

# AI Development Log

Patrick Lynch  
Gauntlet For America 1

## Project Context

Field	Detail
Project	Collabboard - an AI-assisted multi-user whiteboard with deterministic and LLM command execution paths
Date Range	Feb 17 - Feb 21, 2026
Author / Team	Patrick Lynch (human lead) + Codex coding agents (GPT-5.3 Codex / Spark variants for implementation)
Runtime Version	Next.js App Router on Node.js (local + Firebase App Hosting backend runtime)
AI Model/Runtime Stack	OpenAI Agents SDK (@openai/agents) using gpt-4.1-nano in strict mode, with deterministic/MCP fallback paths kept for resilience and lower-cost runs

# Tools & Workflow

## AI Coding Tools Used

Tool	Integration Method	Role in Code Design	Notes
<b>Codex coding agent</b> (GPT-5.3 Codex + Spark)	Prompt-driven feature specs, direct repo edits, rapid fix loops, scripted test/build runs	Primary implementation engine for feature work, tracing plumbing, schema expansion, and test scaffolding	Used for CL and for Cu
<b>OpenAI Agents SDK</b> (@openai/agents)	Runtime backend for <code>/api/ai/board-command</code> in <code>agents-sdk</code> mode	Happy-path command planning/tool-calling for natural language board edits	
<b>Langfuse + OpenAI tracing/logs</b>	Request/operation-level spans with trace ID correlation	Debuggability, incident triage, and command-level auditability	
<b>Playwright + Vitest</b>	On-demand paid OpenAI matrix tests plus deterministic/fallback coverage	Regression detection for required AI capabilities and command reliability	
<b>Cursor</b>	IDE setup as demonstrated in office hours	Minor. Plan to upgrade to pro and use it to throw other LLMs at the codebase over the weekend.	

## Development Workflow

Aspect	Detail
<b>Prompt Generation</b>	Human lead supplied high-signal implementation briefs (PRD/plan blocks), then narrowed scope by priority and deployment urgency
<b>Output Review</b>	Command-first and behavior-first (live command results, trace validation, then code-level inspection)
<b>Change Validation</b>	Fast loop used <code>npm run build</code> and targeted unit tests; paid OpenAI e2e suites were intentionally run on demand only by human operator

# MCP Usage

Aspect	Detail
<b>MCP Framework(s) Used</b>	@modelcontextprotocol/sdk over streamable HTTP transport
<b>MCP Endpoints/Tools Enabled</b>	command.plan and template.instantiate style tool calls in deterministic pipeline
<b>What MCP Enabled</b>	Structured, schema-constrained planning and template generation without paid LLM dependency for core fallback paths
<b>Why MCP Was Used</b>	Used for deterministic reliability and cost control
<b>Why MCP Was Not Used as Sole Path</b>	Open-ended natural-language quality was better on OpenAI Agents for happy-path UX
<b>Failure and Fallback Behavior</b>	Timeout/schema failures in MCP or planner paths trigger controlled fallback or strict-mode errors (depending on AI_PLANNER_MODE)

## Effective Prompts (3-5)

### 1. Main Developer Setup Prompt:

- *Goal:* Establish the AI as the main developer, focused on shipping a usable, clean, functional, and useful final submission.
- *Key Directives:* Finish by end of day Friday, use available skills (PDF, React TypeScript developer), coach the human lead (Patrick) on technical decisions, and use the human as a usability tester (notify when to open the browser for new features).
- *Context:* Read/edit the .agents folder, human is for steering/help.

### 2. Queueing Work and Feedback:

- *Goal:* Add a batch of specific, actionable tasks to the Codex work queue.
- *Tasks:* Add Langfuse/OpenAI tracing, fix the blue rectangle positioning, and change the x=0/y=0 canvas lines to light pink for orientation.

### 3. Complex Refactoring/Feature Definition:

- *Goal:* Direct a major UI refactoring for the board page layout.
- *Changes:* Header bar (title, back button, profile icon); move toolbar to a collapsable left side panel; move online presence to a collapsable right side panel; canvas in the middle with minimal separation; add a full-width footer for a future AI chat bot (currently prints "AI agent coming soon!").

# Code Analysis

Metric	Value	Estimate Source
AI-generated code	99% +	<pre>git log --since='2026-02-17' --numstat --pretty=tformat: -- . ':(exclude)package-lock. json'</pre> plus manual adjustment for planning/docs-only commits
Hand-written code	< 1%	

## Strengths

### From Codex (Agent Perspective)

- **Strength:** Very fast implementation throughput when requirements were explicit (plan blocks with acceptance criteria and file targets).
- **Strength:** Strong at repetitive refactor/validation tasks (schema updates, test scaffolding, route wiring, env/readiness probes).
- **Strength:** High utility for debugging integration issues with observability (Langfuse/OpenAI trace checks, error-path hardening).

### From Patrick (Human Perspective)

- AI is amazing at producing code quickly; in awe.
- Low token usage cost: 123,445 tokens used, approximately \$0.01 of a \$20 budget.
- Codex Spark is significantly faster; needs to be used more.
- Successfully created a custom React Next.js Developer skill for Codex.
- Development was fun in spite of the difficulties.

## Limitations

### From Codex (Agent Perspective)

- **Limitation:** Can over-optimize toward local correctness while UI/UX edge behavior still needs live human verification.

- **Limitation:** Occasionally introduced brittle output formatting (doc/PDF generation path required correction).
- **Limitation:** Prompt ambiguity around "fallback vs strict" caused temporary behavior mismatches until policy was explicitly locked.

## From Patrick (Human Perspective)

- Codex 5.3 Extra High was slow; feature goals were not all met due to not switching to Spark earlier.
- Difficulty managing multiple agents effectively; needs improvement.

## Key Learnings

### From Codex (Agent Perspective)

- **Lesson:** Best results came from strict "decision-locked" plans with clear scope boundaries and explicit acceptance criteria.
- **Lesson:** OpenAI strict mode + deterministic fallback is a practical production pattern when cost and reliability both matter.
- **Lesson:** Tracing must be validated in deployed environment early; local success does not guarantee cloud secret/config correctness.

### From Patrick (Human Perspective)

- A lot can be accomplished with AI, but there is much to learn.
- Spark is a better use of time.
- Did not have time to try other LLMs.
- Will continue working on this for the final submission.

## Reflection for Grading

### From Codex (Agent Perspective)

- **What worked especially well:** Golden-eval-driven development, on-demand paid test scripts with **:PAID** suffix, and trace-first debugging discipline.
- **What required the most iteration:** Live tracing visibility, planner mode behavior, and command intent precision for layout/batch operations.
- **What you would keep for production:** OpenAI Agents SDK strict path, deterministic fallback for resilience, hard spend guardrails, and mandatory tracing correlation IDs per command.

## From Patrick (Human Perspective)

- I may be in over my head. Trying to do well. There's much to learn.