Effect of NC on respondent's propensity to vote for the attacker

|  |  | Parties (neg) | Parties (inc) | Systems (neg) | Systems (inc) |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Fixed effects | intercept | 0.229 [-0.904,1.361] | -0.153 [-1.153,0.848] | 0.071 [-0.106,0.249] | 0.045 [-0.109,0.199] |
|  | Negativity [P] | 0.163 [-0.037,0.363] |  | 0.025 [-0.007,0.056] |  |
|  | Incivility [P] |  | 0.275\*\* [ 0.070,0.480] |  | 0.036\* [ 0.004,0.067] |
|  | Negativity \* ENP [P] |  |  | -0.001 [-0.005,0.002] |  |
|  | Incivility \* ENP [P] |  |  |  | -0.002 [-0.006,0.001] |
|  | Negativity \* Ideol. dist. to closest competitor[P] | -0.019 [-0.081,0.042] |  |  |  |
|  | Incivility \* Ideol. dist. to closest competitor[P] |  | -0.046 [-0.101,0.010] |  |  |
|  | ideol. distance to closest competitor [P] | 0.149 [-0.276,0.573] | 0.230 [-0.094,0.554] |  |  |
|  | ENP [E] |  |  | -0.004 [-0.025,0.016] | -0.001 [-0.018,0.016] |
|  | Negativity of closest competitor [P] | 0.118 [-0.167,0.402] |  | 0.015 [-0.010,0.040] |  |
|  | Incivility of closest competitor [P] |  | -0.098 [-0.388,0.192] |  | -0.005 [-0.029,0.020] |
|  | L-R position[P] | 0.007 [-0.189,0.203] | 0.039 [-0.154,0.231] | -0.003 [-0.022,0.016] | 0.000 [-0.019,0.019] |
|  | L-R extremity [P] | 0.133 [-0.121,0.386] | 0.127 [-0.124,0.378] | 0.012 [-0.012,0.036] | 0.011 [-0.014,0.035] |
|  | EU position [P] | 0.515\*\* [ 0.185,0.845] | 0.507\*\* [ 0.208,0.806] | 0.051\*\* [ 0.020,0.083] | 0.048\*\* [ 0.019,0.077] |
|  | EU position extremity[P] | 0.089 [-0.076,0.253] | 0.080 [-0.084,0.244] | 0.008 [-0.008,0.023] | 0.006 [-0.010,0.022] |
|  | incumbency [P] | 0.304\*\*\* [ 0.147,0.461] | 0.261\*\*\* [ 0.114,0.409] | 0.030\*\*\* [ 0.015,0.046] | 0.025\*\*\* [ 0.011,0.040] |
|  | Negativity of election [E] | -0.011 [-0.283,0.260] |  | -0.013 [-0.041,0.015] |  |
|  | Incivility of election [E] |  | 0.063 [-0.233,0.359] |  | -0.010 [-0.041,0.021] |
|  | region North (ref = East) [E] | 0.327 [-0.410,1.063] | 0.628 [-0.190,1.445] | 0.016 [-0.056,0.087] | 0.021 [-0.063,0.104] |
|  | region South (ref = East) [E] | 0.481+ [-0.074,1.036] | 0.610\* [ 0.078,1.143] | 0.029 [-0.026,0.085] | 0.036 [-0.019,0.091] |
|  | region West (ref = East) [E] | 0.452 [-0.234,1.139] | 0.697+ [-0.038,1.433] | 0.033 [-0.035,0.100] | 0.037 [-0.039,0.112] |
|  | experts' L-R scale [E] | 0.059 [-0.181,0.299] | 0.116 [-0.130,0.363] | 0.001 [-0.023,0.024] | 0.001 [-0.024,0.026] |
|  | experts' perception of EU [E] | -0.165 [-0.363,0.034] | -0.158 [-0.350,0.034] | -0.006 [-0.027,0.015] | -0.008 [-0.029,0.012] |
|  | experts' familiarity [E] | 0.080 [-0.101,0.261] | 0.087 [-0.091,0.265] | 0.003 [-0.015,0.021] | 0.004 [-0.014,0.022] |
|  | experts' easiness [E] | 0.118 [-0.130,0.365] | 0.163 [-0.083,0.410] | 0.002 [-0.023,0.027] | 0.004 [-0.022,0.030] |
|  | experts' citizen [E] | 0.046 [-0.108,0.200] | 0.086 [-0.073,0.245] | 0.004 [-0.011,0.019] | 0.003 [-0.012,0.019] |
|  | experts' % female [E] | -0.033 [-0.235,0.170] | -0.041 [-0.240,0.158] | -0.002 [-0.021,0.018] | 0.000 [-0.020,0.019] |
| System-level | correlation (ran. intercepts and neg. slopes) |  |  | -1.000 |  |
|  | correlation (ran. intercepts and inc. slopes) |  |  |  | -1.000 |
|  | variation of country-level random intercepts | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
|  | variation of negativity slope (cntry) |  |  | 0.000 |  |
|  | variation of incivility slope (cntry) |  |  |  | 0.000 |
| Party (residual) | variation of level 1 residual | 0.928 | 0.914 | 0.091 | 0.090 |
|  | N | 172 | 172 | 172 | 172 |
|  | R2 Marg. | 0.196 | 0.219 | 0.228 | 0.239 |
|  | AIC | 544.5 | 539.9 | -156.4 | -158.8 |
|  | BIC | 613.8 | 609.1 | -80.9 | -83.2 |