

1. On the one hand, we have (using the Markov property)

$$\mathbb{P}(X_0 = x_0, \dots, X_n = x_n) = \mathbb{P}(X_0 = x_0, X_1 = x_1) \cdot \prod_{i=2}^n \mathbb{P}(X_i = x_i | X_{i-1} = x_{i-1}),$$

whereas on the other hand, we have

$$\mathbb{P}(X_1 = x_1, \dots, X_n = x_n) = \mathbb{P}(X_1 = x_1) \cdot \prod_{i=2}^n \mathbb{P}(X_i = x_i | X_{i-1} = x_{i-1}).$$

Dividing the two equations, we arrive at the desired answer.

---

2. We note that for any  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $x_0, \dots, x_n \in \mathcal{X}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}(Y_n = x_n | Y_{n-1} = x_{n-1}, \dots, Y_0 = x_0) &= \mathbb{P}(X_{kn} = x_n | X_{kn-k} = x_{n-1}, \dots, X_0 = x_0) \\ &= \mathbb{P}(X_{kn} = x_n | X_{kn-k} = x_{n-1}) \\ &= \mathbb{P}(Y_n = x_n | Y_{n-1} = x_{n-1}). \end{aligned}$$

Thus,  $\{Y_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$  is a DTMC. Furthermore,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}(Y_n = y | Y_{n-1} = x) &= \mathbb{P}(X_{kn} = y | X_{kn-k} = x) \\ &= \mathbb{P}(X_k = y | X_0 = x) \\ &= p_{x,y}^k \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}, x, y \in \mathcal{X}, \end{aligned}$$

thus proving that  $\{Y_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$  is time-homogeneous with TPM  $P^k$ .

---

3. We note that

$$\begin{aligned} a) \quad P^2 &= \begin{pmatrix} 1-p & p \\ q & 1-q \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1-p & p \\ q & 1-q \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} (1-p)^2 + pq & p(2-p-q) \\ q(2-p-q) & (1-q)^2 + pq \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

Now,

$$\mathbb{P}(X_1 = 0 | X_0 = 0, X_2 = 0) = \frac{\mathbb{P}(X_0 = 0, X_1 = 0, X_2 = 0)}{\mathbb{P}(X_0 = 0, X_2 = 0)}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= \frac{\mathbb{P}(X_0=0) \cdot \mathbb{P}(X_1=0 | X_0=0) \cdot \overbrace{\mathbb{P}(X_2=0 | X_1=0)}^{\text{same as } \mathbb{P}(X_1=0 | X_0=0)}}{\mathbb{P}(X_0=0) \cdot \mathbb{P}(X_2=0 | X_0=0)} \\
 &= \frac{\frac{1}{2} \cdot (1-p)^2}{\frac{1}{2} \cdot ((1-p)^2 + pq)} = \frac{(1-p)^2}{(1-p)^2 + pq}.
 \end{aligned}$$

b) By the law of total probability,

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathbb{P}(X_1 \neq X_2) &= \mathbb{P}(X_0=0, X_1 \neq X_2) + \mathbb{P}(X_0=1, X_1 \neq X_2) \\
 &= \mathbb{P}(X_0=0, X_1=0, X_2=1) + \mathbb{P}(X_0=0, X_1=1, X_2=0) \\
 &\quad + \mathbb{P}(X_0=1, X_1=0, X_2=1) + \mathbb{P}(X_0=1, X_1=1, X_2=0) \\
 &= \frac{1}{2} \cdot (1-p) \cdot p + \frac{1}{2} \cdot p \cdot q + \frac{1}{2} \cdot q \cdot p + \frac{1}{2} \cdot (1-q) \cdot q \\
 &= \frac{1}{2} (p(1-p) + q(1-q) + 2pq).
 \end{aligned}$$

c) In this case,

$$P = \begin{pmatrix} 0.7 & 0.3 \\ 0.4 & 0.6 \end{pmatrix}, \quad P^2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0.61 & 0.39 \\ 0.52 & 0.48 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$P^4 = P^2 \cdot P^2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0.5749 & 0.4251 \\ 0.5668 & 0.4332 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then,

$$\mathbb{P}(X_{n+4}=0 | X_n=0) = \mathbb{P}(X_4=0 | X_0=0) = P_{0,0}^4 = 0.5749.$$

4. Note that we may express  $X_n$  as

a)  $X_n = A_n + B_{n-1}$ , where

$A_n \rightarrow$  no. of families who arrive/check-in to the hotel on day  $n$ ,

$B_{n-1} \rightarrow$  no. of families who continue to remain from previous day

Note that  $A_n \perp B_{n-1}$ . Therefore,  $\{X_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$  is a DTMC.

Furthermore, we note that for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,

$$B_{n-1} | X_{n-1} \sim \text{Bin}(X_{n-1}, 1-p),$$

$$A_n \sim \text{Poisson}(\lambda), \quad A_n \perp B_{n-1}, \quad \{A_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty} \text{ i.i.d.}$$

$$\text{Thus, } \mathbb{P}(X_n = y | X_{n-1} = x) = \mathbb{P}(X_{n-1} = y | X_{n-2} = x).$$

thereby showing that  $\{X_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$  is a time-homogeneous DTMC.

b) We have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}(X_n = y | X_{n-1} = x) &= \mathbb{P}(A_n + \overset{\text{conditioned on } X_{n-1}=x, \text{ its range is } \{0, \dots, x\}}{B_{n-1}} = y | X_{n-1} = x) \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{\min\{x, y\}} \mathbb{P}(B_{n-1} = k, A_n = y-k | X_{n-1} = x) \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{\min\{x, y\}} \mathbb{P}(B_{n-1} = k | X_{n-1} = x) \cdot \mathbb{P}(A_n = y-k) \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{\min\{x, y\}} x C_k \cdot (1-p)^k \cdot p^{x-k} \cdot e^{-\lambda} \cdot \frac{\lambda^{y-k}}{(y-k)!}. \end{aligned}$$

5. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}(\tau_y^{(1)} < +\infty, X_{\tau_y^{(1)}+n} = y | X_0 = y) &= \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \mathbb{P}(\tau_y^{(1)} = k, X_{k+n} = y | X_0 = y) \\ &= \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \mathbb{P}(\tau_y^{(1)} = k | X_0 = y) \cdot \overset{\text{function of } X_0, \dots, X_k \text{ only}}{\mathbb{P}(X_{k+n} = y | \tau_y^{(1)} = k, X_0 = y)} \\ &= \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \mathbb{P}(\tau_y^{(1)} = k) \cdot \mathbb{P}(X_{k+n} = y | \underbrace{X_k = y, \tau_y^{(1)} = k, X_0 = y}_{\substack{\{\tau_y^{(1)} = k\} \subseteq \{X_k = y\}, \\ \text{so} \\ \{X_k = y\} \cap \{\tau_y^{(1)} = k\} \cap \{X_0 = y\} \\ = \{\tau_y^{(1)} = k\} \cap \{X_0 = k\}}}) \\ &= \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \mathbb{P}(\tau_y^{(1)} = k) \cdot \mathbb{P}(X_{k+n} = y | X_k = y) \\ &= \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \mathbb{P}(\tau_y^{(1)} = k) \cdot p_{y,y}^n \end{aligned}$$

$$= P(\tau_y^{(1)} < +\infty) \cdot P_{y,y}^n,$$

from which it follows that

$$P(X_{\tau_y^{(1)}+n} = y \mid X_0 = y, \tau_y^{(1)} < +\infty) = P_{y,y}^n.$$


---

6.

a) We have

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda x_{i^*} &= \sum_i x_i P_{i^*,i} \\ &= x_{i^*} \cdot P_{i^*,i^*} + \sum_{i \neq i^*} x_i P_{i^*,i} \end{aligned}$$

from which we have

$$|\lambda - P_{i^*,i^*}| = \frac{\left| \sum_{i \neq i^*} x_i P_{i^*,i} \right|}{|x_{i^*}|}$$

$$\text{triangle inequality} \leftarrow \leq \sum_{i \neq i^*} \frac{|x_i|}{|x_{i^*}|} \cdot P_{i^*,i}$$

$$\frac{|x_i|}{|x_{i^*}|} \leq 1 \leftarrow \leq \sum_{i \neq i^*} P_{i^*,i} = R_{i^*}.$$

b) For any  $i$ , we have by triangle inequality

$$\begin{aligned} |\lambda| &= |\lambda - P_{i^*,i^*} + P_{i^*,i^*}| \\ &\leq |\lambda - P_{i^*,i^*}| + P_{i^*,i^*} \\ &\leq R_{i^*} + P_{i^*,i^*} \\ &= \sum_{i \neq i^*} P_{i^*,i} + P_{i^*,i^*} \\ &= \sum_i P_{i^*,i} = 1. \end{aligned}$$

c) Let  $x$  be eigenvector corresponding to  $\lambda = 1$ .  
Then, by triangle inequality,

$$|\lambda x_i| = \left| \sum_j P_{i,j} x_j \right|$$

$$\textcircled{1} \leq \sum_j P_{i,j} |x_j|$$

$$\textcircled{2} \leq \sum_j P_{i,j} \max_k |x_k| = \max_k |x_k|.$$

If  $P$  has strictly positive entries, the only case when  $\textcircled{1}$  and  $\textcircled{2}$  hold with equality are when  $\underline{x} = [x_1, \dots, x_d]$  has identical entries.

That is to say that any eigenvector  $\underline{x}$  s.t.  $\underline{x} = P\underline{x}$  must have all identical entries, and any  $\underline{x}$  having identical entries must satisfy  $\underline{x} = P\underline{x}$ .

d) Conversely, suppose  $\lambda \neq 1$  has an associated eigenvector  $\underline{y}$ . Then,

$$\forall i, \quad |\lambda y_i| < \max_k |y_k|.$$

$$\Rightarrow |\lambda| \cdot \max_i |y_i| < \max_k |y_k| \Rightarrow |\lambda| < 1.$$

A general remark on when triangle inequality holds with equality

Triangle inequality, in its simplest form, may be expressed as

$$|x+y| \leq |x| + |y| \quad \text{for all } x, y \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Now, suppose equality holds in the above inequality, i.e.,

$$|x+y| = |x| + |y|.$$

$$\Leftrightarrow |x+y|^2 = (|x| + |y|)^2$$

$$\Leftrightarrow x^2 + y^2 + 2xy = x^2 + y^2 + 2|x||y|$$

$$\Leftrightarrow xy = |xy|$$

$$\Leftrightarrow x \text{ and } y \text{ have same sign } (x \geq 0, y \geq 0 \text{ OR } x \leq 0, y \leq 0)$$

Applying this to question 6(c) above,

$$\left| \sum_j P_{i,j} x_j \right| = \sum_j P_{i,j} |x_j| \quad \text{if and only if}$$

$$\forall j, \quad P_{i,j} x_j \text{ has same sign as } \sum_{k \neq j} P_{i,k} x_k$$

$$\Leftrightarrow x_1, \dots, x_d \text{ have the same sign } (\because P_{i,j} \geq 0 \quad \forall i, j)$$