## Homework 10

## Mark Petersen

**Exercise 1.** Show that the origin (0,0,0) of the hyperboloid r(u,v)=(u,v,auv) we have  $K=-a^2$  and H=0.

The differential of the surface is

$$dr = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \\ av & au \end{bmatrix},$$

from which we obtain the first fundamental form or the induced metric

$$\begin{split} I_p &= dr' dr \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} a^2 v^2 + 1 & a^2 uv \\ a^2 uv & a^2 u^2 + 1 \end{bmatrix}, \end{split}$$

the normal unit vector

$$\begin{split} N &= \frac{dr_u \times dr_v}{\|dr_u \times dr_v\|} \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{a^2v^2 + a^2u^2 + 1}} \begin{bmatrix} -av \\ -au \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}, \end{split}$$

and its derivative

$$dN = \frac{1}{\left(a^{2}v^{2} + a^{2}u^{2} + 1\right)^{3/2}} \begin{bmatrix} a^{3}uv & -a\left(a^{2}u^{2} + 1\right) \\ -a\left(a^{2}v^{2} + 1\right) & a^{3}uv \\ -a^{2}u & -a^{2}v \end{bmatrix}.$$

Using the derivative of the normal unit vector and the differential of the surface, we compute the second fundamental form

$$II_p = dr'dN$$

$$= \frac{1}{(a^2v^2 + a^2u^2 + 1)^{3/2}} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -(a^3u^2 + a^3v^2 + a) \\ -(a^3u^2 + a^3v^2 + a) & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Using the first and second fundamental form we can calculate the Gaussian curvature and the mean curvature

$$K = \frac{-\left(a^3u^2 + a^3v^2 + a\right)^2}{\left(a^2v^2 + a^2u^2 + 1\right)^3\left(\left(a^2v^2 + 1\right)\left(a^2u^2 + 1\right) - a^2uv\right)}$$

$$H = \frac{1}{2} \frac{2\left(a^3u^2 + a^3v^2 + a\right)a^2uv}{\left(a^2v^2 + a^2u^2 + 1\right)^3\left(\left(a^2v^2 + 1\right)\left(a^2u^2 + 1\right) - a^2uv\right)}.$$

Evaluating them at p = (0, 0, 0) yields

$$K = -a^2$$
$$H = 0$$

Exercise 2. Consider Enneper's surface

$$r(u,v) = \left(u - \frac{u^3}{3} + uv^2, v - \frac{v^3}{3} + vu^2, u^2 - v^2\right)$$

and show that

- 1) the coefficients for the first fundamental form are  $E = G = (1 + u^2 + v^2)^2$  and F = 0,
- 2) the coefficients for the second fundamental form are e = 2,  $\dot{f} = 0$ , and g = -2, and
- 3) the principle curvatures are

$$k_1 = \frac{2}{(1+u^2+v^2)^2}$$
 and  $k_2 = -\frac{2}{(1+u^2+v^2)^2}$ .

The differential of the surface is

$$dr = \begin{bmatrix} -u^2 + v^2 + 1 & 2uv \\ 2uv & u^2 - v^2 + 1 \\ 2u & -2v \end{bmatrix},$$

from which we obtain the first fundamental form or the induced metric

$$I_p = dr'dr = \begin{bmatrix} (1 + u^2 + v^2)^2 & 0 \\ 0 & (1 + u^2 + v^2)^2 \end{bmatrix},$$

the normal unit vector

$$\begin{split} N &= \frac{dr_u \times dr_v}{\|dr_u \times dr_v\|} \\ &= \frac{1}{(1+u^2+v^2)} \begin{bmatrix} -2u \\ 2v \\ 1-u^2-v^2 \end{bmatrix}, \end{split}$$

and its derivative

$$dN = \frac{1}{\left(1 + u^2 + v^2\right)^2} \begin{bmatrix} -2\left(1 + v^2 - u^2\right) & 4uv \\ -4uv & 2\left(1 + u^2 - v^2\right) \\ -4u\left(u^2 + v^2 - 2\right) & -4v\left(u^2 + v^2 - 2\right) \end{bmatrix}.$$

Using the derivative of the normal unit vector and the differential of the surface, we compute the second fundamental form

$$II_p = -dr'dN$$
$$= \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 0\\ 0 & -2 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Using the first and second fundamental forms, we calculate the principal curvatures

$$k_1 = \frac{2(1+u^2+v^2)^2}{(1+u^2+v^2)^4}$$

$$= \frac{2}{(1+u^2+v^2)^2}$$

$$k_2 = \frac{-2(1+u^2+v^2)^2}{(1+u^2+v^2)^4}$$

$$= \frac{-2}{(1+u^2+v^2)^2}.$$

**Exercise 3.** Using the theorem in the homework assignment, show that no neighborhood of a point in a sphere may be isometrically mapped into a plane.

In homework 8 we computed that the Gaussian curvature of the sphere was nonzero constant and thus equal at every point. Therefore, the curvature R is also the same at every point according to the Bonnet theorem. In order for a neighborhood of a point on the sphere to be isometric to the plane, the point on the sphere and the plane would need to have the same curvature. Since the curvature of a plane is zero and the curvature of a sphere is nonzero constant at every point, there is no such isometry.

**Exercise 4.** Using the above results show that there exists no surface r(u, v) such that E = G = 1, F = 0 and e = 1, g = -1, and f = 0.

*Proof:* Since E, F, G are zero, their partial derivatives are zero. This means that the Christoffel symbols are also zero. Therefore,

$$\Gamma_{12}^2 + \Gamma_{11}^2 + \Gamma_{12}^1 \Gamma_{11}^2 - \Gamma_{11}^2 \Gamma_{22}^2 - \Gamma_{11}^1 \Gamma_{12}^2 = 0.$$

According to the Gauss equation, this implies that

$$-E\frac{eg-f^2}{EG-F^2} = 0;$$

however

$$-E\frac{eg-f^2}{EG-F^2} = -1\frac{1\cdot 1 - 0^2}{1\cdot 1 - 0^2} = -1$$

which is a contradiction; thus there exists no such surface r(u, v).

**Exercise 5.** Let  $M_1$  and  $M_2$  be Riemannian manifolds, and consider the product  $M_1 \times M_2$ , with the product metric. Let  $\nabla^1$  be the Riemannian connection of  $M_1$  and let  $\nabla^2$  be the Riemannian connection of  $M_2$ .

- 1) Show that the Riemannian connection  $\nabla$  of  $M_1 \times M_2$  is given by  $\nabla_{Y_1+Y_2}(X_1+X_2) = \nabla^1_{Y_1}X_1 + \nabla^2_{Y_2}X_2$ ,  $X_1,Y_1 \in \mathcal{X}(M_1)$  and  $X_2,Y_2 \in \mathcal{X}(M_2)$ .
- 2) For every  $p \in M_1$ , the set  $(M_2)_p = \{(p,q) \in M_1 \times M_2 \mid q \in M_2\}$  is a submanifold of  $M_1 \times M_2$ , naturally diffeomorphic to  $M_2$ . Prove that  $(M_2)_p$  is a totally geodesic submanifold of  $M_1 \times M_2$ .
- 3) Let  $\sigma\left(x,y\right)\subset T_{(p,q)}\left(\dot{M_{1}}\times M_{2}\right)$  be a plane such that  $x\in T_{p}M_{1}$  and  $y\in T_{q}M_{2}$ . Show that  $K\left(\sigma\right)=0$ .

*Proof:* We suppose directly that  $(M_1, g^1)$  and  $(M_2, g^2)$  are Riemannian manifolds with connections  $\nabla^1$  and  $\nabla^2$  and dimension  $n^1$  and  $n^2$ , and that the Riemannian manifold  $M_1 \times M_2$  has the product metric g defined as

$$g(u, v) = g^{1}(d\pi_{1}u, d\pi_{1}v) + g^{2}(d\pi_{2}u, d\pi_{2}v)$$

where  $\pi_i: M_1 \times M_2 \to M_i$  and  $u, v \in TM_1 \times M_2$ . Let  $X, Y \in TM_1 \times M_2$  such that  $d\pi_1 X = X_1$ ,  $d\pi_2 X = X_2$ ,  $d\pi_1 Y = Y_1$  and  $d\pi_2 Y = Y_2$ ; in other words  $X = X_1 + X_2$  and  $Y = Y_1 + Y_2$ . In local coordinates, the vector fields can be expressed as  $X = x_i e_i$  and  $Y = y_i e_i$ . The connection  $\nabla$  in local coordinates can be written as

$$\nabla_X Y = \sum_{k=1}^{n^1 + n^2} \left( \sum_{i,j} x_i y_j \Gamma_{ij}^k + \sum_i x_i \frac{\partial y_k}{\partial e_i} \right) e_k.$$

Since the first  $n^1$  coefficients of X and Y are dependent on  $M_1$  and the last  $n^2$  coefficients of X and Y are only dependent on  $M_2$ , the partial derivative

$$\frac{\partial y_k}{\partial e_i} = 0$$

if k and i are not both less than or equal  $ton^1$  or greater  $thann^1$ . We also note that since the Christoffel symbols are derived from the metric g. The fact that g doesn't have any cross terms between  $g^1$  and  $g^2$  means that the Christoffel symbols

$$\Gamma_{ij}^k = 0$$

when i, j and k are not all less than or equal to  $n^1$  or greater than  $n^1$ . Therefore, we can simplify the connection to

$$\nabla_{X}Y = \sum_{k=1}^{n^{1}} \left( \sum_{i,j} x_{i} y_{j} \Gamma_{ij}^{k} + \sum_{i} x_{i} \frac{\partial y_{k}}{\partial e_{i}} \right) e_{k} + \sum_{k=n^{1}+1}^{n^{1}+n^{2}} \left( \sum_{i,j} x_{i} y_{j} \Gamma_{ij}^{k} + \sum_{i} x_{i} \frac{\partial y_{k}}{\partial e_{i}} \right) e_{k}$$

$$= \nabla_{d\pi_{1}X}^{1} d\pi_{1}Y + \nabla_{d\pi_{2}X}^{2} d\pi_{2}Y$$

$$= \nabla_{X}^{1} Y_{1} + \nabla_{X_{2}}^{2} Y_{2}.$$

The submanifold  $(M_2)_p$  is totally geodesic if any geodesic on the submanifold  $(M_2)_p$  with its induced Riemannian metric g is also a geodesic on the Riemannian manifold  $M_1 \times M_2$ . Let  $\gamma^2 : I \to M_2$  be a geodesic on  $M_2$  and let  $\gamma : I \to (M_2)_p$  be a geodesic on  $(M_2)_p$  defined as

$$\gamma\left(t\right) = \left(p, \gamma^2\left(t\right)\right).$$

Note that  $\gamma$  is also a curve on  $M_1 \times M_2$ . We want to show that if  $\gamma$  is a geodesic on  $(M_2)_p$ , then it is also a geodesic on  $M_1 \times M_2$ . Let  $\gamma(t) = x^i(t) e_i$  in local coordinates. The differential equation of  $\gamma$  on  $(M_2)_p$  is

$$\left(\frac{d^2x^k}{dt^2} + \Gamma_{ij}^k \frac{dx^i}{dt} \frac{dx^j}{dt}\right) e_k = 0.$$

Since  $\gamma(t) = (p, \gamma^2(t))$ , the first  $n^1$  terms are zero, also since  $\Gamma_{ij}^k = 0$  when i, j and k are not all less than or equal to  $n^1$  or greater than  $n^1$ , this differential simplifies to

$$\nabla^2_{d\pi_2\gamma'}d\pi_2\gamma'=0.$$

On  $M_1 \times M_2$ , we need  $\nabla_{\gamma'} \gamma' = 0$ . Expanding it out we get

$$\nabla_{\gamma'}\gamma' = \nabla^1_{d\pi_1\gamma'}d\pi_1\gamma' + \nabla^2_{d\pi_1\gamma'}d\pi_1\gamma'$$
$$= \nabla^2_{d\pi_2\gamma'}d\pi_2\gamma'$$
$$= 0.$$

since  $d\pi_1 \gamma' = 0$ . Therefore, if  $\gamma$  is a geodesic on  $(M_2)_p$ , then it is a geodesic on  $M_1$ .

Next we suppose that  $\sigma(x,y) \subset T_{(p,q)}(M_1 \times M_2)$  is a plane such that  $x \in T_pM_1$  and  $y \in T_qM_2$ . The sectional curvature is defined as

$$K\left(\sigma\left(x,y\right)\right) = \frac{g\left(R\left(x,y\right)x,y\right)}{\|x \wedge y\|}$$

where R is the curvature defined as

$$R(x,y) x = \nabla_{y} \nabla_{x} x - \nabla_{x} \nabla_{y} x + \nabla_{[x,y]} x.$$

Since  $x \in T_p M_1$  and  $y \in T_q M_2$  and by the definition of the connection:  $\nabla_y x = 0$  and  $\nabla_x y = 0$ . From the symmetry property of the connection, we get [x,y] = 0. Lastly, since  $\nabla_x x \in T_p M_1$ ,  $\nabla_y \nabla_x x = 0$ . Therefore R(x,y) = 0 and the sectional curvature is also zero.

**Exercise 6.** (The Clifford torus). Consider the immersion  $r: \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}^4$  defined as

$$r(\theta, \phi) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (\cos(\theta), \sin(\theta), \cos(\phi), \sin(\phi)).$$

1) Show that the vectors

$$e_1 = (-\sin(\theta), \cos(\theta), 0, 0)$$
  
 $e_2 = (0, 0, -\sin(\phi), \cos(\phi))$ 

form an orthonormal basis of the tangent space, and that the vectors

$$n_{1} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (\cos(\theta), \sin(\theta), \cos(\phi), \sin(\phi))$$

$$n_{2} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (-\cos(\theta), -\sin(\theta), \cos(\phi), \sin(\phi))$$

form an orthonormal basis of the normal space.

2) Use the fact that

$$\langle S_{n_k}(e_i), e_j \rangle = -\langle \bar{\nabla}_{e_i} n_k, e_j \rangle = \langle \bar{\nabla}_{e_i} e_j, n_k \rangle,$$

where  $\bar{\nabla}$  is the covariant derivative (that is the usual derivative of  $\mathbb{R}^4$ ), and i, j, k = 1, 2 to establish that the matrices of  $S_{n_1}$  and  $S_{n_2}$  with respect to the basis  $\{e_1, e_2\}$  are

$$s_{n_1} = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix}$$
$$s_{n_2} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

*Proof:* We begin by showing part 1). Since  $\mathbb{R}^4$  is 4 dimensions, it's tangent space is also 4 dimensions; thus, a basis is composed of four vectors. Now, the differential of the map r is

$$dr = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{bmatrix} -\sin(\theta) & 0\\ \cos(\theta) & 0\\ 0 & -\sin(\phi)\\ 0 & \cos(\phi) \end{bmatrix}.$$

From the differential dr, we get that the vectors

$$E_{1} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (-\sin(\theta), \cos(\theta), 0, 0)$$
$$E_{2} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (0, 0, -\sin(\phi), \cos(\phi))$$

span the tangent plane and that they are orthogonal to each other. Making each one orthonormal gives us the orthonormal basis vectors  $e_1$  and  $e_2$ . Now we proceed to show that  $n_1$  and  $n_2$  are orthonormal vectors that span the normal space. It is easily shown that  $\sqrt{\langle n_1, n_1 \rangle} = 1$ , and  $\sqrt{\langle n_2, n_2 \rangle} = 1$  which indicates that they are unit vectors. Next we perform the calculations to show that they are all orthogonal to each other

$$\langle e_1, e_2 \rangle = 0$$

$$\langle e_1, n_1 \rangle = 0$$

$$\langle e_1, n_2 \rangle = 0$$

$$\langle e_2, n_1 \rangle = 0$$

$$\langle e_2, n_2 \rangle = 0$$

$$\langle n_1, n_2 \rangle = 0.$$

Since each vector in  $\{e_1, e_2, n_1, n_2\}$  is orthonormal, they must span the space  $T\mathbb{R}^4$ . Now since  $\{e_1, e_2\}$  span the tangent space,  $\{n_1, n_2\}$  must span the normal space.

We now proceed to show part 2). The shape operator in  $\mathbb{R}^4$  can be simplified to

$$s_{n_k} e_i = -\left(\bar{\nabla}_{e_i} n_k\right)^{\top}$$
$$= a^{\ell} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{\ell}} \left(b^j\right) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j}$$

where  $e_i=a^\ell\frac{\partial}{\partial x_\ell}$  and  $n_k=b^j\frac{\partial}{\partial x_j}$  since all of the Christoffel symbols are zero. We now calculate the different combinations by noting that from the parameterization of the surface

$$x_1 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\cos(\theta)$$

$$x_2 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\sin(\theta)$$

$$x_3 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\cos(\phi)$$

$$x_4 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\sin(\phi)$$

which allows us to write the surface as

$$r(\theta, \phi) = (x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4).$$

Using this, we can easily see that

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}(n_1) = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}$$

and

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} (n_2) = \begin{cases} -\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} & i = 1, 2\\ \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} & i = 3, 4 \end{cases};$$

thus

$$\nabla_{e_1} n_1 = e_1$$

$$\nabla_{e_2} n_1 = e_2$$

$$\nabla_{e_1} n_2 = -e_1$$

$$\nabla_{e_2} n_2 = e_2$$

which gives us

$$\begin{split} s_{n_1}e_1 &= -e_1 \\ s_{n_1}e_2 &= -e_2 \\ s_{n_2}e_1 &= e_1 \\ s_{n_2}e_2 &= -e_2; \end{split}$$

therefore, the matrices of  $S_{n_1}$  and  $S_{n_2}$  with respect to the basis  $\{e_1,e_2\}$  are

$$s_{n_1} = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix}$$
$$s_{n_2} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix}.$$