

# Project Report

## 1. Project Overview

This project analyzes the relationship between trading performance and market sentiment. Using historical trading data alongside a sentiment indicator (Fear & Greed Index), the objective is to explore whether sentiment trends have a measurable impact on trader performance and come out with good trading strategies. The notebook combines data exploration, visualization, and analysis to uncover potential insights for trading strategies.

## 2. Data Sources

### 1. Historical Trading Data (`historical_data.csv`)

- Contains trader-level information including timestamps, positions, and realized profit and loss (PnL).
- Used to compute daily and cumulative PnL trends.

### 2. Sentiment Data (`fear_greed_index.csv`)

- Provides market sentiment values based on the Fear & Greed Index.
- Used to measure investor psychology, ranging from extreme fear to extreme greed.
- Aggregated at the daily level for comparison with trading outcomes.

## 3. Methodology

### • Data Loading & Cleaning

- Imported datasets using pandas.
- Verified structure with `.head()` and summary functions.
- Ensured date fields were properly converted to datetime.

### • Aggregation & Transformation

- Trading data was grouped by date to calculate **daily PnL** and **cumulative PnL**.
- Sentiment data was grouped by date to calculate **daily average sentiment** and rolling averages for smoothing trends.

- **Visualization** (Used matplotlib and seaborn to plot):
  - Daily and cumulative PnL trends.
  - Sentiment index over time.
  - Comparisons between sentiment and trader performance.

## Analysis & Insights

### 1. Correlation between Trader PnL and Market Sentiment

- The correlation coefficient between **daily PnL** and **sentiment value** is **-0.0826**.
- This is very close to zero, indicating **no significant linear relationship** between daily trading profits and the sentiment index.
- In other words, sentiment alone does not directly predict daily PnL.

### 2. Cumulative PnL Over Time

- The cumulative PnL shows a **sharp exponential rise** starting late 2024 into 2025.
- This suggests that trading performance was relatively flat for a long time but then captured a strong upward trend, possibly due to a change in strategy, favorable market conditions, or concentration in a few high-performing assets.

### 3. Market Sentiment Trends

- Sentiment is highly **cyclical**, fluctuating between fear (low values) and greed (high values).
- There is no steady upward or downward trend, which matches real-world investor psychology cycles.
- Comparing this to PnL suggests that **PnL gains were not strongly tied to sentiment shifts**, reinforcing the weak correlation result.

### 4. Distribution of PnL by Side (BUY vs SELL)

- Both **BUY** and **SELL** trades exhibit a wide spread of outcomes, including large positive and negative PnLs.
- The distributions are fairly similar, suggesting **trading success was not biased towards one side**.
- Extreme outliers (both losses and gains) exist, which could drive volatility in performance.

## 5. Performance Metrics

- **Total PnL:** ~10.3 million, showing strong absolute performance.
- **Average Daily PnL:** ~49, which is relatively small compared to the cumulative gains, suggesting many small trades plus occasional large winners.
- **Win Rate:** 41% - less than half of trades are profitable, but this is common in trading when **risk-reward is asymmetric**.
- **Max Drawdown:** -419k, indicating exposure to significant downside risk.
- **Sharpe Ratio:** 0.30 - below the standard benchmark of 1.0 for “good risk-adjusted returns.” This means returns were positive but highly volatile.

## 6. Top 10 Coins by Total PnL

- PnL is concentrated in a few coins: @107, HYPE, SOL, ETH, and BTC.
- This indicates **profit concentration**: a majority of gains were driven by a handful of assets rather than evenly distributed.
- Suggests that asset selection (or luck in timing these assets) played a huge role in performance.

## 7. PnL by Day of Week

- Highest PnL occurs on **Monday and Tuesday**, with Friday also being strong.
- Lowest PnL occurs on **Sunday**, possibly due to lower liquidity or weaker market moves on weekends.

- This suggests that **weekday trading was more profitable than weekend trading**, a useful timing insight.

## 8. PnL by Hour of Day

- Strong gains around **11 AM and 12 PM**, as well as **evening hours (7 PM – 9 PM)**.
- Lower PnL during early morning and late night.
- Suggests there are **optimal trading windows** in the day when volatility and opportunity are higher.

## 9. Trader Performance vs Market Sentiment (Dual Axis)

- The dual-axis chart shows **PnL rising sharply** in early 2025, while sentiment was volatile and did not track PnL closely.
- This confirms again: **PnL performance was strategy/market-driven rather than sentiment-driven.**
- However, certain spikes in PnL do align with sharp sentiment moves, indicating **opportunistic gains in extreme sentiment phases.**

## Final Conclusion

- **Sentiment and PnL are not strongly correlated** - traders did not systematically profit more in “fear” or “greed” conditions.
- **Performance was highly concentrated** in a few coins and specific time windows (weekday mornings and evenings).
- Despite a relatively low win rate (41%) and high volatility (low Sharpe ratio), the strategy delivered **large absolute profits** due to outsized gains on certain trades.
- Risk management remains a challenge, as shown by significant drawdowns.
- Future improvements could focus on:
  - Reducing downside volatility (risk-adjusted performance).
  - Diversifying gains across more assets.
  - Testing predictive power of **extreme sentiment levels** rather than average daily sentiment.

# PREDICTION MODEL RESULTS

Accuracy = **71%** (68 correct out of 96).

## Performance on Class 1 (Majority class)

- **Precision (0.90):** When the model predicts class 1, it's correct 90% of the time.
- **Recall (0.75):** It identifies 75% of actual class 1 cases.
- **F1-score (0.82):** Balanced performance on class 1.

## Performance on Class 0 (Minority class)

- **Precision (0.16):** When the model predicts class 0, it's only correct 16% of the time (poor).
- **Recall (0.36):** Out of 11 true class 0 samples, it only catches 4.
- **F1-score (0.22):** Very low, showing weak performance on minority class.

The CatBoost model is **strong for the profit detection** but **weak for the loss detection** due to imbalance. It's useful if your priority is detecting profits correctly, but risk management is an issue for this model.