# Properties of Entropy and Mutual information

Who?

Minqi Pan

From?

Capital Normal University

When?

October 18, 2011

## A tiny Citation

My greatest concern was what to call it. I thought of calling it 'information,' but the word was overly used. so I decided to call it 'uncertainty.' When I discussed it with John von Neumann(?), he had a better idea. Von Neumann told me, 'You should call it entropy, for two reasons. In the first place your uncertainty function has been used in statistical mechanics under that name, so it already has a name. In the second place, and more important, no one really knows what entropy really is, so in a debate you will always have the advantage.'

—Claude Shannon<sup>1</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Seen on Scientific American 1971, volume 225, page 180

# What we're gonna cover

#### Today

Self-information and Mutual-information Properties of Mutual information Properties of Entropy

the Definition of Self-information.
You have already learnt this in the previous class. (?)

- the Definition of Self-information.
  You have already learnt this in the previous class. (?)
- A measure of the information content associated with the outcome of a random variable.

- the Definition of Self-information.
  You have already learnt this in the previous class. (?)
- A measure of the information content associated with the outcome of a random variable.
- Serves as a measure of the information content associated with the outcome of a random variable.

- the Definition of Self-information.
  You have already learnt this in the previous class. (?)
- A measure of the information content associated with the outcome of a random variable.
- Serves as a measure of the information content associated with the outcome of a random variable.
- expressed in a unit of information bits, nats, or hartleys depending on the base of the logarithm used in its calculation.

# Did anyone answer this?

Precisely, the Definition of Self-information is

## Did anyone answer this?

Precisely, the Definition of Self-information is

$$I(\omega_n) = \log\left(\frac{1}{P(\omega_n)}\right) = -\log(P(\omega_n))$$

# Propose a better name

I prefer calling it  $surprisal^2$ .

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>seen in the 1961 book Thermostatics and Thermodynamics by Tribus.

## Propose a better name

I prefer calling it SUPPrisal<sup>2</sup>.

... as it represents the "surprise" of seeing the outcome (a highly improbable outcome is very surprising).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>seen in the 1961 book Thermostatics and Thermodynamics by Tribus.

"Mutual-information"

"Mutual-information"

a quantity that measures the mutual dependence of the two random variables.

"Mutual-information"

- a quantity that measures the mutual dependence of the two random variables.
- A big formula is gonna come. Behold!

"Mutual-information"

- a quantity that measures the mutual dependence of the two random variables.
- A big formula is gonna come. Behold!

$$I(X;Y) = \int_Y \int_X p(x,y) \log \left( \frac{p(x,y)}{p_1(x) p_2(y)} \right) dx dy,$$

where p(x,y) is now the joint probability density function of X and Y, and P(x) and P(y) are the marginal probability density functions of X and Y respectively.

"Mutual-information"

- a quantity that measures the mutual dependence of the two random variables.
- A big formula is gonna come. Behold!

$$I(X;Y) = \int_Y \int_X p(x,y) \log \left( \frac{p(x,y)}{p_1(x) p_2(y)} \right) dx dy,$$

where p(x,y) is now the joint probability density function of X and Y, and P(x) and P(y) are the marginal probability density functions of X and Y respectively.

Yipes!

#### Mutual-information

Don't worry, we'll only consider discrete cases.

#### Mutual-information

Don't worry, we'll only consider discrete cases.

$$I(X;Y) = \sum_{y \in Y} \sum_{x \in X} p(x,y) \log \left( \frac{p(x,y)}{p_1(x) p_2(y)} \right),$$

where p(x,y) is the joint probability distribution function of X and Y, and p1(x) and p2(y) are the marginal probability distribution functions of X and Y respectively.

# Intuitively

truly and utterly Intuitively speaking,

## Intuitively

truly and utterly Intuitively speaking,
mutual information measures the information that X
and Y share.

# Intuitively

- truly and utterly Intuitively speaking,
- mutual information measures the information that X and Y share.
- it measures how much knowing one of these variables reduces uncertainty about the other.

If...

if X and Y are independent.

$$I(X;Y) = \sum_{y \in Y} \sum_{x \in X} p(x,y) \log \left( \frac{p(x,y)}{p_1(x) p_2(y)} \right),$$

If...

if X and Y are independent.

$$I(X;Y) = \sum_{y \in Y} \sum_{x \in X} p(x,y) \log \left( \frac{p(x,y)}{p_1(x) p_2(y)} \right),$$

$$p(x,y) = p(x)p(y)$$

if X and Y are independent.

$$I(X;Y) = \sum_{y \in Y} \sum_{x \in X} p(x,y) \log \left( \frac{p(x,y)}{p_1(x) p_2(y)} \right),$$

$$p(x,y) = p(x)p(y)$$

$$\log\left(\frac{p(x,y)}{p(x)\,p(y)}\right) = \log 1 = 0.$$

If...

if X and Y are independent.

$$I(X;Y) = \sum_{y \in Y} \sum_{x \in X} p(x,y) \log \left( \frac{p(x,y)}{p_1(x) p_2(y)} \right),$$

$$p(x,y) = p(x)p(y)$$

$$\log\left(\frac{p(x,y)}{p(x)\,p(y)}\right) = \log 1 = 0.$$

their mutual information is zero.

if X and Y are identical

$$I(X;Y) = \sum_{y \in Y} \sum_{x \in X} p(x,y) \log \left( \frac{p(x,y)}{p_1(x) p_2(y)} \right),$$

if X and Y are identical

$$I(X;Y) = \sum_{y \in Y} \sum_{x \in X} p(x,y) \log \left( \frac{p(x,y)}{p_1(x) p_2(y)} \right),$$

$$I(X;Y) = \sum_{y \in Y} (p(y,y) = p_1(y)) \log \left( \frac{p(y,y) = p_1(y)}{p_1(y) p_1(y)} \right),$$

$$I(X;Y) = \sum_{y \in Y} p_1(y) \log \left(\frac{1}{p_1(y)}\right) = H(X) = H(Y)$$

if X and Y are identical

$$I(X;Y) = \sum_{y \in Y} \sum_{x \in X} p(x,y) \log \left( \frac{p(x,y)}{p_1(x) p_2(y)} \right),$$

$$I(X;Y) = \sum_{y \in Y} (p(y,y) = p_1(y)) \log \left( \frac{p(y,y) = p_1(y)}{p_1(y) p_1(y)} \right),$$

$$I(X;Y) = \sum_{y \in Y} p_1(y) \log \left(\frac{1}{p_1(y)}\right) = H(X) = H(Y)$$

then all information conveyed by X is shared with Y. Knowing X determines the value of Y and vice versa.

if X and Y are identical

$$I(X;Y) = \sum_{y \in Y} \sum_{x \in X} p(x,y) \log \left( \frac{p(x,y)}{p_1(x) p_2(y)} \right),$$

$$I(X;Y) = \sum_{y \in Y} (p(y,y) = p_1(y)) \log \left( \frac{p(y,y) = p_1(y)}{p_1(y) p_1(y)} \right),$$

$$I(X;Y) = \sum_{y \in Y} p_1(y) \log \left(\frac{1}{p_1(y)}\right) = H(X) = H(Y)$$

- then all information conveyed by X is shared with Y. Knowing X determines the value of Y and vice versa.
  - Ah, do you still remember H()?

You have learnt, presumably in previous classes, that ...

let 'message' be a specific realization of the random variable.

- let 'message' be a specific realization of the random variable.
- Shannon's entropy quantifies the expected value of the information contained in a message

- let 'message' be a specific realization of the random variable.
- Shannon's entropy quantifies the expected value of the information contained in a message
- Shannon's entropy is a measure of the uncertainty associated with a random variable

- let 'message' be a specific realization of the random variable.
- Shannon's entropy quantifies the expected value of the information contained in a message
- Shannon's entropy is a measure of the uncertainty associated with a random variable
- Shannon's entropy usually comes in units bits.

## **Definitions**

Just another review...

#### **Definitions**

Just another review...

$$H(X) = E(I(X)).$$

E is the expected value, and I is the information content of X.

#### **Definitions**

Just another review...

$$H(X) = E(I(X)).$$

E is the expected value, and I is the information content of X.

If p denotes the probability mass function of X then the entropy can explicitly be written as

$$H(X) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} p(x_i) I(x_i)$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{n} p(x_i) \log_b \frac{1}{p(x_i)} = -\sum_{i=1}^{n} p(x_i) \log_b p(x_i),$$

H()

I prefer calling it the expected surprisal!!

the expected surprisal is to...

measure disorder, measure unpredictability.

- measure disorder, measure unpredictability.
- measure the average information content one is missing when one does not know the value of the random variable.

- measure disorder, measure unpredictability.
- measure the average information content one is missing when one does not know the value of the random variable.
- What's your understanding?

- measure disorder, measure unpredictability.
- measure the average information content one is missing when one does not know the value of the random variable.
- What's your understanding?
- But it's only a number anyway. An artificial Mathematical Quantity.

$$I(X;X) = H(X)$$

where I(X;X) is the mutual information of X with itself.

$$I(X;X) = H(X)$$

where I(X;X) is the mutual information of X with itself.

Right?

$$I(X;X) = H(X)$$

where I(X;X) is the mutual information of X with itself.

- Right?
- We have just shown that!

$$I(X;Y) \le H(X)$$

- $I(X;Y) \le H(X)$
- $I(X;Y) \le H(Y)$

- $I(X;Y) \leq H(X)$
- $I(X;Y) \le H(Y)$
- $I(X;Y) = H(X) \Rightarrow$  implication is possible from one event to another (Channel Fully Operational!)

- $I(X;Y) \le H(X)$
- $I(X;Y) \leq H(Y)$
- $I(X;Y) = H(X) \Rightarrow$  implication is possible from one event to another (Channel Fully Operational!)
- $I(X;Y) = 0 \Rightarrow$  implication is impossible from one event to another (Channel Break!)

Other Trivial Properties

Other Trivial Properties

$$I(X;Y) \neq 0$$

#### Other Trivial Properties

- $I(X;Y) \neq 0$
- I(X;Y) = I(Y;X)

Nontrivial Property 4

Nontrivial Property 4
convex function
You check the book, Page 32, for proofs
I won't prove it here.

### Now back to properties of Entropy

Recall

$$H(X) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} p(x_i) I(x_i)$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{n} p(x_i) \log_b \frac{1}{p(x_i)} = -\sum_{i=1}^{n} p(x_i) \log_b p(x_i),$$

First I'll talk about additivity,

#### Now back to properties of Entropy

Recall

$$H(X) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} p(x_i) I(x_i)$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{n} p(x_i) \log_b \frac{1}{p(x_i)} = -\sum_{i=1}^{n} p(x_i) \log_b p(x_i),$$

First I'll talk about additivity,

Why do they use logarithm to construct that formula?

### Now back to properties of Entropy

Recall

$$H(X) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} p(x_i) I(x_i)$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{n} p(x_i) \log_b \frac{1}{p(x_i)} = -\sum_{i=1}^{n} p(x_i) \log_b p(x_i),$$

First I'll talk about additivity,

- Why do they use logarithm to construct that formula?
- The logarithm is used so as to provide the additivity characteristic for independent uncertainty.

Examples about additivity,

Examples about additivity,

When throwing a fair dice, the probability of 'four' is 1/6. When it is proclaimed that 'four' has been thrown, the amount of self-information is I('four') = log2 (1/(1/6)) = log2 (6) = 2.585 bits.

- Examples about additivity,
- When throwing a fair dice, the probability of 'four' is 1/6. When it is proclaimed that 'four' has been thrown, the amount of self-information is I('four') = log2 (1/(1/6)) = log2 (6) = 2.585 bits.
- When, independently, two dice are thrown, the amount of information associated with throw 1 = 'two' and throw 2 = 'four' equals

  I('throw 1 is two and throw 2 is four') = log2

  (1/P(throw 1 = 'two' and throw 2 = 'four')) = log2

  (1/(1/36)) = log2 (36) = 5.170 bits.

  This outcome equals the sum of the individual amounts of self-information associated with throw 1 = 'two' and throw 2 = 'four'; namely 2.585 + 2.585 = 5.170 bits.

Prop 5. certainties

Prop 5. certainties

I'll explain by examples

Prop 5. certainties

- I'll explain by examples
- A series of tosses of a two-headed coin will have zero entropy,

Prop 5. certainties

- I'll explain by examples
- A series of tosses of a two-headed coin will have zero entropy,
- since the outcomes are entirely predictable.

**Basic Properties** 

**Basic Properties** 

Prop 1. Entropy is always non-negative.

#### **Basic Properties**

- Prop 1. Entropy is always non-negative.
- Prop 2. Symmetry

  The measure should be unchanged if the outcomes

$$x_i$$

are re-ordered.

$$H_n(p_1, p_2, \ldots) = H_n(p_2, p_1, \ldots) = \ldots$$

The Quest of Maximum

The Quest of Maximum

The measure should be maximal if all the outcomes are equally likely

The Quest of Maximum

- The measure should be maximal if all the outcomes are equally likely
- (uncertainty is highest when all possible events are equiprobable).

The Quest of Maximum

- The measure should be maximal if all the outcomes are equally likely
- (uncertainty is highest when all possible events are equiprobable).

$$H_n(p_1,\ldots,p_n) \leq H_n\left(\frac{1}{n},\ldots,\frac{1}{n}\right).$$

Mingi Pan, Capital Normal University

The Quest of Maximum

- The measure should be maximal if all the outcomes are equally likely
- (uncertainty is highest when all possible events are equiprobable).

$$H_n(p_1,\ldots,p_n) \leq H_n\left(\frac{1}{n},\ldots,\frac{1}{n}\right).$$

For equiprobable events the entropy should increase with the number of outcomes.

The Quest of Maximum

- The measure should be maximal if all the outcomes are equally likely
- (uncertainty is highest when all possible events are equiprobable).

$$H_n(p_1,\ldots,p_n) \leq H_n\left(\frac{1}{n},\ldots,\frac{1}{n}\right).$$

For equiprobable events the entropy should increase with the number of outcomes.

$$H_n\left(\underbrace{\frac{1}{n},\ldots,\frac{1}{n}}_{n}\right) < H_{n+1}\left(\underbrace{\frac{1}{n+1},\ldots,\frac{1}{n+1}}_{n+1}\right).$$

Adding 0's

Adding 0's

Adding or removing an event with probability zero does not contribute to the entropy:

Adding 0's

Adding or removing an event with probability zero does not contribute to the entropy:

$$H_{n+1}(p_1,\ldots,p_n,0) = H_n(p_1,\ldots,p_n).$$

Jensen inequality

Jensen inequality

It can be confirmed using the Jensen inequality that

Jensen inequality

It can be confirmed using the Jensen inequality that

$$H(X) = \mathbf{E}\left[\log_b\left(\frac{1}{p(X)}\right)\right]$$
  
 
$$\leq \log_b\left[\mathbf{E}\left(\frac{1}{p(X)}\right)\right] = \log_b(n).$$

Conditional Entropy is defined by

П

Conditional Entropy is defined by

$$H(X|Y) = \sum_{i,j} p(x_i, y_j) \log \frac{p(y_j)}{p(x_i, y_j)}$$

Conditional Entropy is defined by

$$H(X|Y) = \sum_{i,j} p(x_i, y_j) \log \frac{p(y_j)}{p(x_i, y_j)}$$

where p(xi,yj) is the probability that  $X = x_i$  and  $Y = y_i$ .

Conditional Entropy is defined by

$$H(X|Y) = \sum_{i,j} p(x_i, y_j) \log \frac{p(y_j)}{p(x_i, y_j)}$$

- where p(xi,yj) is the probability that  $X=x_i$  and  $Y=y_j$ .
- This quantity should be understood as the amount of randomness in the random variable X given that you know the value of Y. For example, the entropy associated with a six-sided die is H(die), but if you were told that it had in fact landed on 1, 2, or 3, then its entropy would be equal to H(die: the die landed on 1, 2, or 3).

Conditionally

#### Conditionally

If X and Y are two independent experiments, then knowing the value of Y doesn't influence our knowledge of the value of X (since the two don't influence each other by independence):

#### Conditionally

П

If X and Y are two independent experiments, then knowing the value of Y doesn't influence our knowledge of the value of X (since the two don't influence each other by independence):

$$H(X|Y) = H(X).$$

Conditionally inequality

Conditionally inequality

Oh knowing more reduces the expected value of surprisal.

Conditionally inequality

П

Oh knowing more reduces the expected value of surprisal.

$$H(X|Y) \le H(X)$$
.

## Example on Page 28

Calculations that wraps up.

### That's all

Many thanks goes to Shannon for his brilliance.

### That's all

Many thanks goes to Shannon for his brilliance.

The other thanks goes to you:)

### That's all

Many thanks goes to Shannon for his brilliance.

- The other thanks goes to you:)
- Ciao!