

CLEVELAND METROPARKS Plant Community Assessment Program - Background Data Sheet

GENERAL INFORMATION

Project Label: PCAP

Project Name: C15C2013

Plot Name: Pole Field

Plot No.: 1364

☐ Level 4 (no nested corners sampled)

☒ Level 5 (nested corners sampled)

Date (mm/dd/yyyy): 6/5/2013

End date (if > 1 day): / /

Party

Role**

S. Eysenbach Plot leader

** Roles: Co-leader, Asst. Guide, Observer, Taxonomist, etc.

PLOT NOT SAMPLED: ☒ Other

☐ Perm. water ☐ Paved ☐ Slope ☐ Safety

SAMPLING QUALITY*

Effort Level:

☐ Very thorough

☐ Accurate

☒ Hurried

subjective evaluation of how much effort put into sampling. Hurried plots may still provide good data

TAXONOMIC ACCURACY

high/modera./low/not simpl

vascul. ☒ n/a

bryo ☒

TAXONOMIC STANDARD

Authority: G&C Pub Date: 1998

Minimum required fields in Bold and Underlined

LOCATION

State: OH

County: Cuyahoga

Local Place Names:

Landowner:

Data Confidentiality:

Check one: ☐ Public data ☐ Private Data

☐ Fuzz 100m ☐ Fuzz 250m ☐ Fuzz 500m

Reason:

If data not public why?

Source of coordinates: ☐ MAP ☒ GPS

Coordinate system: ☒ Coord. Units

☒ Lat/Long ☐ UTM ☐ StatePlane ☐ deg ☐ deg min

☐ Other (specify): ☐ m ☐ ft

Datum: ☒ NAD83/WGS84 ☐ NAD27

GPS location in plot x=0 to 5, y=-1.0, +1):

x = y = (base of plot x=0, y=0)

Latitude: 41.45285

Longitude: 81.40807

Coord. Accuracy: ☒ m ☐ ft

GPS File Name: 1364

Plot size for cover data: (hectares)

X-axis Bearing of plot: [] °

Depth: (1-5):

Intensive modules: 2, 3, 8, 9 (EDIT IF MODIFIED)

Camera No.: 2


Photo Nos.: C2-2537

Plot placement: ☐ GRTS ☐ Representative

☐ Random ☐ Stratified Random ☐ Transect component

☐ Systematic (grid) ☐ Capture specific feature ☐ Other

*Definitions and values in CM PCAP FOM v. 1.0 and CVS Field Guide



NOTES: Include Layout (any unusual shape details), Location (directions and landscape content), Rationale (why here), and Veg Characterization (description of community, dominants, strata, BROWSE). Additional notes in space on back.

P4 fell in Northern edge of mound pole field

Pole field contains Pucca and clars No trees for over 50m.

Stand size - 71000

OVER

CLEVELAND METROPARKS Plant Community Assessment Program - Background Data Sheet

Project Label: PCAP

Project Name:

Plot No.:

MODIFIED NATURE RESERVE CLASS*

CODE (on separate form):

Fit= Conf=

X07

COMMUNITY NAME:

Other - Mowed

HOMOGENEITY

- ☒ Homogeneous ☐ Compositional trend across the plot
- ☐ Conspicuous inclusions ☐ Irregular/pattern mosaic

HYDROLOGIC REGIME*

- ☒ Upland (seldom flooded)
- ☐ Intermittently/seasonally saturated (seldom flooded)
- ☐ Permanently/Semipermanent saturated (dry <1/yr, seldom flooded)
- ☐ Occasionally flooded (<1/yr)
- ☐ Temporarily flooded
- ☐ Intermittently flooded
- ☐ Semipermanently flooded
- ☐ Permanently flooded
- ☐ Tidal/Seiche flooded daily
- ☐ Tidal/Seiche flooded monthly
- ☐ Tidal/Seiche flooded irregular (e.g. wind, storms)
- ☐ Unknown

SALINITY*

- ☐ Saltwater
- ☐ Brackish
- ☐ Fresh
- ☒ Upland (n/a)

(by default unless plot is a wetland)

Additional notes & diagrams: (Representativeness of plot to the stand, successional status, maturity, etc.)

DISTURBANCES

type*	severity**	hrs ago	% of plot	description
Human	VH	0	100	Mowed
Natural				
Fire				
Cut				
Animal				
Other				

**L=low, M=med low, N=med, MH=med high, H=high, VH=very high

Current Land Use:


Former Land Use:

Page _____ of _____

Page _____ of _____



EXAMPLES OF PERCENT OF AREA COVERED

The following graphic can be used for various data elements to convey "Amount" or "Quantity". NOTE: Within any given box, each quadrant contains the same total area covered just different sized objects.



cover class	% cover	midpoint
1	solitary or few	0.0001
2	0-1%	0.005
3	1-2%	0.015
4	2-5%	0.035
5	5-10%	0.075
6	10-25%	0.175
7	25-50%	0.375
8	50-75%	0.625
9	75-95%	0.850
10	95-100%	0.975

Nested Corners



BROWSE RATING NARRATIVE DESCRIPTION

LOW OR NONE: there is no measurable browse line AND there are very few or no plants 1-m nested quadrat and intensive module. In general, low values relate to less than 10 percent, by numbers of stems browsed. **MEDIUM LOW** values include evidence of browse at about 10 percent of the stems with no significant impact to plant reproduction evident. In this rating, plants are browsed but preferential species are observed to be reproducing in numbers that appear normal or near-normal in comparison to low browse areas. For example, trilliums may flower and fruit, but jewelweed and arrowwood viburnum exhibit browse. **MEDIUM:** browse affects greater than 10 percent and less than 25 percent of stems in the 1 m2 nested quadrat and intensive module. A browse line is usually not evident or obvious for all classes and species of vegetation, but careful examination may show preferential browse and/or browse lines for some species of plants. **MEDIUM HIGH** values include evidence of a browse line and 25 percent of stems browsed with very little vegetation regeneration evident. In this rating, for some species of plants, reproduction does not appear to occur or it is very severely limited. **HIGH:** greater than 25 percent of the stems of plants in the 1 m2 nested quadrat and intensive module AND a browse line is evident. **VERY HIGH** values include extensive browse conditions, where the browse line is very evident AND almost all seedlings and herbs are severely browsed or missing. Browse line may be 5 to 6 feet in height with no or little green growth beneath.

