

# Lexical Semantics

## Week 6: Adjectives, properties, and scales

Prerna Nadathur

November 13, 2019

### 1 Property concepts

#### 1.1 Major semantic classes of property concepts

Adjectives (typically) denote **properties**, specifying or elaborating on some feature or property of the nouns they modify. The major classes of property concepts thus correspond to the major semantic classes of adjectives.

**Dixon's (1982) classes:**

- (1) a. **DIMENSION:** big, large, little, small, long, short, tall, high, low, wide, narrow, thick, fat, thin, deep, shallow (and a few more)
  - b. **PHYSICAL PROPERTY:** hard, soft, rough, smooth, hot, cold, warm, cool, sweet, sour, tart, quiet, loud (and many more)
    - includes **SHAPE:** hexagonal, oval, round, square, straight, triangular (and more)
  - c. **COLOUR:** black, white, red, green, yellow, blue, orange, purple, ...
  - d. **HUMAN PROPENSITY:** angry, jealous, happy, kind, clever, generous, brave, cruel, rude, proud, wicked, mad, fierce, impetuous, glad, sad, wise, curious (many more)
  - e. **AGE:** new, young, old, ancient (a few more)
  - f. **VALUE:** good, bad, proper, perfect, pure, excellent, exquisite, fine, fantastic, wonderful, horrible, terrible, atrocious, poor, awful, beautiful, lovely, ugly, delicious, tasty (and more)
  - g. **SPEED:** fast, quick, rapid, slow (a few more)
- Dixon's classes are motivated by the study of languages with limited adjectival inventories (Igbo, Hausa, Bantu languages)
  - he notes that the semantic content of adjectives is relatively consistent across languages that have them
  - certain oppositions and semantic types occur cross-linguistically (*large/small, long/short, old/new, black/white, good/bad*)

Two more categories are often included in the major classes:

- (1) h. ETHNIC/NATIONALITY: American, Canadian, Chinese, Japanese, Argentinian, French, Irish, Polish, Siberian, Christian, Jewish, Islamic, Buddhist, Asian, European, African, Californian, Bavarian, San Franciscan, Brooklynite, Long Islander ...
  - i. MATERIAL: earthen, silken, wooden (and more)
- most of the major property concepts describe things that are (in principle) objectively recoverable:
  - DIMENSIONAL properties can be measured physically
  - PHYSICAL PROPERTIES and MATERIAL properties can be determined by investigation and observation
- VALUE is an exception: properties like *beautiful* or *terrible* are ‘in the eye of the beholder’ (in this case the speaker), and are **evaluative**
  - the basic contrast involves *good/bad* (cf. Dixon), but adjectives can be specialized: *beautiful/ugly* both relate to physical appearance, *delicious, tasty, scrumptious* relate to food
- within PROPENSITY, some have argued for a further division into **individual-level** and **stage-level** properties (Carlson 1977, Kratzer 1995, others)
  - individual-level properties – *clever, funny, brave* – are typically perceived as indefinite/lasting, more likely to be used to characterize an individual (as a particular type of person; Wierzbicka 1988)
  - stage-level properties – *angry, happy, tired* – are temporary
  - the distinction is not always clear

## 1.2 Semantic support for Dixon’s taxonomy

Distinguishing classes of property concepts allows us to make certain linguistic generalizations. In addition to the cross-linguistic regularities, classifying adjectives into a set of concepts allows us to explain distributional facts:

- in English (and in other languages), speakers have strong preferences on the order in which adjectives appear
  - (2) a. X The blue small towel
  - b. ✓ The small blue towel
- when two adjectives can appear in either order, they often belong to the same class of property concept:
  - (3) a. The wise brave donkey
  - b. The brave wise donkey

- the preferred adjective order can be predicted on the basis of a property concept hierarchy:

(4) VALUE > DIMENSION > PHYSICAL PROPERTY > SPEED > HUMAN PROPENSITY > AGE > COLOUR > ETHNIC/NATIONALITY > MATERIAL

- Data:

- (5)
- wide smooth fast new road
  - \*new wide smooth fast road
  - beautiful blue wooden donkey
  - \*blue beautiful wooden donkey
  - \*beautiful wooden blue donkey
  - lovely thick soft purple woolen shawl
  - large new red English plastic chairs
  - enormous quick fierce young lion

... and so on.

### 1.3 Cross-linguistic variation and generalizations

Languages differ in whether they express property concepts as verbs, nouns, or adjectives:

- in languages like Igbo and Hausa, the adjectival class is **closed** (i.e., new words can't be coined and added to it)
  - such languages have very similar basic inventories: *large/small*, *new/old*, *good/bad*, *black/white*
- languages belong to one of two broad types: either property concepts are concentrated in a single lexical class (adjectives, or verb if no adjectives; Type I), or they are spread across nouns and verbs (with potentially some adjectives; Type II)
  - NB: Both Dixon's **strongly adjectival** and **strongly verbal** are Type I
- in Type II languages, certain categories tend to show up in particular word classes:

|                                | Type I | Type II |
|--------------------------------|--------|---------|
| AGE, VALUE, DIMENSION          | Adj, V | Adj     |
| PHYSICAL PROP                  | Adj, V | Verb    |
| HUMAN PROPENSITY (stage-level) | Adj, V | N       |
| HUMAN PROPENSITY (indiv-level) | Adj, V | N       |
| COLOUR                         | Adj, V | N       |
| SPEED                          | Adj, V | Adv     |

## 2 The interpretation of adjectives

The interpretation of adjectives (in English and languages with adjectives) is tied to the interpretation of the nouns they modify:

- **intersective** adjectives pick out the intersection of things in the denotation of the property concept and things in the denotation of the noun

- (6) a. Samson is a hairy dog.  
b.  $\vdash$  Samson is hairy.  
c.  $\vdash$  Samson is a dog.

- **subjective** adjectives pick out a subset of the noun's denotation, but don't necessarily pick out a uniform class of objects/entities on their own

- (7) a. Yuja Wang is a skilful pianist.  
b.  $\nvdash$  Yuja Wang is skilful. [depends on what she is doing]  
c.  $\vdash$  Yuja Wang is a pianist.

- Partee (1995): **non-subjective** adjectives don't necessarily pick out things in the noun's denotation, but pick out things with a particular relationship to what is in the noun's denotation:

- (8) a. Karl builds model trains  
b.  $\nvdash$  Karl builds trains.  
(9) a. Rudy Giuliani is the former mayor of New York.  
b.  $\nvdash$  Giuliani is the mayor of New York.

- **privative** adjectives actually entail that the noun does not hold:

- (10) a. Jessica bought a fake Monet.  
b.  $\nvdash$  Jessica bought a Monet.  
c.  $\vdash$  Jessica bought something that is not a Monet.

### 2.1 Multiple senses and qualia structure

As we saw in the first assignment, certain kinds of adjectives seem to vary in meaning depending on the nouns they modify:

- (11) a. a long dress  $\rightarrow$  a dress that has a large top-bottom measurement in feet, meters, etc  
b. a long snake  $\rightarrow$  a snake with a large head-tail measurement  
(12) a. a long lecture  $\rightarrow$  a lecture that has a large measurement in terms of time  
b. a long book  $\rightarrow$  a book that takes a significant amount of time to read

- we could analyze *long* as polysemous, since the temporal and spatial meanings do not co-occur (exception: *a long walk*, *a long run*)

- or we can take adjectives like *long* to have a single, underspecified sense, which is constrained, via **selective binding** to interact with certain features of a complex lexical representation for the noun
- in his **Generative Lexicon** approach, Pustejovsky (1993) proposes a complex representation for nouns in terms of **qualia structure**, on which the objects denoted by a noun have four essential kind of attributes

**Qualia structure** (notes based on Murphy, Ch, 4)

- qualia structure specifies four kinds of information/attributes
    1. **Constitutive role.** The relationship between an object and its constituents or components (material, weight, etc)
    2. **Formal role.** What distinguishes an object from other similar objects (objects in the denotation of a superordinate); dimension, shape, colour
    3. **Telic role.** The use, purpose, function of an object
    4. **Agentive role.** Factors involved in the origin (creation, bringing about) of an object
- (13) **novel**  
 CONSTITUTIVE: narrative  
 FORMAL: tome, disk  
 TELIC: reading  
 AGENTIVE: writing
- (14) Phil just finished a novel.  
 a. modifying TELIC: Phil just finished reading a novel.  
 b. modifying AGENTIVE: Phil just finished writing a novel.
- so, *long* can pick out either a spatial dimension (formal role) or its temporal dimension (either telic or agentive, depending on context)

### 3 Scales and gradability

Dixon points out that adjectives can take part in two different kinds of antonymy:

- **Contradictories** are mutually exclusive: if one member of the antonym pair is true, then the other is false
 

(15) *true/false, dead/alive, perfect/imperfect*

(16) \*This cat is dead and alive.

(17) \*This cat is neither dead nor alive.
- **Contraries** are ‘polar opposites’: they describe opposite ends of a scale or even a continuum.

(18) *tall/short, old/young, full/empty*

- at most one of a pair can be true of an object, but it's also possible for neither to be true

(19) \*The hallway is wide and narrow.

(20) The hallway is neither wide nor narrow.

### 3.1 Scalarity and adjective types

At least one of a pair of contradictories is an **absolute adjective**:

- absolute adjectives name properties that objects either have or lack; there is a sharp dividing line
- *dead, alive, odd/even, perfect, headless*
- Absolute adjectives are not acceptable with degree modifiers, intensifiers, and don't work in comparatives:

(21) a. #13 is an extremely odd number.

b. #13 is odder than 14.

c. #13 is the oddest number.

- two types of absolute adjective
  - **scalar absolute adjectives** are associated with scales of measurement (of the degree/intensity of some property)
  - good with totality modifiers and approximations:
    - (22) a. This diamond is totally perfect
    - b. This diamond is very nearly perfect.
    - (23) a. Schrödinger's cat is 100% alive.
    - b. The cat was almost dead.
  - **non-scalar absolute adjectives**: odd/even
  - one pole of a scalar absolute pair indicates a bounded part of the scale of measurement; non-scalar attributes are not associated with any kind of measure.

**Gradable adjectives** invoke properties that can be had/measured at different strengths:

- they can be intensified

(24) Yao Ming is very/extremely/rather tall.

- appear in comparative constructions:

(25) a. Bernie is older than Elizabeth

- b. Juri is as tall as Ludo.
  - c. Juno is the smartest dog.
- but don't always accept totality modifiers:

- (26)
- a. #Yao Ming is completely tall.
  - b. #Juno is 100% smart.

The positive form of a gradable adjective is necessarily **vague**, in that it must make reference to some contextually-determined (contextually narrowed) **standard of comparison**:

- (27)
- a. Jessica is tall
  - b. Jessica is a basketball player.
  - ↗
  - c. Jessica is a tall basketball player.

- Jessica might be tall, but she is not necessarily tall compared to other basketball players
- e.g., *expensive* corresponds to the property of having a degree of cost that is at least as great as some standard of comparison, which is determined by the type of thing we are talking about – an expensive mattress has a much higher standard of comparison than an expensive cup of coffee
- in comparative uses, the positive form need not hold: we are simply comparing the age/height/cost of two things to see which one is greater or smaller

- (28) Juno is older than Samson, but Juno is not old.

- implicitly, all uses of gradable adjectives are comparative: positive uses simply involve comparing the measured property of an individual/object to the contextually-determined standard value

### Different types of scales:

- scales for gradable adjectives can be open or closed, on one or both ends:

- (29) **Closed scales.** *empty/full, open/closed, visible/invisible*  
Both poles can combine with totality modifiers

- a. The glass was completely full.
- b. The window was totally closed.
- c. The magician was 100% invisible.

- (30) **Lower closed scales.** *quiet/loud, unknown/famous*

- a. The room was perfectly quiet.
- b. #The room was completely loud.

- (31) **Upper closed scales.** *perfect/imperfect, certain/uncertain*  
 a. I am completely certain that this is the right answer.  
 b. #I am perfectly uncertain that this is the right answer.
- (32) **Open scales.** *short/tall, shallow/deep, uneager/eager*  
 a. #Giraffes are absolutely tall.  
 b. #Corgis are perfectly short.

### Markedness:

- most gradable antonym pairs are asymmetric
    - one member can refer to the entire scale, despite being associated with one end of the scale
    - this is the **unmarked** form, the more restricted antonym is **marked**
- (33) Questions:
- a. How tall is the Rheinturm?  
 → What is the height of the Rheinturm?  
 (we do not presuppose that the building is tall by some comparative standard for buildings)
  - b. How short is the Rheinturm?  
 → What is the height of the Rheinturm?  
 presupposes: the Rheinturm is short by some comparative standard
- (34) Measure phrases:
- a. Bertha is eighty years old.
  - b. #Bertha is ten years young.
- typically, the positive pole is unmarked member, and has a ‘larger’ value

## 4 References

1. Carlson, G. 1977. Reference to kinds in English. PhD dissertation, University of Massachusetts at Amherst.
2. Dixon, R.M.W. 1982. Where have all the adjectives gone? In *Where Have All the Adjectives Gone? and other essays in Semantics and Syntax*. Berlin: Mouton.
3. Kennedy, C. 2012. Adjectives. In G. Russell & D.G. Fara (eds.), *Routledge Companion to Philosophy of Language*. Routledge.
4. Kratzer, A. 1995. Stage and Individual Level predicates. In G. Carlson & F. Pelletier, eds., *The Generic Book*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.
5. Murphy, M.L. 2010. *Lexical Meaning*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
6. Partee, B. 1995. Lexical semantics and compositionality. In L. Gleitman & M. Liberman, eds., *Language. An Invitation to Cognitive Science*. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press, 311–360.
7. Pustejovsky, J. 1993. *The Generative Lexicon*. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.
8. Wierzbicka, A. 1988. What’s in a noun? In *The Semantics of Grammar*. Amsterdam: John Benjamins.