
RE: IVAPP 2022 - Authors Notification

1 message

IVAPP Secretariat <ivapp.secretariat@insticc.org>

23 décembre 2021 à 05:36

Répondre à : ivapp.secretariat@insticc.org

À : ntang.pierre_marie@courrier.uqam.ca

Cc : lemire@gmail.com

Dear Mr. Pierre Marie Ntang,

Thank you for your email.

Unfortunately, I'm afraid it is not possible to submit rebuttals at this time, and therefore the decision you received is final.

We hope that you will use the reviewers' feedback to improve the work and maybe submit again next year.

Should there be anything you need, please let us know.

--

Best Regards,

Beatriz Seves

IVAPP Secretariat

INSTICC Office

Avenida de S. Francisco Xavier, Lote 7 Cv. C,

2900-616 Setubal, Portugal

Tel: +351 265 520 185

Fax: +351 265 520 186

<http://www.ivapp.visigrapp.org/>

On Tuesday, December 21st 2021, 7:14 pm CET (+0100), ntang.pierre_marie courrier.uqam.ca wrote:

Thank you for your reply and thanks to the reviewers for the time they took to read the paper and write their report. From this report, it appears that :

- They were not able to use the tool and reproduce the results;
- The tool does not display the results in the expert view and the novice view as advertised;
- The difference with the previous paper was not discussed;
- There is too much mathematics;
- There is a need to conduct an evaluation study with a novice;

In fact, apart from the last point, we find the other points justifiable and some are due to misunderstanding.

For the first two points, for example, we have integrated the usage example in GitHub, we don't know if the reviewers read it and if they looked at the animated [Gif](#) we made; that serves as a usage guide before going to the [live version](#). As for the difference with the previous paper, yes we could maybe have said something about it, but it would have been just to say that it's not the same problem we want to solve. The first paper aims to visualize code written with vector instructions while this paper aims to visualize vector instructions. Finally, we sincerely don't think that there is too much mathematics, in fact, the mathematics here is used to validate the data model used, we don't see how it could be otherwise!

As for the last point precisely, one of the authors is a novice in the field of vector instructions and the other is an expert in this field.

In the absence of the ability to rebut reviewer comments through the article submission interface, please consider this as such a rebuttal.

Best regards.

De : ivapp.secretariat@insticc.org <ivapp.secretariat@insticc.org> de la part de IVAPP Secretariat
<ivapp.secretariat@insticc.org>

Envoyé : mardi 21 décembre 2021 11:53

À : Ntang, Pierre Marie <ntang.pierre_marie@courrier.uqam.ca>

Cc : lemire@gmail.com <lemire@gmail.com>

Objet : IVAPP 2022 - Authors Notification

Dear Mr. Pierre Marie Ntang,

We regret to inform you that the Late-Breaking regular paper you submitted to IVAPP, with the number 30, entitled 'SIMDGiraffe : Capturing and Visualizing the Expert's Mind to Understand SIMD Instructions', has not been accepted. Many good papers were not accepted due to the high selectivity level of this conference or, in certain cases, due to being out-of-scope.

Anyway, we thank you very much for your submission and strongly encourage you to submit again next year, if possible trying to address the reviewers' comments.

All paper reviews performed by the program committee are now available at the authors reserved area in the PRIMORIS system. This page is accessible to you using the username and password that were sent to you by e-mail at submission time.

Should you have any question please don't hesitate to contact us.

Best regards,
Beatriz Seves
IVAPP 2022 Secretariat

INSTICC office
Avenida de S. Francisco Xavier, Lote 7 Cv. C
Tel: +351 265 520 185
Fax: +351 265 520 186
Web: <https://ivapp.scitevents.org>