The title

Mari-Isabella Stan¹, Ernst-August Doelle¹, and & Ernst-August Doelle¹

¹ Ovidius University of Constanța

România

Author Note

6

3

Add complete departmental affiliations for each author here. Each new line herein must be indented, like this line. Enter author note here.

- The authors made the following contributions. Mari-Isabella Stan:
- Conceptualization, Writing Original Draft Preparation, Writing Review & Editing;
- Ernst-August Doelle: Writing Review & Editing, Supervision; Ernst-August Doelle:
- Writing Review & Editing, Supervision.
- Correspondence concerning this article should be addressed to Mari-Isabella Stan,
- University Alley, No.1. E-mail: stanisabella@yahoo.com

Abstract 15

One or two sentences providing a basic introduction to the field, comprehensible to a

scientist in any discipline.

Two to three sentences of more detailed background, comprehensible to 18

scientists in related disciplines. 19

One sentence clearly stating the **general problem** being addressed by this 20

particular study. 21

One sentence summarizing the main result (with the words "here we show" or 22

their equivalent).

Two or three sentences explaining what the main result reveals in direct 24

comparison to what was thought to be the case previously, or how the main result adds to 25

previous knowledge. 26

One or two sentences to put the results into a more general context. 27

Two or three sentences to provide a **broader perspective**, readily comprehensible 28

to a scientist in any discipline.

Keywords: keywords 30

Word count: X 31

The title

33 Methods

- We report how we determined our sample size, all data exclusions (if any), all manipulations,
- 35 and all measures in the study.
- 36 Participants
- 37 Material
- 38 Procedure

44

- 39 Data analysis
- We used R (Version 4.2.0; R Core Team, 2022) and the R-packages kableExtra (Version 1.3.4;
- ⁴¹ Zhu, 2021), knitr (Version 1.39; Xie, 2015), papaja (Version 0.1.0.9999; Aust & Barth, 2020),
- and tinylabels (Version 0.2.3; Barth, 2022) for all our analyses.

43 Results

Analiza contingenței în funcție de rangul localității

- 45 În privința actelor normative care reglementează organizarea și funcționarea autorității sau
- instituției publice rezultă diferențe statistic semnificative ($\chi^2(2)=16.10$, p=0.00) determinate
- de rangul unității administrativ teritoriale. Într-adevăr, se pare că actele normative de acest
- tip par a nu exista mai curând la nivelul **comunelor** (16.09% "Nu" față de 17.24% "Da")
- $_{49}$ în comparație cu orașele (4.60% "Nu" față de 28.74% "Da") și municipiile (2.30% "Nu" față
- $_{50}$ de 31.03% "Da"), doar între comune și celelalte două categorii de unități administrative
- existând diferențe statistic semnificative (vezi figurile 1 și 2).
- Din punct de vedere al structurii organizatorice, atribuțiilor departamentelor, programul de
- functionare, programul de audiențe al autorității sau instituției publice nu apar diferențe
- statistic semnificative ($\chi^2(2)$ =0.28, p=0.87) determinate de rangul unității administrativ

teritoriale. La toate aceste unități predomină evaluările pozitive (Comune: 29.89%, Orașe:

 $_{56}$ 31.03%, Municipii: 29.89%) în favoarea celor negative (Comune: 3.45%, Orașe: 2.30%,

57 Municipii: 3.45%)

58 Discussion

59 References

60 Aust, F., & Barth, M. (2020). papaja: Prepare reproducible APA journal articles with R

- 61 Markdown. Retrieved from https://github.com/crsh/papaja
- Barth, M. (2022). tinylabels: Lightweight variable labels. Retrieved from https://cran.r-
- project.org/package=tinylabels
- 64 R Core Team. (2022). R: A language and environment for statistical computing. Vi-
- enna, Austria: R Foundation for Statistical Computing. Retrieved from https://www.R-
- 66 project.org/
- ⁶⁷ Xie, Y. (2015). Dynamic documents with R and knitr (2nd ed.). Boca Raton, Florida:
- 68 Chapman; Hall/CRC. Retrieved from https://yihui.org/knitr/
- ⁶⁹ Zhu, H. (2021). kableExtra: Construct complex table with 'kable' and pipe syntax. Retrieved
- from https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=kableExtra

Contingency: – Locality rank vs. Normative documents




Figure 1

Contingency: - Locality rank vs. Normative documents




Figure 2

Barplot: - Locality rank vs. Normative documents

Contingency: – Locality rank vs. Oganizatoric strucure




Figure 3

Contingency: - Locality rank vs. Organizatoric structure




Figure 4
Barplot: - Locality rank vs. Organizatoric structure