The Romer model: growth and externalities

Paulo Brito pbrito@iseg.ulisboa.pt

24.3.2021

The Romer kK model

- ightharpoonup It has the same assumptions as the AK model with the exception of the technology
- ► There are externalities in production

$$Y = AK^{\alpha}\mathbf{K}^{\beta}$$

where K is capital owned by firms and \mathbf{K} is an externality

▶ **Assumption**: there are decreasing returns at the private level but there are **constant** returns at the aggregate level $0 < \alpha < 1$ and $\alpha + \beta = 1$

The Romer kK model

- ▶ Meaning of the externality:
 - ightharpoonup K can be seen as private capital and $\mathbf K$ as public (or social) capital
 - ▶ Public or social capital increases the productivity of firms, even if firms cannot decide on its level
 - \triangleright Only K is relevant for deriving firm's incentives to invest
- ➤ We will see that the government can induce the internalization of externalities through the tax policy (in a broad sense)

The Romer kK model

- ► This introduces a distinction between
 - decentralized economy
 - centralized economy
- Next:
 - ► We solve the model for the **decentralized** economy (externalities not internalized)
 - We solve the model for a centralized economy (externalities internalized)
 - Discuss optimal policy: is it possible to design an **optimal policy** in a decentralized economy, that is a policy that induces internalization of externalities?

Representative agent's problem

► The representative agent problem

$$\max_{[C(t)]_{t\geq 0}} \int_0^\infty \frac{C(t)^{1-\theta}-1}{1-\theta} e^{-\rho t} dt$$

subject to

$$\dot{K} = AK^{\alpha}\mathbf{K}^{\beta} - C - \delta K,$$

▶ boundary condition $\lim_{t\to\infty} k(t)h(t) \ge 0$

Solving the representative agent's problem

Solution by the Pontriyagin's maximum principle

► The current-value Hamiltonian

$$H(C, K, Q) = \frac{C^{1-\theta} - 1}{1-\theta} + Q\left(AK^{\alpha}\mathbf{K}^{\beta} - C - \delta K\right)$$

► The first order optimality conditions

$$\frac{\partial H}{\partial C} = 0 \iff C^{-\theta} = Q$$

$$\dot{Q} = \rho \, Q - \frac{\partial H}{\partial K} \iff \dot{Q} = \left(\rho + \delta - \alpha A K^{\alpha - 1} \mathbf{K}^{\beta}\right) Q$$

$$\lim_{t \to \infty} K(t) \, Q(t) \, e^{-\rho t} = 0$$

▶ the admissibility conditions

$$\dot{K} = A K^{\alpha} \mathbf{K}^{\beta} - C - \delta K,$$

 $K(0) = k_0$, given, $t = 0$



Macroeconomic equilibrium

▶ first order optimality conditions for the agent

$$\dot{C} = \frac{C}{\theta} \left(\alpha A K^{\alpha - 1} \mathbf{K}^{\beta} - (\rho + \delta) \right), \tag{1}$$

$$\dot{K} = AK^{\alpha}\mathbf{K}^{\beta} - C - \delta K, \tag{2}$$

$$K(0) = k(0) \tag{3}$$

$$0 = \lim_{t \to +\infty} C(t)^{-\theta} K(t) e^{-\rho t}$$
 (4)

▶ macroeconomic consistency condition

$$K(t) = \mathbf{K}(t).$$

Macroeconomic equilibrium

▶ If we assume that $\alpha + \beta = 1$ we get

$$\dot{C} = \frac{C}{\theta} (\alpha A - (\rho + \delta)),$$

$$\dot{K} = (A - \delta)K - C$$

$$K(0) = k(0)$$

$$0 = \lim_{t \to +\infty} C(t)^{-\theta} K(t) e^{-\rho t}$$

which is very similar to the AK model with the exception of the rate of return for capital, $r = \alpha A$ instead of r = A

Growth facts

► The rate of growth is

$$\bar{\gamma}_d = \frac{\alpha A - \delta - \rho}{\theta} > 0$$

▶ the consumption-capital ratio is

$$\frac{\bar{c}}{\bar{k}} = \beta_d,$$

for

$$\beta_d \equiv A - \delta - \bar{\gamma}_d = \frac{1}{\theta} \left(A(\theta - \alpha) + \rho + \delta(1 - \theta) \right) > 0$$

▶ the solution for output is

$$Y(t) = Ak_0 e^{\gamma_t t}$$

Centralized economy

- ▶ The problem: the planner has the same utility function as the agent (we have a representative agent economy) but it considers the total capital, and not only the privately owned as the individual agent
- ▶ that is, externalities are internalized. This means that the production function is

$$Y = AK^{\alpha}K^{\beta} = AK$$
, if $\alpha + \beta = 1$

Centralized economy

Planner's problem

▶ Formally: the planner's problem is

$$\max_{[C(t)]_{t \ge 0}} \int_0^\infty \frac{C(t)^{1-\theta} - 1}{1 - \theta} e^{-\rho t} dt$$

subject to

$$\dot{K} = AK - C - \delta K,$$

- ▶ boundary condition $\lim_{t\to\infty} k(t)h(t) \ge 0$
- ightharpoonup It is indeed the AK-model

Comparison between the decentralized and centralized economies

▶ the rate of growth in the centralized version is higher than in the decentralised version

$$\bar{\gamma}_c = \frac{A - \delta - \rho}{\theta} > \bar{\gamma}_d = \frac{\alpha A - \delta - \rho}{\theta} > 0$$

but consumption is higher in the decentralised version

$$\left(\frac{C}{Y}\right)_d = \frac{A - \delta - \bar{\gamma}_d}{A} > \left(\frac{C}{Y}\right)_c = \frac{A - \delta - \bar{\gamma}_c}{A}$$

rationale: in the decentralised economy the incentive to save is smaller because the private rate of return of capital is also smaller

(i.e.,
$$r_c = \frac{\partial (AK)}{\partial K} = A$$
 and $r_d = \frac{\partial (AK^{\alpha} \mathbf{K}^{\beta})}{\partial K} = \alpha A$)

Optimal government intervention

Decentralized economy with government intervention Government intervention

▶ there are two policy instruments: one distortionary (say taxes) and another non-distortionary (say expenditures)

$$T(t) = \tau Y(t), \ G = \bar{G}(t)$$

- **two possible policies**: distortionary taxes and lump sum transfers $(\tau > 0, G > 0)$ or distortionary subsidies and lump sum taxes $(\tau < 0, G < 0)$
- assume the government budget is balanced

$$T(t) = G(t), \forall t \in [0, \infty)$$

Decentralized economy with government intervention Representative agent's problem

▶ the representative agent problem

$$\max_{[C(t)]_{t \ge 0}} \int_0^\infty \frac{C(t)^{1-\theta} - 1}{1 - \theta} e^{-\rho t} dt$$

subject to

$$\dot{K} = (1 - \tau)AK^{\alpha}\mathbf{K}^{\beta} - C - \delta K + G,$$

▶ boundary condition $\lim_{t\to\infty} k(t)h(t) \ge 0$

Decentralized economy with government intervention Growth facts

▶ Using the same approach as before, the rate of growth is

$$\bar{\gamma}_g = \frac{\alpha(1-\tau)A - \delta - \rho}{\theta} > 0$$

► can the rate of growth of the centralized economy be reached?

$$\bar{\gamma}_c = \frac{A - \delta - \rho}{\theta} > 0$$

ves if the tax rate is

$$\alpha(1-\tau) = 1 \Rightarrow \tau = -\frac{1-\alpha}{\alpha} < 0$$

Conclusion: the government intervention can introduce incentives such that the externality is internalised by agents, in this case, by a distortionary subsidy and a lump-sum tax.

References

- ▶ Romer (1986)
- (Acemoglu, 2009, ch. 11), (Aghion and Howitt, 2009, ch.
 2), (Barro and Sala-i-Martin, 2004, ch. 4)
- Daron Acemoglu. Introduction to Modern Economic Growth. Princeton University Press, 2009.
- Philippe Aghion and Peter Howitt. *The Economics of Growth*. MIT Press, 2009.
- Robert J. Barro and Xavier Sala-i-Martin. *Economic Growth*. MIT Press, 2nd edition, 2004.
- Paul Romer. Increasing returns and long-run growth. *Journal of Political Economy*, 94(5):1002–37, October 1986.