The Romer model: growth and externalities

Paulo Brito pbrito@iseg.ulisboa.pt

30.3.2022

The Romer kK model

- ightharpoonup It has the same assumptions as the AK model with the exception of the technology
- ► There are externalities in production

$$Y = AK^{\alpha}\mathbf{K}^{\beta}$$

where K is capital owned by firms and \mathbf{K} is a production externality

▶ **Assumption**: there are **decreasing** returns at the private level, $0 < \alpha < 1$, but there are **constant** returns at the aggregate level, $\alpha + \beta = 1$

The Romer kK model

- ▶ Meaning of the externality:
 - \blacktriangleright K can be seen as private capital and ${\bf K}$ as public (or social) capital
 - ▶ Public or social capital increases the productivity of firms, even if firms cannot decide on its level
 - ightharpoonup Only K is relevant for deriving firm's incentives to invest
- ► We will see that the government can induce the internalization of externalities through a tax/subsidy policy

The Romer kK model

- ▶ This introduces a distinction between
 - decentralized economy
 - centralized economy
- Next:
 - ▶ We solve the model for the **decentralized** economy (externalities not internalized)
 - ► We solve the model for a **centralized** economy (externalities internalized)
 - Discuss optimal policy: is it possible to design an optimal policy in a decentralized economy, that is a policy that induces internalization of externalities?

Representative agent's problem

► The representative agent problem

$$\max_{[C(t)]_{t\geq 0}} \int_0^\infty \frac{C(t)^{1-\theta}-1}{1-\theta} e^{-\rho t} dt$$

subject to

$$\dot{K} = AK^{\alpha}\mathbf{K}^{\beta} - C - \delta K,$$

▶ boundary condition $\lim_{t\to\infty} k(t)h(t) \ge 0$

Solving the representative agent's problem

Solution by the Pontriyagin's maximum principle

► The current-value Hamiltonian

$$H(C, K, Q) = \frac{C^{1-\theta} - 1}{1 - \theta} + Q\left(AK^{\alpha}\mathbf{K}^{\beta} - C - \delta K\right)$$

► The first order optimality conditions

$$\begin{split} \frac{\partial H}{\partial C} &= 0 \iff C^{-\theta} = Q \\ \dot{Q} &= \rho \, Q - \frac{\partial H}{\partial K} \iff \dot{Q} = \left(\rho + \delta - \alpha A K^{\alpha - 1} \mathbf{K}^{\beta}\right) \, Q \\ \lim_{t \to \infty} K(t) \, Q(t) \, e^{-\rho t} &= 0 \end{split}$$

▶ the admissibility conditions

$$\dot{K} = A K^{\alpha} \mathbf{K}^{\beta} - C - \delta K,$$

$$K(0) = k_0, \text{ given, } t = 0$$



Macroeconomic equilibrium

▶ first order optimality conditions for the agent

$$\dot{K} = AK^{\alpha}\mathbf{K}^{\beta} - C - \delta K, \tag{1}$$

$$\dot{C} = \frac{C}{\theta} \left(\alpha A K^{\alpha - 1} \mathbf{K}^{\beta} - (\rho + \delta) \right), \tag{2}$$

$$K(0) = k(0) \tag{3}$$

$$0 = \lim_{t \to +\infty} C(t)^{-\theta} K(t) e^{-\rho t}$$
 (4)

► macroeconomic consistency condition

$$K(t) = \mathbf{K}(t).$$

Macroeconomic equilibrium

 \blacktriangleright because we assumed that $\alpha + \beta = 1$ we get

$$\dot{K} = (A - \delta)K - C,$$

$$\dot{C} = \frac{C}{\theta} (\alpha A - (\rho + \delta)),$$

$$K(0) = k(0)$$

$$0 = \lim_{t \to +\infty} C(t)^{-\theta} K(t) e^{-\rho t}$$

• which is very similar to the AK model with the **exception** of the rate of return for capital, $r = \alpha A$ instead of r = A

Growth facts

► The rate of growth is

$$\bar{\gamma}_{\mathbf{d}} = \frac{\alpha A - \delta - \rho}{\theta} > 0$$

▶ the consumption-capital ratio is

$$\frac{\bar{c}}{\bar{k}} = \beta_{\mathbf{d}},$$

for

$$\beta_d \equiv A - \delta - \bar{\gamma}_d = \frac{1}{\theta} \left(A(\theta - \alpha) + \rho + \delta(1 - \theta) \right) > 0$$

▶ the solution for output is

$$Y(t) = Ak_0 e^{\bar{\gamma}_d t}$$

Centralized economy

Centralized economy

Planner's problem

- ► The problem:
 - ▶ the planner has the **same utility** function as the agent (we have a representative agent economy)
 - but it considers the total capital, and not only the privately owned as the individual agent
 - ▶ that is, externalities are internalized. This means that the production function is

$$Y = AK^{\alpha}K^{\beta} = AK$$
, if $\alpha + \beta = 1$

Centralized economy

Planner's problem

► Formally: the planner's problem is

$$\max_{[C(t)]_{t \ge 0}} \int_0^\infty \frac{C(t)^{1-\theta} - 1}{1 - \theta} e^{-\rho t} dt$$

subject to

$$\dot{K} = AK - C - \delta K,$$

- ▶ boundary condition $\lim_{t\to\infty} k(t)h(t) \ge 0$
- ightharpoonup It is indeed the AK-model

Comparison between the decentralized and centralized economies

► the rate of growth in the centralized economy is higher than in the decentralised economy

$$\bar{\gamma}_c = \frac{A - \delta - \rho}{\theta} > \bar{\gamma}_d = \frac{\alpha A - \delta - \rho}{\theta} > 0$$

but consumption is lower in the centralized economy than in the decentralised economy

$$\left(\frac{C}{Y}\right)_c = \frac{A - \delta - \bar{\gamma}_c}{A} < \left(\frac{C}{Y}\right)_d = \frac{A - \delta - \bar{\gamma}_d}{A}$$

rationale: in the decentralised economy the **incentive to** saving is smaller because the private rate of return of capital is also smaller

(i.e.,
$$r_c = \frac{\partial (AK)}{\partial K} = A > r_d = \frac{\partial (AK^{\alpha}\mathbf{K}^{\beta})}{\partial K} = \alpha A$$
)

Optimal government intervention

Decentralized economy with government intervention Government intervention

Assumption: there are two policy instruments - one distortionary (say taxes) and another non-distortionary (say expenditures)

$$T(t) = \tau Y(t), \ G = \bar{G}(t)$$

- **two possible policies**: distortionary taxes and lump sum transfers $(\tau > 0, G > 0)$ or distortionary subsidies and lump sum taxes $(\tau < 0, G < 0)$
- assume the government budget is balanced

$$T(t) = G(t), \forall t \in [0, \infty)$$

Decentralized economy with government intervention Representative agent's problem

▶ the representative agent problem

$$\max_{[C(t)]_{t \ge 0}} \int_0^\infty \frac{C(t)^{1-\theta} - 1}{1 - \theta} e^{-\rho t} dt$$

subject to

$$\dot{K} = (1 - \tau)AK^{\alpha}K^{\beta} - C - \delta K + G,$$

▶ boundary condition $\lim_{t\to\infty} k(t)h(t) \ge 0$

Decentralized economy with government intervention

▶ Using the same approach as before, the rate of growth is

$$\bar{\gamma}_g = \frac{\alpha(1-\tau)A - \delta - \rho}{\theta} > 0$$

► can the rate of growth of the centralized economy be reached?

$$\bar{\gamma}_c = \frac{A - \delta - \rho}{\theta} > 0$$

yes if the tax rate is

Growth facts

$$\alpha(1-\tau)=1\Rightarrow \tau=-\frac{1-\alpha}{\alpha}<0$$

Conclusion: the government intervention can introduce incentives such that the externality is internalised by agents, in this case, by a **distortionary subsidy and a** lump-sum \mathbf{tax} ($\tau > 0$ and G > 0)

References

- ▶ Romer (1986)
- ► (Acemoglu, 2009, ch. 11), (Aghion and Howitt, 2009, ch. 2), (Barro and Sala-i-Martin, 2004, ch. 4)
- Daron Acemoglu. Introduction to Modern Economic Growth. Princeton University Press, 2009.
- Philippe Aghion and Peter Howitt. *The Economics of Growth*. MIT Press, 2009.
- Robert J. Barro and Xavier Sala-i-Martin. *Economic Growth*. MIT Press, 2nd edition, 2004.
- Paul Romer. Increasing returns and long-run growth. *Journal of Political Economy*, 94(5):1002–37, October 1986.