

Intersection Theory Learning Seminar
Spring 2021

Notes by Patrick Lei

Lectures by Various

Disclaimer

These notes were taken during the seminar using the `vimtex` package of the editor `neovim`. Any errors are mine and not the speakers'. In addition, my notes are picture-free (but will include commutative diagrams) and are a mix of my mathematical style and that of the lecturers. If you find any errors, please contact me at `plei@math.columbia.edu`.

Seminar Website: <http://www.math.columbia.edu/~plei/s21-INT.html>

Contents

Contents • 2

- 1 Caleb (Jan 22): Rational Equivalence • 3
 - 1.1 CHOW GROUPS • 3
 - 1.2 PUSHFORWARD OF CYCLES • 4
 - 1.3 ALTERNATIVE DEFINITION OF RATIONAL EQUIVALENCE • 5
- 2 Avi (Jan 29): Intersecting with divisors and the first Chern class • 6
 - 2.1 CARTIER AND WEIL DIVISORS • 6
 - 2.2 PSEUDO-DIVISORS • 7
 - 2.3 INTERSECTING WITH DIVISORS • 8
 - 2.4 COMMUTATIVITY • 10
 - 2.5 THE FIRST CHERN CLASS • 11
 - 2.6 THE GYSIN MAP • 12
- 3 Alex (Feb 05): Chern classes and Segre classes of vector bundles • 14
 - 3.1 CHERN AND SEGRE CLASSES • 14
 - 3.2 SPLITTING PRINCIPLE • 15
 - 3.3 RATIONAL EQUIVALENCE OF BUNDLES • 16
- 4 Patrick (Feb 12): • 17
 - 4.1 CONES AND SEGRE CLASSES • 17
 - 4.1.1 Cones • 17
 - 4.1.2 Segre Class of a Subvariety • 18
 - 4.1.3 Multiplicity • 19
 - 4.1.4 Linear Systems • 19
 - 4.2 DEFORMATION TO THE NORMAL CONE • 20

Caleb (Jan 22): Rational Equivalence

The idea of intersection theory is to define a well-defined intersection product on a scheme or variety that behaves like the intersection form in ordinary homology of a manifold.

Example 1.0.1. Let $f, g \in \mathbb{A}_k^2$ be two plane curves. Then we can define the *intersection multiplicity* by

$$i(I, F \cdot G) = \dim_k(k[x, y]/(f, g)),$$

which is the dimension of the intersection scheme. However, this does not generalize, so we will need to use Serre's formula, which involves the Tor functor.

1.1 Chow Groups

We want to define zeroes and poles for a singular variety. If V is codimension 1 in X for a nonsingular variety X , then consider $r \in K(X)^\times$, where $K(X) = \text{Frac}(\mathcal{O}_{V, X})$, where this local ring is a discrete valuation ring. Then we can write $(r) = \sum \text{ord}_V(r)[V]$, which is a Weil divisor. For a curve, we can compute $\text{ord}_V(r) = \dim_k(\mathcal{O}_{V, X}/(r))$. In general, we can write $\text{ord}_V(r) = \text{len}_A(A/(r))$, where $A = \mathcal{O}_{V, X}$.

Example 1.1.1. If $X = \mathbb{A}^3$ and $r = \frac{y^2(x-2)(xy+1)}{zy+x}$, we see that along $y = 0$, we have $A = k[x, y, z]_{(y)}$ and thus $\text{len}_A(A/r) = 2$.

Proposition 1.1.2. The map $\text{ord}_V: R(X)^* \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ is a homomorphism.

Proposition 1.1.3. For any r , there are finitely many V such that $\text{ord}_V(r) \neq 0$.

Examples 1.1.4.

1. Let f be irreducible and f, g be plane curves. Then $i(P, F \cdot G) = \text{ord}_P(\bar{g})$.
2. Let $\tilde{X} \rightarrow X, \tilde{V} \rightarrow V$ be normalizations. Then $\text{ord}_V(r) = \sum \text{ord}_{\tilde{V}}(r)[K(\tilde{V}) : K(V)]$.

Now we will define rational equivalence. Let W be a $(k+1)$ -dimensional subvariety of X and $r \in R(W)^\times$. Then we may obtain cycles of the form $[\text{div}(r)]$, and such cycles form the group $\text{Rat}_k X$.

Definition 1.1.5. The *Chow groups* of X are defined by $A_k(X) := Z_k(X) / \text{Rat}_k X$.

Example 1.1.6 (Not Mayer-Vietoris). Let X_1, X_2 be closed subschemes of X . Then the sequence

$$A_k(X_1 \cap X_2) \rightarrow A_k(X_1) \oplus A_k(X_2) \rightarrow A_k(X_1 \cup X_2) \rightarrow 0$$

is exact.

1.2 Pushforward of Cycles

Let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be a morphism. We want to define a pushforward $A_k(X) \rightarrow A_k(Y)$. This would imply that $\text{Rat}_k(X) \rightarrow \text{Rat}_k(Y)$. This is true when f is proper. Then $V \rightarrow f(V) = W$ is a map of cycles because proper implies universally closed. We obtain a field $K(W) \subseteq K(V)$, which is finite if V, W are of equal dimension. Then we define

$$\deg(V/W) = \begin{cases} [K(V) : K(W)] & \dim V = \dim W \\ 0 & \dim V > \dim W. \end{cases}$$

Definition 1.2.1. The *pushforward* of a cycle V is $f_*[V] = \deg(V/W)[W]$.

Theorem 1.2.2. Let f be proper. If $\alpha \sim 0$, then $f_*\alpha \sim 0$.

Proposition 1.2.3. Let f be proper and surjective and let $r \in K(X)^\times$. Then

1. $f_*[\text{div}(r)] = 0$ if $\dim Y < \dim X$;
2. $f_*[\text{div}(r)] = \text{div}(N(r))$ if $\dim Y = \dim X$.

Proof. Consider the map $\mathbb{P}^1 \rightarrow \text{Spec } k$. Because the order map is a homomorphism, let $r \in k[t] \subset k(t)$ be an irreducible polynomial, where p has degree n . Then $\text{div}(r) = [P] - n[\infty]$ and then we have

$$f_*(\text{div}(r)) = n[\text{pt}] - n[\text{pt}] = 0.$$

Now suppose f is finite. Given $W \subset Y$ of codimension 1, write $(A, \mathfrak{m}) = (\mathcal{O}_{W,Y}, \mathfrak{m}_{W,Y})$. Construct a domain B such that B/A is finite and $B \otimes_A K(Y) = K(X)$ such that $\{U_i\} \rightarrow W$ are obtained by $B_{\mathfrak{m}_i} = \mathcal{O}_{U_i,X}$. Here, we need to show that

$$\sum \text{ord}_{V_i}(r)[K(V_i) : K(W)] = \text{ord}_W(N(r)).$$

Now if $r \in B$, we want $\varphi: B \xrightarrow{r} B$, and then the LHS becomes $\ell_A(\text{coker } \varphi) = \text{ord}_W(\det \varphi_K)$. In general, we need to apply results from EGA III to show that B exists.

Now in the different-dimensional case, we replace Y with $\text{Spec } K(Y)$ and reduce to the case of a curve over $\text{Spec } K(Y)$. \square

Corollary 1.2.4 (Bezout's Theorem). Let $k = \bar{k}$ and suppose f, g are plane curves of degree m, n with no common component. Then $\sum i(P, F \cdot G) = mn$.

Proof. Assume f is irreducible and replace G with $G' = L^n$. Then $G/G' = r \in K(C_F)$ and therefore

$$\sum i(P, F \cdot G) - \sum i(P, F \cdot G') = \sum \text{ord}_P(r) = 0,$$

so we may as well assume F, G are lines and then the result is obvious. \square

Definition 1.2.5. We can define the *degree* $\deg(\alpha)$ to be $\deg(\alpha) = \int_X \alpha = \sum n_P [K(P) : K]$.

1.3 Alternative Definition of Rational Equivalence

Let X be a scheme with irreducible components X_1, \dots, X_t . Then let $m_i := \ell_{\mathcal{O}_{X,x}}(\mathcal{O}_{X,x})$. We define the fundamental class $[X] = \sum_{i=1}^t m_i [X_i]$.

Proposition 1.3.1. *A cycle $\alpha \in Z_k(X)$ is rationally equivalent to 0 if and only if there exist $(k+1)$ -dimensional subvarieties V_1, \dots, V_t of $X \times \mathbb{P}^1$ such that $f_i: V_i \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ are dominant and $\alpha = \sum [V_i(0)] - [V_i(\infty)]$.*

Sketch of Proof. Suppose $\alpha = [\text{div}(r)]$ for some $r \in K(W)^\times$. Now r defines $W \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$, so we will define $V = \overline{\Gamma(r)}$ to be the closure of the graph. This gives a dominant rational map $f: V \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ and thus $[\text{div}(r)] = p_*(\text{div}(f)) = [V(0)] - [V(\infty)]$. The other direction is easy. \square

Remark 1.3.2. This discussion and more machinery allows us to show that if $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is flat of relative dimension n , then we can define pullbacks of cycles. Here, if $\alpha \sim 0$ is a k -cycle on Y , then $f^*\alpha \sim 0$ in $Z_{k+n}(X)$, so we have

$$f^*: A_k(Y) \rightarrow A_{k+n}(X).$$

Avi (Jan 29): Intersecting with divisors and the first Chern class

Note: These are the speaker's notes. Minor edits to the T_EX source were made.

2.1 Cartier and Weil divisors

Let X be a variety of dimension n over a field k . We want to introduce two notions of divisors, one familiar from the last chapter.

Definition 2.1.1. A *Weil divisor* of X is an $n - 1$ -cycle on X , i.e. a finite formal linear combination of codimension 1 subvarieties of X . Thus the Weil divisors form a group $Z_{n-1}X$.

Definition 2.1.2. A *Cartier divisor* consists of the following data:

- an open cover $\{U_\alpha\}$ of X ;
- for each α a nonzero rational function f_α on U_α , defined up to multiplication by a *unit*, i.e. a function without zeros or poles, such that for any α, β we have f_α / f_β a unit on $U_\alpha \cap U_\beta$.

Like the Weil divisors, the Cartier divisors form an abelian group: $(\{U_\alpha, f_\alpha\}) + (\{U_\alpha, g_\alpha\}) = (\{U_\alpha, f_\alpha g_\alpha\})$ (we can assume that the open covers are the same, since if not they refine to $\{U_\alpha \cap V_\beta\}$). We call this abelian group $\text{Div } X$.

Given a Cartier divisor $D = (\{U_\alpha, f_\alpha\})$ and a codimension 1 subvariety V of X , we define

$$\text{ord}_V D = \text{ord}_V(f_\alpha)$$

for α such that $U_\alpha \cap V$ is nonempty; since each f_α is defined up to a unit, this order is well-defined. We define the *associated Weil divisor*

$$[D] = \sum_V \text{ord}_V D \cdot [V].$$

This defines a homomorphism

$$\text{Div } X \rightarrow Z_{n-1}X.$$

For any rational function f on X , we get a *principal* Cartier divisor $\text{div}(f)$ by choosing any cover $\{U_\alpha\}$ and defining $f_\alpha = f|_{U_\alpha}$. It is immediate that the image $[\text{div}(f)]$ of this divisor under the map to $Z_{n-1}X$ is the Weil principal divisor. Say that two Cartier divisors D and D' are *linearly equivalent* if $D - D' = \text{div}(f)$ for some f ; then we define $\text{Pic } X$ to be the group of Cartier divisors

modulo linear equivalence, and the above then shows that the map $\text{Div } X \rightarrow Z_{n-1}X$ descends to a map $\text{Pic } X \rightarrow A_{n-1}X$. This map is in general neither injective nor surjective.

Notice that the definition of a Cartier divisor yields that of a line bundle on X : given a divisor $D = (\{U_\alpha, f_\alpha\})$, define a line bundle $L = \mathcal{O}(D)$ to be trivialized on each U_α with transition functions f_α/f_β . Two Cartier divisors D and D' are linearly equivalent if and only if $\mathcal{O}(D) = \mathcal{O}(D')$, and so we get the alternate description of $\text{Pic } X$ as the abelian group of line bundles on X with group operation given by the tensor product. Conversely, given a line bundle L , this determines a Cartier divisor $D(L)$ up to some additional data: a nonzero rational section s of L . Therefore we can also think of Cartier divisors as the data of a line bundle together with a nonzero rational section.

We define the *support* $\text{supp } D$ or $|D|$ of a Cartier divisor D to be the union of codimension 1 subvarieties V of X such that f_α is not a unit for U_α nontrivially intersecting V , i.e. $\text{ord}_V D$ is nonzero.

We say that a Cartier divisor $D = (\{U_\alpha, f_\alpha\})$ if all of the f_α are regular, i.e. have no poles.

2.2 Pseudo-divisors

In general, Cartier divisors are not well-behaved under pullbacks (although line bundles are). In particular, given the data of a line bundle L and a nonzero rational section s and a morphism $f: Y \rightarrow X$, there is no guarantee that the pullback f^*s is nonzero. Therefore we enlarge the notion to make it behave better: let L be a line bundle on X , $Z \subset X$ be a closed subset, and s be a nowhere vanishing section of L restricted to $X - Z$, or equivalently a trivialization of $L|_{X-Z}$. A *pseudo-divisor* on X consists of the data of such a triple (L, Z, s) , up to the following equivalence: two triples (L, Z, s) and (L', Z', s') define the same pseudo-divisor if $Z = Z'$ and there exists an isomorphism $\sigma: L \rightarrow L'$ such that restricted to $X - Z$ we have $\sigma \circ s = s'$. Note that this is well-behaved under pullback.

Example 2.2.1. Let $D = (\{U_\alpha, f_\alpha\})$ be a Cartier divisor, with support $|D|$. Then each f_α away from $|D|$ gives a local section of the associated line bundle $\mathcal{O}(D)$, and so these glue to a section s_D of $\mathcal{O}(D)$ on $X - |D|$; this makes $(\mathcal{O}(D), |D|, s_D)$ a pseudo-divisor.

We say that a Cartier divisor D *represents* a pseudo-divisor (L, Z, s) when $|D| \subseteq Z$ and there exists an isomorphism $\sigma: \mathcal{O}(D) \rightarrow L$ such that restricted to $X - Z$ we have $\sigma \circ s_D = s$, with notation as above.

Lemma 2.2.2. *If X is a variety, then every pseudo-divisor (L, Z, s) on X is represented by a Cartier divisor D . If $Z \subsetneq X$, then D is unique; if $Z = X$, then D is unique up to linear equivalence.*

Proof. If $Z = X$, then s is a section on $X - X = \{\}$, and so a pseudo-divisor is just a line bundle; and we saw in the previous section that the group of Cartier divisors up to linear equivalence is isomorphic to the group of line bundles, so L corresponds to a unique linear equivalence class of Cartier divisors.

If $Z \neq X$, let $U = X - Z$. As above, choose a Cartier divisor $D = (\{U_\alpha, f_\alpha\})$ with $\mathcal{O}(D) \simeq L$. The section s consists of a collection of functions s_α on $U \cap U_\alpha$ such that $s_\alpha = f_\alpha/f_\beta \cdot s_\beta$ on $U \cap U_\alpha \cap U_\beta$; thus $s_\alpha/f_\alpha = s_\beta/f_\beta$ on each intersection, i.e. there exists some rational function r such that $s_\alpha/f_\alpha = r$ on each $U \cap U_\alpha$. Then $D' := D + \text{div}(r)$ is the Cartier divisor $(\{U_\alpha, f_\alpha r\})$ and by definition $f_\alpha r = s_\alpha$ on each $U \cap U_\alpha$; therefore using the definition above $s_{D'} = s$. Since D' is linearly equivalent to D , it corresponds to the same line bundle, and since r is regular on each U_α the support of $\text{div}(r)$ is contained in Z ; therefore D' represents (L, Z, s) .

For uniqueness, suppose that two Cartier divisors $D_1 = (\{U_\alpha, f_\alpha\})$ and $D_2 = (\{V_\beta, g_\beta\})$ both represent (L, Z, s) . Then similarly there must exist some rational function r such that $r f_\alpha = r g_\beta$ on

each $U_\alpha \cap V_\beta$. But since $s_{D_1} = s_{D_2} = s$, if $Z \neq X$, i.e. U is nonempty, then s_{D_1} and s_{D_2} must agree on every $U \cap U_\alpha \cap V_\beta$, and so r restricted to U must be 1; since f is rational it follows that $f = 1$ and $D_1 = D_2$. \square

For any pseudo-divisor $D = (L, Z, s)$, as for Weil divisors we will write $\mathcal{O}(D) = L$, $|D| = Z$, and $s_D = s$.

If $D = (L, Z, s)$ and $D' = (L', Z', s')$ are two pseudo-divisors, we can define their sum

$$D + D' = (L \otimes L', Z \cup Z', s \otimes s').$$

This agrees with the sum on Cartier divisors, except that the supports may be larger in this case. Similarly defining

$$-D = (L^{-1}, Z, s^{-1})$$

makes the set of pseudo-divisors into an abelian group.

Given a pseudo-divisor D on a variety X of dimension X , we can define the Weil class divisor $[D]$ by taking \tilde{D} to be the Cartier divisor which represents D and setting $[D] := [\tilde{D}]$, the associated Weil divisor from the previous section. The above lemma shows that this yields a well-defined element of $A_{n-1}X$; this gives a homomorphism from the group of pseudo-divisors to $A_{n-1}X$.

2.3 Intersecting with divisors

Let X be a variety of dimension n , D be a pseudo-divisor on X , and V be a subvariety of dimension k . Let $j: V \hookrightarrow X$ be the inclusion of V into X ; then the pullback j^*D is a pseudo-divisor on V with support $V \cap |D|$. We define the class $D \cdot [V]$ in $A_{k-1}(V \cap |D|)$ given by the Weil class divisor of j^*D :

$$D \cdot [V] = [j^*D].$$

For any closed subscheme $Y \subset X$ containing $V \cap |D|$, we can also view this as an element of $A_{k-1}Y$; we will also denote this by $D \cdot [V]$.

Let $\alpha = \sum_V n_V \cdot V$ be a k -cycle on X , with support $|\alpha|$ the union of the subvarieties V such that n_V is nonzero. For a pseudo-divisor D on X , we define the *intersection class* $D \cdot \alpha$ in $A_{k-1}(V \cap |D|)$ by

$$D \cdot \alpha = \sum_V n_V \cdot (D \cdot [V]).$$

As above, we can also view this as an element of $A_{k-1}Y$ for any Y containing $|\alpha| \cap |D|$.

We will apply this in two main cases. First: if $|D| = X$, then the data of $D = (L, X, s)$ is just that of a line bundle as above; in this case the action of D on a k -cycle α is called that of the first Chern class, written $D \cdot \alpha = c_1(L) \cap \alpha$.

Second: if $i: |D| \hookrightarrow X$ is the inclusion of $|D|$ into X , then $D \cdot \alpha$ is called the Gysin pullback $i^*\alpha$.

Theorem 2.3.1. *Let X be a scheme, D be a pseudo-divisor on X , and α be a k -cycle on X .*

(a) *Let α' be a k -cycle on X . Then*

$$D \cdot (\alpha + \alpha') = D \cdot \alpha + D \cdot \alpha'$$

in $A_{k-1}((|\alpha| \cup |\alpha'|) \cap |D|)$.

(b) *Let D' be a pseudo-divisor on X . Then*

$$(D + D') \cdot \alpha = D \cdot \alpha + D' \cdot \alpha$$

in $A_{k-1}(|\alpha| \cap (|D| \cup |D'|))$.

- (c) Let $f: Y \rightarrow X$ be a proper morphism, β be a k -cycle on Y , and $g: |\beta| \cap f^{-1}(|D|) \rightarrow f(|\beta|) \cap |D|$ be the restriction of f to $|\beta| \cap f^{-1}(|D|)$. Then

$$g_*(f^*D \cdot \beta) = D \cdot f_*\beta$$

in $A_{k-1}(f(|\beta|) \cap |D|)$.

- (d) Let $f: Y \rightarrow X$ be a flat morphism of relative dimension n and $g: f^{-1}(|\alpha| \cap |D|) \rightarrow |\alpha| \cap |D|$ be the restriction of f to $f^{-1}(|\alpha| \cap |D|)$. Then

$$f^*D \cdot f^*\alpha = g^*(D \cdot \alpha)$$

in $A_{n+k-1}(f^{-1}(|\alpha| \cap |D|))$.

- (e) If the line bundle $\mathcal{O}(D)$ is trivial, then

$$D \cdot \alpha = 0$$

in $A_{k-1}(|\alpha| \cap |D|)$.

Proof. Part (a) is immediate from the definition. Using part (a), then, we can assume by linearity that $\alpha = [V]$ for some k -dimensional subvariety $V \subset X$. Restricting to V , (b) is just the statement that taking the Weil class divisor is compatible with sums.

For part (c), we can likewise assume that $\beta = [W]$ for some k -dimensional subvariety $W \subset Y$; then $f^*D \cdot \beta$ is the restriction of the Cartier divisor $f^*\tilde{D}$ representing f^*D to W , and so we can assume that $Y = W$. Similarly on the right-hand side $D \cdot f_*\beta = D \cdot \deg(f(W)/W)[f(W)]$ and so concerns only the restriction of D to $f(W)$, and so we can assume that $f(W) = X$. In this case $g = f$ on the support of D and so the statement is

$$f_*(f^*[D]) = \deg(W/f(W))[D]$$

since $D \cdot [X] = [D]$ and $f^*D \cdot [Y] = f^*[D]$. If f is a map of degree d and $D = \text{div}(r)$ for some function r on some open subset of $f(W)$, then from last time we know that locally

$$f_*[\text{div}(f^*r)] = [\text{div}(N(f^*r))] = d[\text{div}(r)]$$

where N is the determinant map from functions on subsets of W to functions on their images, since $N(f^*r) = dr$ since f has degree d . But locally we can always assume that $[D]$ is principal, and so $f_*f^*[D] = d[D]$ as desired.

For (d), we can again assume that $\alpha = [V] = [X]$, so the statement similarly becomes

$$[f^*D] = f^*[D].$$

By linearity, we can assume $D = [W]$ for some subvariety W of $X = V$, at which point the statement is $f^*[W] = [f^{-1}(W)]$, which is true whenever f is flat.

Finally for (e) we can again assume $\alpha = [V] = [X]$, so that the statement is $[D] = 0$ in $A_{n-1}X$ whenever $\mathcal{O}(D)$ is trivial, where n is the dimension of $V = X$. Letting \tilde{D} be the Cartier divisor representing D , we know from section 1 that $\mathcal{O}(D)$ is trivial precisely when \tilde{D} is linearly equivalent to the trivial Cartier divisor $0 = (\{U_\alpha, 1\})$ for which every local function is a unit; and we know that the associated Weil divisor map $\text{Div } X \rightarrow Z_{n-1}X$ descends to a map $\text{Pic } X \rightarrow A_{n-1}X$, i.e. $[D] = [\tilde{D}] = 0$ whenever $\mathcal{O}(D)$ is trivial. \square

2.4 Commutativity

Suppose that we have two Cartier divisors D, D' on an n -dimensional variety X . Then they both determine associated Weil divisors $[D], [D'] \in Z_{n-1}X$ (and thus in $A_{n-1}X$), and so it is natural to consider the intersections

$$D \cdot [D'], \quad D' \cdot [D].$$

Theorem 2.4.1. *In $A_{n-2}(|D| \cap |D'|)$, we have*

$$D \cdot [D'] = D' \cdot [D].$$

Corollary 2.4.2. *Let D be a pseudo-divisor on a scheme X , and α be a k -cycle on X rationally equivalent to 0. Then*

$$D \cdot \alpha = 0$$

in $A_{k-1}(|D|)$.

Proof. We can assume without loss of generality that $\alpha = [\text{div}(f)]$ for some rational function f on a subvariety V of X . Then letting \tilde{D} be the Cartier divisor representing D we can replace D with \tilde{D} and X with V without changing the result; then we can apply Theorem 2.4.1 to get

$$D \cdot \alpha = \tilde{D} \cdot [\text{div}(f)] = \text{div}(f) \cdot [\tilde{D}].$$

But by part (e) of Theorem 2.3.1, we have $\text{div}(f) \cdot [\tilde{D}] = 0$. □

Given a closed subscheme $Y \subset X$ and a k -cycle α on Y , we can construct its intersection $D \cdot \alpha \in A_{k-1}(Y \cap |D|)$ for any pseudo-divisor D on X . This gives a map

$$Z_k Y \rightarrow A_{k-1}(Y \cap |D|).$$

The above corollary shows that in fact this map descends to a map

$$A_k Y \rightarrow A_{k-1}(Y \cap |D|);$$

this is called *intersecting with D* .

Corollary 2.4.3. *For two pseudo-divisors D, D' on a scheme X and a k -cycle α on X , we have*

$$D \cdot (D' \cdot \alpha) = D' \cdot (D \cdot \alpha)$$

in $A_{k-2}(|\alpha| \cap |D| \cap |D'|)$.

Proof. We can assume without loss of generality that $\alpha = [V]$ for some subvariety $V \subseteq X$ of dimension k . Then we can restrict D and D' to V , so that $D' \cdot [V] = [\text{id}^* D'] = [D']$ and similarly $D \cdot [V] = [D]$; and then applying Theorem 2.4.1 immediately gives the result. □

For pseudo-divisors D_1, \dots, D_n on X and a k -cycle α on X , we can then define inductively

$$D_1 \cdots D_n \cdot \alpha = D_1 \cdot (D_2 \cdots D_n \cdot \alpha)$$

in $A_{k-n}(|\alpha| \cap (|D_1| \cup \cdots \cup |D_n|))$. Theorem 2.4.1 implies that the order of the D_i is unimportant, and parts (a) and (b) of Theorem 2.3.1 implies that the action is linear in each D_i and in α . More generally if $p(t_1, \dots, t_n)$ is a homogeneous polynomial of degree d and Z is a closed subscheme of X containing $|\alpha| \cap (|D_1| \cup \cdots \cup |D_n|)$, then we can define $p(D_1, \dots, D_n) \cdot \alpha$ in $A_{k-d}(Z)$.

Definition 2.4.4. We say that an algebraic variety Y is *complete* if for any variety Z the projection $Y \times Z \rightarrow Y$ is a closed map.

For example, any projective variety is complete.

If $n = k$ and $Y = |\alpha| \cap (|D_1| \cup \dots \cup |D_k|)$ is complete, then we can define the *intersection number*

$$(D_1 \cdots D_k \cdot \alpha)_X = \int_Y D_1 \cdots D_k \cdot \alpha.$$

Similarly if p is a homogeneous polynomial of degree k in k variables then we can define

$$(p(D_1, \dots, D_k) \cdot \alpha)_X = \int_Y p(D_1, \dots, D_k) \cdot \alpha.$$

For a subvariety V purely of dimension k , we will sometimes write simply V instead of $[V]$; similarly we will sometimes write D instead of $[D]$.

Example 2.4.5. Let X be the projective completion of the affine surface $X' \subset \mathbb{A}^3$ defined by $z^2 = xy$. Consider the Cartier divisor D on X defined everywhere by the equation x , corresponding to the subvariety cut out by $x = 0$. Define the lines ℓ, ℓ' by $x = z = 0$ and $y = z = 0$ respectively, and let P be the origin $(0, 0, 0)$. Along the subvariety $x = 0$, from the defining equation we also have $z = 0$ (in affine space), and so $[D] = \text{ord}_\ell D \cdot [\ell]$; we have

$$\text{ord}_\ell D = \text{len}_A A/(x),$$

where (in the affine variety) $A = \mathcal{O}_{X, \ell} = K[x, y, z]/(z^2 - xy)$. Thus $A/(x) = K[y, z]/(z^2 - xy, x) = K[y, z]/(z^2)$ which has length 2, with maximal proper subsequence of modules given by $0 \subset K[y] = K[y, z]/(z) \subset K[y, z]/(z^2)$. Therefore $[D] = 2[\ell]$. We can compute

$$D \cdot [\ell'] = [j^* D] = [P]$$

where j is the inclusion of ℓ' into X , since restricted to the line $y = z = 0$ the equation $x = 0$ specifies only the point P with multiplicity 1. Therefore there cannot exist any Cartier divisor D' with $[D'] = [\ell']$, since if there were we would have

$$[P] = D \cdot [\ell'] = D \cdot [D'] = D' \cdot [D] = 2D' \cdot [\ell]$$

in either $Z_1 X$ or $A_1 X$, by Theorem 2.4.1 and the above calculation. This proves our above claim that the maps $\text{Div } X \rightarrow Z_{\dim X - 1}$ and $\text{Pic } X \rightarrow A_{\dim X - 1} X$ are not in general surjective.

2.5 The first Chern class

Let X be a scheme, $V \subseteq X$ a subvariety of dimension k , and L a line bundle on X . The restriction of L to V is a line bundle on V and so is isomorphic to $\mathcal{O}(C)$ for some Cartier divisor C on V , determined up to linear equivalence. This in turn defines a well-defined element $[C]$ of $A_{k-1} X$; we write $c_1(L) \cap [V] := [C]$. More generally, if $\alpha = \sum_V n_V \cdot [V]$ is a k -cycle on X then define C_V for each V as above, and write

$$c_1(L) \cap \alpha := \sum_V n_V \cdot [C_V].$$

If $L = \mathcal{O}(D)$ for some pseudo-divisor D , then if $j: V \hookrightarrow X$ is the inclusion then the Cartier divisor \tilde{D} on V representing $j^* D$ satisfies $\mathcal{O}(\tilde{D}) \simeq \mathcal{O}(D)$ by construction; by definition, this means that $[C_V] = [j^* D] = D \cdot [V]$ and so

$$c_1(L) \cap \alpha = D \cdot \alpha$$

in $A_{k-1} X$.

Theorem 2.5.1. *Let X be a scheme, L be a line bundle on X , and α be a k -cycle on X .*

(a) *If α is rationally equivalent to 0, then $c_1(L) \cap \alpha = 0$. Therefore there is an induced homomorphism $c_1(L) \cap -: A_k X \rightarrow A_{k-1} X$.*

(b) *If L' is a second line bundle on X , then*

$$c_1(L) \cap (c_1(L') \cap \alpha) = c_1(L') \cap (c_1(L) \cap \alpha)$$

in $A_{k-2} X$.

(c) *If $f: Y \rightarrow X$ is a proper morphism and β is a k -cycle on Y , then*

$$f_*(c_1(f^*L) \cap \beta) = c_1(L) \cap f_*\beta$$

in $A_{k-1} X$.

(d) *If $f: Y \rightarrow X$ is a flat morphism of relative dimension n , then*

$$c_1(f^*L) \cap f^*\alpha = f^*(c_1(L) \cap \alpha)$$

in $A_{n+k-1} Y$.

(e) *If L' is a second line bundle on X , then*

$$c_1(L \otimes L') \cap \alpha = c_1(L) \cap \alpha + c_1(L') \cap \alpha$$

and

$$c_1(L^{-1}) \cap \alpha = -c_1(L) \cap \alpha$$

in $A_{k-1} X$.

Proof. A line bundle on X defines a pseudo-divisor with support X , and so the analogous properties from Theorem 2.3.1 and its corollaries immediately imply these. \square

2.6 The Gysin map

Fix an effective Cartier divisor D on a scheme X , with the inclusion given by $i: |D| \hookrightarrow X$. Then we define the “Gysin homomorphism”

$$i^* \alpha := D \cdot \alpha$$

for k -cycles α on X .

Proposition 2.6.1. *With notation as above:*

(a) *If α is rationally equivalent to 0, then $i^* \alpha = 0$, and so there is an induced homomorphism $i^*: A_k X \rightarrow A_{k-1}(|D|)$.*

(b) *We have*

$$i_* i^* \alpha = c_1(\mathcal{O}(D)) \cap \alpha.$$

(c) *If β is a k -cycle on $|D|$, then*

$$i^* i_* \beta = c_1(i^* \mathcal{O}(D)) \cap \beta.$$

(d) If X is purely n -dimensional, then

$$i^*[X] = [D]$$

in $A_{n-1}(|D|)$.

(e) If L is a line bundle on X , then

$$i^*(c_1(L) \cap \alpha) = c_1(i^*L) \cap i^*\alpha$$

in $A_{k-2}(|D|)$.

All of these follow immediately from the definitions and the results above.

Alex (Feb 05): Chern classes and Segre classes of vector bundles

3.1 Chern and Segre Classes

Let L be a line bundle over a scheme X . If $V^k \subseteq X$ is a subvariety, then there exists a Cartier divisor such that $L|_V = \mathcal{O}_V(C)$, which gives us a cycle $[C] \in A_{k-1}$.

Definition 3.1.1. We will define the *first Chern class* of L by $c_1(L) \cap [V] = [C]$. Therefore we obtain a map

$$c_1(L) \cap -: Z_k X \rightarrow Z_{k-1} X.$$

Proposition 3.1.2. Here are some properties of Chern classes:

1. The Chern class gives a well-defined map $A_k \rightarrow A_{k-1}$.
2. We have $c_1(L) \cap c_1(L') \cap - = c_1(L') \cap c_1(L) \cap -$.
3. Let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be proper and $\alpha \in A_k X$. For a line bundle L over Y , we have

$$f_*(c_1(f^*L) \cap \alpha) = c_1(L) \cap \alpha.$$

4. If $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is flat of relative dimension n , L/Y , and $\alpha \in A_k Y$, we have

$$c_1(f^*L) \cap f^*\alpha = f^*(c_1(L) \cap \alpha).$$

5. Let L, L' be line bundles. Then $c_1(L \otimes L') \cap - = c_1(L) \cap - + c_1(L') \cap -$

In the smooth case, Segre classes agree with Chern classes, but they have a nice generalization to the singular case. Let E be a rank $e + 1$ vector bundle over a scheme X and let $P = \mathbb{P}(E)$ be the associated projective bundle. Then we have a line bundle $\mathcal{O}_E(1)$, and so we define

$$s_i(E) \cap -: A_k X \rightarrow A_{k-i} X \quad s_i(E) \cap \alpha = p_*(c_1(\mathcal{O}(1))^{e+i} \cap p^*\alpha).$$

If E is a line bundle, we see that $s_1(E) \cap \alpha = -c_1(E) \cap \alpha$.

Proposition 3.1.3. Let L be a line bundle. Then

$$s_P(E \otimes L) = \sum_{i=0}^p (-1)^{p-i} \binom{e+p}{e+i} \cdot s_i(E) \cdot c_1(L)^{p-i}.$$

Now we will define Chern classes for an arbitrary vector bundle E of rank $r = e + 1$. Set

$$s_t(E) = 1 + s_1(E)t + s_2(E)t^2 + \cdots$$

and set

$$c_t(E) = s_t(E)^{-1} = 1 - s_1(E)t + s_2(E)t^2 + \cdots$$

This tells us that $c_n(E) = -s_1c_{n-1} - s_2c_{n-2} - \cdots - s_n$. This gives us a map $c_i(E): A_k \rightarrow A_{k-i}$. Here are some new properties of Chern classes:

- For all $i > r$, we have $c_i(E) = 0$.
- If $0 \rightarrow E' \rightarrow E \rightarrow E'' \rightarrow 0$ is an exact sequence of vector bundles, then $c_t(E) = c_t(E') \cdot c_t(E'')$.

Remark 3.1.4. The projection formula, flat pullback, and c_1 give us uniqueness for all of the Chern classes.

3.2 Splitting Principle

Let E be a vector bundle over X . Then there exists a flat $f: X' \rightarrow X$ such that

1. The pushforward $f_* A_k X' \rightarrow A_k X$ is injective.
2. There exists a filtration $E = E_r \supseteq E_{r-1} \supseteq \cdots \supseteq E_0 = 0$ such that $E_i/E_{i-1} = L_i$ for some line bundle L_i . In addition, we have

$$c_t(E) = \prod_i (1 + c_1(L_i)t).$$

This gives us a **splitting principle**:

To prove a universal formula for Chern classes of some vector bundles with certain relations, it suffices to show that the formula is true for filtrations with line bundle quotients and the relations are preserved under flat pullback.

Here are some properties of Chern classes:

1. If E^\vee is the dual of E , we have $c_i(E^\vee) = (-1)^i c_i(E)$ and $c_t(E^\vee) = \prod (1 - \alpha_i t)$, where α_i are the Chern roots of E .
2. Let E, F be vector bundles with Chern roots α_i, β_j . Then $\{\alpha_i + \beta_j\}_{i,j}$ are Chern roots for $E \otimes F$.
3. Let E be a rank r vector bundle. Then $\wedge^p E$ has Chern roots $\{\alpha_{i_1} + \cdots + \alpha_{i_p}\}$.

Example 3.2.1. Recall the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(1)^{n+1} \rightarrow T_{\mathbb{P}^n} \rightarrow 0.$$

From the fact that $c_t(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}) = 1$ and $c_t(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(1)) = (1 + Ht)$, we can compute the Chern character of $T_{\mathbb{P}^n}$.

Let E be a vector bundle on a nonsingular variety X and suppose $p: \mathbb{P}(E) \rightarrow X$ is the projection. Then we have an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(E)} \rightarrow p^*E \otimes \mathcal{O}_E(1) \rightarrow T_{\mathbb{P}(E)} \rightarrow p^*T_X \rightarrow 0.$$

This allows us to calculate

$$c_t(T_{\mathbb{P}(E)}) = c_t(p^*T_X) \cdot c_t(p^*E \otimes \mathcal{O}_E(1)).$$

3.3 Rational equivalence of bundles

This generalizes the Gysin map from last week. Let E be a vector bundle of rank $r = e + 1$ and let $\pi: E \rightarrow X$ be the projection. Then we obtain a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} E & \xhookrightarrow{\quad} & \mathbb{P}(E \oplus \mathcal{O}) \\ & \searrow \pi & \swarrow q \\ & X & \end{array}$$

Theorem 3.3.1.

1. The map $\pi^*: A_{k-r} \rightarrow A_k E$ is an isomorphism for all k .
2. A cycle $\beta \in A_k \mathbb{P}(E)$ is uniquely expressible in the form

$$\beta = \sum_{i=0}^e c_1(\mathcal{O}(1))^i \cap p^* \alpha_i$$

where $\alpha_i \in A_{k+1-e} X$.

Definition 3.3.2. Let $s^*: A_k E \rightarrow A_{k-r} X$ be $(\pi^*)^{-1}$. This is the Gysin map.

Proposition 3.3.3. Let $\beta \in A_k E$ and $\bar{\beta} \in A_k \mathbb{P}(E \oplus \mathcal{O})$ that restricts to β . Then $s^*(\beta) = q_*(c_r(\xi) \cap \bar{\beta})$ where ξ is the universal rank r quotient bundle of $q^*(E \oplus \mathcal{O})$. Here, we have an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow ??? \rightarrow q^*(E \oplus \mathcal{O}) \rightarrow \xi \rightarrow 0.$$

Patrick (Feb 12):

4.1 Cones and Segre Classes

Our goal is to define a Segre class $s(X, Y)$ of a subvariety $X \subsetneq Y$ and study its properties.

4.1.1 Cones

Definition 4.1.1. Let S^\bullet be a sheaf of graded \mathcal{O}_X -algebras such that $\mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow S^0$ is surjective, S^1 is coherent, and S^\bullet is generated by S^1 . Then any scheme of the form $C = \text{Spec}_{\mathcal{O}_X}(S^\bullet)$ is called a *cone*.

If C is a cone, then $\mathbb{P}(C \oplus 1) = \text{Proj}(S^\bullet[z])$ is the projective completion with projection $q: \mathbb{P}(C \oplus 1) \rightarrow X$. Let $\mathcal{O}(1)$ be the canonical line bundle on $\mathbb{P}(C \oplus 1)$.

Definition 4.1.2. The *Segre class* $s(C) \in A_*X$ of C is defined as

$$s(C) := q_* \left(\sum_{i \geq 0} c_1(\mathcal{O}(1))^i \cap [\mathbb{P}(C \oplus 1)] \right).$$

Proposition 4.1.3.

1. If E is a vector bundle on X , then $s(E) = c(E)^{-1} \cap [X]$, where $c = 1 + c_1 + \dots$ is the total Chern class.
2. Let $C = \bigcup_{i=1}^t C_i$ be the irreducible components of C with geometric multiplicity m_i . Then

$$s(C) = \sum_{i=1}^t m_i s(C_i).$$

Example 4.1.4. Let $\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{F}'$ be coherent sheaves and let \mathcal{E} be locally free. Then we may define $C(\mathcal{F}) = \text{Spec}(\text{Sym } \mathcal{F})$. We may define $s(\mathcal{F}) = s(C(\mathcal{F}))$. Now if

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{F}' \rightarrow \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{E} \rightarrow 0$$

is exact, then $s(\mathcal{F}') = c(\mathcal{E}) \cap s(\mathcal{F})$.

4.1.2 Segre Class of a Subvariety Let X be a closed subscheme of Y defined by the ideal sheaf \mathcal{I} and let

$$C = C_X Y = \operatorname{Spec} \left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{I}^n / \mathcal{I}^{n+1} \right)$$

be the normal cone. Note that if X is regularly embedded in Y , then $C_X Y$ is a vector bundle.

Definition 4.1.5. The *Segre class* of X in Y is defined by

$$s(X, Y) := s(C_X Y) \in A_* X.$$

Lemma 4.1.6. Let Y be a scheme of pure dimension m and let Y_1, \dots, Y_r be the irreducible components of Y with multiplicity m_i . If X is a closed subscheme of Y and $X_i = X \cap Y_i$, then

$$s(X, Y) = \sum m_i s(X_i, Y_i).$$

Proposition 4.1.7. Let $f: Y' \rightarrow Y$ be a morphism of pure-dimensional schemes, $X \subseteq Y$ a closed subscheme, and $g: X' = f^{-1}(X) \rightarrow X$ be the induced morphism.

1. If f is proper, Y is irreducible, and f maps each irreducible component of Y' onto Y , then

$$g_*(s(X', Y')) = \deg(Y'/Y) \cdot s(X, Y).$$

2. If f is flat, then $g^*(s(X, Y)) = s(X', Y')$.

Remark 4.1.8. If f is birational, then $f_*(s(X', Y')) = s(X, Y)$. This says that Segre classes are unchanged by pushforward along birational modifications.

Corollary 4.1.9. Let Y be a variety and $X \subseteq Y$ be a proper closed subscheme. Then let $\tilde{Y} = \operatorname{Bl}_X Y$ and $\tilde{X} = \mathbb{P}(C)$ be the exceptional divisor with projection $\eta: \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$. Then

$$s(X, Y) = \sum_{k \geq 1} (-1)^{k-1} \eta_* (\tilde{X}^k) = \sum_{i \geq 0} \eta_* (c_1(\mathcal{O}(1))^i \cap [\mathbb{P}(C)]).$$

Example 4.1.10. Let A, B, D be effective Cartier divisors on a surface Y . Then let $A' = A + D, B' = B + D$, and let $X = A' \cap B'$. Suppose that A, B meet transversally at a single smooth point $P \in Y$. Then if $\tilde{Y} = \operatorname{Bl}_P Y$ and $f: \tilde{Y} \rightarrow Y$ is the blowup with exceptional divisor E , we see that $\tilde{X} = f^{-1}(X) = f^*D + E$, so we have

$$\begin{aligned} s(X, Y) &= f_*[\tilde{X}] - f_*(\tilde{X} \cdot [\tilde{X}]) \\ &= [D] - f_*(f^*D \cdot [f^*D] + 2f^*D \cdot [E] + E \cdot [E]) \\ &= [D] - D \cdot [D] + [P]. \end{aligned}$$

If A, B both have multiplicity m at P and no common tangents at P , then

$$s(X, Y) = [D] + (m^2[P] - D \cdot [D]).$$

In general, the answer is more complicated.

4.1.3 Multiplicity Let $X \subseteq Y$ be an (irreducible) subvariety. Then the coefficient of $[X]$ in the class $s(X, Y)$ is called the *algebraic multiplicity* of X on Y and is denoted $e_X Y$.

Suppose X has positive codimension n , $p: \mathbb{P}(C_X Y) \rightarrow X$ and $q: \mathbb{P}(C_X Y \oplus 1) \rightarrow X$ are the projections to X , and $\tilde{Y} = \text{Bl}_X Y$ with exceptional divisor $\tilde{X} = \mathbb{P}(C)$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} e_X Y[X] &= q_*(c_1(\mathcal{O}(1))^n \cap [\mathbb{P}(C \oplus 1)]) \\ &= p_*(c_1(\mathcal{O}(1))^{n-1} \cap [\mathbb{P}(C)]) \\ &= (-1)^{n-1} p_*(\tilde{X}^n). \end{aligned}$$

For example, if X is a point, then we have

$$e_P Y = \int_{\mathbb{P}(C)} c_1(\mathcal{O}(1))^{n-1} \cap [\mathbb{P}(C)] = \deg[P(C)].$$

Example 4.1.11. Let C be a smooth curve of genus g and $C^{(d)}$ be the d -th symmetric power of C . Then let $P_0 \in C$, $J = J(C)$ be the Jacobian, and $u_d: C^{(d)} \rightarrow J$ be given by $D \mapsto D - dP_0$. We know that the fibers of u_d are the linear systems $|D| \cong \mathbb{P}^r$; if $d > 2g - 2$, then $u_d: C^{(d)} \rightarrow J$ is a projective bundle; and if $1 \leq d \leq g$, then μ_d is birational onto its image W_d . Now if $\deg D = d$ and $\dim |D| = r$, we have

$$s(D, C^{(d)}) = (1 + K)^{g-d+r} \cap [|D|],$$

where $K = c_1(K_{|D|})$. When d is large, this follows from the second bullet, but if d is small, then we may embed

$$C^{(d)} \subset C^{(d+s)} \quad E \mapsto E + sP_0$$

and then consider the normal bundle to this embedding restricted to $|D|$. Combined with Proposition 4.1.7, this gives us the *Riemann-Kempf formula*, which says that the multiplicity of W_d at $u_d(D)$ is given by $e_{\mu_d(D)} W_d = \binom{g-d+r}{r}$.

Remark 4.1.12. The previous example can be generalized to the Fano varieties of lines on a cubic threefold X . In particular if F is the Fano variety of lines on X , then there is a morphism of degree 6 from $F \times F$ to the theta divisor, and we can calculate (following Clemens-Griffiths) that

$$\int_F s_2(T_F) = \int_F c_1(T_F)^2 - c_2(T_F) = 45 - 27 = 18,$$

and then the theta divisor has a singular point of multiplicity 3.

4.1.4 Linear Systems Let L be a line bundle on a variety X (of dimension n) and let $V \subseteq |L|$ be a partial linear system of dimension $r + 1$. Then let B be the base locus of V . Then if $\tilde{X} = \text{Bl}_B X$, we obtain a morphism $f: \tilde{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^r$ resolving the rational map $X \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}^r$. By definition, we have $f^* \mathcal{O}(1) = \pi^*(L) \otimes \mathcal{O}(-E)$. Define $\deg_f \tilde{X}$ to be the degree of $f_*[\tilde{X}] \in A_n \mathbb{P}^r$.

Proposition 4.1.13. *We have the identity*

$$\deg_f \tilde{X} = \int_X c_1(L)^n - \int_B c_1(L)^n \cap s(B, X).$$

Example 4.1.14. Let $B \subset \mathbb{P}^n$ be the rational normal curve. Then let $V \subset |\mathcal{O}(2)|$ be the linear system of quadrics containing B . If $\tilde{\mathbb{P}}^n = \text{Bl}_B \mathbb{P}^n$, we see that

$$\deg_f \tilde{\mathbb{P}}^n = 2^n - (n^2 - n + 2).$$

If $n = 4$, then $f(\tilde{\mathbb{P}}^4) = \text{Gr}(2, 4) \subset \mathbb{P}^5$.

4.2 Deformation to the Normal Cone

Let $X \subseteq Y$ be a closed subscheme and $C = C_X Y$ be the normal cone. We will construct a scheme $M = M_X Y$ and a closed embedding $X \times \mathbb{P}^1 \subseteq M$ such that

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X \times \mathbb{P}^1 & \hookrightarrow & M \\ & \searrow p_2 & \swarrow q \\ & \mathbb{P}^1 & \end{array}$$

commutes and such that

1. Away from ∞ , we have $q^{-1}(\mathbb{A}^1) = Y \times \mathbb{A}^1$ and the embedding is the trivial embedding $X \times \mathbb{A}^1 \subseteq Y \times \mathbb{A}^1$.
2. Over ∞ , $M_\infty = \mathbb{P}(C \oplus 1) + \tilde{Y}$ is a sum of two Cartier divisors, where $\tilde{Y} = \text{Bl}_X Y$. The embedding of X is given by $X \hookrightarrow C \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}(C \oplus 1)$. We also have $\mathbb{P}(C \oplus 1) \cap \tilde{Y} = \mathbb{P}(C)$, which is embedded as the hyperplane at ∞ in $\mathbb{P}(C \oplus 1)$ and as the exceptional divisor in \tilde{Y} .

We will now construct this deformation. Let $M = \text{Bl}_{X \times \infty} Y \times \mathbb{P}^1$. Clearly we have $C_{X \times \infty} Y \times \mathbb{P}^1 = C \oplus 1$. But now we can embed $X \times \mathbb{P}^1 \subseteq M$. The first property is obvious by the blowup construction, so now we need to show the second property.

We may assume $Y = \text{Spec } A$ is affine and X is defined by the ideal I . Identify $\mathbb{P}^1 \setminus 0 = \mathbb{A}^1 = \text{Spec } k[t]$. Then if we write $S^n = I, T^n$, then we see that $\text{Bl}_{X \times 0} Y \times \mathbb{A}^1 = \text{Proj } S^\bullet$. But now this is covered by affines

$$\left\{ \text{Spec } S_{(a)}^\bullet \right\}_{a \in (I, T) \text{ generator}}.$$

Now for $a \in I$, we see that $\mathbb{P}(C \oplus 1) \subseteq \text{Spec } S_{(a)}^\bullet$ is defined by the equation $a/1$, while \tilde{Y} is defined by T/a , and now we see that

$$M_\infty = V(T) = V\left(\frac{a}{1} \cdot \frac{t}{a}\right) = V(a) \cup V(T/a) = \mathbb{P}(C \oplus 1) + \tilde{Y},$$

as desired.

Now this allows us to define a *specialization morphism*

$$\sigma: Z_k Y \rightarrow Z_k C \quad [V] \mapsto [C_{V \cap X} V].$$

Proposition 4.2.1. *Specialization preserves rational equivalence. Therefore we have a specialization morphism*

$$\sigma: A_k Y \rightarrow A_k C.$$

Remark 4.2.2. Supposing that X, Y are smooth, then the embedding of $X \subset \mathbb{P}(N \oplus 1)$ is nicer than $X \subset Y$ in several ways:

1. There is a retraction $\mathbb{P}(N \oplus 1) \rightarrow X$.
2. There is a vector bundle ζ on $\mathbb{P}(N \oplus 1)$ of rank $\text{codim}_Y X$ and a section $s \in \Gamma(\zeta)$ such that $V(s) = X$. Therefore X is represented by the top Chern class of ζ .