parhality
- juttos non-tomunation
- see Bob Harper books

PCF cont. > in(:: ln+ >> ln+ > in(n = in((n+1) 71ncF: (Int->1nt)->(Int->1nt) Sinc :: lint > lint Sinc = Rx incf + fx (x: Not. succesi): Nort D: Not t-succ(sc): Nat

Succ + Bx (x: Not. succou): Nort pred det XP: Nat ifz(e; Zeo; x(.x): Neut → Neut pred: Nort -> Wart pred Z = Z pred (5x) = D(pred (suc (teo)) (\xe: Nat ifz(e; zeo; x.x))(succ(zeo)) 177 (SULL(200); Zer; X.X) +> D-1FZ-SUCC, VALSUCC, VAL-ZERO D([200/oc]

H) 200

Programming PCF

7 data Nort = 7 | S Nort

>plus:: Nort > Nort > Nort >plus Z b = b >plus (Sa) b = S (plus a b)

plus = fix (f: Non-New-Nat. \a:Nout.\b:Nat. \f2(a;b;\ta.\succ(flx)(b).

Tuning - Completeness of PUF PCF is tunng complete PCF-definable (=>) partial recursive functions A partial function f: N -> N is PCF-definable if. there exist a PCF term te: Nort-Nort with f(x) My (=> e(succo(200)) H :N-N) N 5UCC (2010)

Sequentially of PCF

Theorem:

The parallel OR function cannot be expressed in PCF there is no PCF term

that sahisties the following contena

THE NZED

False NSUC(Zer)

1. If $e, \rightarrow^{+} 2e0$ Hen $Par(e,)(e_2) \mapsto^{+} 2e0$

2. (fez +)* zeo then par (c,)(ez) +>* zeo

3. If e, H) Succ (Zeo) and er H) Succ (Zeo)

then

par(e.)(e2) + 5t succ (zer)