

Agenda :

- Problems on stationarity, wide-sense stationarity and basics of DTMCs.

Recall the definitions of stationarity and wide-sense stationarity.

Defⁿ (stationary process)

Let T be an index set, and let (Ω, \mathcal{F}, P) be a probability space.

The collection $\{X_t : t \in T\}$ of \mathcal{F} -measurable random variables is said to be a stationary random process if :

for all $n \geq 1$, for all $t_1, t_2, \dots, t_n \in T$ and for all $x_1, \dots, x_n \in \mathbb{R}$, the following relation holds for any shift $\tau \in T$:

$$F_{x_{t_1}, \dots, x_{t_n}}(x_1, x_n) = F_{x_{t_1+\tau}, \dots, x_{t_n+\tau}}(x_1, \dots, x_n).$$

That is, every finite dimensional distribution is shift invariant.

Defⁿ (wide-sense stationary process)

Let T be an index set, and let (Ω, \mathcal{F}, P) be a probability space.

The collection $\{X_t : t \in T\}$ of \mathcal{F} -measurable random variables is said to be a wide-sense stationary (wss) random process if :

a) for all $t, s \in T$, $E[X_t] = E[X_s]$

b) for all $t, s, u \in T$, $E[X_s X_t] = E[X_{s+u}, X_{t+u}]$.

Problem 1:

Let $\{Z_t : t \in \mathbb{R}\}$ be a Gaussian process with mean function

$m_x(t) = E[Z_t] = 0$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$. Show that $\{Z_t : t \in \mathbb{R}\}$ is stationary if and only if for all $t_1, t_2 \in \mathbb{R}$, we have

$$K_2(t_1, t_2) = K_2(t_1 - t_2, 0), \text{ where}$$

$K_2(t, s) :=$ covariance matrix of the Gaussian vector $\begin{bmatrix} Z_t \\ Z_s \end{bmatrix}$.

Solⁿ: (Only if)

Suppose $\{Z_t : t \in \mathbb{R}\}$ is stationary. Then, for any $t_1, t_2 \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$K_2(t_1, t_2) = \begin{bmatrix} E[Z_{t_1}^2] & E[Z_{t_1} Z_{t_2}] \\ E[Z_{t_2} Z_{t_1}] & E[Z_{t_2}^2] \end{bmatrix}.$$

Since the process is stationary, it is also WSS. Hence,

$E[Z_t^2] = R_2(t, t)$ is constant wrt time. We thus do the following replacements:

$$E[Z_{t_1}^2] = E[Z_{t_1 - t_2}^2]$$

$$E[Z_{t_1} Z_{t_2}] = E[Z_{t_1 - t_2} Z_0]$$

$$E[Z_{t_2}^2] = E[Z_0^2].$$

With these substitutions, we get $K_2(t_1, t_2) = K_2(t_1 - t_2, 0)$.

(If part)

Suppose $K_z(t_1, t_2) = K_z(t_1 - t_2, 0)$ $\forall t_1, t_2 \in \mathbb{R}$.

Then, for any $n \geq 1$, $s_1, \dots, s_n \in \mathbb{R}$ and $z_1, \dots, z_n \in \mathbb{R}$, we have that the joint distribution

$$F_{z_{s_1}, \dots, z_{s_n}}(z_1, \dots, z_n)$$

is specified completely by the covariance matrix of the Gaussian vector $(z_{s_1}, \dots, z_{s_n})^T$. Similarly, for any $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$, the joint distribution

$$F_{z_{s_1+\tau}, \dots, z_{s_n+\tau}}(z_1, \dots, z_n)$$

is specified completely by the covariance matrix of the Gaussian vector $(z_{s_1+\tau}, \dots, z_{s_n+\tau})$. However, we notice that

$$\begin{aligned} K_z(s_m, s_l) &= K_z(s_m - s_l, 0) \\ &= K_z((s_m + \tau) - (s_l + \tau), 0) \\ &= K_z(s_m + \tau, s_l + \tau) \end{aligned}$$

for all $1 \leq m, l \leq n$. This proves that $\{z_t : t \in \mathbb{R}\}$ is stationary.

Corollary: A Gaussian process with non-zero mean is stationary if and only if the mean is constant and covariance matrix satisfies the property in the above question.

Remark : The above problem says that for a Gaussian process,
 WSS \Leftrightarrow Stationarity.

Stopping times

Let $\{x_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ be a random sequence. A random variable T taking values in \mathbb{N} is called a stopping time with respect to $\{x_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ if :

$$\forall n \in \mathbb{N}, \quad \{T \leq n\} \in \sigma(x_1, \dots, x_n).$$

OR

$$\{T = n\} \in \sigma(x_1, \dots, x_n).$$

Interpretation : Given the history upto time n of the process $\{x_m : m \in \mathbb{N}\}$, we can tell whether or not $T = n$ (or $T \leq n$).

In other words,

$$P(T = n \mid \sigma(x_1, \dots, x_n)) = 0 \text{ or } 1.$$

Example :

1. $N = \inf \{n \geq 1 : x_n \in A\} =$ first hitting time of set A .

This is a stopping time since

$$\{N > n\} = \{x_1 \notin A, x_2 \notin A, \dots, x_n \notin A\}.$$

Thus, by knowing x_1, \dots, x_n , we can tell whether $\{N > n\}$ has occurred or not

$$\Rightarrow \{N > n\} \in \sigma(x_1, \dots, x_n) \quad \forall n \geq 1$$

$$\Rightarrow \{N \leq n\} \in \sigma(x_1, \dots, x_n) \quad \forall n \geq 1.$$

Hence, N is a stopping time.

2. Let $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of non-negative random variables. Let

$$S_n = \sum_{k=1}^n x_k, \quad n \geq 1.$$

Define

$$N_t = \sup \{ n \geq 1 : S_n \leq t \}.$$

(That is, N_t is the last time index n such that $S_n \leq t$). Then,

$$\{N_t = n\} = \{S_1 \leq t, S_2 \leq t, \dots, S_n \leq t, S_{n+1} > t, S_{n+2} > t, \dots\}$$

$$= \bigcap_{k=1}^n \{S_k \leq t\} \cap \underbrace{\bigcap_{k=n+1}^{\infty} \{S_k > t\}}_{\text{depends on } x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}, \dots}$$

Hence, N_t is not a stopping time

3. Let $\{x_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ be an iid sequence of Bernoulli random variables. Let

$$N_n := \sum_{k=1}^n \mathbf{1}_{\{x_k = 1\}} = \# \text{ successes upto time } n.$$

Define

$$T_m := \inf \{n \geq 1 : N_n = m\}.$$

Then,

$$\{T_m \leq n\} = \underbrace{\{N_n \geq m\}}_{\text{By looking at } x_1, \dots, x_m. \text{ We can say whether or not atleast } m \text{ of these are 1's.}} \quad \forall n \geq 1.$$

By looking at x_1, \dots, x_m . We can say whether or not atleast m of these are 1's.

Hence, T_m is a stopping time.

Remark: For a general index set T and a random process $\{x_t : t \in T\}$, a random variable T taking values in T is called a stopping time with respect to $\{x_t : t \in T\}$ if:

$$\{\tau \leq t\} \in \sigma(x_s : s \leq t), \quad \forall t \in T.$$

Lemma: Let $\{x_t : t \in T\}$ be a random process, and let τ_1 and τ_2 be two stopping times with respect to $\{x_t : t \in T\}$. Then, $\min\{\tau_1, \tau_2\}$ and $\max\{\tau_1, \tau_2\}$ are also stopping times.

Proof:

$$\begin{aligned} \{\min\{\tau_1, \tau_2\} \leq t\} &= \underbrace{\{\tau_1 \leq t\}}_{\in \sigma(x_s : s \leq t)} \cup \underbrace{\{\tau_2 \leq t\}}_{\in \sigma(x_s : s \leq t)} \\ &\in \sigma(x_s : s \leq t) \quad \forall t \in T. \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \{\max\{\tau_1, \tau_2\} \leq t\} &= \{\tau_1 \leq t\} \cap \{\tau_2 \leq t\} \\ &\in \sigma(x_s : s \leq t) \quad \forall t \in T. \end{aligned}$$

Remark: It follows from the Lemma that $\min\{\tau_1, \tau_2\}$ and $\max\{\tau_1, \tau_2\}$ are stopping times. In general, $\min\{\tau_1, t\}$ and $\max\{\tau_2, t\}$ are stopping times for all $t \in T$.

Some important results on Markov Chains

1. Let $\{X_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ be a Markov process on state space \mathcal{X} which is countable. If T is a stopping time wrt $\{X_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ such that $P(T < \infty) = 1$, then

$$P(X_{T+1} = y | X_T = x, \dots, X_0 = x_0) = P(X_{T+1} = y | X_T = x).$$

Further, if $\{X_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is time homogeneous, then

$$P(X_{T+1} = y | X_T = x) = P(X_1 = y | X_0 = x).$$

This result is called Strong Markov property. It says that a time homogeneous DTMC renews itself by resetting the clock to 0.

Problem 2 :

Suppose $\{X_n : n \geq 0\}$ is a ^{time homogeneous} DTMC on a countable state space \mathcal{X} .

Let $(p_{xy})_{x,y \in \mathcal{X}}$ denote its transition probabilities. Assume $p_{xx} < 1$

for all $x \in \mathcal{X}$. Define

$T_1 = \inf \{n \geq 1 : X_n \neq X_0\}$, and for $m \geq 2$, define

$$T_m = \inf \{n > T_{m-1} : X_n \neq X_{T_{m-1}}\}.$$

Thus, T_m 's are the random times when DTMC changes its state.

a) Show that $P(T_m < \infty) = 1 \quad \forall m \geq 1$.

b) Define $Z_0 = X_0$ and $Z_m = X_{T_m}$. Prove that $(Z_m)_{m \geq 0}$

is also time homogeneous, with transition probabilities

$(\tilde{P}_{xy})_{x,y \in \mathcal{X}}$ given by

$$\tilde{P}_{xy} = P(Z_{m+1} = y | Z_m = x) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } y = x \\ \frac{p_{xy}}{1 - p_{xx}}, & \text{if } y \neq x. \end{cases}$$

Solution:

$$(a) \quad \{T_1 = n\} = \{x_1 = x_0, x_2 = x_0, \dots, x_{n-1} = x_0, x_n \neq x_0\}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Thus, } P(T_1 = n) &= \sum_{x \in \mathcal{X}} P(T_1 = n | X_0 = x) \cdot P(X_0 = x) \\ &= \sum_{x \in \mathcal{X}} P(x_1 = x_0, x_2 = x_0, \dots, x_{n-1} = x_0, x_n \neq x_0 | X_0 = x) P(X_0 = x) \\ &= \sum_{x \in \mathcal{X}} P(x_1 = x, x_2 = x, \dots, x_{n-1} = x, x_n \neq x | X_0 = x) P(X_0 = x) \\ &= \sum_{x \in \mathcal{X}} \tilde{P}_{xx}^{n-1} (1 - \tilde{P}_{xx}) P(X_0 = x). \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{Now, } P(T_1 < \infty) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P(T_1 = n)$$

$$= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{x \in \mathcal{X}} \tilde{P}_{xx}^{n-1} (1 - \tilde{P}_{xx}) P(X_0 = x)$$

$$\stackrel{(a)}{=} \sum_{x \in \mathcal{X}} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \tilde{P}_{xx}^{n-1} (1 - \tilde{P}_{xx}) P(X_0 = x)$$

$$= \sum_{x \in \mathcal{X}} P(X_0 = x)$$

$$= 1,$$

where (a) above follows by noting that all terms inside the summation are positive, and hence $\sum_{x \in X}$ and $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}$ may be interchanged.

Thus, we have showed that T_1 is a stopping time with the property that $P(T_1 < \infty) = 1$.

by induction

We now show that for any $m \geq 2$, T_m is a stopping time.

Towards this, note that for $m=2$,

$$\{T_2 = n\} = \bigcup_{m=1}^{n-1} \{T_2 = n, T_1 = m\}$$

$$= \bigcup_{m=2}^{n-1} \left\{ X_1 = x_0, \dots, X_{m-1} = x_0, X_m \neq x_0, X_{m+1} = x_m, \dots, \right. \\ \left. X_{n-1} = x_m, X_n \neq x_m \right\}$$

$$\in \sigma(x_0, \dots, x_n) \quad \forall n \geq 2.$$

Thus, T_2 is a stopping time.

Let us assume that T_m is a stopping time for some $m \geq 2$. Then,

$$\{T_{m+1} = n\} = \bigcup_{k=m}^{n-1} \{T_{m+1} = n, T_m = k\} \quad (\because T_m \geq m \text{ a.s.})$$

$$= \bigcup_{k=m}^{n-1} \left\{ \underbrace{T_m = k}, X_{k+1} = x_k, \dots, X_{n-1} = x_k, X_n \neq x_k \right\} \\ \in \sigma(x_0, \dots, x_k)$$

$$\in \sigma(x_0, \dots, x_n) \quad \forall n \geq m+1.$$

Thus, T_{m+1} is a stopping time.

We now show by induction that for any $m \geq 2$,

$$P(T_m - T_{m-1} < \infty) = 1.$$

Towards this, note that for $m=2$,

$$\begin{aligned} P(T_2 - T_1 = n) &= P(T_2 - T_1 = n, T_1 < \infty) \quad (\because \text{we have shown} \\ &\quad \text{that } P(T_1 < \infty) = 1) \\ &= \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} P(T_2 - T_1 = n, T_1 = m). \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Now, } \{T_2 - T_1 = n, T_1 = m\} &= \{x_1 = x_0, \dots, x_{m-1} = x_0, x_m \neq x_0, \\ &\quad x_{m+1} = x_m, \dots, x_{m+n-1} = x_m, x_{m+n} \neq x_m\}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$P(T_2 - T_1 = n, T_1 = m) = \sum_{x \in \mathcal{X}} P(T_2 - T_1 = n, T_1 = m \mid X_0 = x) P(X_0 = x)$$

$$= \sum_{x \in \mathcal{X}} P(x_1 = x, \dots, x_{m-1} = x, x_m \neq x, x_{m+1} = x_m, \dots, \\ x_{m+n-1} = x_m, x_{m+n} \neq x_m \mid X_0 = x) P(X_0 = x)$$

$$= \sum_{x \in \mathcal{X}} \sum_{y \neq x} P_1(x = x, \dots, x_{m-1} = x, x_m = y, x_{m+1} = y, \dots, \\ x_{m+n-1} = y, x_{m+n} \neq y \mid X_0 = x) P(X_0 = x)$$

$$= \sum_{x \in \mathcal{X}} \sum_{y \neq x} p_{xx}^{m-1} p_{xy} \cdot p_{yy}^{n-1} (1-p_{yy}) P(X_0 = x)$$

Therefore, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 P(T_2 - T_1 = n) &= \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} P(T_2 - T_1 = n, T_1 = m) \\
 &= \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{x \in \mathcal{X}} \sum_{y \neq x} p_{xx}^{m-1} p_{xy} p_{yy}^{n-1} (1-p_{yy}) P(x_0 = x) \\
 &= \sum_{x \in \mathcal{X}} \frac{1}{1-p_{xx}} \sum_{y \neq x} p_{xy} p_{yy}^{n-1} (1-p_{yy}) P(x_0 = x).
 \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned}
 P(T_2 - T_1 < \infty) &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P(T_2 - T_1 = n) \\
 &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{x \in \mathcal{X}} \frac{1}{1-p_{xx}} \sum_{y \neq x} p_{xy} p_{yy}^{n-1} (1-p_{yy}) P(x_0 = x) \\
 &= \sum_{x \in \mathcal{X}} \frac{1}{1-p_{xx}} \underbrace{\sum_{y \neq x} p_{xy}}_{1-p_{xx}} P(x_0 = x) \\
 &= \sum_{x \in \mathcal{X}} P(x_0 = x) \\
 &= 1.
 \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we have $P(T_2 - T_1 < \infty) = 1 = P(T_1 < \infty)$

$$\Rightarrow P(T_2 < \infty) = 1.$$

We now assume that $P(T_m - T_{m-1} < \infty) = 1$ and $P(T_m < \infty) = 1$ for some $m > 2$. Then,

$$P(T_{m+1} - T_m = n) = P(T_{m+1} - T_m = n, T_m < \infty)$$

$$= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} P(T_{m+1} - T_m = n, T_m = k)$$

$$= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{x \in \mathcal{X}} P(T_{m+1} - T_m = n, T_m = k \mid X_k = x) \\ P(X_k = x)$$

$$= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{x \in \mathcal{X}} P(X_{k+1} = x, X_{k+2} = x, \dots, X_{k+n-1} = x, X_{k+n} \neq x, \\ T_m = k \mid X_k = x) \cdot P(X_k = x)$$

$$= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{x \in \mathcal{X}} P(T_m = k \mid X_k = x) \cdot P(X_{k+1} = x, \dots, X_{k+n-1} = x, X_{k+n} \neq x \mid \\ T_m = k, X_k = x)$$

$$P(X_k = x)$$

$$= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{x \in \mathcal{X}} P(T_m = k \mid X_k = x) \cdot P(X_{k+1} = x, \dots, X_{k+n-1} = x, X_{k+n} \neq x \mid X_k = x) \\ P(X_k = x)$$

$$= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{x \in \mathcal{X}} P(T_m = k \mid X_k = x) \cdot p_{xx}^{n-1} (1-p_{xx}) P(X_k = x).$$

Thus,

$$P(T_{m+1} - T_m < \infty) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P(T_{m+1} - T_m = n)$$

$$= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{x \in \mathcal{X}} P(T_m = k \mid X_k = x) \cdot p_{xx}^{n-1} (1-p_{xx}) P(X_k = x)$$

$$= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{x \in \mathcal{X}} P(T_m = k \mid X_k = x) P(X_k = x)$$

$$= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} P(T_m = k) = P(T_m < \infty) = 1.$$

Thus, $P(T_{m+1} - T_m < \infty) = 1$ and $P(T_m < \infty) = 1$
 $\Rightarrow P(T_{m+1} < \infty) = 1.$

(b) We now note that for any $x_0, \dots, x_{m-1}, x, y \in \mathcal{X}$,

$$P(Z_{m+1} = y, Z_m = x, Z_{m-1} = x_{m-1}, \dots, Z_0 = x_0)$$

$$= P(X_{T_{m+1}} = y, X_{T_m} = x, X_{T_{m-1}} = x_{m-1}, \dots, X_{T_1} = x_1, X_0 = x_0) 1_{\{y \neq x\}}$$

$$= P(T_m < \infty, T_{m+1} - T_m < \infty, X_0 = x_0, \dots, X_{T_m} = x, X_{T_{m+1}} = y) 1_{\{y \neq x\}}$$

$$= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P(T_m = k, T_{m+1} - T_m = n, X_0 = x_0, \dots, X_{T_m} = x, X_{T_{m+1}} = y) 1_{\{y \neq x\}}$$

$$= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P(T_m = k, T_{m+1} = k+n, X_0 = x_0, \dots, X_k = x, X_{k+n} = y) 1_{\{y \neq x\}}$$

$$= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P(T_m = k, X_0 = x_0, \dots, X_k = x, X_{k+1} = x, \dots, X_{k+n-1} = x, X_{k+n} = y) 1_{\{y \neq x\}}$$

$$= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P(T_m = k, X_0 = x_0, \dots, X_k = x) \cdot p_{xx}^{n-1} \cdot p_{xy} 1_{\{y \neq x\}}$$

$$= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} P(T_m = k, X_0 = x_0, \dots, X_k = x) \cdot \frac{p_{xy}}{1-p_{xx}} 1_{\{y \neq x\}}$$

$$= P(X_0 = x_0, \dots, X_{T_m} = x) \cdot \frac{p_{xy}}{1-p_{xx}} 1_{\{y \neq x\}}$$

$$= P(Z_0 = x_0, \dots, Z_{m-1} = x_{m-1}, Z_m = x) \cdot \frac{p_{xy}}{1-p_{xx}} 1_{\{y \neq x\}}.$$

Summing over all x_0, \dots, x_{m-1} , we get

$$P(Z_{m+1} = y, Z_m = x) = P(Z_m = x) \frac{p_{xy}}{1-p_{xx}} 1_{\{y \neq x\}}$$

$$\Rightarrow P(Z_{m+1} = y \mid Z_m = x) = \begin{cases} 0, & y = x \\ \frac{p_{xy}}{1-p_{xx}}, & y \neq x. \end{cases}$$

\equiv