

Dwivedi et al. 2020: Benchmarking Graph Neural Networks

Minqi Pan

April 10, 2020

Graph Neural Ordinary Differential Equations

- arXiv:2003.00982, Mar 2nd, 2020
- Vijay Prakash Dwivedi, Chaitanya K. Joshi, Thomas Laurent, Yoshua Bengio, Xavier Bresson
- Nanyang Technological University, Loyola Marymount University, Montreal Institute for Learning Algorithms, Université de Montréal, CIFAR

Outline

1 Background

- Isotropic, Anisotropic and Hierarchical Models

2 Proposals

- Proposed Benchmarking Framework

3 Discoveries

- Issues with CORA and TU Datasets
- Numerical Experiments
- What did we learn?

Outline

1 Background

- Isotropic, Anisotropic and Hierarchical Models

2 Proposals

- Proposed Benchmarking Framework

3 Discoveries

- Issues with CORA and TU Datasets
- Numerical Experiments
- What did we learn?

“Isotropic” Models

Each neighbor contributes equally to the update of the central node, treating every “edge direction” equally.

GCN: Iteratively Updating Node Representations

$$\hat{h}_i^{\ell+1} = \frac{1}{\deg_i} \sum_{j \in \mathcal{N}_i} h_j^\ell, \quad h_i^{\ell+1} = \sigma(U^\ell \hat{h}_i^{\ell+1})$$

- Sukhbaatar et al. 2016, Kif and Welling 2017
- h_i^ℓ : the d -dimensional embedding representation of node i at layer $\ell + 1$
- \mathcal{N}_i : the set of nodes connected to node i on the graph
- $\deg_i = |\mathcal{N}_i|$: the degree of node i
- σ : a nonlinearity
- $U^\ell \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times d}$: a learnable parameter

GraphSage: Variations of Averaging Mechanism

$$\hat{h}_i^{\ell+1} = \text{Concat}(h_i^\ell, \frac{1}{\text{deg}_i} \sum_{j \in \mathcal{N}_i} h_j^\ell)$$

- Hamilton et al. 2017
- The embeddings vectors are projected onto the unit ball before being passed to the next layer

Graph-Isomorphism-Network: Another Variation

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{h}_i^{\ell+1} &= (1 + \epsilon)h_i^\ell + \sum_{j \in \mathcal{N}_i} h_j^\ell, \\ h_i^{\ell+1} &= \sigma(U^\ell \sigma(\text{BN}(V^\ell \hat{h}_i^\ell + 1)))\end{aligned}$$

- Xu et al. 2019
- ϵ, U^ℓ, V^ℓ : learnable parameters
- BN: Batch Normalization
- GIN uses the features at all intermediate layers for the final prediction

“Anisotropic” Models

$$\hat{h}_i^{\ell+1} = w_i^\ell h_i^\ell + \sum_{j \in \mathcal{N}_i} w_{ij}^\ell h_j^\ell$$

- w_i^ℓ, w_{ij}^ℓ : weights that are computed using attention or gating mechanisms
- MoNet: Gaussian Mixture Model Networks (Monti et al. 2017)
- GatedGCN: Graph Convolutional Networks (Bresson & Laurent, 2017)
- GAT: Graph Attention Networks (Veličković et al. 2018)

“Hierarchical” Models

- Ying et al. 2018
- DiffPool: Differentiable Pooling, using the GraphSage formulation at each stage of the hierarchy and for the pooling

Outline

1 Background

- Isotropic, Anisotropic and Hierarchical Models

2 Proposals

- Proposed Benchmarking Framework

3 Discoveries

- Issues with CORA and TU Datasets
- Numerical Experiments
- What did we learn?

Proposed Benchmark Datasets

- Computer Vision: graphs constructed with super-pixels
 - ① MNIST: 70k graphs w/ 40-75 nodes
 - ② CIFAR10: 60k graphs w/ 85-150 nodes
- Chemistry: real-world molecular graphs
 - ① ZINC: 12k graphs w/ 9-37 nodes
- Artificial: graphs generated from stochastic block model or from uniform distribution
 - ① PATTERN: 14k graphs w/ 50-180 nodes
 - ② CLUSTER: 12k graphs w/ 40-190 nodes
 - ③ TSP: 12k graphs w/ 50-500 nodes

Outline

1 Background

- Isotropic, Anisotropic and Hierarchical Models

2 Proposals

- Proposed Benchmarking Framework

3 Discoveries

- Issues with CORA and TU Datasets
- Numerical Experiments
- What did we learn?

Outline

1 Background

- Isotropic, Anisotropic and Hierarchical Models

2 Proposals

- Proposed Benchmarking Framework

3 Discoveries

- Issues with CORA and TU Datasets
- **Numerical Experiments**
- What did we learn?

Outline

1 Background

- Isotropic, Anisotropic and Hierarchical Models

2 Proposals

- Proposed Benchmarking Framework

3 Discoveries

- Issues with CORA and TU Datasets
- Numerical Experiments
- What did we learn?