

SOLUTION OF EXERCISESHEET 8

We now consider B attacking the MAC i.e., B runs A as subroutine. B choose two messages, lets say \widetilde{m}_0 and \widetilde{m}_1 as m^* and any other random message respectively. If B gets the tag a t^* , it corresponds to m^* otherwise it corresponds to random message. So here the success probability of B is,

$$\Pr[\text{PrivK}_{B,\Pi}^{\text{CCA}}(\lambda) = 1] \leq |1 - (\epsilon(\lambda)/2)| \quad (1)$$

But given that Π is a CCA secure encryption scheme. So for CCA secure Adversary A' ,

$$\Pr[\text{PrivK}_{A',\Pi}^{\text{CCA}}(\lambda) = 1] \leq 1/2 + \text{neg}(\lambda) \quad (2)$$

where $\text{neg}(\lambda)$ is a negligible function.

Both equations (1) and (2) are valid only when unless $\epsilon(\lambda)$ is a negligible function which is contradiction to our assumption. Hence our assumption that such an Adversary exists is false. And the construction is secure.

Exercise 8-2

Exercise 8-3

Exercise 8-4

To show: $H(m) : \{0, 1\}^{2k} \rightarrow \{0, 1\}^{k+n}$, $H(m) := m_0 || H'(m_1)$ is still a collision-resistant hash function when $m = m_0 || m_1$, $|m_0| = |m_1| = k$ and $k > n$. $H'(m) : \{0, 1\}^* \rightarrow \{0, 1\}^n$ is a collision-resistant hash function.

Proof by contradiction. We assume there is an adversary \mathcal{A} , who can break the collision-resistance of $H(m)$ with non-negligible probability. We now build an adversary \mathcal{B} against the collision-resistance of $H'(m)$ who invokes \mathcal{A} . When \mathcal{B} gets the hash value $s' = H'(m_1)$ he prepends m_0 , which he samples randomly. So he can give $s = m_0 || s' = m_0 || H'(m_1)$ to the adversary \mathcal{A} . \mathcal{A} then outputs two messages x_1, x_2 . \mathcal{B} computes his output by truncating the first half of x_1 and x_2 .

\mathcal{B} is an efficient adversary because \mathcal{A} is efficient, so the message length is poly and the call to \mathcal{A} needs only poly time and sampling and prepend m_0 and truncating bit from x_1 and x_2 can also be done in polynomial time.

To analyse the success, we know, that with non-negligible probability \mathcal{A} outputs two messages x_1, x_2 with $x_1 \neq x_2$ and $H^s(x_1) = H^s(x_2)$. \mathcal{B} outputs only the second half of x_1 and x_2 which results in x'_1, x'_2 . The probability that these are equal is $\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^k$, because for each position the probability that the bits are equal is $\frac{1}{2}$. Therefore it holds that

$$\Pr[\text{HashColl}_{\mathcal{B}}(\lambda) = 1] = \Pr[\text{HashColl}_{\mathcal{A}}(\lambda) = 1] - \Pr[x'_1 = x'_2] = \text{non-negl.} - \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^k = \text{non-negl.}$$

Because this is a contradiction to the collision-resistance of $H'(m)$ such an adversary \mathcal{A} cannot exist.

It follows that $H(m)$ is a collision-resistant hash function.

How does he know $|m_1| = k$?