# has\_many:bugs,:through => :rails (/)

Active Record Query Interface 3.0 Published almost 2 years ago

I've been working on revamping the Active Record query interface for the last few weeks ( while taking some time off in India from consulting work, before joining 37signals [http://37signals.com/svn/posts/2068-pratik-naik-joins-37signals]), building on top of Emilio's (http://www.miloops.com) GSOC project of integrating ARel (http://github.com/rails/arel) and ActiveRecord. So here's an overview of how things are going to work in Rails 3.

## What's going to be deprecated in Rails 3.1?

These deprecations will be effective in Rails' 3.1 release (NOT Rails 3) and will be fully removed in Rails 3.2, though there will be an official plugin to continue supporting them. Consider this an advance warning as it involves changing a lot of code.

In short, passing options hash containing :conditions, :include, :joins, :limit, :offset, :order, :select, :readonly, :group, :having, :from, :lock to any of the ActiveRecord provided class methods, is now deprecated.

Going into details, currently ActiveRecord provides the following finder methods :

```
• find(id_or_array_of_ids, options)
```

- find(:first, options)
- find(:all, options)
- first(options)
- all(options)
- update\_all(updates, conditions, options)

And the following calculation methods :

```
• count(column, options)
```

- average(column, options)
- minimum(column, options)
- maximum(column, options)
- sum(column, options)
- calculate(operation, column, options)

Starting with Rails 3, supplying any option to the methods above will be deprecated. Support for supplying options will be removed from Rails 3.2. Moreover, find(:first) and find(:all) (without any options) are also being deprecated in favour of first and all. A tiny little exception here is that count() will still accept a :distinct option.

The following shows a few example of the deprecated usages :

```
User.find(:all, :limit => 1)
User.find(:all)
User.find(:first)
User.first(:conditions => {:name => 'lifo'})
User.all(:joins => :items)
```

# But the following is NOT deprecated :

```
User.find(1)
User.find(1,2,3)
User.find_by_name('lifo')
```

Additionally, supplying options hash to named\_scope is also deprecated :

```
named_scope :red, :conditions => { :colour => 'red' }
named_scope :red, lambda {|colour| {:conditions => { :colour => colour }} }}
```

 $Supplying options \ hash \ to \ with \_scope, \\ with \_exclusive\_scope \ and \ default\_scope \ has \ also \ been \ deprecated:$ 

```
with_scope(:find => {:conditions => {:name => 'lifo'}) { ... }
with_exclusive_scope(:find => {:limit =>1}) { ... }
default_scope :order => "id DESC"
```

Dynamic scoped\_by\_ are also going to be deprecated :

```
red_items = Item.scoped_by_colour('red')
red_old_items = Item.scoped_by_colour_and_age('red', 2)
```

# **New API**

ActiveRecord in Rails 3 will have the following new finder methods

- where (:conditions)
- having (:conditions)
- select
- group
- order

- limit
- offset
- ioins
- includes (:include)
- lock
- readonly
- from

1 (#fnr1) Value in the bracket ( if different ) indicates the previous equivalent finder option.

#### Chainability

All of the above methods returns a *Relation*. Conceptually, a *relation* is very similar to an anonymous named scope (http://railscasts.com/episodes/112-anonymous-scopes). All these methods are defined on the *Relation* object as well, making it possible to chain them.

```
lifo = User.where(:name => 'lifo')
new_users = User.order('users.id DESC').limit(20).includes(:items)
You could also apply more finders to the existing relations:
cars = Car.where(:colour => 'black')
rich_ppls_cars = cars.order('cars.price DESC').limit(10)
```

#### Quacks like a Model

A relation quacks just like a model when it comes to the primary CRUD methods. You could call any of the following methods on a relation:

- new(attributes)
- create(attributes)
- create!(attributes)
- find(id\_or\_array)
- destroy(id\_or\_array)
- destroy all
- delete(id\_or\_array)
- delete\_all
- update(ids, updates)
- update\_all(updates)
- exists?

So the following code examples work as expected:

```
red_items = Item.where(:colour => 'red')
red_items.find(1)
item = red_items.new
item.colour #=> 'red'

red_items.exists? #=> true
red_items.update_all :colour => 'black'
red items.exists? #=> false
```

Note that calling any of the update or delete/destroy methods would reset the relation, i.e delete the cached records used for optimizing methods like relation.size.

### Lazy Loading

As it might be clear from the examples above, relations are loaded lazily – i.e you call an enumerable method on them. This is very similar to how associations and named\_scopes already work.

```
cars = Car.where(:colour => 'black') # No Query
cars.each {|c| puts c.name } # Fires "select * from cars where ..."
```

This is very useful along side fragment caching. So in your controller action, you could just do :

```
def index
  @recent_items = Item.limit(10).order('created_at DESC')
end
```

And in your view

```
<% cache('recent_items') do %>
  <% @recent_items.each do |item| %>
    ...
  <% end %>
<% end %>
```

In the above example, recent\_items</tt> are loaded on <tt>recent\_items.each call from the view. As the controller doesn't actually fire any query, fragment caching becomes more effective without requiring any special work arounds.

# Force loading - all, first & last

For the times you don't need lazy loading, you could just call all on the relation :

```
cars = Car.where(:colour => 'black').all
```

It's important to note that all returns an Array and not a Relation\_. This is similar to how things work in Rails 2.3 with namedscopes and associations.

```
Similarly, first and last will always return an ActiveRecord object ( or nil ).

cars = Car.order('created_at ASC')
oldest_car = cars.first
newest_car = cars.last

named_scope → scopes
```

Using the method named\_scope is deprecated in Rails 3.0. But the only change you'll need to make is to remove the "named\_" part. Supplying finder options hash will be deprecated in Rails 3.1.

named scope have now been renamed to just scope (http://github.com/rails/rails/commit/d60bb0a9e4be2ac0a9de9a6904la4ddc2e0cc914).

```
So a definition like :
```

class Item

```
named_scope :red, :conditions => { :colour => 'red' }
named_scope :since, lambda {|time| {:conditions => ["created_at > ?", time] }}
end

Now becomes:

class Item
    scope :red, :conditions => { :colour => 'red' }
    scope :since, lambda {|time| {:conditions => ["created_at > ?", time] }}
```

However, as using options hash is going to be deprecated in 3.1, you should write it using the new finder methods:

```
class Item
  scope :red, where(:colour => 'red')
  scope :since, lambda {|time| where("created_at > ?", time) }
end
```

Internally, named scopes are built on top of *Relation*, making it very easy to mix and match them with the finder methods:

```
red_items = Item.red
available_red_items = red_items.where("quantity > ?", 0)
old_red_items = Item.red.since(10.days.ago)
```

### Model.scoped

If you want to build a complex relation/query, starting with a blank relation, Model.scoped is what you would use.

```
cars = Car.scoped
rich_ppls_cars = cars.order('cars.price DESC').limit(10)
white_cars = cars.where(:colour => 'red')
```

Speaking of internals,  $\ensuremath{\textit{ActiveRecord::Base}}$  has the following delegations :

delegate :find, :first, :last, :all, :destroy, :destroy\_all, :exists?, :delete, :delete\_all, :update, :update\_all, :to => :scoped delegate :select, :group, :order, :limit, :joins, :where, :preload, :eager\_load, :includes, :from, :lock, :readonly, :having, :to => :sc delegate :count, :average, :minimum, :maximum, :sum, :calculate, :to => :scoped

The above might give you a better insight on how ActiveRecord is doing things internally. Additionally, dynamic finder methods find\_by\_name, find\_all\_by\_name\_and\_colour etc. are also delegated to Relation.

## with\_scope and with\_exclusive\_scope

with\_scope and with\_exclusive\_scope are now implemented on top of *Relation* as well. Making it possible to use any relation with them:

```
with_scope(where(:name => 'lifo')) do
    ...
end
Or even use a named scope:
with_exclusive_scope(Item.red) do
    ...
```

That's all. Please open a lighthouse ticket (https://rails.lighthouseapp.com/projects/8994-ruby-on-rails/overview) if you find a bug or have a patch for an improvement!

**UPDATE 1**: Added information about deprecating scoped\_by\_ dynamic methods.

UPDATE 2: Added information about deprecating default\_scope with finder options.

(#)

## Add New Comment

Type your comment here.	
	Post as
Showing 20 comments	
Sort by Newest first 💠 🖂 Subscribe by email (#) 🔊 Subscribe by RSS (http://monkeytest.disqus.com/has_many_bugs_through_rails_78/latest.rss)	
[http://disqus.com /facebook- 627405995/]  Sigidi Vezubuhle (http://www.facebook.com/sigidi) 1 day ago (#comment-370227179)	
Thanks a lot, i was looking for the order('created_at DESC')	
	Like Reply
http://disqus.com/guest	
Thanks for the heads up.	
	Like Reply
[http://disqus.com/yahoo- UPOAZZ7UH5HC66VDXCAUSH6TEE/]  RealAmerican (http://pulse.yahoo.com/ UPOAZZ7UH5HC66VDXCAUSH6TEE) 2 months ago (#comment-302608876)	
I've spent hours of finding the right syntax for where(:condition) thanks a lot for your article.	
2 people liked th	is. Like Reply
http://disqus.com   /twitter-   204939517/  BaiYun Group (http://twitter.com/baiyungroup) 3 months ago (#comment-292518889)	
Very helpful. I found the solution here where I worked in rails 3.1. I think the guide in below need to update with this as well.	
http://guides.rubyonrails.org/ (http://guides.rubyonrails.org/active_record_querying.html)	
1 person liked th	is. Like Reply
[http://disqus.com/ /facebook- Adam Stankiewicz (http://www.facebook.com/adam.stankiewicz) 3 months ago (#comment-287973586) 1174283883/)	
Also use of :default_scope is highly discouraged (double post, had to edit to something clever :P)	
	Like Reply
[http://disqus.com /facebook- Adam Stankiewicz (http://www.facebook.com/adam.stankiewicz) 3 months ago (#comment-287973562)	
It seems that we should not use 'with_scope' and 'with_exclusive_scope' for a 'scoped' and 'unscoped' methods (the previous ones are protected). See: https://github.com/rails/rails/commit/bd1666a1de88598ed6f04ceffb8488a77be4385)	ils
1 person liked th	is. Like Reply
(http://disqus.com/guest	
All ables   Ship   All ables	
lifo = User.where(:name => 'lifo') new_users = User.order('users.id (http://users.id) DESC').limit(20).includes(:items)Shouldn't that be this?	
lifo = User.where(:name => 'lifo')	
new_users = lifo.order('users.id (http://users.id) DESC').limit(20).includes(:items)	
I'm very confused, let me know!	Like Reply
(http://disqus.com/guest //217432574e6a0cb056593495d887fd3c/) Mike Bailey 4 months ago (#comment-243302718)	
The following expression results in "select * from cars" to be run.	
cars = Car.scoped	
This surprised me given it's suggested we should use this to create a blank relation.	
How do I create a relation without reading the whole table?	
1 person liked th	is. Like Reply
[http://disqus.com/guest //d95fe54de0fba939594d5c62c759f55/] Ming 4 months ago (#comment-238803169)	
Awesome article. Thank you for putting this together!	
	Like Reply
(http://disqus.com/quest Andrei Lupusoru (http://www.skillful.ro) 7 months ago (#comment-188765433)	

/e0589c444ba34829db9f26e0b1b1a909/)		
good stuffkeep on doing it:)		
	L	ike Reply
(http://disqus.com/guest // /83329afdf0b2029dd65ec0c5161062e8/) nick 7 months ago (#comment-181857597)		
Very good article. Thanks		
1 person lik	ked this.	ike Reply
[http://disqus.com		
/twitter- Aji (http://twitter.com/l i) 8 months ago (#comment-160420391) 75077983/)		
:D :D :D		
1 person lik	ked this.	ike Reply
(http://disqus.com/guest //8400/35b6c/33562c04afbe65c1f0cf/) Qw23ert 9 months ago (#comment-138838636)		
Thanks a lot. Its very helpful		
1 person lii	ked this.	ike Reply
(http://disqus.com/quest		
/311031724e0033/04979e730Cf107Cdb/j		
Great stuff! It helped me a lot!		
Thanks!		
1 person lik	ced this.	ike Reply
[http://disqus.com/guest /9db0726cf833ae6b8a04878317388627/] zoekmachine optimalisatie [http://www.kiwi-online.nl] 10 months ago (#comment-123545519)		
Very nice, you a talented man as I also read on the 37signals blog :)		
	L	ike Reply
(http://disqus.com/guest /dg-c8f9fddb9724c3c73f70baa06c39b4/) MinalJain 11 months ago (#comment-111490136)		
Very good stuff. It is nice to know about the RAILS 3 activerecord depricated and newly added methods. It definitely		
help me in future.		
Thanks a lot !!		
2 people lik	ced this. L	ike Reply
(http://disqus.com/guest //0e80fb3e0b2b67abd42d8815434febef/) Abc 11 months ago (#comment-111489984)		
Very good stuff. It is nice to know about the RAILS 3 activerecord depricated and newly added methods. It definitely		
help me in future.		
Thanks a lot !!  1 person lii	and this	iko Book
	ted triis.	ike Reply
[http://disqus.com/guest /3b372a5f9676793240da77c9fde82db9/) vdw (http://www.vdw-subsidiedesk.nl) 12 months ago (#comment-104630224)		
Thank you for the warning it will save me a lot of time in the future.		
1 person lii	ked this.	ike Reply
[http://disqus.com/guest /b28d52a0438c3c539cb8740c067d69ac/] Inchirieri masini Baneasa (http://www.inchirieri-masini-bucuresti.ro) 12 months ago (#comment-104058289)		
There are certainly a lot of details like that to take into consideration. That is a great point to bring up. I offer the thoughts above as general inspiration but clearly there are questi	ons like the	one you
bring up where the most important thing will be working in honest good faith. I don?t know if best practices have emerged around things like that, but I am sure that your job is c game.	learly identi	fied as a fair
6 people lii	ced this.	ike Reply
(http://disqus.com Tyler Hunt (http://tylerhunt.com/) 1 year ago (#comment-99534241)		
<u>rtylernunt/</u>	uld do	
It seems to me that the find_by_methods should be deprecated in favor of the ability to use by_n on a relation. For example, instead of User.find_by_name('lifo'), you could user.by_name('lifo'), first, and instead of User.find_all_by_name('lifo'), you could do User.by_name('lifo'), all. This would also obviate the need for things like in the property of the proper		and,
allowing you to chain multiple by methods, like User.by_name('lifo').by_email('lifo@example.com').first.  14 people like	ked this.	ike Reply
т рофие и		порту
All rights reserved ©2011 Pratik Naik		

5 of 5 24/11/11 6:27 PM

(http://feeds.feedburner.com/monkeyonrails)