

## SOLUTION OF EXERCISESHEET 3

## Exercise 3-1

Trying some values:

$$\begin{array}{llllll}
 k = 2 & a = 27 & b = 72 & c = |b - a| = 72 - 27 = 45 & d = 45 & e = 54 \\
 k = 3 & a = 398 & b = 983 & c = |983 - 398| = 585 & d = 585 & e = 558 \\
 k = 3 & a = 398 & b = 938 & c = |983 - 398| = 441 & d = 441 & e = 144 \\
 k = 3 & a = 321 & b = 213 & c = |321 - 213| = 108 & d = 18 & e = 81
 \end{array}$$

In the table above one can see that the sum of the digits of  $d$ , respectively  $e$  is always a multiple of 9.

The reason for this lies in the construction of the 'pseudorandom generator'.  $b$  has the same digits like  $a$  only in an other order. To get  $c$  we subtract the greater number of those from the smaller, so  $c$  is always positive. Then we remove all 0-digits to get  $d$  and scramble the letters again for  $e$ . The sum of digits didn't change after the computation of  $c$ , so we look at  $c$  to argue that this sum is always a multiple of 9.

If  $a > b$  we compute for the sum of the digits of  $c = a - b$ :  $(a_1 - b_1) + (a_2 - b_2) + \dots + (a_n - b_n) = a_1 + a_2 + \dots + a_n - (b_1 + b_2 + \dots + b_n)$ . But this only hold if  $a_n > b_n$ .

If  $a_i < b_i$  then it is  $(a_1 - b_1) + (a_2 - b_2) + \dots + (a_{i-1} - b_{i-1} - 1) + (a_i - b_i + 10) + \dots + (a_n - b_n) = a_1 + a_2 + \dots + a_n - (b_1 + b_2 + \dots + b_n) + 9$

The sum of the digit of  $a$  has to be the same like the sum of the digits from  $b$ , because  $b$  has the same digits like  $a$  only in an other order, so  $a_1 + a_2 + \dots + a_n - (b_1 + b_2 + \dots + b_n) = 0$ .

If  $a_i < b_i$  holds for  $y$  positions the sum of the digits is  $9 \cdot y$ .  $a$  and  $b$  have the same digits, so  $a_i < b_i$  holds at least for one position, so  $y \geq 1$ .

This argumentation is the same for  $b > a$ , so the sum of the digits from  $c$ , respectively  $d$  or  $e$ , is always a multiple from 9.

If now all but the last digit of  $e$  are given one can always determine the last digit, because the sum of all digits has to be a multiple of 9. So the described generator does not pass the next-character test.

If the sum of the digits is already 9, the last bit has to be 9 as well, because all 0-digits had erased. If this is not the case, we could not determine if the last digit has to be 0 or 9.

## Exercise 3-2

(a) Is  $G_a(s) = G(s)||0$  a secure PRG?

No since the last bit is always 0. This bit is not uniformly at random because the probability of that bit being 0 is 100% instead of 50%.

$\Rightarrow G_a$  is not a PRG

(b) Is  $G_b(s||b) = G(s)||b$  where  $|b| = 1$  a secure PRG?

Yes because adding a single random but fixed bit has the probability 50% being 1 and 50% being 0 meaning  $b$  is uniformly random.  $b = \{0, 1\}$  is a pseudorandom generator and the concatenation of two pseudorandom number generators is a pseudorandom number generator itself. Also since  $b$  is part of the argument  $G_b$  is deterministic.

$\Rightarrow G_b$  is a secure PRG



## SOLUTION OF EXERCISESHEET 3

(c) Is  $G_c(s) = G(s||0)$  a secure PRG?

Assume  $G_c(s)$  is not a secure PRG and an adversary  $\mathcal{A}$  that can distinguish between  $G_c(s)$  and a random generator  $g(s)$ .

We construct  $\mathcal{B}$  that  $\mathcal{A}$  and adds a 0 to each string  $s$ .

Since  $G(s)$  is a secure PRG and therefore its values uniformly distributed it follows that  $\mathcal{B}$  is as efficient as  $\mathcal{A}$ .

$\Rightarrow G_c(s)$  is a secure PRG.

(d) Is  $G_d(s) = G(s||0^{|s|})$  a secure PRG?

Is  $G_d(s) = G(s||0)$  a secure PRG?

Assume  $G_d(s)$  is not a secure PRG and an adversary  $\mathcal{A}$  that can distinguish between  $G_d(s)$  and a random generator  $g(s)$ .

We construct  $\mathcal{B}$  that  $\mathcal{A}$  and adds  $l(|b|)$ -times 0 to each string  $s$ .

Since  $G(s)$  is a secure PRG and therefore its values uniformly distributed it follows that  $\mathcal{B}$  is as efficient as  $\mathcal{A}$ .

$\Rightarrow G_d(s)$  is a secure PRG.

(e) Is  $G_e(s) = G(s) \oplus 1^{l(|s|)}$  a secure PG?

Assume  $G_e(s)$  is not a secure PRG and an adversary  $\mathcal{A}$  that can distinguish between  $G_e(s)$  and a random generator  $g(s)$ .

We construct  $\mathcal{B}$  that calls the function  $F(s) = s \oplus 1^{l(|s|)} = G(s)$  for  $s = G_e(s)$ . For  $\mathcal{B}$  to distinguish the one case where  $b$  is set correctly from  $g(s)$   $\mathcal{B}$  has to distinguish  $(G(trunc(s)))$  from  $g(s)$ .

However since  $G(s)$  is a secure definition by definition.  $\Rightarrow$  contradiction

$\Rightarrow G_f(s)$  is a secure PRG.

(f) Is  $G_f(s) = trunc(G(trunc(s)))$  a secure PRG?

where  $trunc(x)$  for a nonempty string  $x$  denotes all but the last bit of  $x$ .

(For this part, assume that  $l(n) \leq n + 2$ , and ignore the fact that  $G_f$  is undefined on input strings of length 1.)

Assume  $G_f(s)$  is not a secure PRG and an adversary  $\mathcal{A}$  can distinguish between  $G_f(s)$  and a random generator  $g(s)$ .

We construct an adversary  $\mathcal{B}$  that evokes  $\mathcal{A}$  adds one bit  $b = \{0,1\}$  to  $G_f(s)$ .

For  $\mathcal{B}$  to distinguish the one case where  $b$  is set correctly from  $g(s)$   $\mathcal{B}$  would have to distinguish  $G(trunc(s))$  from  $g(s)$ .

However since  $G(s)$  is a secure definition by definition. Furthermore  $\mathcal{B}$  can't know what value  $trunc$  has deleted from the string since  $G(s)$  is uniformly distributed.  $\Rightarrow$  contradiction

$\Rightarrow G_f(s)$  is a secure PRG.