

## Todo list

|  |   |
|--|---|
| ■ rewrite this whole paragraph . . . . . | 6 |
|--|---|

# An Introduction to States and Representations of $C^*$ -Algebras

Preston Malen

February 27, 2025

## Abstract

This paper provides an introduction to the theory of states and representations of  $C^*$ -algebras. Beginning with basic definitions and examples, we explore the structure of state spaces and illustrate the Gelfand-Naimark-Segal (GNS) construction. Connections to quantum mechanics and noncommutative geometry are also discussed.

## Contents

|          |   |           |
|----------|---|-----------|
| <b>1</b> | <b>Introduction</b>                                 | <b>4</b>  |
| 1.1      | Motivation . . . . .                                | 4         |
| 1.2      | Structure of the Paper . . . . .                    | 4         |
| <b>2</b> | <b>Preliminaries</b>                                | <b>5</b>  |
| 2.1      | Definition of an Algebra . . . . .                  | 5         |
| 2.2      | Definition of a Banach Algebra . . . . .            | 5         |
| 2.3      | Definition of a $C^*$ -Algebra . . . . .            | 5         |
| 2.4      | States on $C^*$ -Algebras . . . . .                 | 6         |
| <b>3</b> | <b>Concrete Examples of States</b>                  | <b>7</b>  |
| 3.1      | States on $C(X)$ . . . . .                          | 7         |
| 3.2      | States on $M_2(\mathbb{C})$ . . . . .               | 7         |
| 3.3      | States on $B(H)$ . . . . .                          | 7         |
| <b>4</b> | <b>The Gelfand-Naimark-Segal (GNS) Construction</b> | <b>9</b>  |
| 4.1      | Overview of the Construction . . . . .              | 9         |
| 4.2      | Examples . . . . .                                  | 10        |
| <b>5</b> | <b>Applications and Connections</b>                 | <b>12</b> |
| 5.1      | Quantum Mechanics . . . . .                         | 12        |
| 5.1.1    | Weyl and Majorna Operators . . . . .                | 12        |
| 5.1.2    | CAR and CCR Algebras . . . . .                      | 13        |
| 5.1.3    | Fock Spaces as Representations . . . . .            | 13        |
| 5.2      | Noncommutative Geometry . . . . .                   | 13        |
| <b>6</b> | <b>Conclusion and Future Directions</b>             | <b>14</b> |



# 1 Introduction

$C^*$ -algebras are a generalization of function algebras and play a crucial role in functional analysis, quantum mechanics, and noncommutative geometry. This paper aims to provide an accessible introduction to the concepts of states and their representations. Only a basic level of functional analysis is required to fully grasp all the concepts presented. We are trying to take a tensor product-free approach to keep things as simple and accessible as possible. However, the examples in quantum field theory, namely Fock spaces, require a basic knowledge of tensor products. The quantum field theory section is at the end and can easily be omitted without consequence.

## 1.1 Motivation

Discuss the motivation for studying states and their representations. Include their relevance to various mathematical and physical theories.

## 1.2 Structure of the Paper

Outline the sections of the paper.

## 2 Preliminaries

### 2.1 Definition of an Algebra

**Definition 2.1.** An **algebra** is a vector space  $A$  with a multiplication operator  $A \times A \rightarrow A$  and  $(x, y) \mapsto xy$  such that

1.  $(xy)z = z(yz)$  for all  $x, y, z \in A$
2.  $x(y + z) = xy + xz$  and  $(x + y)z = xz + yz$  for all  $x, y, z \in A$
3.  $c(xy) = (cx)y = x(cy)$  for all  $c \in \mathbb{C}$  and  $x, y \in A$

We say  $A$  is **unital** if it has an identity element  $e \in A$  such that  $ex = xe = x$  for all  $x \in A$ . We will assume every algebra is unital unless stated otherwise. Further,  $A$  is **abelian** or **(commutative)** if  $xy = yx$  for all  $x, y \in A$ .

### 2.2 Definition of a Banach Algebra

**Definition 2.2.** A **Banach algebra** is an algebra  $A$  which is norm complete, thus a Banach space, such that

$$\|xy\| \leq \|x\|\|y\| \quad \forall x, y \in A$$

If  $A$  is unital then we assume  $\|e\| = 1$ . Further, we usually write  $1 := e$  for the unital element. We won't work much with Banach algebras exclusively but rather to motivate the definition of a  $C^*$ -algebra. However, it is important to note an example.

**Example 2.3.** Let  $f, g \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$  and define the multiplication operation as the convolution  $f * g \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$  by

$$(f * g)(t) := \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(t-s)g(s)ds$$

Under the convolution,  $L^1(\mathbb{R})$  is a commutative Banach algebra but it is not unital.

### 2.3 Definition of a $C^*$ -Algebra

**Definition 2.4.** A  **$C^*$ -algebra** is a Banach algebra  $A$  equipped with an involution  $a \mapsto a^*$  satisfying the  $C^*$ -identity:

$$\|a^*a\| = \|a\|^2 \quad \text{for all } a \in A.$$

Note that the involution operator is unique. For some concrete examples and nonexamples, consider a compact Hausdorff space  $X$ . We define  $C(X)$  to be all continuous functions  $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ . It is crucial that  $X$  be compact for our purposes. The involution on  $C(X)$  is defined as complex conjugation denoted  $f^* = \bar{f}$ . Lets look at some simple examples.

**Example 2.5.** Let  $X = [0, 1]$ , then  $C(X)$  is a  $C^*$ -algebra.

**Example 2.6.** Let  $X = S^1$ , the unit circle. Then  $C(X)$  is a  $C^*$ -algebra.

**Example 2.7.** Let  $X = \{0, 1, 2, 3\}$ . Then  $C(X)$  is a  $C^*$ -algebra.

**Example 2.8.** Let  $X = \mathbb{R}$ . Then  $C(X)$  is not a  $C^*$ -algebra because  $X$  is not compact.

These are very basic concrete examples of subsets of  $\mathbb{C}$ , but we also want to consider some much more important examples for our purposes. One that shows up a lot in physics is the  $C^*$ -algebra of complex-valued  $n \times n$  matrices, denoted  $M_n(\mathbb{C})$ . Another  $C^*$ -algebra of particular interest is the bounded linear operators from a Hilbert space onto itself, we denote this  $B(H)$  for a Hilbert space  $H$ . One may also explore the  $C^*$ -algebras of continuous compactly supported functions and continuous functions vanishing at infinity. Should these arise in any examples we will give a rigorous definition.

In summary, and with some abuse of notation, we can see how much more structure  $C^*$ -algebras have as compared to typical vector spaces.

$$\text{vector space} \supseteq \text{algebra} \supseteq \text{Banach algebra} \supseteq C^*\text{-algebra}$$

## 2.4 States on $C^*$ -Algebras

**Definition 2.9.** A **state** on a  $C^*$ -algebra  $A$  is a linear functional  $\phi : A \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  such that:

$$\phi(a^*a) \geq 0 \quad \text{for all } a \in A, \quad \text{and } \phi(1) = 1.$$

We require the positive semidefinite condition for  $\phi$  to preserve the positive structure of  $A$ . We define the set of all the states on  $A$  as the **state space**  $S(A)$ . It can be shown that  $S(A)$  is compact, non-empty, and convex (state spaces are “nice”). There are some types of states that are of particular interest. We say a state  $\phi \in S(A)$  is **pure** if it is an extreme point of  $S(A)$ . Note this definition is well-defined because the Krein-Milman theorem guarantees the existence of extreme points of  $S(A)$ . States that are not pure are **mixed**.

rewrite  
this  
whole  
para-  
graph

States of course have the typical properties that we’d expect from a linear functional but they also admit some other properties that may not be so apparent.

**Definition 2.10.** The **kernel** of a state  $\phi$  is defined as

$$\ker(\phi) = \{a : \phi(a^*a) = 0\}$$

for  $a \in A$ , a  $C^*$ -algebra. Furthermore, a state  $\phi$  on a  $C^*$ -algebra is **faithful** if  $\phi(a^*a) = 0$  implies  $a = 0$  for all  $a \in A$ .

This, in a sense, tells us that faithful states “detect” non-zero elements. As we will see later, a state is faithful iff its GNS representation is injective. States have many other properties of interest, particularly

- The state space  $S(A)$  is compact in the weak-\* topology
- A state is **tracial** if  $\phi(ab) = \phi(ba)$  for all  $a, b \in A$
- Pure states correspond to rank-1 projections onto a unit vector  $\psi \in H$ . Specifically,  $\phi(A) = \langle \psi, A\psi \rangle$
- A mixed state is a convex combination of pure states

### 3 Concrete Examples of States

#### 3.1 States on $C(X)$

Recall that for compact space  $X$ , we denote the  $C^*$ -algebra of continuous functions on  $X$  by  $C(X)$ . Fix some point  $x_0 \in X$ . We define the **Dirac state** as  $\phi(f) = f(x_0)$ . One can easily see that the Dirac state is pure and faithful. Now, consider the  $C^*$ -algebra  $C^*(G)$  which is the algebra generated by the unitary representations of a compact group  $G$  (we will talk about representations some more in the next section). Then we define the **Haar state** as

$$\phi(f) = \int_G f(g) d\mu(g) \quad (1)$$

for the Haar measure  $\mu$ . This state is faithful if  $G$  is simple, and tracial if  $G$  is abelian. There are of course many other states on  $C(X)$  (and  $C^*(X)$ ) but these are of particular interest in quantum mechanics and noncommutative geometry. States on commutative algebras have a very familiar analogue. In fact, for  $C(X)$ , states are exactly probability measures. To see this, we define the state

$$\phi(f) = \int_X f(x) d\mu(x) \quad (2)$$

with  $\mu(X) = 1$ . So under the hood, probability measures on commutative algebras are states. Now what about the noncommutative case? Consider a, not necessarily commutative,  $C^*$ -algebra  $A$ . If  $A$  is noncommutative, then unfortunately we can't make a generalization to traditional probability measures. If  $A$  is commutative, and thus isomorphic to  $C(X)$  (by Gelfand duality), then the Riesz Representation Theorem guarantees that  $\phi$  corresponds to a probability measure  $\mu$  on  $X$ .

#### 3.2 States on $M_2(\mathbb{C})$

In quantum mechanics, a density matrix is a positive semidefinite operator  $\rho$  with operator norm 1. The state corresponding to a given density matrix is

$$\phi(A) = \text{Tr}(\rho A) \quad (3)$$

Recall that if  $\rho$  is a rank-1 projection, then we can recover the pure state exactly by taking the inner-product  $\phi(A) = \langle \psi, A\psi \rangle$  for a unit vector  $\psi \in H$ . If  $\rho$  is not rank-1, then it is a mixed state. These mixed states have a special correspondence to the probability of quantum states which we will discuss later.

#### 3.3 States on $B(H)$

For noncommutative  $C^*$ -algebras, the theory changes but there is still a relationship to probability. Consider the  $C^*$ -algebra of bounded linear operators on a Hilbert space  $B(H)$ . Recall that the involution on  $B(H)$  is the adjoint operator. For a quantum state  $\phi$  on  $B(H)$ , we can define a probability distribution for each observable. In this context, observables are self-adjoint elements of  $B(H)$  ( $A = A^*$ ). Suppose we have an observable  $A$  with the given spectral decomposition

$$A = \sum_i \lambda_i P_i \quad (4)$$

where the  $P_i$  are projections onto the eigenspaces of  $A$ . Then we can find the probability of a given  $\lambda_i$  by  $\mathbb{P}(A = \lambda_i) = \phi(P_i)$ . So the quantum state doesn't assign a probability distribution to the whole space, but rather assigns a probability distribution to each observable in  $B(H)$ . If we have two observables  $A$  and  $B$  that do not commute, then there does not exist a single probability distribution that describes both simultaneously.

In summary, states on commutative  $C^*$ -algebras correspond to probability measures either directly or by the Riesz Representation Theorem. States on noncommutative  $C^*$ -algebras cannot assign a global probability measure, but rather each observable is assigned a probability measure. So the commutative case lets us make a global generalization while the noncommutative case only allows local consistency. We have only just scratched the surface of states here but hopefully the reader can start to understand the importance they have in quantum mechanics and noncommutative geometry.



## 4 The Gelfand-Naimark-Segal (GNS) Construction

### 4.1 Overview of the Construction

The Gelfand-Naimark-Segal (GNS) Construction is a very important method in the theory of operator algebras and related fields. On a high level, the idea is to take a state  $\phi$  on a  $C^*$ -algebra and turn it into a representation of the whole algebra over a Hilbert space. First, we define representations (technically these are  $*$ -representations).

**Definition 4.1.** A **representation** of a  $C^*$ -algebra  $A$  over a Hilbert space  $H$  is a map  $\pi : A \rightarrow B(H)$  such that

- $\pi$  is a ring homomorphism and carries the involution from  $A$  into the involution on operators in  $B(H)$
- $\pi$  is nondegenerate and thus unit-preserving ( $\pi(1) = 1$ )

**Definition 4.2.** Let  $\pi$  be a representation of a  $C^*$ -algebra  $A$  on a Hilbert space  $H$ . An element  $\xi$  is called a **cyclic vector** if the set of vectors

$$\{\pi(x) \cdot \xi : x \in A\} \quad (5)$$

is norm dense in  $H$ . Specifically, the range of the representation  $\pi(x)\xi$  is dense in  $H$  with respect to the induced norm. When this is the case,  $\pi$  is called a **cyclic representation**.

The ultimate goal of the GNS construction is to take an abstract state and realize it as a representation on a Hilbert space. Lets do this step by step.

1. We take the algebra  $A$  and define a pre-Hilbert space on it where the vectors in the pre-Hilbert space are equivalence classes of elements of  $A$  with inner-product  $\langle a, b \rangle_\phi = \phi(b^*a)$  for a state  $\phi$  on  $A$ .
2. Now the algebra  $A$  can act on this pre-Hilbert space by operators. For each  $a \in A$  we define the operator (representation)  $\pi_\phi(a)$  as acting on the Hilbert space  $H$  (the completion of the pre-Hilbert space). This representation is explicitly realized as  $\pi_\phi(a) \cdot x = a \cdot x$  for some  $x$  in the Hilbert space  $H$ .
3. The construction of  $H$  gives us a cyclic vector  $\xi \in H$  generated by the identity of  $A$ . This vector is crucial because all of  $H$  is generated by the action of  $A$  onto this vector. Particularly, all vectors in  $H$  can be written  $\pi_\phi(a) \cdot \xi$  for some  $a \in A$ .
4. The state  $\phi$  corresponds to the expectation of the representations  $\pi_\phi(a)$  acting on  $\xi$ . Specifically,  $\phi(a) = \langle \pi_\phi(a) \cdot \xi, \xi \rangle$ .

Thus our state  $\phi$ , which started life as a linear functional, can now be realized as a real-valued expectation on  $H$ . Now we have all the ingredients we need to explicitly define the GNS construction.

**Theorem 4.3** (Gelfand-Naimark-Segal (GNS) Construction). Given a state  $\phi$  on a  $C^*$ -algebra  $A$ , there is a  $*$ -representation  $\pi$  of  $A$  acting on a Hilbert space  $H$  with a cyclic vector  $\xi$  such that

$$\phi(a) = \langle \pi(a)\xi, \xi \rangle \quad (6)$$

for every  $a \in A$ .

**Theorem 4.4.** A state  $\phi$  on a  $C^*$ -algebra  $A$  is faithful if and only if its GNS representation  $\pi_\phi : A \rightarrow B(H_\phi)$  is injective.

## 4.2 Examples

**Example 4.5.** Lets step through a GNS construction for  $C([0, 1])$  with a simple state. One can easily verify that  $C([0, 1])$  is a  $C^*$ -algebra under pointwise addition, pointwise multiplication, and the usual supremum norm. The involution is typically complex conjugation for continuous functions but because we are only considering real-valued functions here, we just take the identity involution  $f^* = f$ . First, lets define a simple state on  $C([0, 1])$ . Lets consider the state  $\varphi$  which is just a point evaluation, say  $x_0 \in [0, 1]$ , specifically,  $\varphi(f) = f(x_0)$ . So we see every  $f \in C([0, 1])$  is a linear functional, we just need to ensure positive definiteness. So we check  $\varphi(f^*f) = f(x_0)^2 \geq 0$ . Thus  $\varphi$  as we defined it, is a valid state on  $C([0, 1])$ . Now we need to construct the appropriate pre-Hilbert space  $H_\varphi$ . The elements of  $H_\varphi$  will be equivalence classes of functions on  $C([0, 1])$  with the inner-product given by pointwise multiplication of point evaluations

$$\langle f, g \rangle_\varphi = \varphi(g^*f) = g(x_0)f(x_0) \quad (7)$$

We take the topological closure of  $H_\varphi$  to turn it into a proper Hilbert space. Next, we define a the representation  $\pi_\varphi$  of the algebra  $C([0, 1])$  on the Hilbert space  $H_\varphi$ . So we define the operator  $\pi_\varphi(f)$  as

$$\pi_\varphi : C([0, 1]) \rightarrow B(\mathbb{C}) \quad (8)$$

$$\pi_\varphi(f)\xi_\varphi \mapsto f\xi_\varphi \quad (9)$$

for the cyclic vector  $\xi_\varphi$ . In this example,  $\xi_\varphi$  is any function that is nonzero when evaluated at  $x_0$ . For simplicity we can even choose  $\xi_\varphi = 1$ . So when we take  $f \in C([0, 1])$  and apply the cyclic vector  $\xi_\varphi$ , we get

$$\pi_\varphi(f)\xi_\varphi = f(x_0)\xi_\varphi \quad (10)$$

So the algebra  $C([0, 1])$  acts on the Hilbert space by multiplication, specifically, by multiplying the cyclic vector by the function evaluated at  $x_0$ . Here, our cyclic vector  $\xi_\varphi$  generates the entire Hilbert space under the action of the algebra. In other words, every vector (function) in  $C([0, 1])$  can be generated by multiplying an element  $f \in C([0, 1])$  by the cyclic vector  $\xi_\varphi = 1$ . More concretely, for any function  $f \in C([0, 1])$ , the action on  $\xi_\varphi$  is just

$$\pi_\varphi(f)\xi_\varphi = f(x_0)\xi_\varphi \quad (11)$$

This is somewhat trivial in this example but of course the choice of cyclic vector can be very difficult in general. Finally, we note that the state  $\varphi$  corresponds to the expectation value of the representation acting on the cyclic vector. Specifically, we have

$$\varphi(f)\xi_\varphi = \langle \pi_\varphi(f)\xi_\varphi, \xi_\varphi \rangle \quad (12)$$

In our case, this boils down to

$$\varphi(f) = \langle f(x_0), 1 \rangle = f(x_0) \quad (13)$$

This is just our function evaluated at  $x_0$  which is exactly what our state does. Despite this being a “simple” example, we still had to do a lot of work. When the choice of pre-Hilbert space and cyclic vector are apparent, the GNS construction of any  $C^*$ -algebra will look very similar to this example.

**Example 4.6.** The GNS construction for  $M_2(\mathbb{C})$  is still simple but because we are dealing with matrices, it may give a better intuition of what an arbitrary GNS construction would look like for linear operators. It is easy to see that  $M_2(\mathbb{C})$  is a  $C^*$ -algebra under the usual matrix addition and multiplication and with involution given by the conjugate transpose. As for states on  $M_2(\mathbb{C})$ , there are a few “natural” choices. We will use the trace state

$$\varphi(A) = \frac{1}{2} |\text{Tr}(A)| \quad (14)$$

Note that the trace state is faithful. Using the trace state  $\varphi$ , we can build the pre-Hilbert space by taking the inner product

$$\langle A, B \rangle = \varphi(A^*B) = \frac{1}{2} |\text{Tr}(A^*B)| \quad (15)$$

and finding the quotient of  $M_2(\mathbb{C})$  by the kernel of the inner-product. Note that because our action is faithful,  $\varphi$  has a trivial null space, which simplifies the calculations a lot. It is a well known fact that  $M_2(\mathbb{C})/\{0\} \cong M_2(\mathbb{C})$ . Thus the pre-Hilbert space is just  $M_2(\mathbb{C})$  with the inner product given by the trace state, which is in fact a Hilbert space. This makes things simple because we don’t need to compute any difficult closures (this can get very cumbersome in general), essentially saving us a step. Next, we need to define our representation. In this case it is convenient to take the “trivial” representation (the reader may start to see a pattern)  $\pi_\varphi : M_2(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow B(M_2(\mathbb{C}))$  defined by  $\pi_\varphi(A)(B) = AB$  for all  $A, B \in M_2(\mathbb{C})$  (left multiplication by matrices). This is trivial in the fact that it isn’t providing any additional structure. This representation simply states the fact that matrices act on the Hilbert space  $M_2(\mathbb{C})$  by left multiplication, which is exactly the multiplication operation we expect. If the reader picked up on the pattern, it will be no surprise that for the cyclic vector, we just take the identity matrix  $\xi = I_2$ . So in a concrete sense, the state representation is

$$\pi_\varphi(A)\xi = A\xi \quad (16)$$

which gives us a natural extension to

$$\varphi(A) = \langle \pi_\varphi(A)\xi, \xi \rangle = \langle A\xi, \xi \rangle \quad (17)$$

which is exactly what we would expect. This example was potentially easier for some and more difficult for others. But between the two basic examples, hopefully the importance of the GNS construction is illustrated. In a nutshell, we can think of the GNS construction as a way to express a state on a  $C^*$ -algebra as an inner product in a Hilbert space using a representation of the algebra, and a cyclic vector from the Hilbert space.

## 5 Applications and Connections

### 5.1 Quantum Mechanics

States are a key concept in quantum mechanics. We saw earlier how states on  $B(H)$  assign probability distributions to observables. We also looked at the trace state on the algebra  $M_2(\mathbb{C})$  and saw how it relates to density matrices. Now, we will look at some non-trivial states in quantum mechanics that are of equal or greater importance. We will start with some background on some important operators, then see the  $C^*$ -algebras they generate and ultimately work through the GNS construction of the Fock spaces.

#### 5.1.1 Weyl and Majorna Operators

First we introduce the bosonic creation  $a^\dagger(f)$  and annihilation  $a(f)$  operators. If these operators satisfy the **canonical commutation relations**:

$$[a(f), a^\dagger(g)] = \langle f, g \rangle \quad (18)$$

Then we can define the **Weyl operator**:

$$W(f) = e^{i(a(f) + a^\dagger(f))} \quad (19)$$

Where  $f$  and  $g$  are functions from a hilbert space  $H$ . Weyl operators satisfy the Weyl commutation relations given by:

$$W(f)W(g) = e^{-\frac{i}{2}\text{Im}\langle f, g \rangle} W(f + g) \quad (20)$$

Weyl operators satisfy a lot of convenient properties but it is sufficient to note they are unitary operators, specifically:

$$\begin{aligned} W(f)^* &= W(-f) \\ W(0) &= 1 \end{aligned}$$

From a physics perspective, Weyl generate phase space transformations. They also describe coherent states and quantum optical transformations. These are beyond the scope of this survey but it is important to note that Weyl operators play a key role in physics.

We saw that Weyl operators satisfy the canonical commutation relations and thus the question arises; are there anticommutation relations and further, corresponding operators? First, for the creation and annihilation operators, we let  $c(f)$  and  $c^\dagger(f)$  be the fermionic creation and annihilation operators respectively. We define the **canonical anticommutation relations** under the anticommutator operator  $\{A, B\} = AB + BA$ :

$$\{c(f), c^\dagger(g)\} = \langle f, g \rangle \quad (21)$$

$$\{c(f), c(g)\} = 0 \quad (22)$$

$$\{c^\dagger(f), c^\dagger(g)\} = 0 \quad (23)$$

Given these relations, we can define the anticommutative analogue to Weyl operators. The **unitary fermionic coherent operator** is defined as:

$$U(f) = e^{c^\dagger(f) - c(f)} \quad (24)$$

However, the exponent is usually dropped and the unitary fermionic coherent operator becomes the **Majorna operator**:

$$\gamma(f) = c(f) + c^\dagger(f) \quad (25)$$

Majorna operators satisfy the **Clifford algebra relations**:

$$\{\gamma(f), \gamma(g)\} = 2 \operatorname{Re}\langle f, g \rangle \quad (26)$$

Majorna operators are used in fermionic systems, particularly in topological phases of matter and quantum computing.

### 5.1.2 CAR and CCR Algebras

It might not be obvious how Weyl and Majorna operators show up in the theory of  $C^*$ -algebras but now we demonstrate how they generate two of the most important algebras in physics. Given a Hilbert space  $H$ , the Weyl operators on  $H$  generate the **Canonical Commutation Relations algebra (CCR)**. Under the Weyl commutation relations, the CCR algebra is a  $C^*$ -algebra.

### 5.1.3 Fock Spaces as Representations

Fermionic and Bosonic

## 5.2 Noncommutative Geometry

Briefly describe the role of states in measuring noncommutative spaces.

## 6 Conclusion and Future Directions

Summarize the main points and suggest further topics for exploration.

## **A Proofs of Key Results**

Provide detailed proofs for any key theorems mentioned in the paper.

## References

- [1] William Arveson, *An Invitation to  $C^*$ -Algebras*.
- [2] Gerald J. Murphy,  *$C^*$ -Algebras and Operator Theory*.