The Romer model: externality model of growth

Paulo Brito pbrito@iseg.ulisboa.pt

22.3.2018

The Romer kK model

- ightharpoonup It has the same assumptions as the AK model with the exception of the technology
- ▶ There are externalities in production

$$Y = AK^{\alpha}\mathbf{K}^{\beta}$$

where K is capital owned by firms and \mathbf{K} is an externality

- Assumption: there are decreasing returns at the private level but there are constant returns at the aggregate level $0 < \alpha < 1$ and $\alpha + \beta = 1$
- ▶ This introduces a distinction between
 - decentralized economy
 - centralized economy

Representative agent's problem

▶ the representative agent problem

$$\max_{[C(t)]_{t\geq 0}} \int_0^\infty \frac{C(t)^{1-\theta}-1}{1-\theta} e^{-\rho t} dt$$

subject to

$$\dot{K} = AK^{\alpha}\mathbf{K}^{\beta} - C - \delta K,$$

▶ boundary condition $\lim_{t\to\infty} k(t)h(t) \ge 0$

Macroeconomic equilibrium

▶ first order optimality conditions for the agent

$$\dot{C} = \frac{C}{\theta} \left(\rho + \delta - \alpha A K^{\alpha - 1} \mathbf{K}^{\beta} \right), \tag{1}$$

$$\dot{K} = AK^{\alpha}\mathbf{K}^{\beta} - C - \delta K, \tag{2}$$

$$K(0) = k(0) \tag{3}$$

$$0 = \lim_{t \to +\infty} C(t)^{-\theta} K(t) e^{-\rho t}$$
 (4)

▶ macroeconomic consistency condition

$$K(t) = \mathbf{K}(t).$$

Macroeconomic equilibrium

▶ If we assume that $\alpha + \beta = 1$ we get

$$\dot{C} = \frac{C}{\theta} (\rho + \delta - \alpha A),$$

$$\dot{K} = (A - \delta)K - C$$

$$K(0) = k(0)$$

$$0 = \lim_{t \to +\infty} C(t)^{-\theta} K(t) e^{-\rho t}$$

ightharpoonup which is very similar to the AK model with the exception of the rate of return for capital

Growth facts

► The rate of growth is

$$\bar{\gamma}_d = \frac{\alpha A - \delta - \rho}{\theta} > 0$$

▶ the consumption-capital ratio is

$$\frac{\bar{c}}{\bar{k}} = \beta_d,$$

for

$$\beta_d \equiv A - \delta - \bar{\gamma}_d = \frac{1}{\theta} \left(A(\theta - \alpha) + \rho + \delta(1 - \theta) \right) > 0$$

▶ the solution for output is

$$Y(t) = Ak_0 e^{\gamma_t t}$$

- ▶ The problem: the planner has the same utility function as the agent (we have a representative agent economy) but it considers the total capital, and not only the privately owned as the individual agent
- ▶ that is, externalities are internalized. This means that the production function is

$$Y = AK^{\alpha}K^{\beta} = AK$$
, if $\alpha + \beta = 1$

Centralized economy

Planner's problem

▶ Formally: the planner's problem is

$$\max_{[C(t)]_{t \ge 0}} \int_0^\infty \frac{C(t)^{1-\theta} - 1}{1 - \theta} e^{-\rho t} dt$$

subject to

$$\dot{K} = AK - C - \delta K,$$

- ▶ boundary condition $\lim_{t\to\infty} k(t)h(t) \ge 0$
- ightharpoonup It is indeed the AK-model

Comparison between the decentralized and centralized economies

▶ the rate of growth in the centralized version is higher than in the decentralised version

$$\bar{\gamma}_c = \frac{A - \delta - \rho}{\theta} > \bar{\gamma}_d = \frac{\alpha A - \delta - \rho}{\theta} > 0$$

▶ but consumption is higher in the decentralised version

$$\left(\frac{C}{Y}\right)_d = \frac{A - \delta - \bar{\gamma}_d}{A} > \left(\frac{C}{Y}\right)_c = \frac{A - \delta - \bar{\gamma}_c}{A}$$

➤ rationale: in the decentralised economy the incentive to save is smaller because the private rate of return is also smaller

Decentralized economy with government intervention Government intervention

▶ there are two policy instruments: one distortionary (say taxes) and another non-distortionary (say expenditures)

$$T(t) = \tau Y(t), \ G = \bar{G}(t)$$

- two possibilities: distortionary taxes and lump sum transfers ($\tau > 0$, G > 0) or distortionary subsidies and lump sum taxes ($\tau < 0$, G < 0)
- ▶ assume the government budget is balanced

$$T(t) = G(t), \forall t \in [0, \infty)$$

Decentralized economy with government intervention Representative agent's problem

▶ the representative agent problem

$$\max_{[C(t)]_{t \ge 0}} \int_0^\infty \frac{C(t)^{1-\theta} - 1}{1 - \theta} e^{-\rho t} dt$$

subject to

$$\dot{K} = (1 - \tau)AK^{\alpha}\mathbf{K}^{\beta} - C - \delta K + G,$$

▶ boundary condition $\lim_{t\to\infty} k(t)h(t) \ge 0$

Decentralized economy with government intervention Growth facts

▶ Using the same approach as before, the rate of growth is

$$\bar{\gamma}_g = \frac{\alpha(1-\tau)A - \delta - \rho}{\theta} > 0$$

► can the rate of growth of the centralized economy be reached?

$$\bar{\gamma}_c = \frac{A - \delta - \rho}{\theta} > 0$$

ves if the tax rate is

$$\alpha(1-\tau) = 1 \Rightarrow \tau = -\frac{1-\alpha}{\alpha} < 0$$

Conclusion: the government intervention can introduce incentives such that the externality is internalised by agents, in this case, by a distortionary subsidy and a lump-sum tax.

References

- ▶ Romer (1990)
- (Acemoglu, 2009, ch. 11), (Aghion and Howitt, 2009, ch.
 2), (Barro and Sala-i-Martin, 2004, ch. 4)
- Daron Acemoglu. Introduction to Modern Economic Growth. Princeton University Press, 2009.
- Philippe Aghion and Peter Howitt. The Economics of Growth. MIT Press, 2009.
- Robert J. Barro and Xavier Sala-i-Martin. *Economic Growth*. MIT Press, 2nd edition, 2004.
- Paul Romer. Endogenous technological changes. *Journal of Political Economy*, 98(5):S71–S102, October 1990.