducible symplectic form. In particular,  $\sigma^n$  is a nonzero top form and thus trivializes the canonical bundle. In addition,  $\sigma$  induces an isomorphism of holomorphic vector bundles  $\Omega_X \simeq T_X$ .

### 1.3 Some surfaces

Returning to the simplest case, we will define K3 surfaces.

**Definition 1.3.1.** A smooth projective surface  $S$  is a *K3 surface* if  $\omega_S = 0$  and  $h^1(\mathcal{O}_S) = 0$ .

It follows from the definition that K3 surfaces are simply connected, so they are in fact both strict Calabi-Yau and irreducible holomorphic symplectic. Later in the course, we will see that irreducible holomorphic symplectic varieties are the true higher-dimensional analogues of K3 surfaces.

**Lemma 1.3.2.** *Let  $S$  be a K3 surface and  $f: S \rightarrow C$  be a dominant morphism to a smooth projective curve  $C$  with connected fibers. Then  $C = \mathbb{P}^1$  and the general fiber of  $f$  is an elliptic curve.*

*Proof.* The proof is left as an exercise to the reader. □

Any K3 surface  $S$  with a dominant map to a curve is called an *elliptic K3*. As a consequence, any surjective map  $f: S \rightarrow B$  where  $B$  is not a point and  $f$  has connected fibers has either  $B = \mathbb{P}^1$  or  $B$  is a singular K3. This is generalized by the following remarkable result:

**Theorem 1.3.3** (Matsushita). *Let  $X^{2n}$  be an irreducible holomorphic symplectic manifold and  $f: X \rightarrow B$  be a proper surjective morphism with connected fibers with  $B$  a normal variety. If  $B$  is not a point, then either  $\dim B = n$  and  $f$  is a Lagrangian fibration where the general fiber is an abelian  $n$ -fold or  $\dim B = 2n$  and  $B$  is a singular symplectic variety if  $f$  is not an isomorphism. In the second case,  $f$  is called a symplectic resolution.*

*Remark 1.3.4.* There is another extremely difficult result of Hwang, which says that if  $B$  is smooth, then  $B = \mathbb{P}^n$  (if  $\dim B = 3$ , apparently  $B$  is a  $\mathbb{Q}$ -factorial Fano threefold with klt singularities).

Now we will consider some examples. Beginning in the simplest case, consider a general section  $f_4 \in |\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(4)|$ . By the Bertini theorem, the general  $S = (f_4 = 0)$  is smooth, and by the adjunction formula,  $\omega_S = \mathcal{O}_S$ . Then we consider the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(4) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_S \rightarrow 0,$$

and by the long exact sequence of cohomology and the known values of cohomology for projective space, we have  $H^1(\mathcal{O}_S) = 0$ .

**Example 1.3.5.** A concrete example of this is the Fermat quartic, which has the equation

$$x_0^4 + x_1^4 + x_2^4 + x_3^4 = 0.$$

We will see that this is an elliptic K3. The first step is to see that  $S$  contains a line  $\ell \subseteq S \subseteq \mathbb{P}^3$ , so we choose a primitive  $\zeta_8$  and set  $x_0 = \zeta_8 x_1$  and  $x_2 = \zeta_8 x_3$ . Now we project  $S$  from  $\ell$ , and considering planes that contain  $\ell$ , we obtain a rational map  $S \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ . This extends over  $\ell$ . Finally, we know that  $S \cap \mathbb{P}^2$  is a quartic curve containing a line  $\ell$ , so in fact the generic fiber of this map is an elliptic curve.

Similarly, we may consider other complete intersections, such as the  $(2, 3)$  complete intersection in  $\mathbb{P}^4$  (intersection of a quadric and a cubic) and the  $(2, 2, 2)$  complete intersection in  $\mathbb{P}^5$ . In higher dimensions, any degree  $(n + 1)$  hypersurface  $Y$  in  $\mathbb{P}^n$  has  $\omega_Y = \mathcal{O}_Y$ . By the Lefschetz hyperplane theorem, this is a strict Calabi-Yau.

**Example 1.3.6.** Let  $\Gamma \in |\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(6)|$  be a general sextic and  $S$  be a  $2 : 1$  cover of  $\mathbb{P}^2$  branched along  $\Gamma$ . We will use the *covering trick*, which holds for any variety  $X$ , line bundle  $L$ , and  $0 \neq s \in H^0(L^{\otimes m})$  for some  $m \geq 1$ . Then if we set  $D = (s = 0)$ , there exists a finite flat morphism  $f : Y \rightarrow X$  that is a  $\mathbb{Z}/m$ -cover away from  $D$  and ramified along  $D$ . In this case,  $f^*L$  has a section  $t$  such that  $(t = 0) \simeq D$ . Finally if  $X$  and  $D$  are smooth, so is  $Y$ , and  $\omega_Y = f^*\omega_X((m - 1)(t = 0))$ .

In our example, we have  $\omega_S = f^*\omega_{\mathbb{P}^2} \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(y^2 = \Gamma) = \mathcal{O}_S$ , so  $S$  is a K3 surface.

**Example 1.3.7 (Kummer K3 surfaces).** Let  $A$  be an abelian surface. It has an involution  $-1$  with fixed locus  $A[2]$ . Thus  $A/\pm 1$  has 16 singular points that look like  $\mathbb{C}^2/\pm 1 = \text{Spec } \mathbb{C}[x^2, xy, y^2] = \text{Spec } \mathbb{C}[a, b, c]/(ab = c^2)$  (the  $A_1$  singularity). Now the surface  $S = \text{Bl}_{A[2]} A/\pm 1$  is a K3 surface.

It is easy to see that the smooth locus of  $A/\pm 1$  has a holomorphic symplectic form  $\sigma_{A/\pm 1}$ . Then we can pull back  $f^*\sigma_{A/\pm 1}$  to a holomorphic symplectic form on  $f^{-1}(U) \subseteq S$ , and this form extends to  $S$ . The reason for this is that  $\text{Bl}_{A[2]} A$  still has the involution  $-1$ , and  $S$  is the quotient of  $\text{Bl}_{A[2]} A$  by this involution. If we denote this diagram by

$$(1.1) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \text{Bl}_{A[2]} A & \xrightarrow{q} & S \\ \downarrow g & & \downarrow f \\ A & \xrightarrow{p} & A/\pm 1 \end{array}$$

and denote  $\tilde{A} := \text{Bl}_{A[2]} A$ , then we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \omega_{\tilde{A}} &= f^*\omega_A \otimes \mathcal{O}\left(\sum E_i\right) = \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{A}}\left(\sum E_i\right) \\ &= q^*\omega_S \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{A}}\left(\sum E_i\right) \end{aligned}$$

and therefore  $q^*\omega_S = \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{A}}$ , so  $\omega_S = \mathcal{O}_S$ . The morphism  $f$  is called a *symplectic resolution*.

Before we proceed, we will discuss crepant and symplectic resolutions. Let  $Y$  be a smooth variety, so  $\Omega_Y^1$  is locally free. Then  $\omega_Y := \bigwedge^{\dim Y} \Omega_Y^1$  is called the *canonical bundle*. Then if  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  is a birational morphism of smooth varieties, we have an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow f^* \Omega_Y^1 \rightarrow \Omega_X^1 \rightarrow \Omega_{X/Y}^1 \rightarrow 0.$$

Then we know  $\Omega_{X/Y}^1$  is supported on the exceptional locus of  $f$ . Because  $Y$  is smooth, then the exceptional locus is divisorial, and thus

$$\omega_X = f^* \omega_Y \otimes \mathcal{O}_X \left( \sum a_i E_i \right).$$

Now suppose that  $Y$  is just normal with smooth locus  $U$ . Also suppose that  $Y \setminus U$  has codimension at least 2, so Weil divisors on  $U$  and  $Y$  are the same. There are two ways to extend  $\omega_U$  to  $Y$ . The first is to denote the inclusion  $j: U \subseteq Y$  and consider the sheaf  $j_* \omega_U$ , which is generally not locally free. On the other hand, we can extend the Weil divisor  $K_U$  to  $Y$ , which determines a Weil divisor  $K_Y$  on  $Y$ , called the *canonical class*.

*Remark 1.3.8.* In general, the Weil divisor  $K_Y$  is not Cartier. In fact,  $K_Y$  is Cartier if and only if  $j_* \omega_U$  is locally free.

Now let  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  be a resolution of  $Y$ . This means  $f$  is proper and an isomorphism over  $U$ . We want a formula relating of the form  $K_X = f^* K_Y + \sum a_i E_i$ . Unfortunately, we can only pull back Cartier divisors, so we will assume that  $K_Y$  is  $\mathbb{Q}$ -Cartier, which means that there exists  $m \geq 1$  such that  $mK_Y$  is Cartier. We know that  $f^{-1}(U) \simeq U$ , so  $K_X|_{f^{-1}(U)} = f^* K_Y|_{f^{-1}(U)}$ . Thus there exist integers  $a_i$  such that

$$mK_X = f^* mK_Y + \sum a_i E_i,$$

where the  $E_i$  are the divisorial components of  $X \setminus f^{-1}(U)$ . Formally dividing by  $m$ , we have

$$K_X = f^* K_Y + \sum a_i E_i.$$

Here, the  $a_i$  are known as the *discrepancies* and if  $a_i = 0$ , then the resolution is called *crepant*.

**Example 1.3.9.** One example of a crepant resolution is  $S \rightarrow A/\pm 1$ .

**Example 1.3.10.** Consider  $Y = \mathbb{C}^{2N}/\pm 1$ . This is the cone over the degree 2 Veronese embedding of  $\mathbb{P}^{2N-1}$ . Now we will write  $f: X = \text{Bl}_0 Y \rightarrow Y$ , and the exceptional divisor is a  $\mathbb{P}^{2N-1}$ . We know that  $X$  is the total space of  $\mathcal{O}(-2)$ , so there is a projection  $X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{2N-1}$ . Now we need to compute  $a$  in the formula

$$K_X = f^* K_Y + aE.$$

First note that  $K_Y = 0$ . This is because the standard holomorphic symplectic form on  $\mathbb{C}^{2N}$  descends to the smooth locus  $U \subseteq Y$ , so we have a symplectic form on  $X \setminus E$ . Now by the adjunction formula, we have

$$K_E = (K_X + E)|_E,$$

and thus because  $E = \mathbb{P}^{2N-1}$ , we have

$$\mathcal{O}_E(-2N) = (a+1)E|_E.$$



Finally, we see that  $\mathcal{O}_X(E)|_E = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{2N-1}}(-2)$ , and thus  $a + 1 = N$ , so  $a = N - 1$ . In particular,  $f$  is a crepant resolution if and only if  $N = 1$ . For  $N \geq 2$ ,  $f^*\omega_U$  extends to  $X$  with a zero of order  $N - 1$  along  $E$ . Therefore the form

$$f^*\sigma_U \wedge \cdots \wedge f^*\sigma_U$$

has a zero of order  $N - 1$  along  $E$ , so  $f^*\sigma_U$  does not extend over  $E$ .<sup>1</sup>

## 1.4 Hilbert Schemes of points on surfaces

Let  $X$  be a smooth quasiprojective surface. Consider the functor

$$\mathrm{Hilb}_X^n: \mathrm{Sch}^{\mathrm{op}} \rightarrow \mathrm{Set}$$

associating a scheme  $T$  to isomorphism classes of flat proper morphisms  $T \times X \supseteq Z \rightarrow T$  satisfying  $p_{Z_t}(t) = n$ .

**Theorem 1.4.1** (Grothendieck). *The functor  $\mathrm{Hilb}_X^n$  is representable by a quasiprojective scheme  $X^{[n]}$ . If  $X$  is projective, so is  $X^{[n]}$ .*

Later in the course, we will sketch a construction of the Hilbert scheme, but for now we will simply assume that it exists. A fundamental result about Hilbert schemes is

**Theorem 1.4.2** (Fogarty). *Let  $X$  be a smooth quasiprojective surface. Then  $X^{[n]}$  is a smooth connected quasiprojective variety of dimension  $2n$  and there exists a morphism  $h: X^{[n]} \rightarrow X^{(n)}$ , called the Hilbert-Chow morphism,<sup>2</sup> which is a resolution of singularities. Here, if  $Z$  is a length  $n$  subscheme of  $X$ , we have*

$$h(Z) = \sum_{p \in X} \ell(\mathcal{O}_{Z,p}) \cdot p.$$

**Example 1.4.3.** For  $n = 2$ , we are looking for ideal sheaves  $I \subseteq \mathcal{O}_X$  with quotient  $\mathcal{O}_Z$  of length 2. At a point  $p$ , we know  $I/\mathfrak{m}^2 \subseteq \mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{m}^2$ , and thus subschemes of length 2 supported on  $p$  form a  $\mathbb{P}\mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{m}^2 = \mathbb{P}^1$ .

*Sketch of smoothness.* We need to compute the Zariski tangent space at a given point, so we have

$$T_{[Z]}X^{[n]} = \mathrm{Hom}_{0 \rightarrow Z}(\mathrm{Spec} \mathbb{C}[\varepsilon], X^{[n]}).$$

By definition, these are flat proper families of length  $n$  subschemes  $\mathcal{Z} \rightarrow \mathrm{Spec} \mathbb{C}[\varepsilon]$  such that  $\mathcal{Z}|_{\varepsilon=0} = Z$ , and by a computation (for example in FGA Explained) we have

$$T_{[Z]} = \mathrm{Hom}_X(I_Z, \mathcal{O}_Z).$$

To compute the dimension, we begin by considering the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow I_Z \subseteq \mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_Z \rightarrow 0$$

and applying the functor  $\mathrm{Hom}_X(-, \mathcal{O}_Z)$ , we have an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathrm{Hom}_X(\mathcal{O}_Z, \mathcal{O}_Z) \rightarrow \mathrm{Hom}_X(\mathcal{O}_X, \mathcal{O}_Z) \rightarrow \mathrm{Hom}_X(I_Z, \mathcal{O}_Z) \rightarrow \mathrm{Ext}_X^1(\mathcal{O}_Z, \mathcal{O}_Z) \rightarrow \mathrm{Ext}_X^1(\mathcal{O}_Z, \mathcal{O}_Z),$$

<sup>1</sup>In fact, in this case, no crepant resolution exists. A necessary condition for  $f$  to be a symplectic resolution is that it is crepant. In dimension 2, the two notions are the same.

<sup>2</sup>This may be the most studied morphism in algebraic geometry besides  $\mathbb{P}^n \rightarrow \mathrm{Spec} k$ .

and thus because  $\text{Hom}_X(\mathcal{O}_X, \mathcal{O}_Z) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_X(I_Z, \mathcal{O}_Z)$  is the zero morphism, and  $\text{Ext}_X^1(\mathcal{O}_Z, \mathcal{O}_Z) = H^1(\mathcal{O}_Z) = 0$ , we can simply compute the Ext group. Here, we have

$$\chi(\mathcal{O}_Z, \mathcal{O}_Z) := \sum_{i=0}^2 (-1)^i \dim \text{Ext}^i(\mathcal{O}_Z, \mathcal{O}_Z).$$

We simply need to show that the Euler characteristic vanishes because

$$\text{Ext}^2(\mathcal{O}_Z, \mathcal{O}_Z) = \text{Hom}(\mathcal{O}_Z, \omega_X \otimes \mathcal{O}_Z)^\vee$$

has dimension  $n$ , as does  $\text{Hom}(\mathcal{O}_Z, \mathcal{O}_Z)$ . To do this, we use Grothendieck-Riemann-Roch, which says that

$$\chi(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G}) = \text{ch}(\mathcal{F}^\vee) \cdot \text{ch}(\mathcal{G}) \sqrt{\text{td}(X)},$$

and here we see that  $\chi(\mathcal{O}_X, \mathcal{G}) = \chi(\mathcal{F})$  where  $\mathcal{F} = \tilde{\mathcal{O}}_X$ . Now because  $\text{supp}(\mathcal{F})$  has dimension 0, then  $\text{ch}(\mathcal{F}) = [0, \dots, \pm \ell(\mathcal{F})]$ .  $\square$

**Exercise 1.4.4.** Prove that  $\chi(\mathcal{O}_Z, \mathcal{O}_Z) = 0$  using a locally free resolution in the first variable.

Now we will review some basic theory of Hilbert schemes for quasiprojective varieties. Here, if  $X$  is quasiprojective and  $p(t) \in \mathbb{Q}[t]$  is some Hilbert polynomial, consider  $[Z] \in \text{Hilb}_X^{p(t)}$ .

**Proposition 1.4.5.** If  $I \subseteq \mathcal{O}_X$  is the ideal sheaf of  $Z$ , then  $T_{[Z]}\text{Hilb} = \text{Hom}_X(I, \mathcal{O}_Z) = \text{Hom}_Z(I/I^2, \mathcal{O}_Z) = H^0(Z, N_{Z/X})$ .

*Sketch of proof.* We know that  $T_{[Z]}\text{Hilb} = \text{Hom}(\text{Spec } k[\varepsilon], \text{Hilb}, 0 \mapsto [Z])$ . This set of morphisms is the same as the set of  $Z \subseteq X \times \text{Spec } k[\varepsilon]$  flat over  $k[\varepsilon]$ . And a module  $M$  is flat over  $k[\varepsilon]$  if and only if  $M \otimes (\varepsilon) \simeq \varepsilon \cdot M$ . Now we want an ideal sheaf  $\tilde{I} \subseteq \mathcal{O}_X[\varepsilon]$  such that

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} & & 0 & & 0 & & 0 \\ & & \uparrow & & \uparrow & & \uparrow \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & I & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{O}_X & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{O}_Z \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \uparrow & & \uparrow & & \uparrow \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \tilde{I} & \hookrightarrow & \mathcal{O}_X[\varepsilon] & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{Z}} \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \cdot \varepsilon \downarrow & & \cdot \varepsilon \downarrow & & \uparrow \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & I & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{O}_X & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{O}_Z \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & & & \uparrow & & \uparrow \\ & & & & 0 & & 0 \end{array}$$

But now we can see that  $I = \tilde{I}/\varepsilon I \subseteq \mathcal{O}_X \oplus \varepsilon \mathcal{O}_Z$ , and thus giving  $\tilde{I}$  is the same as giving an element of  $\text{Hom}_X(I, \mathcal{O}_Z)$ .  $\square$

**Exercise 1.4.6.** Let  $X$  be a smooth quasiprojective curve. Show that  $X^{[n]} = X^{(n)}$  is smooth of dimension  $n$ .<sup>3</sup>

<sup>3</sup>Newton actually proved way back in the day that the symmetric powers of  $\mathbb{A}^1$  are smooth (and equal to  $\mathbb{A}^n$ ).

**Theorem 1.4.7.** *Let  $X$  be a quasiprojective variety. Then there exists a regular proper morphism*

$$h_n: X^{[n]} \rightarrow X^{(n)} \quad Z \mapsto \sum_{p \in X} \ell(\mathcal{O}_Z, p)p$$

*which is surjective and birational. By a result of Fogarty, the fibers of  $h_n$  are connected, so if  $X$  is connected, so is  $X^{[n]}$ .*

From now on, we will assume that  $X$  is projective. Therefore, for all  $Z \subseteq X$  with  $\ell(Z) = n$ , there exists an open affine neighborhood  $U \subseteq X$  containing  $Z$ . Therefore we have  $[Z] \in U^{(n)} \subseteq X^{(n)}$ . Now if  $Z = \sum \alpha_i p_i$  and  $U_i \ni p_i$  are open neighborhoods, then  $Z \in \prod U_i^{(\alpha_i)}$ .

*Remark 1.4.8.* If  $X$  is a smooth surface, then the local structure of  $X^{(n)}$  at  $np$  is the same as the local structure of  $(\mathbb{A}^n)^{(n)}$  at  $n \cdot \{0\}$ . In particular, when  $n = 2$ , we have

$$(X^{(2)}, 2p) \simeq (Q, 0) \times \Delta,$$

where  $\Delta$  is smooth of dimension 2 and  $Q$  is the quadric cone.

Now for any partition  $n = \sum \alpha_i$  of  $n$  into positive integers with length  $k$ , write  $\underline{\alpha} = (\alpha_i)$ . Then define

$$X_{\underline{\alpha}}^{(n)} = \left\{ \sum \alpha_i z_i \mid z_i \neq z_j \right\}.$$

These  $X_{\underline{\alpha}}^{(n)}$  give a stratification of  $X^{(n)}$  into locally closed subsets, where the open stratum is  $X_{(1,1,\dots,1)}^{(n)}$  and the closed stratum is  $X_{\underline{\alpha}}^{(n)}$ . It is easy to see that  $\dim X_{\underline{\alpha}}^{(n)} = 2\ell(\underline{\alpha})$ . Another important stratum is  $X_{(2,1,\dots,1)}^{(n)}$ , where exactly two points come together. Now note that

$$h_n^{-1}\left(\sum \alpha_i z_i\right) = \prod h_{\alpha_i}^{-1}(\alpha_i z_i),$$

where the  $h_{\alpha_i}^{-1}$  are the *punctual Hilbert schemes*  $\text{Hilb}^{\alpha_i}(\mathcal{O}_X, z_i) \simeq \text{Hilb}^{\alpha_i}(k[x_1, x_2], 0)$ . For  $\alpha = 2$ , the punctual Hilbert scheme is simply  $\mathbb{P}^1/m^2$ .<sup>4</sup>

**Theorem 1.4.9** (Briançon). *The fiber  $h_n^{-1}(nz)$  is irreducible of dimension at most  $n - 1$ .*

In particular, this tells us that  $X_{(1,\dots,1)}^{[n]} \rightarrow X_{(1,\dots,1)}^{(n)}$  has fibers of dimension 0 and is thus an isomorphism.

**Proposition 1.4.10.** *The exceptional locus of  $h_n$  is an irreducible divisor  $E$ .*

*Proof.* Because  $X^{(n)}$  is normal and  $\mathbb{Q}$ -factorial,<sup>5</sup> then any birational  $Y \rightarrow X^{(n)}$  from a smooth variety  $Y$  has divisorial exceptional divisor.

Now the exceptional locus  $E_{(2,1,\dots,1)} \rightarrow X_{(2,1,\dots,1)}^{(n)}$  has fibers  $\mathbb{P}^1$ , while for a general  $\underline{\alpha}$ , we have

$$\dim E_{\underline{\alpha}} = \dim X_{\underline{\alpha}}^{(n)} + \sum \dim h_{\alpha_i}^{-1}(\alpha_i z_i) \leq n + \ell(\underline{\alpha}).$$

Because the strata are irreducible and so are the fibers, we obtain irreducibility for the exceptional divisor.  $\square$

<sup>4</sup>Apparently these are useful in representation theory.

<sup>5</sup>Every finite quotient of something smooth is  $\mathbb{Q}$ -factorial.

**Proposition 1.4.11.** *Let  $X$  be a projective variety. Then there exists a birational surjective morphism  $h: X^{[n]} \rightarrow X^{(n)}$ .*

*Proof.* We will show that for all  $Z \subseteq T \times X$  proper and flat over  $T$  with  $\ell(Z_t) = n$  for all  $t$ , there exists a natural morphism  $T \rightarrow X^{(n)}$  given by

$$t \mapsto \sum_{p \in X} \ell(\mathcal{O}_{Z_t, p}) \cdot p.$$

Fix  $t_0 \in T$ . Because  $X$  is projective, there exists  $U \subseteq X$  affine with  $Z_{t_0} \in U = \text{Spec } A$ . Then because  $p: Z \rightarrow T$  is proper, there exists  $t_0 \in V \subseteq T$ , where  $V = \text{Spec } B$  is affine, and for all  $t \in V$ ,  $Z_t \in U$ . In conclusion, we have a family  $Z_V \subseteq V \times U$ . At the level of rings, we have a diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} C & \xleftarrow{\varphi} & B \otimes A \\ \uparrow & & \\ B & & \end{array}$$

Now we need a map  $(A^{\otimes n})^{S_n} \rightarrow B$ . Because  $Z \rightarrow V$  is flat,  $C$  is a rank  $n$  projective  $B$ -module. Clearly we have a map  $A \rightarrow \text{End}_B(C)$  given by  $A \mapsto \varphi(1 \otimes a)$ , and thus  $A^{\otimes n}$  acts on  $C^{\otimes n}$ . Then we obtain an action of  $(A^{\otimes n})^{S_n}$  on  $\bigwedge^n C$ , which is just a map

$$(A^{\otimes n})^{S_n} \rightarrow \text{End}_B\left(\bigwedge^n C\right) \simeq B,$$

which is the map we want.  $\square$

As an example, consider  $B = k$ . Then  $C = \prod C_i$  is Artinian, hence a product of Artinian local rings  $C_i$  of length  $\alpha_i$ . Then the map we defined at the end of the proof factors through  $\prod \text{Sym}^{\alpha_i}(C_i)$ .

**Theorem 1.4.12** (Beauville-Fujiki). *Let  $X$  be a smooth surface. Then the Hilbert-Chow morphism is a crepant resolution and if  $X$  has a holomorphic symplectic form, so does  $X^{[n]}$ .*

**Exercise 1.4.13.** If  $X$  is a smooth surface, prove that

$$X^{[n]} = \text{Bl}_\Delta X^{(2)} = (\text{Bl}_\Delta X \times X)/S_2.$$

*Proof.* Consider  $X_*^{(n)} = X_{(1, \dots, 1)}^{(n)} \cup X_{(2, 1, \dots, 1)}^{(n)}$  and define  $X_*^n, X_*^{[n]}$  similarly. In  $X^n$  consider  $\Delta = \bigcup \Delta_{ij}$ , where the  $i$ -th and  $j$ -th points coincide. Now consider the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Bl}_\Delta X_*^n & \xrightarrow{\eta} & X_*^n \\ \downarrow \rho & & \downarrow \\ X_*^{[n]} & \xrightarrow{h} & X_*^{(n)}, \end{array}$$

which quite clearly commutes by the same argument showing that  $X^{[n]}$  is the blowup of  $X^{(n)}$  along the diagonal. Then the exceptional divisor  $\bigcup E_{ij}$  is fixed by  $S_2$ , so it maps to  $E_* \subset X_*^{[n]}$ .

Now it suffices to prove that  $X_*^{[n]} \rightarrow X_*^{(n)}$  is crepant because the complement has codimension 2. To see this, the quotients by  $S_n$  have simple ramification, and thus we have

$$\begin{aligned} K_{\text{Bl}_\Delta X_*^n} &= \rho^*(K_{X_*^{[n]}}) + \sum E_{ij} \\ &= \rho^* h^* K_{X_*^{(n)}} + (a+1) \sum E_{ij} \\ &= \eta^* K_{X_*^n} + \sum E_{ij}, \end{aligned}$$

so  $a = 0$  because  $\pi: X_*^n \rightarrow X_*^{(n)}$  is étale away from codimension 2 and thus  $K_{X_*^n} = \pi^* K_{X_*^{(n)}}$ . Therefore the Hilbert-Chow morphism is a crepant resolution.

Now suppose that  $X$  has a holomorphic symplectic form  $\omega_X \in H^0(\Omega_X^2)$ . By codimension reasons, it is enough to produce a holomorphic symplectic form on  $X_*^{[n]}$ . Clearly we have a symplectic form  $\omega := \sum_i p_i^*(\omega_X)$  on  $X_*^n$ , which is clearly  $S_n$ -invariant. Therefore, we obtain a symplectic form  $\sigma_{X_{(1,\dots,1)}^{(n)}}$  on  $X_{(1,\dots,1)}^{(n)}$  and a symplectic form  $\eta^* \omega$  on  $\text{Bl}_\Delta X_*^n$ , which is degenerate along  $\bigcup E_{ij}$  and  $S_n$ -invariant. This induces a holomorphic 2-form  $\sigma_{X_*^{[n]}}$  on  $X_*^{[n]}$  (as in there exists such a  $\sigma$  such that  $\eta^* \omega = \rho^* \sigma$ ). We know that  $\sigma_{X_*^{[n]}}$  is generically nondegenerate.

We now show that  $\sigma := \sigma_{X_*^{[n]}}$  is symplectic. We know  $\sigma^n$  is a section of  $\omega_{X_*^{[n]}}$ , so the degeneracy locus of  $\sigma$  is the zero locus of  $\sigma^n$ . However, we know  $K_{X_*^{(n)}} = 0$  by the existence of  $\omega_X$ , and because  $h$  is crepant, we see that  $K_{X_*^n} = 0$ , and thus  $\sigma^n$  must be nonzero everywhere.  $\square$

We will now discuss some invariants of  $X^{[n]}$ .

**Proposition 1.4.14.** *There is an isomorphism of Hodge structures*

$$H^2(X^{[n]}, \mathbb{Q}) = h^* H^2(X^{(n)}) \oplus \mathbb{Q}E.^6$$

Now we know that  $H^2(X^{(n)}) = H^2(X^n)^{S_n}$ . By the Künneth formula, we have

$$H^2(X^n) = \bigoplus_{i=1}^n H^2(X) \otimes H^0(X) \oplus \bigoplus_{i,j} H^1(X) \otimes H^1(X),$$

and therefore

$$H^2(X^{(n)}) = H^2(X) \oplus \bigwedge^2 H^1(X).$$

Now

$$\begin{aligned} H^2(X^{[n]}) &= H^2(X_*^{[n]}) \\ &= H^2(\text{Bl}_\Delta X_*^n)^{S_n} \\ &= (\text{Im } \eta^*)^{S_n} \oplus \left( \bigoplus \mathbb{Q}E_{ij} \right)^{S_n} \\ &= \eta^*(H^2(X_*^n))^{S_n} \oplus \mathbb{Q}E \\ &= H^2(X) \oplus \bigwedge^2 H^1(X) \oplus \mathbb{Q}E. \end{aligned}$$

---

<sup>6</sup>Note that  $X^{(n)}$  is a finite quotient of something smooth and thus has a pure Hodge structure.

Over  $\mathbb{Z}$ , we can check in local coordinates that there exists a class  $\delta \in H^2(X^{[n]}, \mathbb{Z})$  such that  $2\delta = \Xi$ .<sup>7</sup>

**Corollary 1.4.15.** *If  $X$  is a K3 surface, then there is an isomorphism*

$$H^2(X^{[n]}) = H^2(X) \oplus \mathbb{Q}\Xi$$

*as Hodge structures, and in particular,*

$$H^0(\Omega_{X^{[n]}}^2) = H^{2,0}(X^{[n]}) \simeq H^2(X) = \mathbb{C}.$$

We will now sketch the computation of the fundamental group of  $X^{[n]}$ . One fact is that

$$h_*: \pi_1(X^{[n]}) \rightarrow \pi_1(X^{(n)}) = \pi_1(X)/[\pi_1(X), \pi_1(X)] = H_1(X, \mathbb{Z})$$

is an isomorphism. In particular, if  $X$  is a K3 surface, then  $\pi_1(X^{[n]}) = 0$ , so  $X^{[n]}$  is irreducible holomorphic symplectic.

If  $A$  is an abelian surface, we know  $A$  has a holomorphic symplectic form  $\sigma_A$ , and thus  $A^{[n]}$  has a holomorphic symplectic form  $\sigma_{A^{[n]}}$ , so  $\omega_{A^{[n]}} = \mathcal{O}_{A^{[n]}}$ . However, we know that  $H^1(A^{[n]}) = H^1(A) = \mathbb{Z}^4$ , so it is not simply connected. But then we know that

$$H^2(A^{[n]}) = H^2(A) \oplus \bigwedge^2 H^1(A) = H^2(A) \oplus H^2(A),$$

so  $A^{[n]}$  has larger  $H^{2,0}$ . By Beauville-Bogomolov, we know that  $A^{[n+1]}$  has an étale cover by a product of complex tori, irreducible holomorphic symplectics, and strict Calabi-Yaus. There exists a natural morphism

$$\text{alb}: A^{[n+1]} \xrightarrow{h} A^{(n+1)} \rightarrow A$$

and an action of  $A$  on  $A^{[n+1]}$  given by translation. Of course, this is not equivariant because  $\sum (z_i + a) \mapsto \sum z_i + (n+1)a$ , and by generic smoothness all fibers are isomorphic and smooth. Now if we consider the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A \times_A A^{[n+1]} & \longrightarrow & A^{[n+1]} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \text{alb} \\ A & \xrightarrow{n+1} & A, \end{array}$$

we see that  $A \times_A A^{[n+1]} = K_n(A) \times A$ . Later, we will show that  $K_n(A)$  is irreducible holomorphic symplectic.

**Example 1.4.16.** If  $n = 1$ , then  $K_1(A)$  is the Kummer K3 surface associated to  $A$ .

**Proposition 1.4.17.**

1.  $\omega_{K^n(A)} = \mathcal{O}_{K^n(A)}$ ;
2. The restriction of the holomorphic symplectic form  $\sigma_{A^{[n+1]}}|_{K^n(A)}$  is a symplectic form.

---

<sup>7</sup>We had a lengthy discussion checking the computations above, and the moral is that algebraic geometers are bad at basic algebra. Also, to avoid sign problems, work in characteristic 2.

3.  $H^2(K^n(A)) = H^2(A) \oplus \mathbb{Q}F$  and  $\pi_1(K^n(A)) = 1$ , where  $F$  is one of the fibers of  $E \rightarrow A$ , where  $E \subset A^{[n+1]}$  is the exceptional divisor of  $h$ .

We will prove a result that

**Proposition 1.4.18.** *There exists a (non-effective) line bundle  $\mathcal{L}$  on  $X^{[n]}$  such that  $\mathcal{L}^{\otimes 2} = \mathcal{O}_{X^{[n]}}(E)$ .*

*Proof.* Consider  $\text{Bl}_\Delta X^*_n/A_n$ . This has simple ramification over  $E_* \subseteq X^{[n]}_*$ , and thus  $f_*\mathcal{O}_Z = \mathcal{O}_{X^{[n]}_*} \oplus \mathcal{L}$ . This is the desired line bundle.  $\square$

**Corollary 1.4.19.** *If  $X$  is a K3 surface, then  $\text{Pic}(X^{[n]}) = \text{Pic}(X) + \mathbb{Z}\delta$ , where  $\delta = c_1(\mathcal{L})$ .*

## 1.5 Generalized Kummers

Recall the construction of the varieties  $K^n(A)$  for an abelian surface  $A$ . Recall the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 K^n(A) & \hookrightarrow & A^{[n+1]} & & A^{n+1} \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow \alpha & \searrow & \downarrow \\
 & & & & A^{(n+1)} \\
 & & & \swarrow \epsilon & \\
 0_A & \hookrightarrow & A & & 
 \end{array}$$

Also recall that  $\pi_1(A^{[n+1]}) = \pi_1(A)$ . Using the long exact sequence of homotopy groups and the fact that  $A$  is a  $K(\mathbb{Z}^4, 1)$ , we see that  $\pi_1(K^n(A)) = 0$ .

**Proposition 1.5.1.**  *$K^n(A)$  is an irreducible holomorphic symplectic manifold. In particular,*

1. *If  $\sigma_{A^{[n+1]}}$  is the holomorphic symplectic form on  $A^{[n+1]}$ , then its restriction to  $K^n(A)$  is a holomorphic symplectic form.*
2.  $H^2(K^n(A)) = H^2(A) \oplus \mathbb{Q}F$ , where  $F = E \cap K^n(A)$ .

*Proof.* Consider the Leray filtration on  $H^2(A^{[n+1]})$  induced by the map  $\alpha$ . Here, we have

$$H^2(A) = H^2(\alpha_*\mathbb{Q}) \subseteq H^2(A^{[n+1]}) \rightarrow H^0(A, R^2\alpha_*\mathbb{Q}) = H^2(K^n(A))^{\text{inv}},$$

where invariants are taken with respect to the monodromy group of  $\alpha$ , which is  $A[n+1]$  because base change by  $A \xrightarrow{n+1} A$  trivializes  $\alpha$ . We will show that the last inclusion is an equality. Also, note that

$$H^2(A^{[n+1]}) = \bigwedge^2 H^1(A) \oplus H^2(A) \oplus \mathbb{Q}E$$

and that if  $\alpha, \beta \in H^1(A)$ , then

$$\alpha^*(\alpha \wedge \beta) = \alpha^*\alpha \wedge \alpha^*\beta = \sum p_i^*\alpha \wedge \sum p_i^*\beta.$$

Next, write  $K_*^n(A)$  analogously to  $A_*^{[n]}$  and let  $N = \ker(A^{n+1} \rightarrow A)$ . Then we have a diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & \text{Bl } N_* & \\ \swarrow & & \searrow \\ K_*^n(A) & & N_* \\ \searrow & & \swarrow \\ & K_*^{(n)}(A) & \end{array}$$

Note that  $N$  has an action of  $S_{n+1}$  and an action of  $A[n+1]$  given by adding  $\varepsilon$  to all elements that preserves  $N$  and  $\Delta$ . Then we know that

$$H^2(N_*) = H^2(N) = H^2(A^n)$$

has an action of  $A[n+1]$  has an action by translation, which is trivial in cohomology. Finally, we conclude that

$$H^2(K^n(A)) = H^2(K_*^n(A)) = H^2(\text{Bl } N_*)^{S_{n+1}}$$

and obtain the desired result.  $\square$

Now we have two examples of irreducible holomorphic symplectic manifolds. The first is  $K3^{[n]}$  with  $b_2 = b_2(K3) + 1 = 23$  and the second is  $K^n(A)$  with  $b_2 = b_2(A) + 1 = 7$ .

**Proposition 1.5.2.** *Let  $f: \mathcal{X} \rightarrow B$  be a smooth proper morphism of complex manifolds such that for some  $0 \in B$ ,  $\mathcal{X}_0(B)$  is a Kähler irreducible holomorphic symplectic manifold. Then there exists an analytic neighborhood  $0 \in V \subseteq B$  such that for all  $t \in U$ ,  $\mathcal{X}_t$  is Kähler and holomorphic symplectic.*

*Proof.* By a result of Kodaira, being Kähler is an open condition, so there exists an open  $U \subseteq B$  such that for all  $t \in U$ ,  $\mathcal{X}_t$  is Kähler. Therefore, for all  $t \in U$ , the map  $t \mapsto h^p(\mathcal{X}_t, \Omega_{\mathcal{X}_t}^q)$  is constant by Ehresman's theorem that this family is topologically trivial and upper semicontinuity.

This implies that up to further restricting  $U$ ,  $f_*\Omega_{\mathcal{X}/B}^2|_U$  is free. This implies that  $\sigma_0 \in H^0(\Omega_{\mathcal{X}_0}^2)$  extends locally to a section  $\tilde{\sigma} \in H^0(\mathcal{X}_U, \Omega_{\mathcal{X}_U/U}^2)$ . To check that this is symplectic, we know that  $\tilde{\sigma}^n \in H^0(K_{\mathcal{X}_U/U})$  has closed zero locus which does not intersect the zero fiber, and so we obtain an open set where this form is nondegenerate.  $\square$

**Proposition 1.5.3.** *Let  $f: \mathcal{X} \rightarrow B$  be a smooth proper family of Kähler manifolds. Then if  $\mathcal{X}_0$  is irreducible holomorphic symplectic, so is  $\mathcal{X}_t$  for all  $t \in B$ .*

*Sketch of proof.* First, note that the relative canonical bundle  $K_{\mathcal{X}/B} \cong f^*\mathcal{L}$ , where  $\mathcal{L}$  is a line bundle on  $B$ . By the same proof as before, there exists  $Z \subseteq B$  such that for all  $t \in B \setminus Z$ ,  $\mathcal{X}_t$  is irreducible holomorphic symplectic.

Now suppose  $t_0 \in Z$ . Then  $K_{\mathcal{X}_{t_0}}$  is trivial and  $\mathcal{X}_{t_0}$  is simply connected, so  $\mathcal{X}_{t_0}$  is a product of irreducible holomorphic symplectic varieties and strict Calabi-Yau manifolds.

Now we will state without proof the fact that if  $X$  is a complex manifold with  $K_X = \mathcal{O}_X$ , then  $\text{Def}(X)$  is smooth (as a germ of complex manifold). This is a nontrivial result of Kodaira and Spencer. Note that if  $X_{t_0} = \prod X_i \times \prod Y_i$ , then

$$\text{Def}(X_{t_0}) = \prod \text{Def}(X_i) \times \prod \text{Def}(Y_i)$$

because all  $X_i, Y_i$  satisfy  $h^{1,0} = 0$ . Thus the splitting situation is impossible.  $\square$



It is known that if  $S$  is a K3 surface, then  $\text{Def}(S)$  has dimension 20. Also, note that projective K3 surfaces are a 19-dimensional locus. Here, note that  $\text{Def}(X) = h^1(T_X) = h^1(\Omega_X^1) = h^{1,1}$ .

In the next case, if  $X = S^{[n]}$ , then  $\text{Def}(X)$  has dimension 21, and there is a 20-dimensional locus of genuine Hilbert schemes of K3 surfaces. There are also higher-codimension loci parameterizing the spaces  $M_v(S, h)$ . Note that in both of these situations, the very general object is Kähler but not projective.

Now we will discuss some examples of Lagrangian fibrations.

**Example 1.5.4.** Let  $f: S \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$  be an elliptic K3 surface. Then we have a morphism

$$S^{[n]} \xrightarrow{f} S^{(n)} \xrightarrow{f^{(n)}} (\mathbb{P}^1)^{(n)} = \mathbb{P}^n.$$

This is clearly a Lagrangian fibration.

**Example 1.5.5.** Let  $A = E \times F$  be the product of two elliptic curves and let  $\varphi: A \rightarrow F$  be the second projection. Then we have a diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} K^2(A) & \hookrightarrow & A^{[3]} & & & & \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & & & \\ K^{(2)}(A) & \hookrightarrow & A^{(3)} & \xrightarrow{\varphi^{(3)}} & F^{(3)} & \hookleftarrow & \varepsilon^{-1}(0) \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \varepsilon & & \downarrow \\ & & A & & F & \hookleftarrow & 0_F. \end{array}$$

Here, we see that  $\varepsilon^{-1}(0) = \check{\mathbb{P}}^2$ , and so in general there is a Lagrangian fibration  $K^n(A) \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^n$ .

## 1.6 Some operations

Now we will consider some birational transformations.

**Example 1.6.1 (Atiyah flop).** Let  $f: S \rightarrow \Delta$  be a family of quartic surfaces in  $\mathbb{P}^3$ . Suppose that  $S_t$  is smooth and  $S_0$  has one simple node  $p \in S_0$ . This simple node is given locally by  $x^2 + y^2 + z^2 = t$ .

Note that  $\text{Bl}_p S_0 = \tilde{S}_0$  is a smooth K3 surface. We would like to modify the family such that we get smooth fibers for all  $t \in \Delta$ . Now if we take a base change of  $\Delta$  by  $t \mapsto t^2$ , locally at  $p \in \tilde{S}$  we have the equation  $x^2 + y^2 + z^2 = t^2$  is a singular point of  $\tilde{S}$ . But then  $\mathcal{X} := \text{Bl}_p \tilde{S}$  is smooth, and  $\mathcal{X}_0 = \tilde{S}_0 \cup Q$ , where  $Q = \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ .

Unfortunately, the discrepancy of  $\nu: \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \tilde{S}$  is 1, so  $K_{\mathcal{X}} = \nu^* K_{\tilde{S}} + Q$ , and so by adjunction we see that

$$\omega_Q = (K_{\mathcal{X}} + Q)|_Q = \mathcal{O}(2Q)|_Q,$$

and thus  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}(Q) = \mathcal{O}(-1, -1)$ . This tells us that we can contract  $Q$  along both of the factors and produce  $S^+, S^-$  with maps to  $\tilde{S}$ . Then there is a birational map  $\varphi: S^+ \dashrightarrow S^-$  which is an isomorphism away from the central fiber.

We conclude that  $S_0^{\pm} = \tilde{S}_0$  and that  $\varphi$  is an isomorphism outside of the copies of  $\mathbb{P}^1$  that we contracted  $Q$  onto but does not extend over those copies of  $\mathbb{P}^1$ . Also, note that  $\mathcal{X} = \Gamma_{\varphi}$  and that  $\mathcal{X}_t = \Gamma_{\varphi_t}$  for all  $t \neq 0$ , and  $\mathcal{X}_0 = \tilde{S}_0 \cup \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ .

The next observation is that  $H^2(S_{t_0}^{\pm}) \simeq H^2(\tilde{S}_0)$ , but passing between the two identifications is actually reflection across the  $(-2)$ -curve produced from the Atiyah flop.

Here, we have used the following result of Nakano and Fujiki: Let  $\widetilde{M}$  be a complex manifold and  $E \subseteq \widetilde{M}$  be a smooth divisor that is a  $\mathbb{P}^n$ -bundle over some  $Z$ . Then there exists a complex manifold  $M \supset Z$  and  $\pi: \widetilde{M} \rightarrow M$  such that  $\widetilde{M} = \text{Bl}_Z M$  if and only if  $\mathcal{O}_{\widetilde{M}}(E)|_E = \mathcal{O}_X(-1)$ .

Another fact that we used to show that  $\mathcal{S}_0^+$  and  $\mathcal{S}_0^-$  are isomorphic is that two birational K3 surfaces are isomorphic.

Now let  $X \supseteq \mathbb{P}^n$  be a holomorphic symplectic manifold of dimension  $2n$ . For example, some K3 surfaces contain  $(-2)$ -classes, which are isomorphic to  $\mathbb{P}^1$ .

**Lemma 1.6.2.** *Any such  $\mathbb{P}^n \subseteq X$  is a Lagrangian submanifold of  $X$ . Moreover, if  $Z \subseteq X$  is any Lagrangian,  $N_{Z/X} \cong \Omega_Z^1$ .*

*Proof.* The first part is clear because  $H^0(\Omega_{\mathbb{P}^n}^2) = 0$ . Next, consider the exact sequences

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{J}/\mathcal{J}^2 & \longrightarrow & \Omega_{X|Z}^1 & \longrightarrow & \Omega_Z^1 \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \uparrow & & \sim \uparrow & & \uparrow \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & T_Z & \longrightarrow & T_{X|Z} & \longrightarrow & N_{X/Z} \longrightarrow 0. \end{array}$$

Note that the rightmost vertical morphism is generically injective with torsion kernel, but because  $N_{X|\mathbb{P}^n}$  is torsion free, we have an isomorphism.  $\square$

Now consider  $\text{Bl}_{\mathbb{P}^n} X$  and let  $E$  be the exceptional divisor. Denote  $\mathbb{P}^n = \mathbb{P}V$  for some vector space  $V$ .

**Lemma 1.6.3.** *We have an isomorphism  $E \simeq I \subseteq \mathbb{P}V \times \mathbb{P}V^\vee$ , where  $I$  is the incidence subscheme. Moreover, we have  $\mathcal{O}_{\widetilde{X}}(E)|_E \cong \mathcal{O}_E(-1, -1)$ .*

*Proof.* We know that  $E = \mathbb{P}N_{\mathbb{P}^n/X} \simeq \mathbb{P}\Omega_{\mathbb{P}^n}^1$ . Now if we consider the Euler sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \Omega_{\mathbb{P}^n}^1 \rightarrow V^\vee \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(-1) \xrightarrow{\text{ev}} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n} \rightarrow 0,$$

we obtain an embedding

$$\mathbb{P}\Omega_{\mathbb{P}^n}^1 \subseteq \mathbb{P}V^\vee \times \mathbb{P}V$$

as the locus  $\{(s, x) \mid s(x) = 0\}$ . Next, we use adjunction in  $\widetilde{X}$  and in  $\mathbb{P}V \times \mathbb{P}V^\vee$  to see that

$$\mathcal{O}_X(-n, -n) = \omega_E = \omega_{\widetilde{X}}(E)|_E = \omega_{\widetilde{X}(nE)}|_E.$$

$\square$

Now by the Nakano-Fujiki criterion, there exists  $\widetilde{X}' \supseteq \mathbb{P}V$  and  $q': \widetilde{X} \rightarrow X'$  such that we have the following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & \widetilde{X} & \\ \swarrow & & \searrow q' \\ X & \xrightarrow{\varphi} & X' \end{array}$$

such that  $q'$  takes  $E$  to  $\mathbb{P}V^\vee$ .

**Definition 1.6.4.** Such an  $X'$  is called the *Mukai flop* of  $X$  at  $\mathbb{P}^n$ .

*Remark 1.6.5.* We can perform the Mukai flop whenever we have  $Z \subseteq X$  such that there exists some  $\mathbb{P}^r$ -bundle structure  $Z \rightarrow B$  and  $Z$  has codimension  $r$  in  $X$ . We also require that  $N_{Z/X} \simeq \Omega_{Z/B}^1$ .

*Remark 1.6.6.* We have a diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 & & \tilde{X} & & \\
 & \swarrow & & \searrow & \\
 \mathbb{P}^n & \hookrightarrow & X & \dashrightarrow & X' \\
 & \searrow & \pi \searrow & & \swarrow \\
 & p & \hookrightarrow & X_0 &
 \end{array}$$

*Remark 1.6.7.* If  $X'$  and  $X$  are isomorphic in codimension 2, they have isomorphic  $H^2$  and  $X'$  is holomorphic symplectic.

The local structure of  $(X_0, p)$  is isomorphic to that of the cone  $C^\bullet(I)$ . In particular,  $X_0$  is not  $\mathbb{Q}$ -factorial because the exceptional locus of  $\pi$  is  $\mathbb{P}^n$ , which is not a divisor. In addition,  $\pi$  is a crepant (symplectic resolution).

**Proposition 1.6.8.** *A birational map  $f: X \dashrightarrow X'$  of compact complex manifolds (or projective varieties) with trivial canonical bundles is an isomorphism in codimension 2. In particular,  $\pi_1(X) = \pi_1(X')$  and  $H^2(X, \mathbb{Z}) = H^2(X', \mathbb{Z})$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\Gamma$  be the graph of  $f$  and consider the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 & \Gamma & \\
 \swarrow p & & \searrow p' \\
 X & \dashrightarrow f & X.
 \end{array}$$

Then if  $E, F$  are the exceptional divisors of  $p, p'$ , we have  $K_\Gamma = E = F$  up to linear equivalence. However, we know that  $H^0(mF) = H^0(mE) = H^0(mK_\Gamma) = 1$ , but these  $h^0(\omega_X^{\otimes m})$  are birational invariants, so  $E, F$  do not move in their equivalence class. In particular, we have an isomorphism  $X \setminus p(E) \simeq X' \setminus p'(F)$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 1.6.9.** *Suppose that  $f: X \dashrightarrow S'$  is a birational map of K3 surfaces. Then  $f$  is an isomorphism.*

*Proof.* Consider the graph  $\Gamma$  and diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 & \Gamma & \\
 \swarrow p & & \searrow q \\
 X & \dashrightarrow f & S'.
 \end{array}$$

We know that  $f$  is an isomorphism away from finitely many points. We know that  $f$  is not defined at  $x$  if and only if  $p^{-1}(x)$  is a curve. But then there exists a curve  $C' \subseteq S'$  contracted by  $f^{-1}$ , which is impossible.  $\square$

**Example 1.6.10** (Beauville). This example comes from the paper *Some remarks on Kähler manifolds with  $c_1 = 0$*  by Beauville.<sup>8</sup> Let  $S \subseteq \mathbb{P}^3$  be a quartic K3 surface. Choose a length 2 point  $z \in S^{[2]}$ , which has linear span a line. But then  $\ell \cap S = z + w$ , and so we define a rational map  $\varphi: S^{[2]} \dashrightarrow S^{[2]}$  given by  $z + w$ .

<sup>8</sup>This paper is written in English, but Giulia suggests that we read some math papers in French.

**Proposition 1.6.11.**

1.  $\varphi$  is regular at  $[Z] \in S^{[2]}$  if and only if  $\ell = \langle Z \rangle \not\subseteq S$ .
2. If  $S \supseteq \ell_1, \dots, \ell_k$  where the  $\ell_i$  are disjoint lines, then  $\varphi$  is the Mukai flop at  $\ell_1^{[2]}, \dots, \ell_k^{[2]}$ .

*Proof.* We have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 & \Gamma & \\
 q_1 \swarrow & & \searrow q_2 \\
 S^{[2]} & \xrightarrow{\quad \text{dashed} \quad} & S^{[2]} \\
 p \searrow & & \swarrow \\
 & G = \text{Gr}(2, 4) &
 \end{array}$$

and clearly  $p$  is finite over  $[\ell] \in G$  if and only if  $\ell \not\subseteq S$ . In particular, if  $\ell \subseteq S$ , we have  $p^{-1}([\ell]) = \ell^{[2]}$ . Now consider the graph  $\Gamma$  and note that  $\Gamma \subseteq S^{[2]} \times_G S^{[2]} \subseteq S^{[2]} \times S^{[2]}$ . Because  $S^{[2]}$  is smooth, we know  $\varphi$  is regular at  $[Z]$  if and only if  $q_1^{-1}(Z)$  is finite.

But now  $q_1^{-1}(Z) \subseteq S^{[2]} \times S^{[2]}$  is contained in  $[Z] \times p^{-1}(\ell)$ . Thus, if  $p^{-1}(\ell)$  is finite, so is  $q_1^{-1}(Z)$ . For dimension reasons, if  $\ell \subseteq S$  is a line, then  $\ell^{[2]} \times \ell^{[2]}$  is an irreducible component of  $S^{[2]} \times_G S^{[2]}$ . But then  $q_1^{-1}(\ell^{[2]}) = \Gamma \cap \ell^{[2]} \times \ell^{[2]}$ , and then  $S^{[2]} \times_G S^{[2]} \subseteq S^{[2]} \times S^{[2]}$  is a local complete intersection. But then irreducible components intersect in the correct dimension, so we are done.

It remains to show that  $f$  is the Mukai flop. We may assume that there is a unique line  $\ell \subseteq S$ . The key technical lemma is that  $\varphi$  extends to  $\text{Bl}_{\ell^{[2]}} S^{[2]}$ , which means we have a map

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \text{Bl}_{\ell^{[2]}} S^{[2]} & \xrightarrow{\tilde{\varphi}} & \text{Bl}_{\ell^{[2]}} S^{[2]} \\
 \downarrow \pi & & \downarrow \pi \\
 S^{[2]} & \xrightarrow{\varphi} & S^{[2]}
 \end{array}$$

But then  $\tilde{\varphi}$  takes  $E$  to itself, which means that it must swap the two rulings on  $E$ . But this means that the two copies of  $\pi$  contract  $E$  along the two rulings, as desired.

To prove the lemma,  $S^{[2]} \rightarrow G$  factors as  $S^{[2]} \rightarrow Z \rightarrow G$ , where  $Z$  is normal and  $Z \rightarrow G$  is finite. But then  $\varphi$  descends to an honest morphism  $\bar{\varphi}: Z \rightarrow Z$ , and thus if  $\ell^{[2]}$  is contracted to  $z_0$ ,  $\bar{\varphi}$  lifts to  $\text{Bl}_{z_0} Z = \text{Bl}_{\ell^{[2]}} S^{[2]}$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 1.6.12** (Huybrechts). *This proposition comes from the paper Birational symplectic manifolds and their deformations. Let  $\mathbb{P}^n \subseteq X^{2n}$ , where  $X$  is Kähler and symplectic and  $f: X \dashrightarrow X'$  be the Mukai flop. Then there exist two birational smooth proper families*

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \mathcal{X} & \xrightarrow{\quad \phi \quad} & \mathcal{X}' \\
 & \searrow \quad \swarrow & \\
 & \Delta &
 \end{array}$$

such that  $\phi_t$  is an isomorphism for all  $t \neq 0$ ,  $\mathcal{X}_0 = X$ , and  $\mathcal{X}'_0 = X'$ .

**Corollary 1.6.13.** *There exists an isomorphism of Hodge structure  $H^*(X) \simeq H^*(X')$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\Gamma \subseteq \mathcal{X} \times_{\Delta} \mathcal{X}'$  be the fiber product. Then we know  $\Gamma_t = \Gamma_{\varphi_t} \subseteq \mathcal{X}_t \times \mathcal{X}'_t$ , and this implies that

$$\gamma_t^*: H^*(\mathcal{X}'_t) \rightarrow H^*(\mathcal{X}_t) \quad \alpha \mapsto p_1^*[\Gamma] \smile p_2^*(\alpha)$$

is an isomorphism. But then we know  $H^*(\mathcal{X}'_t) \simeq H^*(\mathcal{X}'_0)$  and similarly for  $\mathcal{X}$ . We also have a correspondence  $\Gamma_0^*$ , and this is an isomorphism.  $\square$

**Example 1.6.14.** Let  $S \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^2$  be a degree 2 K3 surface. Then we obtain some  $\mathbb{P}^2 \subseteq S^{[2]}$ . Then the Mukai flop of  $S^{[2]}$  is a hyperkähler manifold  $M$  with a Lagrangian fibration over  $\check{\mathbb{P}}^2$ . Here, if  $\ell \subset \mathbb{P}^2$  is a line, we consider  $C \in |f^*\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(1)|$ , and the fiber over  $[C] \in |C| = \check{\mathbb{P}}$  is simply  $\text{Pic}^2(C)$ . In addition, the Mukai flop takes  $z \in S^{[2]}$  to the line bundle  $\mathcal{O}_C(Z)$ .