## Homework 10 Section 2.6

## Mark Petersen

Exercises: 2,3,4,5

## 07/01/2020

Exercise 1. (Q2): Give an example of each of the following, or ague that such a request is impossible.

- 1) A Cauchy sequence that is not monotone.
  - a) Let  $a_n = \frac{1}{n}\cos\left(\frac{\pi n}{2}\right)$ , then  $(a_n) = \{1, 0, -\frac{1}{3}, 0, \frac{1}{4}, \ldots\}$  is not monotone, but it converges to 0.
- 2) A Cauchy sequence with an unbounded subsequence.
  - a) This is not possible. A Cauchy sequence is a convergent sequence. Since every convergent sequence is bounded, then any subsequence is also bounded.
- 3) A divergent monotone sequence with a Cauchy subsequence.
  - a) This is not possible.

*Proof:* We suppose directly that  $(a_n)$  is a divergent monotone sequence and  $(a_{n_k})$  is a Cauchy subsequence. Then given some epsilon  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exists some  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  such that whenever m, n > N

$$\left|a_{n_m} - a_{n_i}\right| < \epsilon.$$

Since  $(a_n)$  is monotone, all of the terms between  $a_{n_m}$  and  $a_{n_j}$  also meet this criteria. In other words, let  $x=n_m$  and  $y=n_m$  then

$$|a_x - a_y| < \epsilon$$

and all the terms between these two terms meet the criteria. Thus  $(a_n)$  is a Cauchy sequence. This contradicts the original statement. Therefore it's not possible.

- 4) An unbounded sequence sequence containing a subsequence that is Cauchy.
  - a) Let  $(a_n)$  be the sequence be the unbounded sequence  $\{1,0,2,0,3,0,4,0,5,0,6,0\cdots\}$ , the subsequence  $(a_{n_k})$  with terms  $\{0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,\cdots\}$  is bounded, and for all  $x,y\in\mathbb{N}$

$$\left| a_{n_x} - a_{n_y} \right| = 0 < \epsilon$$

for any  $\epsilon > 0$ . Thus  $(a_{n_k})$  is Cauchy.

**Exercise 2.** (Q3): If  $(x_n)$  and  $(y_n)$  are Cauchy sequences, then one easy way to prove that  $(x_n + y_n)$  is to use the Cauchy Criterion. By Theorem 2.6.4,  $(x_n)$  and  $(y_n)$  must be convergent, and the Algebraic Limit Theorem then implies  $(x_n + y_n)$  is convergent and hence Cauchy.

1) Give a direct argument that  $(x_n + y_n)$  is a Cauchy sequence that does not use the Cauchy Criterion or the Algebraic Limit Theorem.

*Proof:* We suppose directly that  $(x_n)$  and  $(y_n)$  are Cauchy sequences, then given an epsilon  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exists and  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  such that whenever  $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$  are greater than N, the following inequalities hold

$$|x_n - x_m| < \frac{\epsilon}{2}$$
$$|y_n - y_m| < \frac{\epsilon}{2}.$$

For the same n and m we have

$$|x_n + y_n - x_m - y_m| \le |x_n - x_m| + |y_n - y_m|$$

$$= \frac{\epsilon}{2} + \frac{\epsilon}{2}$$

$$= \epsilon.$$

Therefore, if  $(x_n)$  and  $(y_n)$  are Cauchy sequences, then  $(x_n + y_n)$  is a Cauchy sequence.

2) Give a direct argument that  $(x_n y_n)$  is a Cauchy sequence.

*Proof:* We suppose directly that  $(x_n)$  and  $(y_n)$  are Cauchy sequences, then  $(x_n)$  and  $(y_n)$  are bounded,  $|x_n| \le M_x$  and  $|y_n| \le M_y$ . Let  $M = \max(M_x, M_y)$ . Given an epsilon  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exists and  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  such that whenever  $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$  are greater than N, the following inequalities hold

$$|x_n - x_m| < \frac{\epsilon}{2M}$$
$$|y_n - y_m| < \frac{\epsilon}{2M}.$$

For the same n and m we have

$$|x_{n}y_{n} - x_{m}y_{m}| = |x_{n}y_{n} - x_{m}y_{m} + x_{n}y_{m} - x_{n}y_{m}|$$

$$= |x_{n} (y_{n} - y_{m}) + y_{m} (x_{n} - x_{m})|$$

$$\leq |M (y_{n} - y_{m}) + M (x_{n} - x_{m})|$$

$$\leq M |y_{n} - y_{m}| + M |x_{n} - x_{m}|$$

$$= M \frac{\epsilon}{2M} + M \frac{\epsilon}{2M}$$

$$= \epsilon.$$

Therefore, if  $(x_n)$  and  $(y_n)$  are Cauchy sequences, then  $(x_ny_n)$  is a Cauchy sequence.

**Exercise 3.** (Q4): Let  $(a_n)$  and  $(b_n)$  be Cauchy sequences. Decide whether each of the following sequences is a Cauchy sequence, justifying each conclusion.

1)  $c_n = |a_n - b_n|$ .

*Proof:* We want to show that  $c_n$  is a Cauchy sequence. We suppose directly that  $(x_n)$  and  $(y_n)$  are Cauchy sequences, then given an epsilon  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exists and  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  such that whenever  $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$  are greater than N, the following inequalities hold

$$|x_n - x_m| < \frac{\epsilon}{2}$$

$$|y_n - y_m| < \frac{\epsilon}{2}.$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} |c_n - c_m| &= ||a_n - b_n| - |a_m - b_m|| \\ &\leq |a_n - b_n - a_m + b_m| \\ &\leq |a_n - a_m| + |b_n - b_m| \\ &= \frac{\epsilon}{2} + \frac{\epsilon}{2} \\ &= \epsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,  $c_n$  is a Cauchy sequence.

2)  $c_n = (-1)^n a_n$ .

*Proof:* We want to show that  $c_n$  is not a Cauchy sequence with a counterexample. Let  $a_n = 1$ , then  $(c_n)$  has terms  $\{-1, 1, -1, 1, \ldots\}$  which does not converge. Therefore,  $c_n$  is not necessarily a Cauchy sequence.

3)  $c_n = [[a_n]]$ , where [[x]] refers to the greatest integer less than or equal to x.

*Proof:* We want to show that  $c_n$  is not a Cauchy sequence with a counterexample. Let  $a_n$  be a non monotonic Cauchy sequence that oscillates around an integer. For example,  $a_n = 0.5 \exp(n) \cos(n\pi)$ , then  $(a_n)$  will oscillate around 0, then  $(c_n)$  would have terms  $\{0, -1, 0, -1, 0, -1, \ldots\}$  and doesn't converge. Therefore,  $c_n$  is not necessarily a Cauchy sequence.

**Exercise 4.** (Q5): Consider the following (invented) definition: A sequence  $(s_n)$  is pseudo-Cauchy if, for all  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exists an N such that if  $n \ge N$ , then  $|s_{n+1} - s_n| < \epsilon$ . Decide which one of the following two propositions is actually true. Supply a proof for the valid statement and a counterexample for the other.

1) Pseudo-Cauchy sequences are bounded.

Disproof: Consider the harmonic series  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n}$  and the partial sum  $s_n = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{i}^n$ . The sequence  $(s_n)$  is known to not converge and to not be bounded. However, since  $|s_{m+1} - s_m| = \frac{1}{m+1}$  for any  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ , given an  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exists an N such that if  $m \geq N$  then

$$\frac{1}{m+1} < \epsilon.$$

This follows from the Archimedean property, thus the sequence  $(s_n)$  is Pseudo-Cauchy but not bounded.

2) If  $(x_n)$  and  $(y_n)$  are pseudo-Cauchy, then  $(x_n + y_n)$  is pseudo-Cauchy as well.

*Proof:* We suppose directly that  $(x_n)$  and  $(y_n)$  are pseudo-Cauchy sequences, then given an epsilon  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exists and  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  such that whenever  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  is greater than N, the following inequalities hold

$$|x_{n+1} - x_n| < \frac{\epsilon}{2}$$
$$|y_{n+1} - y_n| < \frac{\epsilon}{2}.$$

It follow that

$$|x_{n+1} + y_{n+1} - x_n - y_n| \le |x_{n+1} - x_n| + |y_{n+1} - y_n|$$
  
=  $\frac{\epsilon}{2} + \frac{\epsilon}{2}$   
=  $\epsilon$ .

Therefore, if  $(x_n)$  and  $(y_n)$  are pseudo-Cauchy, then  $(x_n + y_n)$  is pseudo-Cauchy as well.