

~announce past paper~

~min sheet~

~last Sam lecture~

- guest lectures!
- office hours available until Dec

Call-by-name Vs. Call-by-value

Call-by-name (CBN)

= where variables represent terms

Example:

$$f(x) = x + x$$

$$\{x = 1+1\}$$

$$f(1+1)$$

$$\rightarrow (1+1) + (1+1)$$

$$\rightarrow 2 + (1+1) \quad 4 \text{ eval steps}$$

$$\rightarrow 2+2$$

$$\rightarrow 4$$

call-by-value (CBV)

= where variables represent values

$$f(1+1)$$

$$\mapsto f(2)$$

$$\rightarrow 2+2 \quad 3 \text{ eval steps}$$

$$\rightarrow 4$$

Evaluation order does not affect
pure results

The difference is only noticeable in the
presence of effects

↓
printing, errors ...

CBV languages:

- C
- Java
- Scala
- JS
- Ocaml
- Scheme

...

Haswell = call-by-need

↓
optimised variant
of CB name

Call-by-value λ -calc

REFERENCE: SIMPLY-TYPED λ -CALCULUS

Alex Kavvos

No need to change statics

Figure 1: Statics of the simply-typed λ -calculus (with numbers)

$\frac{\text{VAR}}{\Gamma, x : \sigma \vdash x : \sigma}$	$\frac{\text{NUM} \quad n \in \mathbb{N}}{\Gamma \vdash \text{num}[n] : \text{Num}}$	$\frac{\text{PLUS} \quad \Gamma \vdash e_1 : \text{Num} \quad \Gamma \vdash e_2 : \text{Num}}{\Gamma \vdash \text{plus}(e_1; e_2) : \text{Num}}$	
$\frac{\text{TIMES} \quad \Gamma \vdash e_1 : \text{Num} \quad \Gamma \vdash e_2 : \text{Num}}{\Gamma \vdash \text{times}(e_1; e_2) : \text{Num}}$	$\frac{\text{LET} \quad \Gamma \vdash e_1 : \sigma_1 \quad \Gamma, x : \sigma_1 \vdash e_2 : \sigma_2}{\Gamma \vdash \text{let}(e_1; x. e_2) : \sigma_2}$		$\frac{\text{UNIT}}{\Gamma \vdash \langle \rangle : \mathbf{1}}$
$\frac{\text{PROD} \quad \Gamma \vdash e_1 : \tau_1 \quad \Gamma \vdash e_2 : \tau_2}{\Gamma \vdash \langle e_1, e_2 \rangle : \tau_1 \times \tau_2}$	$\frac{\text{PROJ-1} \quad \Gamma \vdash e : \tau_1 \times \tau_2}{\Gamma \vdash \pi_1(e) : \tau_1}$	$\frac{\text{PROJ-2} \quad \Gamma \vdash e : \tau_1 \times \tau_2}{\Gamma \vdash \pi_2(e) : \tau_2}$	$\frac{\text{ABORT} \quad \Gamma \vdash e : \mathbf{0}}{\Gamma \vdash \text{abort}(e) : \tau}$
$\frac{\text{INL} \quad \Gamma \vdash e : \tau_1}{\Gamma \vdash \text{inl}(e) : \tau_1 + \tau_2}$	$\frac{\text{INR} \quad \Gamma \vdash e : \tau_2}{\Gamma \vdash \text{inr}(e) : \tau_1 + \tau_2}$		
$\frac{\text{CASE} \quad \Gamma \vdash e : \tau_1 + \tau_2 \quad \Gamma, x : \tau_1 \vdash e_1 : \tau \quad \Gamma, y : \tau_2 \vdash e_2 : \tau}{\Gamma \vdash \text{case}(e; x. e_1; y. e_2) : \tau}$		$\frac{\text{LAM} \quad \Gamma, x : \sigma \vdash e : \tau}{\Gamma \vdash \lambda x : \sigma. e : \sigma \rightarrow \tau}$	
$\frac{\text{APP} \quad \Gamma \vdash e_1 : \sigma \rightarrow \tau \quad \Gamma \vdash e_2 : \sigma}{\Gamma \vdash e_1(e_2) : \tau}$			

eval order is dynamic.

the lecture notes actually ignore products when exploring CBV STLC, so this was just me missing expanding to that. I agree there should be e_1 val and e_2 val as there is for sums.

needed for passing into functions / lets

why no val here

required?

Yes both required

Figure 2: Dynamics of the simply-typed λ -calculus

VAL-UNIT $\frac{}{\langle \rangle \text{ val}}$	VAL-PAIR $\frac{}{\langle e_1, e_2 \rangle \text{ val}}$	VAL-INL $\frac{e \text{ val}}{\text{inl}(e) \text{ val}}$	VAL-INR $\frac{e \text{ val}}{\text{inr}(e) \text{ val}}$	VAL-LAM $\frac{}{\lambda x : \tau. e \text{ val}}$
VAL-NUM $\frac{n \in \mathbb{N}}{\text{num}[n] \text{ val}}$	D-PLUS $\frac{n_1 + n_2 = n}{\text{plus}(\text{num}[n_1]; \text{num}[n_2]) \mapsto \text{num}[n]}$	D-PLUS-1 $\frac{e_1 \mapsto e'_1}{\text{plus}(e_1; e_2) \mapsto \text{plus}(e'_1; e_2)}$		
D-PLUS-2 $\frac{e_1 \text{ val} \quad e_2 \mapsto e'_2}{\text{plus}(e_1; e_2) \mapsto \text{plus}(e_1; e'_2)}$	D-LET $\frac{e \text{ val}}{\text{let}(e_1; x. e_2) \mapsto e_2[e_1/x]}$	D-PROJ-TUPLE-1 $\frac{}{\pi_1(\langle e_1, e_2 \rangle) \mapsto e_1}$		
D-PROJ-TUPLE-2 $\frac{}{\pi_1(\langle e_1, e_2 \rangle) \mapsto e_2}$	D-PROJ-1 $\frac{e \mapsto e'}{\pi_1(e) \mapsto \pi_1(e')}$	D-PROJ-2 $\frac{e \mapsto e'}{\pi_2(e) \mapsto \pi_2(e')}$		
D-ABORT-1 $\frac{e \mapsto e'}{\text{abort}(e) \mapsto \text{abort}(e')}$	D-CASE-INL $\frac{e \text{ val}}{\text{case}(\text{inl}(e); x. e_1; y. e_2) \mapsto e_1[e/x]}$			
D-CASE-INR $\frac{e \text{ val}}{\text{case}(\text{inr}(e); x. e_1; y. e_2) \mapsto e_2[e/y]}$	D-CASE-1 $\frac{}{\text{case}(e; x. e_1; y. e_2) \mapsto \text{case}(e'; x. e_1; y. e_2)}$			
D-APP-1 $\frac{e_1 \mapsto e'_1}{e_1(e_2) \mapsto e'_1(e_2)}$		D-BETA $\frac{e_2 \text{ val}}{(\lambda x : \tau. e_1)(e_2) \mapsto e_1[e_2/x]}$		

needed to trigger full eval of e.

$$\text{D-INL} \frac{e \mapsto e'}{\text{inl}(e) \mapsto \text{inl}(e')}$$

$$\text{D-INR} \frac{e \mapsto e'}{\text{inr}(e) \mapsto \text{inr}(e')}$$

$$\text{D-APP-2} \frac{e_1 \text{ val} \quad e_2 \mapsto e'_2}{e_1(e_2) \mapsto e_1(e'_2)}$$

D-LET ?

This is an error in the notes that has been missed for 4 years!

good spot! \rightarrow I will correct the latex notes

Figure 2: Dynamics of the simply-typed λ -calculus

VAL-UNIT	VAL-PAIR	VAL-INL	VAL-INR	VAL-LAM
$\overline{\langle \rangle \text{ val}}$	$\overline{\langle e_1, e_2 \rangle \text{ val}}$	$\overline{\text{inl}(e) \text{ val}}$	$\overline{\text{inr}(e) \text{ val}}$	$\overline{\lambda x : \tau. e \text{ val}}$
VAL-NUM	D-PLUS		D-PLUS-1	
$\frac{n \in \mathbb{N}}{\text{num}[n] \text{ val}}$	$\frac{n_1 + n_2 = n}{\text{plus}(\text{num}[n_1]; \text{num}[n_2]) \mapsto \text{num}[n]}$		$\frac{e_1 \mapsto e'_1}{\text{plus}(e_1; e_2) \mapsto \text{plus}(e'_1; e_2)}$	
D-PLUS-2	D-LET		D-PROJ-TUPLE-1	
$\frac{e_1 \text{ val} \quad e_2 \mapsto e'_2}{\text{plus}(e_1; e_2) \mapsto \text{plus}(e_1; e'_2)}$	$\frac{}{\text{let}(e_1; x. e_2) \mapsto e_2[e_1/x]}$		$\frac{}{\pi_1(\langle e_1, e_2 \rangle) \mapsto e_1}$	
D-PROJ-TUPLE-2	D-PROJ-1		D-PROJ-2	
$\frac{}{\pi_1(\langle e_1, e_2 \rangle) \mapsto e_2}$	$\frac{e \mapsto e'}{\pi_1(e) \mapsto \pi_1(e')}$		$\frac{e \mapsto e'}{\pi_2(e) \mapsto \pi_2(e')}$	
D-ABORT-1	D-CASE-INL			
$\frac{e \mapsto e'}{\text{abort}(e) \mapsto \text{abort}(e')}$	$\frac{}{\text{case}(\text{inl}(e); x. e_1; y. e_2) \mapsto e_1[e/x]}$			
D-CASE-INR	D-CASE-1			
$\frac{}{\text{case}(\text{inr}(e); x. e_1; y. e_2) \mapsto e_2[e/y]}$	$\frac{e \mapsto e'}{\text{case}(e; x. e_1; y. e_2) \mapsto \text{case}(e'; x. e_1; y. e_2)}$			
D-APP-1	D-BETA			
$\frac{e_1 \mapsto e'_1}{e_1(e_2) \mapsto e'_1(e_2)}$	$\frac{}{(\lambda x : \tau. e_1)(e_2) \mapsto e_1[e_2/x]}$			

Call-by-value \rightarrow Calc- cont

Progress + Preservation held for CbV
STLC.

Effects

Printing effect

Statics:

$$\text{PRINT} \quad \frac{s \in \Sigma^* \quad \Gamma \vdash e : T}{\Gamma \vdash \text{print}(s; e) : T}$$

Dynamics:

$$e \xrightarrow{s} e'$$

e prints s and steps to e'
(ϵ is empty string)

$$\text{D-P-PRINT} \quad \frac{}{\text{print}(s, e) \xrightarrow{s} e}$$

$$\text{D-P-BETA} \quad \frac{(v \text{ val})}{(\lambda x : T. e)(v) \xrightarrow{\epsilon} e[v/x]}$$

$$\text{D-P-APP-1} \quad \frac{e_1 \xrightarrow{s} e'_1}{e_1(e_2) \xrightarrow{s} e'_1(e_2)}$$

Examples with Effects

$\vdash (\lambda x: \text{Num}. \text{plus}(x; x)) (\text{print}(hi; \text{num}[1])) : \text{Num}$

CBN:

$(\lambda x: \text{Num}. \text{plus}(x; x)) (\text{print}(hi; \text{num}[1]))$

\xrightarrow{n}
 $\text{plus}(\text{print}(hi; \text{num}[1]); \text{print}(hi; \text{num}[1]))$

\xrightarrow{hi}
 $\text{plus}(\text{num}[1]; \text{print}(hi; \text{num}[1]))$

\xrightarrow{n}

$\text{plus}(\text{num}[1]; \text{num}[1])$

\xrightarrow{n}

$\text{num}[2]$

4 eval steps
"hi" x 2

$(\lambda x: \text{Num}. \text{plus}(x; x)) (\text{print}(hi; \text{num}[1]))$

\xrightarrow{hi}
 ν

$(\lambda x: \text{Num}. \text{plus}(x; x)) (\text{num}[1])$

$\xrightarrow{\nu}$

$\text{plus}(\text{num}[1]; \text{num}[1])$

$\xrightarrow{\nu}$

$\text{num}[2]$

3 eval steps
"hi" x 1

CBV vs CBN

- same pure output
- differences in eval steps
- different observationally in presence of effects

(CBPV - call-by-push-value.)

~Remind + enthuse about guest lectures~