

Mathematical Economics

Continuous time: optimal control problem

Paulo Brito

¹pbrito@iseg.ulisboa.pt
University of Lisbon

December 12, 2020

Continuous time optimal control problem

- Find the **state** variable $x^* = (x^*(t))_{0 \leq t \leq T}$ and the **control** variable $u^* = (u^*(t))_{0 \leq t \leq T}$ that solve the problem:

$$\max_u \int_0^T F(t, x(t), u(t)) dt$$

subject to

$$\dot{x} = G(t, x(t), u(t))$$

$$x(0) = x_0 \text{ given}$$

given the horizon T

constraints on the terminal value of $x(T)$

- We will consider the constraints on $x(T)$:

$$(P1) \quad x(T) = \phi_T$$

$$(P2) \quad x(T) \text{ free}$$

$$(P3) \quad h(T)x(T) \geq 0.$$

Hamiltonian function

- We introduce the Hamiltonian function

$$H(t, x, u, \lambda) \equiv F(t, x, u) + \lambda g(t, x, u)$$

where $\lambda(t)$ is the **co-state** or **adjoint** variable,

- its derivatives as regards the control variable

$$H_u(t, x, u, \lambda) \equiv \frac{\partial H(t, x, u, \lambda)}{\partial u} = F_u(t, x, u) + \lambda G_u(t, x, u)$$

- and the state variable

$$H_x(t, x, u, \lambda) \equiv \frac{\partial H(t, x, u, \lambda)}{\partial x} = F_x(t, x, u) + \lambda G_x(t, x, u)$$

Pontryagin's maximum principle

Proposition (Necessary first order conditions)

Let (x^*, u^*) be a solution to the OC problem. Then there is a piecewise continuous function $\lambda(t)$ such that (x^*, u^*, λ) satisfy: *Proof*

- the optimality condition

$$H_u(t, x^*(t), u^*(t), \lambda(t)) = 0, \quad 0 \leq t \leq T$$

- the adjoint equation

$$\dot{\lambda} = -H_x(t, x^*(t), u^*(t), \lambda(t)), \quad 0 < t \leq T$$

- the admissibility conditions:

$$\begin{cases} \dot{x}^* = G(t, x^*(t), u^*(t)) & 0 < t \leq T \\ x^*(0) = \phi_0, & t = 0 \end{cases}$$

- the terminal or transversality condition

$$(P1) \ x(T) = \phi_T, \quad (P2) \ \lambda(T) = 0, \quad (P3) \ \lambda(T)x(T) = 0.$$

Example: the consumption-investment problem

- The problem: find $(a^*, c^*) = (a^*(t), c^*(t))_{t=0}^T$ that solves

$$\max_{c(\cdot)} \int_0^T \ln(c(t)) e^{-\rho t} dt, \quad \rho > 0$$

subject to

$$\dot{a}(t) = ra(t) - c(t), \text{ for } t \in (0, T]$$

$$a(0) = a_0, \text{ for } t = 0$$

- where: c = consumption, a = net financial wealth, r = interest rate constant;
- and one of the alternative terminal conditions

$$(P1) \quad a(T) = a_T, \text{ given}$$

$$(P2) \quad a(T), \text{ free}$$

$$(P3) \quad e^{-rT} a(T) \geq 0$$

Example: the consumption-investment problem

- The Hamiltonian function is $H(a, c, \lambda, t) = \ln(c) e^{-\rho t} + \lambda(r a - c)$
- The first order conditions are

$$H_c = 0 \Rightarrow \lambda(t) c(t) = e^{-\rho t}$$

$$\dot{\lambda} = -H_a \Rightarrow \dot{\lambda} = -r\lambda$$

$$\dot{a} = r a - c$$

$$a(0) = a_0$$

- Together with **one** of the following terminal conditions

$$(P1) \quad a(T) = a_T, \text{ given}$$

$$(P2) \quad \lambda(T) = 0$$

$$(P3) \quad e^{-\rho T} \lambda(T) a(T) = 0$$

Example: the consumption-investment problem (cont.)

- As $\frac{\dot{\lambda}}{\lambda} + \frac{\dot{c}}{c} = -\rho$ then we obtain the MHDS

$$\dot{a} = r a - c$$

$$\dot{c} = (r - \rho) c$$

$$a(0) = a_0$$

- Together with **one** of the following terminal conditions

$$(P1) \quad a(T) = a_T$$

$$(P2) \quad \frac{e^{-\rho T}}{c(T)} = 0$$

$$(P3) \quad e^{-\rho T} \frac{a(T)}{c(T)} = 0$$

Example: the consumption-investment problem (cont.)

- As the system is recursive we solve $\dot{c} = (r - \rho) c$,

$$c(t) = c(0) e^{(r-\rho)t}, \text{ where } c(0) \text{ is unknown}$$

- The first ODE becomes $\dot{a} = ra - c(0) e^{(r-\rho)t}$. Solving

$$\begin{aligned} a(t) &= e^{rt} \left(a(0) - c(0) \int_0^t e^{-rs} e^{(r-\rho)s} ds \right) \\ &= e^{rt} \left(a(0) - c(0) \int_0^t e^{-\rho s} ds \right) \\ &= e^{rt} \left(a(0) - \frac{c(0)}{\rho} (e^{-\rho t} - 1) \right) \end{aligned}$$

where $a(0)$ and $c(0)$ are unknown (this is a general solution)

Example: the consumption-investment problem (cont.)

- To find the particular solutions, we use the initial condition and the terminal condition
- Problem (P1): $a(t)|_{t=0} = a_0$ and $a(t)|_{t=T} = a_T$. Then $a(0) = a_0$, and

$$e^{rT} \left(a_0 - \frac{c(0)}{\rho} (e^{-\rho T} - 1) \right) = a_T \Rightarrow c^*(0) = \rho \frac{a_0 - a_T e^{-rT}}{1 - e^{-\rho T}}$$

- then the solution to problem (P1) is

$$c^*(t) = \rho \frac{a_0 - a_T e^{-rT}}{1 - e^{-\rho T}} e^{(r-\rho)t}, \quad t \in [0, T]$$

$$a^*(t) = e^{rt} \left(a_0 - \frac{a_0 - a_T e^{-rT}}{1 - e^{-\rho T}} (1 - e^{-\rho t}) \right), \quad t \in [0, T].$$

which only makes economic sense if $a_0 > a_T e^{-rT}$ implying $c^*(t) > 0$ for all $t \in [0, T]$.

Example: the consumption-investment problem (cont.)

- Problem (P2): $a(t)|_{t=0} = a_0$ and $\frac{e^{-\rho T}}{c(T)} = 0$.

- Then $a(0) = a_0$, and

$$\frac{e^{-\rho T}}{c(T)} = \frac{e^{-rT}}{c(0)} = 0$$

- if r is finite, this solution can only occur if we could have $c(0) = \infty$, this would imply $a(t) = -\infty$ which means that the agent could borrow without limit. This does not occur in real economies.
- This is the reason for considering problem (P3) and the condition $e^{-rT}a(T) \geq 0$ is called non-Ponzi games condition implying that the transversality condition is a necessary (and sufficient condition) for an optimum $e^{-\rho T} \frac{a(T)}{c(T)} = 0$

Example: the consumption-investment problem (cont.)

- Problem (P3): $a(t)|_{t=0} = a_0$ and $e^{-\rho T} \frac{a(T)}{c(T)} = 0$.
- Then $a(0) = a_0$
- and

$$\begin{aligned} e^{-\rho T} \frac{a(T)}{c(T)} &= \frac{e^{(r-\rho)T}}{c(0) e^{(r-\rho)T}} \left(a_0 + \frac{c(0)}{\rho} (e^{-\rho T} - 1) \right) \\ &= \frac{a_0}{c(0)} + \frac{e^{-\rho T} - 1}{\rho} \\ &= 0 \Rightarrow c^*(0) = \frac{\rho a_0}{1 - e^{-\rho T}} \end{aligned}$$

- Then

$$\begin{aligned} c^*(t) &= \frac{\rho a_0 e^{(r-\rho)t}}{1 - e^{-\rho T}}, \quad t \in [0, T] \\ a^*(t) &= a_0 e^{rt} \frac{e^{-\rho t} - e^{-\rho T}}{1 - e^{-\rho T}}, \quad t \in [0, T]. \end{aligned}$$

- Observe that $a^*(T) = 0$: it is optimal for the consumer to spend its initial net wealth and the income it generates along the time of the program.

Optimal control: autonomous discounted infinite horizon problem

Find (x^*, u^*) where $x^* = (x^*(t))_{0 \leq t < \infty}$ and $u^* = (u^*(t))_{0 \leq t < \infty}$ that solve the OCIH problem:

$$\max_u \int_0^\infty e^{-\rho t} f(x(t), u(t)) dt$$

subject to

$$\dot{x}(t) = g(x(t), u(t))$$

- and $x(0) = \phi_0$
- alternative terminal conditions

(P2) $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} x(t)$ free

(P3) $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} h(t)x(t) \geq 0$.

Current-value Hamiltonian

- We define a **time-independent** current-value Hamiltonian function:

$$h(x, u, q) = f(x, u) + q g(x, u)$$

- as the capitalised value of the discounted Hamiltonian function

$$H(t, x(t), u(t), \lambda(t)) = e^{-\rho t} h(x(t), u(t), q(t))$$

- The **current-value co-state variable** is

$$q(t) = e^{\rho t} \lambda(t)$$

- By using the derived necessary conditions for problems (P2) and (P3) by taking $T \rightarrow \infty$, we find...

Pontryagin maximum principle

Proposition (Necessary conditions for the OCIP)

Let (x^*, u^*) be the solution of the OCIP problem. Then there is a co-state variable $q(t)$ such that the solution $(x^*(t), u^*(t))_{t \in [0, \infty)}$ satisfies the following conditions:

- the optimality condition

$$h_u(x^*(t), u^*(t), q(t)) = 0, \quad 0 \leq t < \infty$$

- the adjoint equation

$$\dot{q} = \rho q(t) - h_x(x^*(t), u^*(t), q(t)), \quad 0 < t < \infty$$

- the admissibility conditions:

$$\begin{cases} \dot{x}^* = g(x^*(t), u^*(t)) & 0 < t < \infty \\ x^*(0) = \phi_0, & t = 0 \end{cases}$$

- the terminal or transversality condition

$$(P2) \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} e^{-\rho t} q(t) = 0$$

$$(P3) \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} e^{-\rho t} q(t) x(t) = 0.$$

Example: consumption-investment problem

- The problem ((P3) case)

$$\begin{aligned} \max_c \int_0^\infty \ln(c(t)) e^{-\rho t} dt, \quad \rho > 0 \\ \text{subject to} \\ \dot{a} = r a - c, \quad t \in [0, \infty) \\ a(0) = a_0, \text{ given, } \{t = 0\} \\ \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} e^{-r t} a(t) \geq 0, \quad \{t = \infty\} \end{aligned}$$

- Current-value Hamiltonian

$$h = \ln(c) + q(r a - c)$$

- First order conditions:

$$\begin{aligned} c(t) &= 1/q(t) \\ \dot{q} &= \rho q, \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} e^{-\rho t} q(t) a(t) = 0 \\ \dot{a} &= r a - c, \quad a(0) = a_0 \end{aligned}$$

Example: consumption-investment problem

The maximized Hamiltonian dynamic system (MHDS)

$$\dot{c} = (r - \rho)c$$

$$\dot{a} = r a - c$$

$$a(0) = a_0$$

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} e^{-\rho t} \frac{a(t)}{c(t)} = 0$$

Example: consumption-investment problem

- As the system is linear it has an explicit solution, which is something rare for generic optimal control problems
- As for the discrete case, there (at least) three potential methods to find a solution **when the MHDS is linear**
 - **method 1:** as the system is recursive, solve each equation independently, and use the initial and transversality conditions
 - **method 2:** introduce a transformation of variables reducing the system to a backward problem with a scalar ODE
 - **method 3:** solve the coupled ODE equations jointly to get a general solution and use the initial and transversality conditions (this is the only method available when the system is not recursive)
- By solution I mean the particular solution to the ODE problem.

Example: consumption-investment problem

Method 1

- First step: solve the Euler equation $\dot{c} = (r - \rho)c$:

$$c(t) = c(0) e^{(r-\rho)t}, \text{ where } c(0) \text{ is unknown}$$

- Second step: substitute in the budget constraint $\dot{a} = r a - c(0) e^{(r-\rho)t}$, and solve, knowing that $a(0) = a_0$

$$\begin{aligned} a(t) &= e^{rt} \left(a_0 - \int_0^t e^{-rs} c(s) ds \right) \\ &= e^{rt} \left(a_0 - c(0) \int_0^t e^{-\rho s} ds \right) \\ &= e^{rt} \left(a_0 + \frac{c(0)}{\rho} (e^{-\rho t} - 1) \right) \end{aligned}$$

Example: consumption-investment problem

Method 1: continuation

- Third step: substitute in the transversality condition to find $c(0)$,

$$\begin{aligned}\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} e^{-\rho t} \frac{a(t)}{c(t)} &= \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} e^{-\rho t} \frac{e^{(r-\rho)t}}{e^{(r-\rho)t} c(0)} \left(a_0 + \frac{c(0)}{\rho} (e^{-\rho t} - 1) \right) \\ &= \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \left(\frac{a_0}{c(0)} - \frac{1}{\rho} + \frac{e^{-\rho t}}{\rho} \right) \\ &= \frac{a_0}{c(0)} - \frac{1}{\rho} \\ &= 0 \Rightarrow c^*(0) = \rho a_0\end{aligned}$$

- Fourth step: substitute in general solutions for the budget constraint and in the Euler equation, to obtain the particular solutions

$$a^*(t) = a_0 e^{(r-\rho)t}, \quad t \in [0, \infty)$$

$$c^*(t) = \rho a_0 e^{(r-\rho)t}, \quad t \in [0, \infty)$$

Example: consumption-investment problem

Method 2

- First step: come up with a trial function $z(t) = \frac{a(t)}{c(t)}$. Then

$$\frac{\dot{z}}{z} = \frac{\dot{a}}{a} - \frac{\dot{c}}{c}$$

- Second step: substitute from the ODE's in the MHDS and obtain a backward problem

$$\begin{cases} \dot{z} = \rho z - 1 \\ \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} e^{-\rho t} z(t) = 0 \end{cases}$$

- Third step: solve the backward problem. The general solution of the ODE is

$$z(t) = \bar{z} + (z(0) - \bar{z}) e^{\rho t},$$

where $z(0)$ is unknown and $\bar{z} = \frac{1}{\rho}$.

Example: consumption-investment problem

Method 2: continuation

- Third step (continuation): To get the particular solution substitute in the transversality condition

$$\begin{aligned}\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} e^{-\rho t} z(t) &= \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} e^{-\rho t} \left(\bar{z} + (z(0) - \bar{z}) e^{\rho t} \right) \\ &= \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} e^{-\rho t} \bar{z} + (z(0) - \bar{z}) \\ &= z(0) - \bar{z} = 0 \Rightarrow z(0) = \bar{z} = \rho^{-1}\end{aligned}$$

Then $\dot{a}(t) = \frac{a(t)}{z(t)} = \rho a(t)$

- Fourth step: substitute in the budget constraint and solve the initial-value problem

$$\begin{cases} \dot{a} = (r - \rho) a, & t \in [0, \infty) \\ a(0) = a_0, & t = 0 \end{cases}$$

We obtain the same solution.

Example: consumption-investment problem

Method 3: general method for linear MHDS

- First step: observe that the MHDS is a linear ODE system. Defining

$$\mathbf{X}(t) = \begin{pmatrix} a(t) \\ c(t) \end{pmatrix}$$

it can be written in matrix form

$$\dot{\mathbf{X}} = \mathbf{A} \mathbf{X}, \text{ where } \mathbf{A} = \begin{pmatrix} r & -1 \\ 0 & r - \rho \end{pmatrix}$$

- Second step: find the general solution of this system we know it is

$$\mathbf{X}(t) = \mathbf{e}^{\mathbf{A}t} \mathbf{X}(0), \text{ for } t \in [0, \infty)$$

where the vector $\mathbf{X}(0) = \begin{pmatrix} a(0) \\ c(0) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a_0 \\ c(0) \end{pmatrix}$ where $c(0)$ is unknown.

Example: consumption-investment problem

Method 3: continuation

- Third step: the hard part is finding $\mathbf{e}^{\mathbf{A}t}$. In optimal control problems we usually have

$$\mathbf{e}^{\mathbf{A}t} = \mathbf{P} \begin{pmatrix} e^{\lambda_- t} & 0 \\ 0 & e^{\lambda_+ t} \end{pmatrix} \mathbf{P}^{-1}$$

where λ_{\pm} are the eigenvalues of \mathbf{A} and \mathbf{P} is the associated eigenvector matrix.

- To find $\mathbf{e}^{\mathbf{A}t}$ we need to find the eigenvalues and eigenvectors of matrix \mathbf{A} .

Example: consumption-investment problem

Method 3: continuation

- To determine the eigenvalues, by finding the roots of the characteristic polynomial equation

$$c(\lambda) = \lambda^2 - \text{Trace}(\mathbf{A}) \lambda + \text{Det}(\mathbf{A}) = 0$$

that is

$$\lambda_{\mp} = \frac{\text{Trace}(\mathbf{A})}{2} \pm \sqrt{\left(\frac{\text{Trace}(\mathbf{A})}{2}\right)^2 - \text{Det}(\mathbf{A})}$$

- To determine the eigenvalues the associated eigenvectors, which are the solutions of the homogeneous equations

$$(\mathbf{A} - \lambda_- \mathbf{I}) \mathbf{P}^- = \mathbf{0} \text{ yields } \mathbf{P}^-$$

where \mathbf{I} is the (2×2) identity matrix and

$$(\mathbf{A} - \lambda_+ \mathbf{I}) \mathbf{P}^+ = \mathbf{0} \text{ yields } \mathbf{P}^+$$

- the eigenvector matrix is obtained by concatenating the two eigenvectors

$$\mathbf{P} = \mathbf{P}^- | \mathbf{P}^+$$

Example: consumption-investment problem

Method 3: continuation

- Third step (continuation): in our problem we obtain

$$\lambda_- = r - \rho, \quad \lambda_+ = r$$

and

$$\mathbf{P} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ \rho & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

- The exponential matrix is

$$\mathbf{e}^{\mathbf{A}t} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ \rho & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} e^{(r-\rho)t} & 0 \\ 0 & e^{rt} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \frac{1}{\rho} \\ 1 & -\frac{1}{\rho} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} e^{rt} & \frac{1}{\rho} (e^{(r-\rho)t} - e^{rt}) \\ 0 & e^{(r-\rho)t} \end{pmatrix}$$

- Therefore the general solution to the MHDS is

$$\begin{pmatrix} a(t) \\ c(t) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a(0) e^{rt} + \frac{c(0)}{\rho} (e^{(r-\rho)t} - e^{rt}) \\ c(0) e^{(r-\rho)t} \end{pmatrix}$$

Example: consumption-investment problem

Method 3: continuation

- Fourth step: to find $a(0)$ we set $a(t)|_{t=0} = a_0$, and find $a(0) = a_0$ and to find $c(0)$ we use the transversality condition

$$\begin{aligned}\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} e^{-\rho t} \frac{a(t)}{c(t)} &= \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \left(\frac{a_0}{c(0)} e^{\rho t} + \frac{1}{\rho} (1 - e^{\rho t}) \right) \\ &= \frac{a_0}{c(0)} - \frac{1}{\rho} \\ &= 0\end{aligned}$$

where we find again $c^*(0) = \rho a_0$.

- Fifth step: we substitute again $c(0) = \rho a_0$ in the general solution to get the same (particular) solution to our problem

Solving non-linear optimal control problems

- **Most optimal control problems do not have explicit solutions**
- However, in sufficiently smooth cases qualitative results on the solution can be obtained
- Consider again the infinite-horizon problem

$$\max_{u(\cdot)} \int_0^{\infty} f(u(t), x(t)) e^{-\rho t} dt, \text{ where } \rho > 0$$

subject to

$$\dot{x} = g(u, x)$$

$$x(0) = x_0$$

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} x(t) \text{ is bounded}$$

- We can obtain a **qualitative solution** to the problem if the solution converges to a steady state.

Solving non-linear optimal control problems (cont.)

- The Hamiltonian function is

$$h(u, x, q) = f(x, u) + q g(x, u)$$

- the f.o.c are

$$h_u(u, x, q) = 0$$

$$\dot{q} = \rho q - h_x(u, x, q)$$

$$\dot{x} = g(u, x)$$

$$x(0) = x_0$$

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} e^{-\rho t} q(t) x(t) = 0$$

- Assumption: $h_{uu}(u, x, q) = \frac{\partial^2 h}{\partial u^2} \neq 0$.
- From the implicit function theorem, from $h_u(u, x, q) = 0$ we can obtain uniquely

$$u = U(x, q)$$

at the optimum

Solving non-linear optimal control problems (cont.)

- The maximized Hamiltonian is

$$h^*(x, q) = h(U(x, q), x, q)$$

- Then we get the modified Hamiltonian dynamic system (MHDS):

$$\begin{cases} \dot{x} = \dot{k}(q, x) \equiv g(x, U(x, q)) \\ \dot{q} = \dot{q}(q, x) \equiv \rho q - h_x(x, U(x, q)) \end{cases}$$

- Assume the MHDS has a fixed point (\bar{q}, \bar{x}) such that $\dot{q} = \dot{k} = 0$.
- In the neighbourhood of (\bar{x}, \bar{q}) we can approximate the MHDS by the **linear system**

$$\begin{pmatrix} \dot{x}(t) \\ \dot{q}(t) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial \dot{x}}{\partial x} & \frac{\partial \dot{x}}{\partial q} \\ \frac{\partial \dot{q}}{\partial x} & \frac{\partial \dot{q}}{\partial q} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x(t) - \bar{x} \\ q(t) - \bar{q} \end{pmatrix} = \mathbf{J} \begin{pmatrix} x(t) - \bar{x} \\ q(t) - \bar{q} \end{pmatrix}$$

Solving non-linear optimal control problems (cont.)

- The Jacobian becomes

$$\mathbf{J} = \begin{pmatrix} h_{qx}^*(\bar{x}, \bar{q}) & h_{qq}^*(\bar{x}, \bar{q}) \\ -h_{xx}^*(\bar{x}, \bar{q}) & \rho - h_{xq}^*(\bar{x}, \bar{q}) \end{pmatrix}$$

- It can be proven that $h_{xq}^* = h_{qx}^*$ which implies that the Jacobian has the structure

$$\mathbf{J} = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & \rho - a \end{pmatrix}$$

- having trace and determinant

$$\text{tr}(\mathbf{J}) = \rho > 0, \quad \det(\mathbf{J}) = a(\rho - a) - bc < 0$$

- this implies the eigenvalues of \mathbf{J} are real and satisfy $\lambda_- < 0 < \lambda_+$
- Interpretation: - the equilibrium point (\bar{x}, \bar{q}) is a saddle point. The stable manifold associated with (\bar{x}, \bar{q}) is the solution set of the OC problem.
 - this means that the solution to the OC problem is unique.

Solving non-linear optimal control problems (cont.)

- Solving the approximate system we find

$$\begin{pmatrix} x(t) \\ q(t) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \bar{x} \\ \bar{q} \end{pmatrix} + h_- \mathbf{P} e^{\lambda_- t} + h_+ \mathbf{P} e^{\lambda_+ t}$$

- where h_- and h_+ are arbitrary constants.
- From what we concluded regarding the signs of the eigenvalues, then

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} e^{\lambda_- t} = 0, \text{ and } \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} e^{\lambda_+ t} = +\infty$$

- We find the two constants:
 - by forcing the solution to converge to the steady state by making $h_+ = 0$
 - by making it satisfy the initial value of the state variable, by solving for h_-

$$x_0 = x(0) = \bar{x} + h_- \mathbf{P}_1^-$$

Solving non-linear optimal control problems (cont.)

- Therefore the **approximate solution** is

$$\begin{pmatrix} x(t) \\ q(t) \end{pmatrix} \approx \begin{pmatrix} \bar{x} \\ \bar{q} \end{pmatrix} + (x_0 - \bar{x}) \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ \frac{\mathbf{P}_2^-}{\mathbf{P}_1^-} \end{pmatrix} e^{\lambda_- t}$$

- As required we find

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \begin{pmatrix} x(t) \\ q(t) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \bar{x} \\ \bar{q} \end{pmatrix}$$

- and the initial values for the state and the co-state variables

$$\begin{pmatrix} x(t) \\ q(t) \end{pmatrix} \Big|_{t=0} = \begin{pmatrix} x(0) \\ q(0) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} x_0 \\ \bar{q} + (x_0 - \bar{x}) \frac{\mathbf{P}_2^-}{\mathbf{P}_1^-} \end{pmatrix}$$

The Ramsey model

- **The problem:** find the optimal allocation of savings through time in order to maximize the time aggregate of the discounted **value** of consumption (in utility terms), when there is a technology of production displaying decreasing marginal returns:

$$\max_c \int_0^{\infty} e^{-\rho t} u(c(t)) dt, \quad \rho > 0,$$

subject to

$$\dot{k} = f(k) - c, \quad t \in [0, \infty)$$

$$k(0) = k_0, \text{ given}$$

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} e^{-\rho t} k(t) \geq 0$$

- Utility and production functions, $u(c)$ and $f(k)$; are increasing, concave and Inada :

$$u''(.) \leq 0 < u'(.), \quad u'(0) = \infty, \quad u'(\infty) = 0$$

$$f''(.) \leq 0 < f'(.), \quad f'(0) = \infty, \quad f'(\infty) = 0$$

The Ramsey model: optimality conditions

- The current-value Hamiltonian

$$h(c, k, q) = u(c) + q(f(k) - c)$$

- The Pontryagin's f.o.c

$$u'(c(t)) = q(t)$$

$$\dot{q} = q(t) (\rho - f'(k(t)))$$

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} e^{-\rho t} q(t) k(t) = 0$$

$$\dot{k} = f(k(t)) - c(t)$$

$$k(0) = k_0$$

The Ramsey model: the non-linear MHDS

- The MHDS

$$\begin{aligned}\dot{c} &= \frac{c}{\sigma(c)} (r(k) - \rho) \\ \dot{k} &= f(k) - c \\ k(0) &= k_0 > 0 \\ 0 &= \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} e^{-\rho t} u'(c(t)) k(t)\end{aligned}$$

where

$r(k) \equiv f'(k)$ is the rate of return of capital

$\sigma(c) \equiv -\frac{u''(c)c}{u'(c)}$ is the inverse of the elasticity of intertemporal substitution

- The MHDS has no explicit solution: we can only use **qualitative methods**:
 - determine the steady state(s)
 - linearize the system around the candidate steady states
 - solve the linearized MHDS

The Ramsey model: the linearized MHDS

- The steady state (if $k > 0$ and $c > 0$)

$$\begin{aligned}r(\bar{k}) &= \rho \Rightarrow \bar{k} = (r)^{-1}(\rho) \\ \bar{c} &= f(\bar{k})\end{aligned}$$

is unique from the Inada property of $f(k)$ implying $r(k) \in (0,)$

- The linearized MHDS is

$$\begin{pmatrix} \dot{k} \\ \dot{c} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \rho & -1 \\ \psi & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} k(t) - \bar{k} \\ c(t) - \bar{c} \end{pmatrix}$$

where $\psi \equiv \frac{\bar{c}}{\sigma(\bar{c})} r'(\bar{K}) < 0$ because of the concavity of $f(\cdot)$

- The jacobian J has trace and determinant:

$$\text{tr}(J) = \rho, \det(J) = \psi < 0$$

then (\bar{k}, \bar{c}) is a saddle point

The Ramsey model: solving the linearized MHDS

The general solution of the linearized MHDS

- The general solution is

$$\begin{pmatrix} k(t) \\ c(t) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \bar{k} \\ \bar{c} \end{pmatrix} + h_- \mathbf{P}_- e^{\lambda_- t} + h_+ \mathbf{P}_+ e^{\lambda_+ t}$$

where λ_{\pm} are the eigenvalues and \mathbf{P}_{\mp} are the associated eigenvectors of matrix \mathbf{J}

- The eigenvalues of \mathbf{J} are

$$\lambda_- = \frac{\rho}{2} - \sqrt{\Delta} < 0, \quad \lambda_+ = \frac{\rho}{2} + \sqrt{\Delta} > \rho$$

where the discriminant of J is $\Delta = \left(\frac{\rho}{2}\right)^2 - \psi > \left(\frac{\rho}{2}\right)^2$

- The eigenvector matrix is

$$\mathbf{P} = (\mathbf{P}_- | \mathbf{P}_+) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ \lambda_+ & \lambda_- \end{pmatrix}$$

- Then the general solution to the approximate MHDS is Then

$$\begin{pmatrix} k(t) \\ c(t) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \bar{k} \\ \bar{c} \end{pmatrix} + h_- \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ \lambda_+ \end{pmatrix} e^{\lambda_- t} + h_+ \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ \lambda_- \end{pmatrix} e^{\lambda_+ t}$$

The Ramsey model: solving the linearized MHDS

The particular solution of the linearized MHDS

- To find the particular solution, we determine the constants: h_- and h_+ such that the the solution converges to the steady state and the initial value for $k(0) = k_0$ is satisfied:
 - convergence to the steady state

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \begin{pmatrix} c(t) \\ k(t) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \bar{k} \\ \bar{c} \end{pmatrix} \Leftrightarrow h_+ = 0$$

- initial value for the state variable is satisfied if

$$k(t)|_{t=0} = \bar{k} + h_- = k_0 \Leftrightarrow h_- = k_0 - \bar{k}$$

The Ramsey model: the approximate solution

- The **approximate** solution to the Ramsey model is, therefore,
Therefore, the linearized solution is

$$\begin{pmatrix} k^*(t) \\ c^*(t) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \bar{k} \\ \bar{c} \end{pmatrix} + (k_0 - \bar{k}) \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ \lambda_+ \end{pmatrix} e^{\lambda_+ t}$$

- Solution at $t = 0$

$$\begin{pmatrix} k^*(0) \\ c^*(0) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} k_0 \\ \bar{c} + \lambda_+(k_0 - \bar{k}) \end{pmatrix}$$


the initial value of consumption is determined endogenously

- Asymptotic solution

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \begin{pmatrix} k^*(t) \\ c^*(t) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \bar{k} \\ \bar{c} \end{pmatrix}$$

the solution tends to the fixed point of the MHDS.

Ramsey model: phase diagram



Exact solution (stable manifold - W^s), linearized solution (stable eigenspace - E^s).

Close to the steady state W^s has slope equal to to the slope of E^s , and they are higher than the slope of the isocline $\dot{k}(c, k) = 0$

$$\left. \frac{dc}{dk} \right|_{W^s} = \left. \frac{dc}{dk} \right|_{E^s} = \lambda_+ > \left. \frac{dc}{dk} \right|_{\dot{k}} = f'(\bar{k}) = \rho$$

Proofs

Proof of proposition 1

- The value functional is for any paths (x, u)

$$\begin{aligned} V(x) &= \int_0^T f(u(t), x(t), t) dt = (\text{definition of } H \text{ function}) \\ &= \int_0^T H(u(t), x(t), t) - \lambda(t) \dot{x}(t) dt = (\text{integration by parts}) \\ &= \int_0^T \left(H(u(t), x(t), \lambda(t), t) + \dot{\lambda}(t) x(t) \right) dt + \lambda(0)x(0) - \lambda(T)x(T) \end{aligned}$$

- The value at the optimum is

$$\begin{aligned} V(x^*) &= \int_0^T f(u^*(t), x^*(t), t) dt = \\ &= \int_0^T \left(H(u^*(t), x^*(t), \lambda(t), t) + \dot{\lambda}(t) x^*(t) \right) dt + \lambda(0)x^*(0) - \lambda(T)x^*(T) \\ &\quad (+\mu h(T)x^*(T) \text{ (for case P3)}) \end{aligned}$$

Proof of proposition 1 (cont.)

- Now we introduce perturbations in the state and co-state variables
 $x(t) = x^* + \epsilon d_x(t)$ and $u(t) = u^* + \epsilon d_u(t)$
- The perturbations are admissible if $d_x(0) = 0$ and, for (P1) $d_x(T) = 0$, and $d_x(T)$ is free for (P2) and (P3).
- The optimal should satisfy

$$\delta V(x^*) = \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{V(x^* + \epsilon h_x) - V(x^*)}{\epsilon} = \frac{dV(x^*)}{d\epsilon} = 0.$$

Proof of proposition 1 (cont.)

- But, writing $H^*(t) = H(u^*(t), x^*(t), \lambda(t), t)$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{dV(x^*)}{d\epsilon} &= \int_0^T \left[\frac{\partial H^*(t)}{\partial u} h_u(t) + \left(\frac{\partial H^*(t)}{\partial x} + \dot{\lambda}(t) \right) d_x(t) \right] dt + \lambda(0) d_x(0) \\ &\quad - \lambda(T) d_x(T) \text{ (for cases P1 and P2)} \\ &\quad (+ ((\mu h(T) - \lambda(T)) h_x(T) \text{ (for case P3))}) \end{aligned}$$

for case P3 the Kuhn-Tucker condition $\mu h(T) x^*(T) = 0$ for $\mu \geq 0$ should also hold.

- Then

$$\delta V(x^*) = 0 \Leftrightarrow \frac{\partial H_t^*}{\partial u} = \frac{\partial H_t^*}{\partial x} + \dot{\lambda} = 0$$

and $\lambda(T) = 0$ for case P2, and $\lambda(T) x^*(T) = 0$ for case P3.