

## SOLUTION OF EXERCISESHEET 10

### Exercise 10-1

$$\begin{aligned}
 L_0 & & R_0 \\
 L_1 &= R_0 & R_1 &= L_0 \oplus f_1(R_0) \\
 L_2 &= R_1 = L_0 \oplus f_1(R_0) & R_2 &= L_1 \oplus f_2(R_1) = R_0 \oplus f_2(L_0 \oplus f_1(R_0)) \\
 L_3 &= R_2 = R_0 \oplus f_2(L_0 \oplus f_1(R_0)) & R_3 &= L_2 \oplus f_3(R_2) = L_0 \oplus f_1(R_0) \oplus f_3(R_0 \oplus f_2(L_0 \oplus f_1(R_0))) \\
 & & L_4 &= R_3 = L_0 \oplus f_1(R_0) \oplus f_3(R_0 \oplus f_2(L_0 \oplus f_1(R_0))) \\
 & & R_4 &= R_0 \oplus f_2(L_0 \oplus f_1(R_0)) \oplus f_4(L_0 \oplus f_1(R_0) \oplus f_3(R_0 \oplus f_2(L_0 \oplus f_1(R_0))))
 \end{aligned}$$

Inversion:

$$\begin{aligned}
 L_3 & & R_3 \\
 L_2 &= R_3 \oplus f_3(R_2) = R_3 \oplus f_3(L_3) & R_2 &= L_3 \\
 L_1 &= R_2 \oplus f_2(R_1) = L_3 \oplus f_2(R_3 \oplus f_3(L_3)) & R_1 &= L_2 = R_3 \oplus f_3(L_3) \\
 L_0 &= R_1 \oplus f_1(R_0) = R_3 \oplus f_3(L_3) \oplus f_1(L_3 \oplus f_2(R_3 \oplus f_3(L_3))) & R_0 &= L_1 = L_3 \oplus f_2(R_3 \oplus f_3(L_3))
 \end{aligned}$$

1. **To show:** Prove that a two-round Feistel network using pseudorandom round functions is not a pseudorandom permutation.

The adversary first queries a random string  $L_0 || R_0$  to the oracle. After two rounds he gets  $L_2 || R_2 = L_0 \oplus f_1(R_0) || R_0 \oplus f_2(L_0 \oplus f_1(R_0))$  back, if the oracle answers with the PRP. Then he queries a second string  $L_0^* || R_0$ , where  $L_0^*$  is  $L_0$  with the first bit flipped. He then gets  $L_2^* || R_2^* = L_0^* \oplus f_1(R_0) || R_0 \oplus f_2(L_0^* \oplus f_1(R_0))$  back, if the oracle answers with the PRP. It is easy to check whether  $L_2$  and  $L_2^*$  differ only in the first bit. This is the case when the oracle answers with the two-round Feistel network. If not, the adversary knows, that the oracle answers with a random string.

So he can distinguish between the two-round Feistel network and a random permutation with non-negligible probability, so a two-round Feistel network is not a pseudorandom permutation.

2. **To show:** Prove that a three-round Feistel network using pseudorandom round functions is not a strongly pseudorandom permutation.

**Note:** Inversion formula stated in lecture note is wrong.

position of  $L_3$  and  $R_3$  should be as  $Data = (R_3 || L_3)$

In lecture note, the position of  $L_3$  and  $R_3$  is opposite i.e.,  $Data = (L_3 || R_3)$  This convention is wrong.

So for avoiding confusion, if  $Data = (L_3 || R_3)$ , then

$$\begin{aligned}
 L_0 &= R_3 \oplus f_2(L_3 \oplus f_3(R_3)) \\
 R_0 &= L_3 \oplus f_3(R_3) \oplus f_1(R_3 \oplus f_2(L_3 \oplus f_3(R_3)))
 \end{aligned}$$

Now coming to proof:

Let us generalize this notation as  $D_{k_1, k_2, k_3}(L || R) = (x || y)$  as the inversion formula for third order. And  $E_{k_1, k_2, k_3}(L || R) = (x || y)$  as normal feistel network formula.

Here is a Adversary that disingushes with high probability

- Query the decryption oracle with two strings of zero bits:  $(a || b) \leftarrow D(0 || 0)$

## SOLUTION OF EXERCISESHEET 10

- Query the encryption oracle:  $(c||d) \leftarrow E(0||a)$
- Query the decryption oracle again:  $(e||f) \leftarrow D(b \oplus d||c)$
- If  $e = c \oplus a$  then return 1, else return 0

Explanation:

- Query the decryption oracle with two strings of zero bits:  $(a||b) \leftarrow D(0||0)$   
By using expansion of  $D$   
 $a = f_2(f_3(0))$   
 $b = f_3(0) \oplus f_1(a)$
- Query the encryption oracle:  $(c||d) \leftarrow E(0||a)$  By using expansion of  $E$   
 $c = a \oplus f_2(f_1(a))$   
 $d = f_1(a) \oplus f_3(c)$   
now on calculating  $b \oplus d = f_3(0) \oplus f_3(c)$  we see that  $f_1(a)$  cancels out.
- Query the decryption oracle again:  $(e||f) \leftarrow D(b \oplus d||c)$  Now again by using the expansion of  $D$  we get  
 $e = c \oplus a$
- Hence the adversary can check if  $e == c \oplus a$  then differentiate if the output is from feistel network or random.

Reference: <https://crypto.stackexchange.com/questions/32974/example-of-a-prp-that-is-not-a-strong-prp>

## Exercise 10-2

To show that computing  $\varphi(N)$  is equivalent to factoring  $N$  we must prove:

1. If there exists an efficient algorithm to compute  $\varphi(N)$  given  $N$ , there also exists an efficient algorithm for factoring  $N$ .

$\varphi(N)$  is defined as  $|\{a \in \mathbb{N} : 0 \leq a \leq N-1, \gcd(a, N) = 1\}|$

( $\Rightarrow$  Number of integers relatively prime to  $N$ )

However computing this brute force would require at least  $N$  steps which is not efficient.

But  $\varphi(N)$  can be easily computed with the following formula:  $\varphi(N) = \prod p_i^{e_i-1} (p_i - 1)$ . Since  $N = pq$  with  $p$  and  $q$  being primes (per definition of the RSA modulus),  $\varphi(N) = (p-1) \cdot (q-1)$ .

If we can compute  $\varphi(N) = x \cdot y$ , we also can factorize  $N$  as  $N = (x+1) \cdot (y+1)$ .

$\Rightarrow$  Computing  $\varphi(N)$  implies factoring  $N$

2. If there exists an efficient algorithm for factoring  $N$  given  $N$ , there also exists an efficient algorithm to compute  $\varphi(N)$ .

Assuming there is an efficient algorithm for factoring  $N$  we can efficiently compute  $N = pq$  with  $p$  and  $q$  being primes (per definition of the RSA modulus).

## SOLUTION OF EXERCISESHEET 10

Knowing  $p$  and  $q$  we can efficiently compute  $\varphi(N)$  as  $\varphi(N) = (p - 1) \cdot (q - 1)$  since  $\varphi(N) = \prod p_i^{e_i-1} (p_i - 1)$ .

$\Rightarrow$  Factoring  $N$  implies computing  $\varphi(N)$

Factoring  $N \Leftrightarrow$  Computing  $\varphi(N)$

### Exercise 10-3

$F_{k_j}$  does not lead to a secure strong PRP.

An adversary can query  $(0^{\frac{n}{2}} \parallel 0^{\frac{n}{2}})$  and  $(0^{\frac{n}{2}} \parallel 1^{\frac{n}{2}})$ .

This leads to the L and R values of

$(0^{\frac{n}{2}} \parallel 0^{\frac{n}{2}})$  :

$$L_0 = 0^{\frac{n}{2}} \quad R_0 = 0^{\frac{n}{2}} \quad L_1 = 0^{\frac{n}{2}} \quad R_1 = k_0 \quad L_2 = k_0 \quad R_2 = k_0 \oplus k_1 \quad L_3 = k_0 \oplus k_1 \quad R_3 = k_1 \oplus k_2 \quad L_4 = k_1 \oplus k_2$$

$$R_4 = k_0 \oplus k_2 \oplus k_3$$

$(0^{\frac{n}{2}} \parallel 1^{\frac{n}{2}})$  :

$$L_0 = 0^{\frac{n}{2}} \quad R_0 = 1^{\frac{n}{2}} \quad L_1 = 1^{\frac{n}{2}} \quad R_1 = k_0 \oplus 1^{\frac{n}{2}} \quad L_2 = k_0 \oplus 1^{\frac{n}{2}} \quad R_2 = k_0 \oplus k_1 \quad L_3 = k_0 \oplus k_1 \quad R_3 = k_1 \oplus k_2 \oplus 1^{\frac{n}{2}}$$

$$L_4 = k_1 \oplus k_2 \oplus 1^{\frac{n}{2}} \quad R_4 = k_0 \oplus k_2 \oplus k_3 \oplus 1^{\frac{n}{2}}$$

When we compare the queries, either  $L_i$ ,  $R_i$  or both from one query is the inverse to  $L_i$ ,  $R_i$  or both, respectively, from the other query.

An adversary can therefore distinguish this construction from a truly random one.