## Homework 7

- **8.7.** Prove the properties of the Operator Norm
  - 1. Prove  $||X|| \le ||X|| ||Y||$

$$\|XY\| = \sup_{\alpha \neq 0} \frac{\|XY|\alpha\rangle\|}{\||\alpha\rangle\|} = \frac{\|XY|\alpha\rangle\|}{\|Y|\alpha\rangle\|} \frac{\|Y|\alpha\rangle\|}{\||\alpha\rangle\|}$$

If we consider  $||Y|\alpha\rangle|| = \beta$  then,

$$||XY|| = \sup_{\alpha \neq 0} \frac{||X|\beta\rangle||}{|||\beta\rangle||} \frac{||Y|\alpha\rangle||}{|||\alpha\rangle||}$$

$$\leq \sup_{\beta \neq 0} \frac{||X|\beta\rangle||}{|||\beta\rangle||} \sup_{\alpha \neq 0} \frac{||Y|\alpha\rangle||}{|||\alpha\rangle||}$$

$$\leq ||X|| ||Y||$$

**2.** Prove  $||X^{\dagger}|| = ||X||$ 

Let  $\lambda$  be the Eigen value such that  $||A\alpha|| = \lambda ||\alpha||$ 

$$||A|| = \sup_{\alpha \neq 0} \frac{||A|\alpha\rangle||}{|||\alpha\rangle||} = \sup_{\alpha \neq 0} \frac{\lambda ||\alpha||}{|||\alpha\rangle||}$$

We know that,  $XX^{\dagger}|\alpha\rangle = X^{\dagger}X|\alpha\rangle = \lambda\alpha$ 

$$= \sup_{\alpha \neq 0} \frac{\lambda \|\alpha\|}{\||\alpha\rangle\|} = \sup_{\alpha \neq 0} \frac{\|A^{\dagger}|\alpha\rangle\|}{\||\alpha\rangle\|} = \|A^{\dagger}\|$$

$$\Rightarrow \|X^{\dagger}\| = \|X\|$$

3. Prove  $||X \otimes Y|| = ||X|| ||Y||$ 

We know that,  $||X \otimes I|_{\Omega} \setminus || < ||$ 

$$||X \otimes I|\alpha\rangle|| \le ||X|| ||\alpha||$$
$$||I \otimes Y|\alpha\rangle|| \le ||Y|| ||\alpha||$$

If we combine above equations, we get,

1

$$\begin{aligned} \|X \otimes Y\| &\leq \|X \otimes I\| \|I \otimes Y\| \\ &= \|X\| \|Y\| \end{aligned}$$

**4.** Prove ||U|| = 1

$$||U|| = \sup_{\alpha \neq 0} \frac{||U|\alpha\rangle||}{|||\alpha\rangle||}$$

We know that, Unitary operator preserves the Gemoetry of the vector.

Its inner product will not change,

$$\Rightarrow ||U|\alpha\rangle|| = \sqrt{\langle \alpha \mid \alpha \rangle}$$
$$|||\alpha\rangle|| = \sqrt{\langle \alpha \mid \alpha \rangle}$$

$$||U|| = \frac{\sqrt{\langle \alpha \mid \alpha \rangle}}{\sqrt{\langle \alpha \mid \alpha \rangle}} = 1$$

- **8.8.a** If  $\tilde{U}$  approximates U with precision  $\delta$ , then  $\tilde{U}^{-1}$  approximates  $U^{-1}$  with the same precision  $\delta$ 
  - **1.** Let precision be  $\delta$ , then  $\|\tilde{U} U\| \leq \delta$ .
  - 2. Use ancillas  $\tilde{U}^{-1}, U^{-1}$  on the left and right side respectively,

$$\begin{split} \delta & \geq \|\tilde{U}^{-1}\| \|\tilde{U} - U\| \|U^{-1}\| \\ & \geq \|\tilde{U}^{-1}\tilde{U}U^{-1} - \tilde{U}^{-1}UU^{-1}\| \end{split}$$

**3.** We know that,  $\tilde{U}^{-1}\tilde{U}=UU^{-1}=I$ 

$$\Rightarrow \delta > ||U^{-1} - \tilde{U}^{-1}||$$

- 4. From above equation, we can say that  $\tilde{U}^{-1}$  approximates  $U^{-1}$  with the precision  $\delta$
- **8.8.b** If unitary operators  $\tilde{U}_k$  approximate unitary operators  $U_k (1 \le k \le L)$  with precision  $\delta_k$ , then  $\tilde{U}_L \dots \tilde{U}_1$  approximate  $U_L \dots U_1$  with precision  $\sum_k \delta_k$ 
  - 1. If we consider k=2, the approximate realization can be written as,

$$\begin{split} \|\tilde{U}_1 \tilde{U}_2 - U_1 U_2\| &= \|\tilde{U}_1 \tilde{U}_2 - U_1 U_2 + \tilde{U}_1 U_2 - U_2 \tilde{U}_1\| \\ &= \|\tilde{U}_1 (\tilde{U}_2 - U_2) + (\tilde{U}_1 - U_1) U_2\| \\ \text{By using Triangle inequality,} \\ &\leq \|\tilde{U}_1 (\tilde{U}_2 - U_2)\| + \|(\tilde{U}_1 - U_1) U_2\| \\ &\leq \|\tilde{U}_1\| \|(\tilde{U}_2 - U_2)\| + \|(\tilde{U}_1 - U_1)\| \|U_2\| \end{split}$$

**2.** As our operators are unitary, we can say  $\|\tilde{U}_1\| = \|U_2\| = 1$ 

$$\|\tilde{U}_1\tilde{U}_2 - U_1U_2\| = \|(\tilde{U}_2 - U_2)\| + \|(\tilde{U}_1 - U_1)\| = \delta_2 + \delta_1$$

**3.** By above equation, we can say that  $\tilde{U}_L \dots \tilde{U}_1$  approximate  $U_L \dots U_1$  with precision  $\sum_k \delta_k$ 

1.	
	Obtained therations of G in Grover's algorithm, we
	Obtained
	GK14(1,1)>= 4(+ sin(2K+1)0) + (cos((2K+1)0))
1	what is the probability of measuring state 1A>
	we know that
Sandred t	14(x,B)7 = x A> + B B> -0
	where 197 1 167: 1-1 - 1
	where 197: - 1 187; 187 = 1 8 127
	a:= <a a>: 1 b:= <b b> =  -a - (2)</b b></a a>
	20
	14(1,1)> = 1A>+1B> from -0
	(51 14(1,1)) = 14 ( = Sin((2K+1)0), = cos((2K+1)0))
	Ta Cos (LESTOS)
	= 1 Sin ((2K+1)0) (A) + 1 cos((2K+1)0) (B) - from 1)
	Va Vb
	we know that, of IV> = dx 1x> + dy 1y>
	the probability of measuring lay in the state wildy?
	the Probability of measuring 14x in the state vie 1241
	Similarly, Probability of measuring IA7 in GK14(1,1)
	<u> </u>
	=   ta sin ((2K+1)0)   = 1 (sin (2K+1)0)
	7 Sin((2k+1)0)
	3) K= T/40, Sin((2K+1)0) = Sin (T+0) = (650)
	we know that
	3/ a=1/22 Sin0= Va ; cos0= Vb
1	
	Probability of 7 (Coso) 7 measuring (A)
	measuring Int
Control of the second	/, (1-a) (from (2))

```
Validate
  [b-a 2b] = 1 [i/b -i/b] [ + 0 ] [-i/a /b] [-2a b-a] = 2/ab [/a /a] [ 0 d] [i/a /b]
     d= elo= Vb+iva
       1 = VB - iVa
                        0
                      「ある」ールタイ
   (avi- avi
                      Va 1 Va 1 9
      Va
            o dr
Divo (b-a-2ivab) = (ibvo-iavo+2bva)
(2)-irb (b-a+2irab) = (-ibrb +iarb +2bra)
(3) Va (b-a-21 Vab) = (bva - ava - 21 avb)
A) va (b-a + 2ivab) = (bva - ava + 2iavb)
                                          (0)
                        [-iva0+iva0] 15 (0+0)
  0 0 7 [-iva VB] =
                         -isa3+isa4 VB (3+4)
          liva VB
                                      . 3
   P = 2 Vab (b-a)
   Q = 46 Vab
   R = - 4a Vab
   S = 2 vab (b-a)
        = 1 [PA] = 1 [2 Tab (b-a) 4b Tab
          V2ab [RS] 25ab [-4a5ab 25ab (b-a)
           [ b-a 2b
            -- 2a b-a
```