

QUANTUM ALGORITHMS
HOMEWORK 6 SELECTED SOLUTIONS

PROF. MATTHEW MOORE

6.5. a) Let $H = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$. Write the matrix of the operator $H[2]$ acting on the space $\mathfrak{B}^{\otimes 3}$.

b) Let U be an arbitrary two-qubit operator with matrix elements $u_{jk} = \langle j|U|k\rangle$, where $j, k \in \{00, 01, 10, 11\}$. Write the matrix for $U[3, 1]$.

Solution:

a): H is a one-qubit operator, so

$$\begin{aligned}
 H[2] &:= I_{\mathfrak{B}^{\otimes 1}} \otimes H \otimes I_{\mathfrak{B}^{\otimes 1}} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \otimes \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \otimes \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \\
 &= \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \otimes \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} & 1 \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \\ 1 \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} & -1 \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \end{pmatrix} \\
 &= \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \otimes \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \\
 &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} & 0 \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \\ 0 \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} & 1 \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \end{pmatrix} \\
 &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Similarly,

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} [3] U_{01}[1] = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & u_{00|10} & 0 & 0 & 0 & u_{00|11} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & u_{00|10} & 0 & 0 & 0 & u_{00|11} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & u_{01|10} & 0 & 0 & 0 & u_{01|11} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & u_{01|10} & 0 & 0 & 0 & u_{01|11} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} [3] U_{10}[1] = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ u_{10|00} & 0 & 0 & 0 & u_{10|01} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & u_{10|00} & 0 & 0 & 0 & u_{10|01} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ u_{11|00} & 0 & 0 & 0 & u_{11|01} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & u_{11|00} & 0 & 0 & 0 & u_{11|01} & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \text{and}$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} [3] U_{11}[1] = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & u_{10|10} & 0 & 0 & 0 & u_{10|11} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & u_{10|10} & 0 & 0 & 0 & u_{10|11} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & u_{11|10} & 0 & 0 & 0 & u_{11|11} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & u_{11|10} & 0 & 0 & 0 & u_{11|11} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Adding these four matrices together gives us

$$U[3, 1] = \begin{pmatrix} u_{00|00} & u_{00|10} & 0 & 0 & u_{00|01} & u_{00|11} & 0 & 0 \\ u_{10|00} & u_{10|10} & 0 & 0 & u_{10|01} & u_{10|11} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & u_{00|00} & u_{00|10} & 0 & 0 & u_{00|01} & u_{00|11} \\ 0 & 0 & u_{10|00} & u_{10|10} & 0 & 0 & u_{10|01} & u_{10|11} \\ u_{01|00} & u_{01|10} & 0 & 0 & u_{01|01} & u_{01|11} & 0 & 0 \\ u_{11|00} & u_{11|10} & 0 & 0 & u_{11|01} & u_{11|11} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & u_{01|00} & u_{01|10} & 0 & 0 & u_{01|01} & u_{01|11} \\ 0 & 0 & u_{11|00} & u_{11|10} & 0 & 0 & u_{11|01} & u_{11|11} \end{pmatrix}$$

AP 1. Prove that the inner product and the tensor product commute:

$$\langle \alpha \otimes \beta \mid \gamma \otimes \delta \rangle = \langle \alpha \mid \gamma \rangle \langle \beta \mid \delta \rangle.$$

This is asserted on page 57 of the textbook.

Solution:

Proof. In order for the inner product to be defined, α and γ must be elements of the same vector space, say \mathbb{A} . Likewise β and δ must be elements of the same vector space, say \mathbb{B} . Let

\mathbb{A} and \mathbb{B} have ordered basis

$$\{\tau_1, \dots, \tau_n\} \quad \{\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_m\}$$

respectively. We may furthermore assume that these bases are *orthonormal* (we either assume this, or define the inner product in terms of them so that they are). It follows that each of the vectors $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta$ have decompositions in terms of their respective bases, say

$$\alpha = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i \tau_i, \quad \gamma = \sum_{i=1}^n c_i \tau_i, \quad \beta = \sum_{i=1}^m b_i \sigma_i, \quad \delta = \sum_{i=1}^m d_i \sigma_i.$$

for $a_i, c_i, b_i, d_i \in \mathbb{C}$. It follows that

$$\langle \alpha | \gamma \rangle = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i^* c_i \quad \langle \beta | \delta \rangle = \sum_{i=1}^m b_i^* d_i$$

and hence

$$\langle \alpha | \gamma \rangle \langle \beta | \delta \rangle = \left(\sum_{i=1}^n a_i^* c_i \right) \left(\sum_{i=1}^m b_i^* d_i \right) = \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^m a_i^* c_i b_j^* d_j.$$

We now examine the tensors. From above and from bilinearity, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha \otimes \beta &= \left(\sum_{i=1}^n a_i \tau_i \right) \otimes \left(\sum_{i=1}^m b_i \sigma_i \right) = \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^m a_i b_j \tau_i \otimes \sigma_j \quad \text{and} \\ \gamma \otimes \delta &= \left(\sum_{i=1}^n c_i \tau_i \right) \otimes \left(\sum_{i=1}^m d_i \sigma_i \right) = \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^m c_i d_j \tau_i \otimes \sigma_j. \end{aligned}$$

Using the bilinearity of the inner product, this yields

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \alpha \otimes \beta | \gamma \otimes \delta \rangle &= \left\langle \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^m a_i b_j \tau_i \otimes \sigma_j \mid \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^m c_i d_j \tau_i \otimes \sigma_j \right\rangle \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^m \sum_{k=1}^n \sum_{\ell=1}^m (a_i b_j)^* c_k d_\ell \langle \tau_i \otimes \sigma_j \mid \tau_k \otimes \sigma_\ell \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Using the orthonormality of the bases, we have

$$\langle \tau_i \otimes \sigma_j \mid \tau_k \otimes \sigma_\ell \rangle = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } i = k \text{ and } j = \ell, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Therefore the four-sum above reduces

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \alpha \otimes \beta | \gamma \otimes \delta \rangle &= \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^m \sum_{k=1}^n \sum_{\ell=1}^m (a_i b_j)^* c_k d_\ell \langle \tau_i \otimes \sigma_j \mid \tau_k \otimes \sigma_\ell \rangle \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^m a_i^* b_j^* c_i d_j. \end{aligned}$$

This is equal to $\langle \alpha | \gamma \rangle \langle \beta | \delta \rangle$ as calculated in the previous paragraph, as claimed. \square

AP 2. An n -ary function $f : \mathbb{B}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{B}$ is *idempotent* if

$$f(0, \dots, 0) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad f(1, \dots, 1) = 1.$$

Find a basis \mathcal{A} so that every idempotent Boolean function is representable as a circuit over \mathcal{A} . Prove your answer is correct. [Hint 1: Post's Lattice.] [Hint 2: ? :.]

Solution: The idempotent functions are those functions which “preserve” the all 0 tuple and the all 1 tuple, in the language of Post's lattice. They correspond to the set P in the

Wikipedia article on it. In that same article, a basis for the set is given — $?$:, the “inline if” statement. It is defined as follows

$$x ? y : z = \begin{cases} y & \text{if } x = 1, \\ z & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} = \text{“if } x \text{ then } y, \text{ else } z\text{”}.$$

Let $\mathcal{I} = \{? :\}$. We will prove that every idempotent function can be generated by a circuit over \mathcal{I} .

Claim. \mathcal{I} is a complete basis for the set of idempotent functions.

Proof of claim. Similar to the proof that $\{\neg, \wedge, \vee\}$ is a complete basis for all functions, the proof shall be by induction the function we wish to express as a circuit over \mathcal{I} . Let $f : \mathbb{B}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{B}$ be idempotent. We proceed by induction on n .

For the base case of $n = 1$, there is only one idempotent function, namely $f(x) = x$. We have that

$$f(x) = x = x ? x : x,$$

so $f(x)$ is a circuit in \mathcal{I} , establishing the base case. In the argument below, we implicitly assume that $n \geq 3$ (additionally, we make use of \wedge), so we will also need to prove the claim for 2-ary functions. There are just four 2-ary idempotent functions:

(x, y)	$(0, 0)$	$(0, 1)$	$(1, 0)$	$(1, 1)$
$g_1(x, y)$	0	0	0	1
$g_2(x, y)$	0	0	1	1
$g_3(x, y)$	0	1	0	1
$g_4(x, y)$	0	1	1	1

Observe that $g_2(x, y) = x$ and $g_3(x, y) = y$, functions already covered by the base case. A closer look at g_1 and g_4 reveals that $g_1(x, y) = x \wedge y$ and $g_4(x, y) = x \vee y$. We have that

$$x ? y : x = x \wedge y = g_1(x, y) \quad \text{and} \quad x ? x : y = x \vee y = g_4(x, y).$$

This establishes the claim for 2-ary functions.

Suppose now that we have proven that every n -ary idempotent function is expressible as a circuit over \mathcal{I} , and that f is $(n + 1)$ -ary and idempotent. Let us imagine evaluating f on some arguments, say $f(a_1, \dots, a_{n+1})$. Looking at the first 3 arguments of f , there must be two of these values which are equal. Therefore, $f(a_1, a_2, a_3, \dots, a_{n+1})$ is equal to one of

$$f(a_1, a_1, a_3, \dots, a_{n+1}), \quad f(a_1, a_2, a_1, \dots, a_{n+1}), \quad \text{or} \quad f(a_1, a_2, a_2, \dots, a_{n+1})$$

for this particular input. Define n -ary functions f_{12}, f_{13}, f_{23} by

$$\begin{aligned} f_{12}(x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots, x_n) &= f(x_1, x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots, x_n), \\ f_{13}(x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots, x_n) &= f(x_1, x_2, x_1, x_3, \dots, x_n), \\ f_{23}(x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots, x_n) &= f(x_1, x_2, x_2, x_3, \dots, x_n). \end{aligned}$$

We now design a circuit to test which two of x_1, x_2, x_3 are equal and select the appropriate f_{ij} . Define

$$A(z) = (x_1 \wedge x_2) ? f_{12}(x_1, x_3, x_4, \dots, x_{n+1}) : ((x_1 \wedge x_2 ? z : f_{12}(x_1, x_3, x_4, \dots, x_{n+1}))).$$

Note that if $x_1 = x_2$, then

$$\begin{aligned} A(z) &= f_{12}(x_1, x_3, x_4, \dots, x_n) = f(x_1, x_1, x_3, x_4, \dots, x_{n+1}) \\ &= f(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, \dots, x_{n+1}), \end{aligned}$$

and if $x_1 \neq x_2$ then $A(z) = z$. Continuing in this vein, define

$$B(z) = (x_1 \wedge x_3) ? f_{13}(x_1, x_2, x_4, \dots, x_{n+1}) : ((x_1 \wedge x_3 ? z : f_{13}(x_1, x_2, x_4, \dots, x_{n+1})))$$

and

$$C(z) = (x_2 \wedge x_3) ? f_{23}(x_1, x_2, x_4, \dots, x_{n+1}) : ((x_2 \wedge x_3 ? z : f_{23}(x_1, x_2, x_4, \dots, x_{n+1}))).$$

It's not difficult to show that

$$f(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, \dots, x_{n+1}) = A \circ B \circ C(x_1)$$

(the x_1 argument is immaterial — the circuit will never go down that branch). Since each of A , B , and C involves functions of arity at most n , the inductive hypothesis applies and we can construct circuits over \mathcal{I} for each of them. It follows that f is representable as a circuit over \mathcal{I} . ◻