

Debugging

STAT/BIOS 823

Homework 14

Directions

Using RMarkdown in RStudio, complete the following questions. Launch RStudio and open a new RMarkdown file and save it on your working directory as a name.Rmd file. At the end of the activity, knit your document to pdf generated from RMarkdown+Knitr and submit your homework on the Blackboard.

Q1

In order to calculate $f(x) = e^{-x^2}$

A function called **calculate.exp()** was defined below. However, this function is buggy.

```
calculate.exp <- function(my.number){  
  exp.num <- (-my.number) ^ 2  
  result <- exp(exp.num)  
  return(result)  
}  
  
calculate.exp(1)
```

```
## [1] 2.718282
```

After looking at the result when input equals of 1, we believe the **calculate.exp(1)** does not produce the correct result.

- Modify this function by adding one line of code. After modification, when this function is being called, it prints the value of **exp.num**.
- Call the modified function with input value equals to 1.
- Add one line of code after the function is being defined, so that next time the **calculate.exp()** function is being called, the function enters into debug mode.

- (d). Describe the difference between `debug()` and `debugonce()`. No code is required to answer this subquestion.
- (e). Insert a `browser()` function in the middle of your `calculate.exp()`. So that a browser window opens after `exp.num` was calculated.
- (f). Describe an equivalent alternative to do this using RStudio debugging tools.

Q2

Suppose we were asked to generate a function to run simulations with steps below:

Step 1: Sample 10 values from a normal distribution with `mean = mu` and `SD = 1`.

Step 2: Calculate the sample mean of the 10 values being simulated.

Step 3: Repeat 100,000 times and save sample means.

```
my.simulation <- function(mu){
  # initiate an empty value
  mean.vec <- NA
  for (i in 1:100000){
    # Step 1
    simu.data <- rnorm(n = 10, mean = mu, sd = 1)
    # Step 2
    mean.simu <- mean(simu.data)
    # Step 3
    mean.vec <- c(mean.vec, mean.simu)
  }

  result <- mean(mean.vec)
  return(result)
}
# print the time it takes to execute the function
system.time(print(my.simulation(10)))
```

```
## [1] NA
```

```
##      user  system elapsed
## 19.596   9.845  29.519
```

We called this function by using `mu = 10` as input, we got an NA value as a result and it took about 30 seconds to get this result.

- (a). Modify this function by reduce the number of iterations so that it returns the same NA result with same `mu = 10` as input but takes shorter time to run.
- (b). Debug the function. After your debug, call the function with `mu = 10` and print the result. Your result should be a numeric number close to 10.

Q3

Suppose that we are interested in finding runs of k consecutive TRUE values in a vector x that contains TRUE/FALSE. Below is a buggy version of a `get.runs()` function.

```
get.runs <- function(x, k){
  n <- length(x)
  runs <- NULL
  for (i in 1:(n-k)){
    if(all(x[i:(i+k-1)] == TRUE)){
      runs <- c(runs, i)
    }
  }
  return(runs)
}
```

(a). If you copy and paste the buggy version of this function and try to run it, you will get a **“Error: Incomplete expression:”**. Fix this error. (Hint: by adding matching parentheses, brackets, braces)

(b). By looking at a input vector $x = c(\text{TRUE}, \text{FALSE}, \text{FALSE}, \text{TRUE}, \text{TRUE}, \text{TRUE}, \text{FALSE}, \text{TRUE}, \text{TRUE})$ we see there are three places where we can find $k = 2$ TRUEs, they are at 4,5,8. However, after you fixed the error in part (a). this function returns a vector of $c(4,5)$ instead of $c(4,5,8)$. By using different debugging tools, find out where the bug is and fix the bug. Show your bug free function and execute the below code so that you get a result of vector $c(4,5,8)$.

```
# this function below should return a vector
# of (4,5,8) because there are a run of two TRUEs
# in those indices.
get.runs(c(TRUE, FALSE, FALSE, TRUE, TRUE, TRUE, FALSE, TRUE, TRUE), 2)
```

```
## [1] 4 5
```