Economic Growth Theory: Problem set 1: Malthusian and Solow models

Paulo Brito Universidade de Lisboa

Email: pbrito@iseg.ulisboa.pt

26.2.2020

Malthusian growth theory

1. Assume that the representative consumer solves the problem: $\max_{c,b} \{u(c,b): c + \rho b \leq y\}$ where c is consumption, b is the birth rate, ρ is the cost of raising children and y is income. Assume that the utility function is

$$u(c,b) = \frac{(cb^{\phi})^{1-\theta}}{1-\theta}, \ \theta > 0, \ \phi > 0$$

and the aggregate production function is Cobb-Douglas $Y = X^{\alpha}L^{1-\alpha}$, with $0 < \alpha < 1$, where X is the stock of land. Population growth is $\dot{L}/L = b - m$, where the mortality rate, m, is constant and exogenous, and L(0) is given.

- (a) Prove that the fertility rate is $b = (\phi/(1+\phi))(y/\rho)$, and determine the dynamic equation for population growth.
- (b) Determine the steady state population level and study their dynamic behaviour.
- (c) What is the effect of an increase in m and ρ ?
- (d) Supply an economic intuition for the the previous results.

2. Assume that the representative consumer solves the problem: $\max_{c,b} \{u(c,b): c + \rho b \leq y\}$ where c is consumption, b is the birth rate, ρ is the cost of raising children and y is income. Assume that the utility function is

$$u(c,b) = \frac{(cb^{\phi})^{1-\theta}}{1-\theta}, \ \theta > 0, \ \phi > 0$$

and the aggregate production function is Cobb-Douglas $Y = AX^{\alpha}L^{1-\alpha}$, with $0 < \alpha < 1$, where A is the total factor productivity, X is the stock of land. Population growth is $\dot{L}/L = b - m$, where the mortality rate, m, is constant and exogenous, and L(0) is given. Assume that the total factor productivity grows with a constant rate such that $\alpha m > \gamma > 0$

- (a) The per capita GDP in efficiency units is y = Y/(LA). Write a differential equation for y.
- (b) Study, qualitatively, the solution for that differential equation.
- (c) Does long run growth exists for this economy? Supply an economic intuition.
- 3. Let the dynamics of population be described by the differential equation $\dot{L}=(b-m)L$, where L is total population. Assume that both the birth and the mortality rates are functions of per capita GDP, y, where $b=\beta y$ and $m=\mu/y$, for $\beta>0$ and $\mu>0$. The technology for this economy is represented by the production function $Y=X^{\alpha}L^{1-\alpha}$ where $0<\alpha<1$, and X denoted the land endowment. The initial population is given, $L(0)=L_0$.
 - (a) Write an equation for the population dynamics.
 - (b) Determine the steady state level of population and study their dynamic behaviour.
 - (c) What are the effects of a reduction in the mortality rate parameter μ , on population and the per capita GDP ?
 - (d) Does long run growth exists for this economy? Provide an economic intuition.
- 4. Assume that the representative consumer solves the problem: $\max_{c,b} \{u(c,b): c + \rho b \le y\}$ where c is consumption, b is the birth rate, ρ is the cost of raising children

and *y* is per capita income. Assume that the utility function is

$$u(c,b) = \ln(c) + \phi \ln(b), \ \phi > 0$$

and the aggregate production function is Cobb-Douglas $Y = (AX)^{\alpha}L^{1-\alpha}$, with $0 < \alpha < 1$, where X is the stock of land, A is land-specific productivity and L is population. Population growth is $\dot{L}/L = b - m$, where the mortality rate, m, is constant and exogenous, and $L(0) = L_0 > 0$ is given. Land productivity grows at a rate $\gamma > 0$.

- (a) Defining $\ell \equiv L/A$, obtain a differential equation for ℓ .
- (b) Study the qualitative dynamics of the model. Provide an intuition for your results.
- (c) Derive the growth facts (long run growth rate, long run per capita output and transition dynamics). What are the effects of an increase in γ ?
- 5. Assume that the representative consumer solves the problem: $\max_{c,b} \{\ln(cb^{\phi}): c + \rho b \leq y\}$ where c is consumption, b is the birth rate, $\rho > 0$ is the cost of raising children, $\phi > 0$ is the love-for-children parameter, and y is per capita income. The aggregate production function is CES

$$Y = \left(\alpha(AX)^{\eta} + (1 - \alpha)L^{\eta}\right)^{\frac{1}{\eta}},$$

with $0 < \alpha < 1$ and $\eta > 0$, where X is the stock of land, A is land-specific productivity and L is population. Population growth is $\dot{L}/L = b - m$, where the mortality rate, m, is constant and exogenous, and $L(0) = L_0 > 0$ is given. Land productivity grows at a rate $\gamma > 0$.

(a) Prove that the differential equation for the per capita product, $y \equiv Y/L$ is

$$\dot{y} = (1 - \alpha - y^{\eta})(\beta y - m)y^{1-\eta},$$

where $\beta \equiv \frac{\phi}{\rho(1+\phi)} > 0$.

(b) Prove that if $y(0) < \min\{m/\beta, (1-\alpha)^{\frac{1}{\eta}}\}$ the economy will collapse, i.e. $\lim_{t\to\infty} y(t) = 0$ and if $y(0) > \min\{m/\beta, (1-\alpha)^{\frac{1}{\eta}}\}$ the economy will converge to $\max\{m/\beta, (1-\alpha)^{\frac{1}{\eta}}\}$ (Hint: draw the phase diagram)

- (c) Discuss the economic intuition of those results.
- 6. Assume two economies i = E, P which are equal in every respect, except that economy E obtained an increase in its land endowment (for instance by becoming an empire). Thus $X_E > X_P$. In the two economies there is a representative farmer who solves the problem: $\max_{c,b} \{u(c,b): c_i + \rho b_i \leq y_i\}$ where c_i is consumption, b_i is the birth rate, and y_i is per-capita income, in country i = E.P, and ρ is the cost of raising children. Assume that the utility function is

$$u(c,b) = \ln\left(cb^{\phi}\right), \ \phi > 0$$

and the aggregate production function for country i is Cobb-Douglas $Y_i = AX_i^{\alpha}L_i^{1-\alpha}$, with $0 < \alpha < 1$, where A is the total factor productivity, X is the stock of land. Population growth is $\dot{L}/L = b - m$, where the mortality rate, m, is constant and exogenous, and L(0) is given.

- (a) Write a differential equation for y_i .
- (b) What are the growth consequences to become an empire for country *E* ?
- (c) Is that realistic? How would you change the model in order to obtain growth effects from increasing X_E ?

Solow growth theory

1. Consider a version of the Solow model, in which: (1) the savings function is S(t) = sY(t), with 0 < s < 1; (2) the population, L grows at a constant rate n > 0, $\dot{L} = nL(t)$, (3) there is no depreciation of capital, and (4) the technology is linear

$$Y(t) = AK(t)$$

- (a) Derive the accumulation equation for the detrended capital stock $k(t) \equiv K(t)/L(t)$.
- (b) Determine analytically the long run level for k, and discuss its economic meaning.
- (c) Will there be transitional dynamics in this model?
- (d) Interpret the results for the properties of the model regarding the existence of a balanced growth path, the existence of transition dynamics, the existence of endogenous growth, and the effects of *n* over long run growth, transition, and the level effects.
- 2. Consider a version of the Solow model, in which: (1) the savings function is S(t) = sY(t), with 0 < s < 1; (2) the population, L grows at a constant rate n > 0, $\dot{L} = nL(t)$, (3) there is no depreciation of capital, and (4) the production technology is given by a Cobb-Douglas function
 - (a) Derive the dynamic equation for the detrended output $y(t) \equiv Y(t)/L(t)$.
 - (b) Solve the equation in (a) explicitly.
 - (c) Solve the equation in (a) by approximation methods.
 - (d) Supply an intuition for the results you obtained.
- 3. Consider a version of the Solow model, in which: (1) the savings function is S(t) = sY(t), with 0 < s < 1; (2) the population, L grows at a constant rate n > 0, $\dot{L} = nL(t)$, (3) there is no depreciation of capital, and (4) the technology is CES (constant elasticity of substitution)

$$Y(t) = F(K(t), L(t)) = (\alpha K(t)^{-\eta} + (1 - \alpha)L(t)^{-\eta})^{-1/\eta}, \ 0 < \alpha < 1, \ \eta > -1, \ \eta \neq 0$$

- (a) Derive the accumulation equation for the detrended capital stock $k(t) \equiv K(t)/L(t)$.
- (b) Determine analytically the long run level for k, its stability properties, and discuss its economic meaning.
- (c) Study the effect of a permanent increase in *n* on the long run growth, transition, and the level of the product.
- 4. Consider a version of the Solow model, in which there are two types of labor: skilled L_s , and unskilled labor L_u . The proportion of population with each skill is constant, such that $\ell = L_u/L$ and $1 \ell = L_s/L$, where $0 < \ell < 1$. The total population, L, grows at a constant rate n > 0. The technology of production involves a complementarity between capital and unskilled labor and a substitution between them and skilled labor. It is represented by the production function

$$Y(t) = (K(t) + L_u(t))^{\alpha} (AL_s(t))^{1-\alpha}$$

where $0 < \alpha < 1$ and A > 1 measures the specific productivity of skilled labor. The savings function is S(t) = sY(t), with 0 < s < 1, and there is no depreciation of capital.

- (a) Derive the accumulation equation for the detrended capital stock $k(t) \equiv K(t)/L(t)$.
- (b) Prove there is a unique long run level for *k*. Is uniqueness related to the Inada properties , for *k* , of the production function ?
- (c) Describe the properties of the model regarding the existence of a balanced growth path, of transition dynamics and of endogenous growth.
- (d) Assume there is a permanent increase in the proportion of unskilled labour ℓ . Determine the effects over long run growth, the level effects, and the transitional dynamics. (Hint: assume that $\ell < \alpha$ and $s\alpha^{\alpha}(A(1-\alpha))^{1-\alpha} > n$).
- 5. Consider a version of the Solow (1956) model in which the production function is of the VES (*variable elasticity of substitution*) type

$$F(K, H) = AK^{\alpha} [H + \alpha \beta K]^{1-\alpha}, A > 0, 0 < \alpha < 1, \beta > -1$$

where K is the stock of physical capital and H is the stock of human capital. Human capital is produced by means of a linear production function dH(t)/dt =

 $\gamma H(t)$, with $\gamma > 0$. The accumulation of physical capital is given by $dK(t)/dt = sF(H(t),K(t)) - \delta K(t)$ where s > 0 and $\delta > 0$.

- (a) Does the production function verifies the necessary conditions for the existence of a balanced growth path? If your answer is affirmative, derive the expression for the physical capital along the BGP?
- (b) Define $k(t) \equiv K(t)/H(t)$ and determine the accumulation equation for k.
- (c) Determine the stationary level k^* , by introducing assumptions over the parameters such that we have $k^* > 0$. With the same assumptions, characterise the local dynamics for k(t).
- (d) Supply an intuition for the decomposition of the variation of K(t) between long run growth and transition.
- 6. Consider a growth model in which technological innovation takes the form of variety expansion. Assume: (1) the final good is produced by a continuum of N(t) perfectly substitutable intermediate goods (i.e., varieties); (2) each variety is produced by a monopolist, although there is free entry in the markets for intermediate goods; (3) the introduction of a new variety, and therefore of a new producer, is done after R&D activities are developed. With those assumptions, we can determine: (1) the production of the final good as a function of the number of varieties

$$Y(t) = \phi N(t)$$
, where $\phi \equiv \left(A\alpha^{2\alpha}\right)^{1/(1-\alpha)}$

where $0 < \alpha < 1$ is the elasticity of substitution among varieties and A > 0 is the exogenous productivity parameter, in the production of the final good; (2) the aggregate income which is generated as a result of imperfect competition in the production of intermediate goods is $X(t) = \alpha^2 \phi N(t)$, and (3) the expenditure in R&D activities is $I(t) = \eta \phi \dot{N}$, where $\eta > 0$ is the average cost of development of a new variety, in units of the final good. Assume, further, that the consumption function for the final good is C(t) = (1-s)(Y(t)-X(t)) where 0 < s < 1 is the savings rate. The equilibrium condition for the final good market is Y(t) = C(t) + I(t) + X(t).

(a) Derive an equation for the accumulation of varieties.

- (b) Determine the solution for Y(t), assuming that N(0) is given. Characterize the growth dynamics for this economy. Give an economic intuition for your results
- 7. Assuming that the Solow model is a good representation of two economies, A and B. The economies have the same technology of production and the same demographic data, but differ as regards the initial capital intensity k and the savings rate. Let the Solow accumulation equation be

$$\dot{k}_i = s_i A k_i(t)^{\alpha} - n k_i(t), \ i = A, B.$$

Assume that:
$$k_A(0) > k_B(0)$$
, $1 > s_B > s_A > 0$, $A > 0$, $0 < \alpha < 1$ and $n \ge 0$

- (a) Characterize the differences in the growth dynamics between the two countries.
- (b) Will there be convergence? If affirmative, which kind of convergence?
- (c) Assuming there is any form of catch up, how can we measured its timing?
- 8. Assume that the Solow model is a good representation of the capital accumulation dynamics for two countries, labelled by 1 and 2, respectively. Let the economies have the same preferences and the same demographic data, but differ as regards the initial capital intensity, $k_i(0)$ and the TFP. The Solow accumulation equation would be

$$\dot{k}_i = sA_ik_i(t)^{\alpha} - nk_i(t), \ i = 1, 2.$$

Assume that:
$$k_1(0) > k_2(0)$$
, $A_1 < A_2$, $0 < s < 1$, $0 < \alpha < 1$ and $n \ge 0$.

- (a) Characterize the differences in the growth dynamics between the two countries.
- (b) Will there be convergence? If affirmative, which kind of convergence?
- (c) Assuming there is some form of catch up, provide a measure of its timing?
- 9. Consider a model in which the production function is Cobb-Douglas, $Y = AK^{\alpha}L^{1-\alpha}$, population grows as $\dot{L} = nL$ with n > 0, there is no depreciation and the consumption function is $C = \nu K$.

- (a) Derive the accumulation equation for k(t) = K(t)/L(t).
- (b) Determine the interior steady state and study its dynamic properties.
- (c) Interpret the growth dimensions (long run rate of growth, long run level and transitional dynamics) which are present in this model. Give an economic interpretation.