

For Steve Simon
by Kim Love

Stats Amore Peer Review

Instructions: You can print out and take notes or type directly into the review. Please send it to the instructor (and cc: Mike Hollenbeck) when finished. Please answer every question. Remember the point here is to help each other improve and make sure all criticisms are constructive.

Content

	Notes and Helpful Suggestions
Clarity of Learning Objectives Was it clear exactly what the audience will learn?	Mostly clear. Mostly clear.
Clarity of reason for learning Was the case made for why anyone would need the topic?	You could make this more direct - but from what you stated at the beginning, default plots usu. aren't the best idea - and there
Plain language Did the presenter avoid jargon?	was an implicit suggestion that many probably aren't intimately familiar w/ theory, which I imagine is probably true! → yes - to my brain, at least! :))
Definition of terms Did the presenter define all the terms that may be confusing?	Yes
Software agnostic Did the presentation apply equally to users of all statistical software?	Yes. The RGB stuff is not required to know for a lot of software so so might be excessive, BUT I didn't know what you talked about so it was interesting!
Content structure: order Was the order in which the material was presented conducive to learning?	Yes - breaks between sections are great
Content structure: level of detail Was the level of detail appropriate for meeting the learning objectives?	Yes
Examples Were examples clear and used appropriately to explain the concept?	Yes! It is tough to come up with enough examples for a presentation like this and they were all good for the concepts and pretty understandable

→ RGB Brewer not agnostic but purpose of displaying is

Delivery:

	Notes and Helpful Suggestions
Engagement Did the presenter involve the audience?	Yes
Conversational Was the tone appropriately conversational, not too formal?	Yes
Enthusiastic Did the speaker sound enthusiastic, rather than bored?	Yes
Clear speaking Was the presenter easy to understand? Did they avoid speaking too fast/slow?	Yes
Technical audio Was the presentation free of background noises, microphone feedback, and other non-speaking audio issues?	Yes
Time management Does the presentation fit into the allotted time—neither too long or short?	Yes, good time left for questions and comments

Visuals:

	Notes and Helpful Suggestions
Amount of text on each slide Is there just enough text to support what you're saying? Are full sentences rare?	Yes
Variety from slide to slide Is there a nice balance of visuals, as opposed to every slide being the same format?	Yes
Font size Is the text (including tables) large enough to read clearly, even on a small monitor?	Yes
Resolution of graphs and images Are the graphs and images crisp and legible?	Yes
Clarity of graphs and images Do images/graphs fit the context of the slides? Do they explain the point?	Yes

Specific Slide Suggestions

Use this space to write down specific suggestions for each slide, including typos to fix, wording, visuals. Please be constructive. Use slide numbers. Add another page if needed.

Log Scale - just an opinion so feel free to ignore, but I would really emphasize that if someone is going to use a log scale that they really need to emphasize that it is a log scale and, what that means depending on the audience.

Slide 28 - can you change factor (Fireplace) to just Fireplace?

29 - Factor (Bedrooms), same

51 - "people" instead of "patients" maybe

53 - "Sand" (you saw this one!)

Naomi did a Stats amore previously! (slide 54? missed number)

60 - "open" (you caught this one)

60 - just noticed on this slide, but some bullets have periods and others don't. May extend to other slides?