The Malthusian growth model

Paulo Brito
pbrito@iseg.ulisboa.pt

26.2.2020

Malthusian theory

- Popular definition of "Malthusian": population growth exponentially and food grows linearly
- This would lead either to catastrophe or to the existence of natural (not nice) stabilization mechanisms, in the absence of "moral restraint"
- The idea that the existence of a fixed resources and decreasing returns to production implies that growth processes eventually stop is present in most Classical economists (Quesnay, Smith, Ricardo, Marx) and, possibly, in modern ecologists.
- But it was Thomas Malthus who stated it more clearly in An Essay on the Principle of Population (1798) and systematically gathered data to sustain it.
- We next provide a modern view of the theory

Malthusian model

The general idea:

- It presents the joint dynamics of production and population growth
- In pre-industrial societies: there are two main factors of production labor and land
- Labor is the reproducible factor of production (no capital accumulation, no R&D)
- The basic dynamic mechanism is: increase in income leads to increase in population and in labor supply; this increases aggregate income, but income per capita does not increase at the same pace, leading eventually to a steady state (positive extensive effect but negative intensive effect).
- Decreasing marginal returns for the reproducible factor is the main driving force behind the non-existence of growth in the long run.
- The conditions for the existence of long run growth are very specific (learning-by-doing)

Assumptions

- Production:
  - production uses two factors: labor and land
  - the production function has constant returns to scale
  - the only reproducible factor is labor, and it faces decreasing marginal returns
- Population:
  - fertility is endogenous and mortality is exogenous
- Farmers:
  - households are land-owners
  - they choose among consumption and child-rearing
  - there are no savings

X (land)

$F(L(t), X)$ → $Y(t)$

$L(t)$ (labor)

$v(y(t))$ → $c(t)$

$\dot{L}(b(t), m(t))$ ← $b(t)$ (fertility)

m (mortality)

where $v(y) = \max\{u(c, b) : c + \rho b \leq y\}$ and $y = \frac{Y}{L}$

The Malthusian model as a growth model

▶ Defining the GDP per capita as

$$y(t) = \frac{Y(t)}{L(t)}$$

▶ we want to know what this theory implies for

    ▶ the rate of growth of GDP $g(t) = \dfrac{\dot{y}(t)}{y(t)}$

    ▶ the steady state level of GDP $\bar{y}$

    ▶ and the dynamics: i.e. separating $g(t)$ into transition and long-run dynamics

The model
Production

- **Production function**

$$Y(t) = (AX)^\alpha L(t)^{1-\alpha}, \ 0 < \alpha < 1$$

  where: A productivity, X stock of land, L labor input

- displays constant returns to scale

$$(\lambda AX)^\alpha (\lambda L)^{1-\alpha} = \lambda Y$$

- implication: the Euler theorem holds

$$Y = \frac{\partial Y}{\partial L} L + \frac{\partial Y}{\partial X} X$$

The model
Production

- positive marginal returns for labor and land

$$\frac{\partial Y}{\partial L} = (1 - \alpha)\frac{Y}{L} > 0, \ \frac{\partial Y}{\partial X} = \alpha\frac{Y}{X} > 0$$

- but decreasing marginal returns

$$\frac{\partial^2 Y}{\partial L^2} = -\alpha(1 - \alpha)\frac{Y}{L} < 0, \frac{\partial^2 Y}{\partial X^2} = -\alpha(1 - \alpha)\frac{Y}{X} < 0$$

- and is Inada: $\lim_{L \to 0} \frac{\partial Y}{\partial L} = \infty$ and $\lim_{L \to \infty} \frac{\partial Y}{\partial L} = 0$

The model
Inada property

The model
Production efficiency

- production efficiency:

$$\max_{L,X}\{Y(L,X) - wL - RX\}$$

where w is the wage rate and R are is land rent

- and competitive markets lead to

$$w(L,X) = \frac{\partial Y}{\partial L} = (1-\alpha)\frac{Y}{L} > 0$$
$$R(L,X) = \frac{\partial Y}{\partial X} = \alpha\frac{Y}{X} > 0$$

- with the properties:

$w_L < 0, w_X > 0$ wages decrease with labor and increase with land;

$R_X < 0, R_L > 0$ rents decrease with land and increase with labor.

Farmers' problem
Endogenous rate of population growth

- There are L farmers; who receive (percapita) income from farming and decide which part to consume and which part allocate to raising offspring, by deciding the number of offspring (Beckerian model)

- **Household's (farmer's) problem**

$$\max_{c(t), b(t)} \{c(t)^{1-\gamma} b(t)^{\gamma} : \ c(t) + \rho b(t) = y(t)\}$$

  $0 < \gamma < 1$ (relative) love for children, $1/\gamma =$ "moral restraint"
  $\rho > 0$ relative cost of raising children

- solution

$$c(t) = (1 - \gamma)y(t) \text{ (consumption increases with income)}$$

$$b(t) = \frac{\gamma}{\rho} y(t) \text{ (number of children increases with income)}$$

The model
Population dynamics

- **Population growth**

$$\dot{L} \equiv \frac{dL(t)}{dt} = (b(t) - m)L(t)$$

- where the fertility rate $b(t)$ is endogenous $(\frac{\gamma}{\rho}y(t))$
- the mortality rate is exogenous m
- the initial level of population is assumed to be given by number L_0

$$L(t)|_{t=0} = L(0) = L_0$$

The Malthusian model
Endogenous rate of population growth

▶ Then
$$\dot{L} = \left(\frac{\gamma}{\rho} y(t) - m \right) L(t)$$

▶ where the per capita GDP is
$$y(t) \equiv \frac{Y(t)}{L(t)} = \left(\frac{AX}{L(t)} \right)^{\alpha}$$

▶ There are two approaches to **solving the model**
  ▶ Approach 1: solve the differential equation for L and substitute in y to get the dynamics of growth
  ▶ Approach 2: obtain a differential equation for y and solve it

Detour

Per-capita rate of growth arithmetics

▶ taking log-derivatives w.r.t time we have

$$\frac{\dot{y}}{y} = \frac{\dot{Y}}{Y} - \frac{\dot{L}}{L}$$

▶ that we denote by

$$g(t) = g_Y(t) - n(t)$$

▶ as the per capita GDP is

$$y(t) \equiv \frac{Y(t)}{L(t)} = \left(\frac{AX}{L(t)}\right)^{\alpha}$$

▶ Then: the rate of growth is exactly negatively correlated to the rate of growth of population

$$g(t) = \frac{\dot{y}}{y} = -\alpha\frac{\dot{L}}{L}$$

Solving the Malthusian model
Approach 1: solving for L

- If we substitute y in the dynamic equation for L we have the initial value problem

$$\begin{cases} \dot{L} = \left(\dfrac{\gamma}{\rho} \left(\dfrac{AX}{L(t)} \right)^{\alpha} - m \right) L(t), & t \geq 0 \\ L(0) = L_0 \text{given} & t = 0 \end{cases}$$

- we can solve it
- then differentiate it and substitute in

$$g(t) = -\alpha \frac{\dot{L}}{L}$$

to get model's explanation for the per-capita growth of the economy

Solving the Malthusian model

Approach 2: solving for y directly

- from

$$\frac{\dot{y}}{y} = -\alpha \frac{\dot{L}}{L}$$

- we get the dynamic equation for the GDP per capita

$$\dot{y} = -\alpha \left(\frac{\gamma}{\rho} y(t) - m \right) y(t) \tag{1}$$

together with the initial value

$$y(0) = y_0 = (AX)^\alpha L_0^{1-\alpha}$$

The model

The phase diagram

Solving the Malthusian model

Explicit solution for y

- Equation (1) has two steady states $y^* = \{0, \bar{y}\}$ where

$$\bar{y} = \frac{m\rho}{\gamma}$$

- we can re-write the growth equation as

$$\dot{y} = \alpha \frac{\gamma}{\rho} \left(\bar{y} - y(t)\right) y(t)$$

Explicit solution for y

- This is a Bernoulli differential equation with has an explicit solution appendix

$$y(t) = \left[\frac{1}{\bar{y}} + \left(\frac{1}{y(0)} - \frac{1}{\bar{y}} \right) e^{-\alpha m t} \right]^{-1}, \text{ for } 0 \le t < \infty$$

- satisfies $\lim_{t \to \infty} y(t) = \bar{y}$

Explicit solution for g

- the GDP growth rate is

$$g(t) = \frac{dy(t)}{dt} = \alpha m \left[1 - \left(1 + \left(\frac{\bar{y}}{y(0)} - 1 \right) e^{-\alpha m t} \right)^{-1} \right], \text{for } 0 \leq t < \infty$$

Malthusian model
Properties

1. there is **no long run growth**, because $\lim_{t \to \infty} g(t) = 0$
2. the **long run level** of GDP per capita is

$$\bar{y} = \frac{m\rho}{\gamma}$$

   increases with the mortality rate, the cost or rearing children and the "moral restraint" (no productivity effects)

3. there is **only transitional dynamics** (i.e., adjustments towards the steady state):

   ▶ if the initial GDP is small, $y(0) < \bar{y}$, then there is an increase in time of the GDP $g(t) > 0$
   ▶ if the initial GDP $y(0)$ is large, $y(0) > \bar{y}$, then there is an decrease in time of the GDP $g(t) < 0$

Malthusian model
Mechanics of the model

- if $y(0)$ is large so is the wage rate $w(0) = (1 - \alpha)y(0)$
- this implies that the initial fertility rate is higher, $b(0) = \frac{\gamma}{\rho}y(0)$
- population increases, which increases output,
- but decreases the rate of growth of GDP

$$g(t) = -\alpha n(t)$$

because there are decreasing marginal returns due to the fact that X is fixed.

# Malthusian model

Trajectories: y, L and w

Figure: Parameter values: $\alpha = 2/3$, $m = 0.03$, $\gamma = 0.01$, $\rho = 10$, $A = 1$, $X = 100$, and $y(0) < \bar{y}$

Malthusian model

Exponential increase in land productivity

Can increases in land-productivity generate long-run growth ?

- now $Y(t) = (A(t)X)^\alpha L(t)^{1-\alpha}$
- where $\dot{A} = g_A A,\ g_A > 0$
- Taking logarithmic derivatives of the production function, this implies

$$\frac{\dot{y}}{y} = \alpha \frac{\dot{A}}{A} + (1-\alpha)\frac{\dot{L}}{L} - \frac{\dot{L}}{L} = \alpha \left(m + g_A - \frac{\gamma}{\rho} y(t) \right)$$

- there is **no increase in the long run growth rate**

$$\lim_{t \to \infty} g(t) = 0$$

- there is **an increase in GDP level**

$$\bar{y} = \frac{(g_A + m)\rho}{\gamma}$$

Malthusian model and land productivity

Phase diagram for an increase in A

Malthusian model

Exponential increase in labor productivity

Can an increase in the productivity of labor generate long-run growth ?

- ▶ now $Y(t) = X^\alpha (h(t) L(t))^{1-\alpha}$
- ▶ where $\dot{h} = g_h h$, $g_h > 0$
- ▶ Taking logarithmic derivatives of the production function, this implies

$$\frac{\dot{y}}{y} = (1-\alpha) \left(\frac{\dot{h}}{h} + \frac{\dot{L}}{L} \right) - \frac{\dot{L}}{L} = \alpha \left[\frac{(1-\alpha)}{\alpha} g_h + m - \frac{\gamma}{\rho} y(t) \right] y(t)$$

- ▶ there is **no increase in the long run growth rate**

$$\lim_{t \to \infty} g(t) = 0$$

- ▶ there is **an increase in GDP level**

$$\bar{y} = \frac{((1-\alpha)g_h + \alpha m)\rho}{\alpha \gamma}$$

Malthusian model
Learning by doing

Can learning by doing generate long-run growth ?

- now $Y(t) = (A(t)X)^\alpha L(t)^{1-\alpha}$
- learning-by-doing: past production generates knowledge which increases land productivity
- Formally: $A(t) = \beta \int_{-\infty}^{t} e^{-\mu(t-s)} A(s) y(s) \, ds$
  where β reproduction of knowledge, μ rate of oblivion
- taking derivatives at regards t (Leibniz formula)

$$\dot{A} = (\beta y(t) - \mu) A(t)$$

- the dynamic equation for per-capita GDP becomes

$$\frac{\dot{y}}{y} = \left(\beta - \alpha\frac{\gamma}{\rho}\right) y(t) + \alpha m - \mu$$

Malthusian model
Learning by doing: continuation

- If we assume $\beta = \alpha \dfrac{\gamma}{\rho}$ then

$$\dot{y} = (\alpha m - \mu)y$$

- there is **long run growth** if $\alpha m > \mu$ because

$$g(t) = \alpha m - \mu > 0, \text{for all } t > 0$$

- the GDP level is exogenous

$$y(t) = y_0 e^{(\alpha m - \mu)t}$$

Conclusions

- the existence of decreasing marginal returns to the reproducible factor of production (labor, L) implies that the Malthusian model does not feature long-run growth: there is only transitional dynamics (if initial population is too high, wages will be too low, which generates a fall in fertility and therefore a decrease in population until population is constant)

- **exogenous** permanent increases in productivity will only increase the long-run GDP **level** but will not generate long-run growth

- however, **endogenous** increases in productivity (v.g, generated by learning-by-doing) **may** generate long run growth (but in this case there is not transition dynamics). Learning-by-doing generates a **reproduction** mechanism.

References

- (Galor, 2011, ch 2, 3)

Oded Galor. *Unified Growth Theory*. Princeton University Press, 2011.

Appendix
Solving a linear ODE's

▶ The linear ODE

$$\dot{x} = \lambda(x(t) - \bar{x})$$

has an exact solution

$$x(t) = \bar{x} + (k - \bar{x})e^{\lambda t}$$

where k is an arbitrary constant

▶ The initial value problem

$$\begin{cases} \dot{x} = \lambda(x(t) - \bar{x}) \\ x(0) = x_0 \text{ given} \end{cases}$$

has the exact solution

$$x(t) = \bar{x} + (x_0 - \bar{x})e^{\lambda t}$$

Appendix
The linear and Bernoulli ODE's

▶ The Bernoulli equation is

$$\dot{x} = \alpha x(t) - \beta x^\eta$$

▶ If we set $z(t) = x(t)^{1-\eta}$ and differentiate

$$\dot{z} = (1-\eta)x(t)^{-\eta}\dot{x} =$$
$$= (1-\eta)x(t)^{-\eta}\left(\alpha x(t) - \beta x^\eta\right) =$$
$$= \lambda\left(z(t) - \bar{z}\right)$$

▶ is a linear ODE with solution with $\lambda = (1-\eta)\alpha$ and $\bar{z} = \dfrac{\beta}{\alpha}$

$$z(t) = \bar{z} + (k_z - \bar{z})\, e^{\alpha(1-\eta)t}$$

▶ transforming back by making $x(t) = z(t)^{\frac{1}{1-\eta}}$

$$x(t) = \left(\frac{\beta}{\alpha} + \left(x(0)^{1-\eta} - \frac{\beta}{\alpha}\right) e^{\alpha(1-\eta)t}\right)^{\frac{1}{1-\eta}}$$

.