
INSTRUCTOR	Dr. Prerna Nadathur (prerna.nadathur@phil.hhu.de)
OFFICE	24.53.00.87
CLASS SCHEDULE	Thursday 16.30-18.00
CLASS LOCATION	24.21.U1.21 (Z50)
COURSE WEBSITE	pnadathur.github.io/causation-winter19.html All course materials will be posted on the website.

DESCRIPTION

In communicating our knowledge of causal relationships between events in the world, language represents our primary encoding. The structure and expression of causation in language is therefore of interest to linguists, philosophers, and cognitive scientists seeking to understand how causal information is represented in cognition. This course surveys a range of literature on the semantics and grammatical structure of causation, examining both the expression of causation in a cross-linguistic perspective, as well as theoretical approaches to modeling different types of causal connections. Topics include causative verbs and morphology, agentive and non-agentive causation, causal event structure, and causal models in the study of counterfactual conditionals and lexical semantic representation.

COURSE AIMS

This course is intended for students of linguistics, or the philosophy of language, who have some background in semantics and pragmatics. It aims to familiarize them with the literature on causation in semantics and grammar, introduce them to active areas of research, and provide them with the tools to investigate and ask questions about causation in a semantic framework. The course is structured around reading and discussion. It is assumed that attendees have completed the assigned reading prior to class. Please see below for policies regarding credit points and the Abschlussprüfung.

POLICIES

- (i) **Readings.** Readings should be completed before the class for which they are assigned. All readings can be downloaded from the course webpage. To access the reading list, you will need the course password: XXXXXXXXXX
- (ii) **Assignments.** You are asked to complete short weekly reading responses (1–2 pages). You should pick one of the assigned readings and provide a short summary, and then focus on a particular claim or idea it introduces: you might either present some reasons to be critical of the claim, suggest a way of further investigating this claim, or contrast it with other ideas in the relevant literature. You might also discuss some questions raised by the paper or article.

Reading responses should be submitted by email or on paper in class or in the department office (24.53.00.86). Responses are due by beginning of the class for which the reading was assigned. Late responses will not be accepted. Note that if you submit them by midday on the previous day (Wednesday), it may be possible for me to incorporate your questions into the lecture/discussion, so you are encouraged to consider submitting your responses early.

- (iii) **Participation.** To receive credit points (Kreditpunkten, Beteiligungsnachweis) for participation, you must complete 10 reading responses throughout the course. (Note that this means there are three weeks in which you need not submit a response: it is entirely up to you to choose which weeks you do not complete responses.)
- (iv) **Final exam/Abschlussprüfung.** In order to qualify for the AP, you must complete a final paper (8–12 pages). You can apply for the AP using the appropriate forms for your course of study, available in the linguistics department office (24.53.00.86). The final paper may either be a critical review of an approved paper, or represent an original contribution (descriptive or theoretical). You should submit a short proposal for your paper topic to me at latest by the final lecture (30.01.2020).
- (v) **Language.** Lectures and any supplementary materials will be in English. You are strongly encouraged to complete the reading responses in English: you will NOT be penalised for grammatical mistakes. If you would strongly prefer to complete your responses in German, please let me know in advance so that I can make arrangements for you to receive feedback. If you intend to apply for the AP and wish to write your final paper in German, please let me know by 19 December.
- (vi) **Academic integrity.** Academic dishonesty and plagiarism are strictly prohibited. You are welcome to use the library, textbooks, journal articles, and other resources, but you *must* cite any sources that you use. Quoted material in particular must be attributed, including page numbers where possible. You are welcome to discuss the readings with other students, but you must write up your responses independently, in your own words. You may NOT work with other students on the final paper.
- (vii) **Electronic devices.** As a courtesy to other students, please do not use cell phones during class. You may use a laptop to take notes.

COURSE PLAN (subject to revision)

1. Introduction and course overview	10.10.2019
2. Formal basics, lexical decomposition <i>Reading:</i> Dowty 1979 (excerpts from Ch. 2); Fodor 1970; McCawley 1978	17.10.2019 <i>Response due</i>
3. Typology of causative constructions <i>Reading:</i> Comrie 1989 (Ch. 8); Shibatani 1976	24.10.2019 <i>Response due</i>
4. Direct and indirect causation <i>Reading:</i> Neeleman & van de Koot 2012	31.10.2019 <i>Response due</i>

5. Direct causation and intervening causes <i>Reading:</i> Wolff 2003	07.11.2019
6. The causative alternation <i>Reading:</i> Levin 2015 (Secs. 1, 2, 5); Levin & Rappaport Hovav 1994 <i>Optional:</i> Schäfer 2009	14.11.2019 <i>Response due</i>
7. Internal and external causation <i>Reading:</i> McKoon & MacFarland 2000; Wright 2002	21.11.2019 <i>Response due</i>
8. Agentive causation <i>Reading:</i> DeLancey 1984	28.11.2019 <i>Response due</i>
9. Event structure <i>Reading:</i> Davidson 1967, 1969; Croft 1991 (excerpts)	05.12.2019 <i>Response due</i>
10. Alternative approaches to causation <i>Reading:</i> Lewis 1973; Copley & Wolff 2014	12.12.2019 <i>Response due</i>
11. Structural models for causation <i>Reading:</i> Sloman 2005 (excerpts)	19.12.2019 <i>Response due</i>
12. Causal models continued <i>Reading:</i> Lauer & Nadathur 2019; Martin 2018	09.01.2020 <i>Response due</i>
13. Force dynamics <i>Reading:</i> Wolff 2014; Copley & Harley 2014	16.01.2020 <i>Response due</i>
14. Beyond causatives: modality <i>Reading:</i> Hobbs 2003	23.01.2020 <i>Response due</i>
15. Beyond causatives: implicatives <i>Reading:</i> Baglini & Francez 2016	30.01.2020 <i>Topic proposals due (last day)</i>

REFERENCES

- [1] Alonso-Ovalle, L. & H. Hsieh. 2017. Causes and expectations: on the interpretation of the Tagalog ability/involuntary action form. In *Proceedings of Semantics and Linguistic Theory* 27, D. Burgdorf, J. Collard, S. Maspong, & B. Stefánsdóttir (eds): 75–94.
- [2] Baglini, R. & I. Francez. 2016. The implications of managing. *Journal of Semantics*, 33: 541–560.
- [3] Bhatt, R. 2003. Handout on causativization. March 2003.
- [4] Ciardelli, I., L. Zhang, & L. Champollion. 2017. Two switches in the theory of counterfactuals. *Linguistics and Philosophy*.

- [5] Comrie, B. 1989. *Language universals and linguistic typology*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.
- [6] Copley, B. & H. Harley (2014). Eliminating causative entailments with the force-theoretic framework: the case of the Tohono O’odham frustrative *cem*. In *Causation in Grammatical Structures*, B. Copley & F. Martin (eds). Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- [7] Copley, B., & P. Wolff. 2014. Theories of causation should inform linguistic theories and vice versa. In B. Copley & F. Martin (eds.), *Causation in Grammatical Structures*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- [8] Croft, W. 1991. *Syntactic Categories and Grammatical Relations*. Chicago: The University of Chicago Press.
- [9] DeLancey, S. 1984. Notes on agentivity and causation. *Studies in Language* 8, 181–213.
- [10] Demirdache, H. & F. Martin. 2016. Agent control over non-culminating accomplishments. In *Verb Clauses and Aspect*, E. Barraón López (ed). Amsterdam: John Benjamins.
- [11] Dowty, D. 1979. *Word meaning and Montague grammar*. Dordrecht: Reidel.
- [12] Fodor, J. 1970. Three reasons for not deriving “kill” from “cause to die.” *Linguistic Inquiry* 1: 429–438.
- [13] Hara, Y. 2017. Causality and evidentiality. In *Proceedings of the 21st Amsterdam Colloquium*, A. Cremers, T. van Gessel, & F. Roelofsen (eds).
- [14] Hobbs, J. 2003. Causality and modality: the case of ‘would.’ *Journal of Semantics* 20.
- [15] Kittilä, S. 2005. Remarks on involuntary agent constructions. *Word* 56.
- [16] Lauer, S. & P. Nadathur. 2019. Causal necessity, causal sufficiency, and the implications of causative verbs. Accepted, pending minor revisions.
- [17] Lewis, D. 1973. Causation. *The Journal of Philosophy* 70, 556–567.
- [18] Lewis, D. 2000. Causation as influence. *The Journal of Philosophy* 97, 182–197.
- [19] Levin, B. 2015. Semantics and pragmatics of argument alternations. *Annual Review of Linguistics* 1, 63–83.
- [20] Levin, B & M. Rappaport Hovav. 1994. A preliminary analysis of causative verbs in English. *Lingua* 92: 35–77.
- [21] Lyutikova, E. & S. Tatevosov. 2014. Causativization and event structure. In *Causation in Grammatical Structures*, B. Copley & F. Martin (eds). Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- [22] Martin, F. 2018. Time in probabilistic causation: direct vs. indirect uses of lexical causative verbs. In *Proceedings of Sinn und Bedeutung* 22.
- [23] McCawley, J. 1978. Conversational implicature and the lexicon. In P. Cole (ed.), *Syntax and Semantics 9: Pragmatics*, 245–258. New York: Routledge.
- [24] McKoon, G. & T. MacFarland. 2000. Externally and internally caused change of state verbs. *Language* 76, 833–858.
- [25] Neeleman, A. & H. van de Koot. 2012. The linguistic expression of causation. In *The Theta System: Argument Structure at the Interface*, M. Everaert, T. Sioni, & M. Marelj (eds). Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- [26] Shibatani, M. 1976. Causativization. In Shibatani, M (ed.), *Syntax and Semantics 5: Japanese generative grammar*, 239–294. New York: Academic Press.

- [27] Sloman, S. 2005. *Causal models*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- [28] Sloman, S., A. Barbey & J. Hotaling. 2009. A causal model theory of the meaning of *cause*, *enable*, and *prevent*. *Cognitive Science* 33: 21–50.
- [29] Tatevosov, S. & M. Ivanov. 2009. Event structure of non-culminating accomplishments. In *Cross-linguistic Semantics of Tense, Aspect, and Modality*, L. Hogeweg (ed). Amsterdam: John Benjamins.
- [30] Van Valin, R. & D. Wilkins. 1996. The case for “effector.” In M. Shibatani & S. Thompson (eds.), *Grammatical Constructions*, 289–322. Oxford: Clarendon Press.
- [31] Wolff, P. 2003. Direct causation in the linguistic encoding and individuation of causal events. *Cognition* 88, 1–48.
- [32] Wolff, P. 2014. Causal pluralism and force dynamics. In B. Copley & F. Martin (eds.), *Causation in Grammatical Structures*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- [33] Woodward, J. 2003. *Making things happen: a theory of causal explanation*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- [34] Wright, S. 2002. Transitivity and change of state verbs. In *Proceedings of the 28th Meeting of the Berkeley Linguistics Society*, 339–350.