

Evolution of LLM Architectures

LLM Lab

November 26, 2025

Contents

1	Evolution of LLM Architectures: From Transformers to Hybrid Models	2
1.1	1. The Original Transformer: Global Attention With $O(N^2)$ Cost	2
1.1.1	1.1 Scaled dot-product attention	2
1.1.2	1.2 Multi-head attention	2
1.1.3	1.3 Positional encodings	3
1.2	2. Efficient / Long-Context Transformers (Still Attention-Centric)	3
1.2.1	2.1 Sparse / local attention (Longformer, BigBird, etc.)	3
1.2.2	2.2 Low-rank and kernelized approximations (Linformer, Performer)	3
1.3	3. State Space Models (S4-style): From Attention to Dynamical Systems	3
1.3.1	3.1 Classic (continuous) linear state space model	3
1.3.2	3.2 S4: Structured State Space for Long Sequences	4
1.4	4. Selective SSMS (Mamba, Mamba-2): Making SSMS Input-Dependent	4
1.4.1	4.1 Mamba: "Linear-time sequence modeling with selective SSMS"	4
1.4.2	4.2 Mamba-2: state space duality	5
1.5	5. Retention, Hyena, RWKV: Re-inventing Long-Range Memory	5
1.5.1	5.1 RetNet: Retentive Networks	5
1.5.2	5.2 Hyena & HyenaDNA: implicit long convolutions	5
1.5.3	5.3 RWKV: RNN with attention-like update	6
1.6	6. Modern Hybrids (Jamba, StripedHyena-2, etc.) SoTA Pattern circa 2024-2025	6
1.6.1	6.1 Jamba: Hybrid TransformerMamba MoE	6
1.6.2	6.2 StripedHyena-2 / Hyena-based LLMs	7
1.6.3	6.3 RWKV, ARWKV & others	7
1.7	7. Conceptual Summary: What Changed, in Equations	7
1.8	8. Applications and Future Directions	8
1.8.1	Potential Next Steps	8
1.9	References	8

1 Evolution of LLM Architectures: From Transformers to Hybrid Models

This document traces the technical evolution of large language models, covering:

1. Original Transformer (2017)
2. Efficient / long-context Transformers
3. State Space Models (S4-style)
4. Selective SSMs (Mamba, Mamba-2)
5. Retention / hybrid sequence models (RetNet, Hyena/StripedHyena, RWKV)
6. Modern hybrids (Jamba, StripedHyena-2, etc.) as of late 2024-2025

1.1 1. The Original Transformer: Global Attention With $O(N^2)$ Cost

1.1.1 1.1 Scaled dot-product attention

Given a sequence of N tokens, each is embedded into a d_{model} -dim vector. We form:

- Query matrix $Q \in \mathbb{R}^{N \times d_k}$
- Key matrix $K \in \mathbb{R}^{N \times d_k}$
- Value matrix $V \in \mathbb{R}^{N \times d_v}$

via learned linear projections:

$$Q = XW_Q, \quad K = XW_K, \quad V = XW_V$$

The core operation is:

$$\text{Attention}(Q, K, V) = \text{softmax}\left(\frac{QK^\top}{\sqrt{d_k}}\right)V$$

This gives a weighted sum of value vectors for each token, with weights determined by similarity between queries and keys. ([arXiv][1])

- **Complexity:** computing QK^\top is $O(N^2d_k)$.
- **Memory:** you must store an $N \times N$ attention matrix the famous quadratic bottleneck.

1.1.2 1.2 Multi-head attention

Instead of one big attention, you use h heads:

$$\text{MHA}(X) = \text{Concat}(\text{head}_1, \dots, \text{head}_h)W_O$$

where each head has its own $(W_Q^{(i)}, W_K^{(i)}, W_V^{(i)})$ and computes attention in a lower-dimensional subspace. This lets the model attend to different "types" of relations in parallel (syntax vs coreference vs topic, etc.).

1.1.3 1.3 Positional encodings

Because attention is permutation-invariant, Transformers add position information:

$$\text{PE}_{(\text{pos}, 2i)} = \sin\left(\frac{\text{pos}}{10000^{2i/d_{\text{model}}}}\right), \quad \text{PE}_{(\text{pos}, 2i+1)} = \cos\left(\frac{\text{pos}}{10000^{2i/d_{\text{model}}}}\right)$$

and use $X = \text{TokenEmbedding} + \text{PE}$. ([Wikipedia][2])

Key challenge: Everything is great until you want millions of tokens. Then $O(N^2)$ attention is too slow and too memory-hungry.

1.2 2. Efficient / Long-Context Transformers (Still Attention-Centric)

A huge wave of work tried to keep attention semantics while reducing the cost.

1.2.1 2.1 Sparse / local attention (Longformer, BigBird, etc.)

Idea: Instead of full $N \times N$ connectivity, define a sparse pattern M :

$$\text{Attention}(Q, K, V) = \text{softmax}\left(\frac{QK^\top + M}{\sqrt{d_k}}\right)V$$

where entries of M are $-\infty$ for disallowed connections (local windows, global tokens, random blocks, etc.). This reduces the complexity to roughly $O(Nw)$ where w is window size.

1.2.2 2.2 Low-rank and kernelized approximations (Linformer, Performer)

$$\text{softmax}(QK^\top)V \approx \text{softmax}(Q(E_K K)^\top)(E_V V)$$

with learned projections $E_K, E_V \in \mathbb{R}^{N \times r}$, $r \ll N$.

$$\text{softmax}(QK^\top)V \approx \frac{\phi(Q)(\phi(K)^\top V)}{\phi(Q)(\phi(K)^\top \mathbf{1})}$$

making attention essentially **linear in N** .

These are important conceptual steps, but fundamentally still operate in an "attention mind-set": every token can attend to every other, just more efficiently.

1.3 3. State Space Models (S4-style): From Attention to Dynamical Systems

The conceptual jump with S4 and friends is:

What if long-range sequence modeling is better framed as a *dynamical system*?

1.3.1 3.1 Classic (continuous) linear state space model

A linear time-invariant SSM defines hidden state $h(t)$, input $u(t)$, output $y(t)$:

$$\frac{dh(t)}{dt} = Ah(t) + Bu(t), \quad y(t) = Ch(t) + Du(t)$$

with learned matrices A, B, C, D . ([Hugging Face][3])

Discretize with step Δt :

$$h_{t+1} = \bar{A}h_t + \bar{B}u_t, \quad y_t = Ch_t + Dx_t$$

for sequences x_t .

If you unroll the recurrence, you see:

$$y_t = \sum_{\tau=0}^t K_{\tau} x_{t-\tau}$$

where K_{τ} is an **impulse response kernel** derived from A, B, C, D (roughly $K_{\tau} = C\bar{A}^{\tau}\bar{B}$). So an SSM layer is mathematically just a **1D convolution over time** with a very structured kernel.

1.3.2 3.2 S4: Structured State Space for Long Sequences

S4 (Efficiently Modeling Long Sequences with Structured State Spaces) has two key tricks: ([iclr-blog-track.github.io][4])

1. **HiPPO-based initialization** of A to preserve information over long horizons.
2. **Structured** A (diagonal + low-rank) so that the convolution kernel K can be computed efficiently via FFT (or other structured transforms).

The layer looks like:

$$h_{t+1} = \bar{A}h_t + \bar{B}x_t, \quad y_t = Ch_t$$

implemented as:

$$y = K * x$$

with K computed in $O(N \log N)$ from the SSM parameters.

Compared to attention:

* Attention: explicit pairwise token interactions, $O(N^2)$. * S4: implicit long-range interactions via convolution kernel, $O(N)$ or $O(N \log N)$. * You trade explicit content-based interactions for **learned, stable long-range filters**.

1.4 4. Selective SSMs (Mamba, Mamba-2): Making SSMs Input-Dependent

Plain SSMs use fixed A, B, C for the whole sequence. Mamba's key insight:

Make the SSM **selective**: let the transition matrices depend on the input token.

1.4.1 4.1 Mamba: "Linear-time sequence modeling with selective SSMs"

Mamba defines a **selective SSM**: ([arXiv][5])

$$h_t = A_t h_{t-1} + B_t x_t, \quad y_t = C_t^{\top} h_t$$

where now A_t, B_t, C_t are **functions of the current input** x_t :

$$A_t = A(x_t), \quad B_t = B(x_t), \quad C_t = C(x_t)$$

implemented with small learned networks (e.g., linear layers + gates).

This gives you:

- **Content-dependent** recurrence (like attention),
- **Linear-time** scan over sequence (like RNN/conv),
- **Streaming** ability (no need to store full context).

On hardware, Mamba is implemented via a "selective scan" kernel that fuses the recurrence and gating efficiently, giving big throughput gains and enabling million-token sequences. ([arXiv][5])

1.4.2 4.2 Mamba-2: state space duality

Mamba-2 refines this and clarifies the math: starting from the same SSM form

$$h_t = A_t h_{t-1} + B_t x_t, \quad y_t = C_t^\top h_t$$

but highlighting an exact duality between:

- a **recurrent view** (good for streaming), and
- a **convolutional / parallel view** (good for training). ([tridao.me][6])

This dual view is similar in spirit to how RWKV can be run both as a recurrent model and a parallelizable "GPT-style" model.

1.5 5. Retention, Hyena, RWKV: Re-inventing Long-Range Memory

1.5.1 5.1 RetNet: Retentive Networks

RetNet replaces attention with a **retention** operator that looks like exponentially decayed attention over time.

At a high level (simplified):

$$s_n = \gamma \odot s_{n-1} + K_n^\top V_n$$

$$\text{Retention}(x)_n = Q_n s_n$$

where $\gamma \in (0, 1)$ controls exponential decay, and Q_n, K_n, V_n come from projections of x_n . ([arXiv][7])

- This can be computed **recurrently** (constant-time per token for inference),
- or **in parallel** (like attention) during training.
- It mathematically connects recurrence and attention through a unified operator.

1.5.2 5.2 Hyena & HyenaDNA: implicit long convolutions

Hyena operators model long-range dependencies via **implicit long convolutions** plus gated mixing: ([NeurIPS Proceedings][8])

Basic form:

$$y = \sum_{\tau=0}^{L-1} K_\tau \odot x_{t-\tau}$$

where the **kernel** K is not directly learned as a long vector, but generated by a small MLP as a function of τ , then applied via FFT-based convolution in $O(L \log L)$.

HyenaDNA uses this in genomics, reaching **1M-token context** on nucleotide-level sequences while training much faster than Transformers. ([arXiv][9])

StripedHyena and StripedHyena-2 then **hybridize**:

- Hyena-style long convolutions (for bulk long-range modeling),
- plus attention layers (for precise pattern recall and local interactions). ([Together AI][10])

1.5.3 5.3 RWKV: RNN with attention-like update

RWKV is an RNN-like architecture that can be trained like a Transformer (parallel) but run as an RNN (streaming). ([arXiv][11])

At a simplified level, each layer maintains a **running weighted average** of keys and values with time-dependent decay and a softmax-like normalization, giving an **attention-like** effect with **linear complexity**. From a math perspective, it's another instance of:

$$\text{state}_t = f(\text{state}_{t-1}, x_t)$$

with cleverly chosen f so that:

- you can derive a parallelizable formulation for training,
- but also maintain a compact hidden state for streaming inference.

1.6 6. Modern Hybrids (Jamba, StripedHyena-2, etc.) SoTA Pattern circa 2024-2025

By 2024/2025, the emerging pattern in SoTA long-context LLMs is: **hybrid architectures** that combine:

- **Attention** for flexible, content-based, "who should I look at?" reasoning
- **SSMs / convolutions / retention** for cheap long-range memory and streaming
- **Mixture-of-Experts (MoE)** for scaling parameter count without linearly scaling compute

1.6.1 6.1 Jamba: Hybrid TransformerMamba MoE

Jamba interleaves **Transformer blocks** and **Mamba blocks**, with MoE in some layers: ([arXiv][12])

Roughly:

$$\text{Block}_\ell(x) = \begin{cases} \text{TransformerBlock}_\ell(x) & \ell \in \mathcal{T} \\ \text{MambaBlock}_\ell(x) & \ell \in \mathcal{M} \end{cases}$$

plus MoE FFNs:

$$\text{FFN}_{\text{MoE}}(x) = \sum_k g_k(x) \text{Expert}_k(x)$$

with sparse routing $g_k(x)$.

This gives:

- long context (reported up to 256k tokens),
- strong benchmark performance,
- good throughput and memory usage.

1.6.2 6.2 StripedHyena-2 / Hyena-based LLMs

StripedHyena-2 and related systems use **multi-hybrid convolutional architectures**: Hyena convolutions + attention + sometimes SSM-like components. ([arXiv][13])

Key points:

- Hyena blocks handle long-range modeling efficiently.
- Attention blocks provide sharp, content-based lookup.
- The combination outperforms pure Transformers in throughput at large scale and on long-context benchmarks.

1.6.3 6.3 RWKV, ARWKV & others

RWKV and successors (e.g. ARWKV) embody an **RNNTransformer duality**: train with parallelizable transformer-like math, serve as a streaming, compact-state RNN. ([arXiv][11])

1.7 7. Conceptual Summary: What Changed, in Equations

You can think of the evolution like this:

1. Transformer (2017): global pairwise interactions

$$y = \text{softmax} \left(\frac{QK^\top}{\sqrt{d_k}} \right) V$$

1. Efficient Transformers: same formula, but approximate or sparsify QK^\top

- Sparse masks
- Low-rank projections
- Kernel tricks

1. SSMs (S4): convert sequence modeling into learned convolution

$$h_{t+1} = \bar{A}h_t + \bar{B}x_t, \quad y_t = Ch_t \quad \Rightarrow \quad y = K * x$$

1. Selective SSMs (Mamba): make A_t, B_t, C_t depend on x_t

$$h_t = A(x_t)h_{t-1} + B(x_t)x_t, \quad y_t = C(x_t)^\top h_t$$

1. Retention / RWKV / Hyena: alternative ways to maintain long-range memory

- RetNet: exponentially decayed, attention-like recurrence

- RWKV: RNN with attention-shaped gating
 - Hyena: implicit long convolutions via FFT
1. **Hybrids (Jamba, StripedHyena-2, etc.): combine all of the above**
 - Attention + SSM + long conv + MoE
 - Choose the right tool for the right scale (local vs global vs ultra-long).
-

1.8 8. Applications and Future Directions

From a bio + agentic workflows perspective:

- **For very long genomic sequences:** HyenaDNA / Mamba-like / SSM-based models are strongly aligned with these needs. ([arXiv][9])
- **For multi-modal, agentic, tool-using LLMs:** hybrid designs (Jamba-style, StripedHyena-2-like, or proprietary long-context GPT/Claude/Gemini) are increasingly the norm.

1.8.1 Potential Next Steps

- Derive the SSM convolution kernel more explicitly
 - Compare attention vs SSM in the frequency domain
 - Sketch a **BioGraphLab note** section (LaTeX-ready) summarizing "Transformers SSM Hybrids" for GNN/GRL notes
 - Design a **toy Mamba-like layer in PyTorch** to make concepts concrete
-

1.9 References

[1] [Attention Is All You Need](#) [2] [Attention Is All You Need](#) [3] [Introduction to State Space Models \(SSM\)](#) [4] [The Annotated S4 - The ICLR Blog Track](#) [5] [Linear-Time Sequence Modeling with Selective State Spaces](#) [6] [State Space Duality \(Mamba-2\) Part I - The Model](#) [7] [Retentive Network](#) [8] [HyenaDNA: Long-Range Genomic Sequence Modeling at ...](#) [9] [HyenaDNA: Long-Range Genomic Sequence Modeling at ...](#) [10] [Paving the way to efficient architectures: StripedHyena-7B ...](#) [11] [RWKV: Reinventing RNNs for the Transformer Era](#) [12] [Jamba: A Hybrid Transformer-Mamba Language Model](#) [13] [Systems and Algorithms for Convolutional Multi-Hybrid ...](#)