

## HOMEWORK 4

## TOPICS: CONDITIONAL PROBABILITY, INDEPENDENCE, RANDOM VARIABLES

Fix a probability space  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$ .

1. For any two disjoint sets  $A, B \subseteq \Omega$ , show that

$$\mathbf{1}_{A \cup B} = \mathbf{1}_A + \mathbf{1}_B,$$

where  $\mathbf{1}_E$  denotes the indicator function of the set  $E$ .

Use the above result to show that if  $A$  and  $B$  are any two sets (not necessarily disjoint), then

$$\mathbf{1}_{A \cup B} = \mathbf{1}_A + \mathbf{1}_B - \mathbf{1}_{A \cap B}.$$

**Solution:** We follow the convention that the functions  $f = g \iff f(x) = g(x) \forall x \in \mathcal{D}(f) = \mathcal{D}(g)$  and  $(f + g)(x) = f(x) + g(x)$ , where  $\mathcal{D}(f)$  denotes the domain of the function  $f$ .

We recall that  $\mathbf{1}_A(\omega) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } \omega \in A \\ 0, & \text{if } \omega \in A^c. \end{cases}$

Now, we compare the evaluations of the indicator functions on an arbitrary  $\omega \in \Omega$ . When  $A, B \subseteq \Omega$  are disjoint, we have three cases:

- $\omega \in A$  but  $\omega \notin B$ . Here  $\mathbf{1}_A(\omega) = 1, \mathbf{1}_B(\omega) = 0$  and  $\mathbf{1}_{A \cup B}(\omega) = 1$ . LHS=RHS=1.
- $\omega \in B$  but  $\omega \notin A$ . Here  $\mathbf{1}_A(\omega) = 0, \mathbf{1}_B(\omega) = 1$  and  $\mathbf{1}_{A \cup B}(\omega) = 1$ . LHS=RHS=1
- $\omega \notin A$  and  $\omega \notin B$ . Here  $\mathbf{1}_A(\omega) = 0, \mathbf{1}_B(\omega) = 0$  and  $\mathbf{1}_{A \cup B}(\omega) = 0$ . LHS=RHS=0.

Hence, we showed that  $\mathbf{1}_{A \cup B} = \mathbf{1}_A + \mathbf{1}_B - \mathbf{1}_{A \cap B}$  for any disjoint  $A, B \subseteq \Omega$ .

Next, when  $A, B$  need not be disjoint, we have the following cases.

- $\omega \in A$  but  $\omega \notin B$ . Here  $\mathbf{1}_A(\omega) = 1, \mathbf{1}_B(\omega) = 0, \mathbf{1}_{A \cup B}(\omega) = 1, \mathbf{1}_{A \cap B}(\omega) = 0$ . LHS=RHS=1.
- $\omega \in B$  but  $\omega \notin A$ . Here  $\mathbf{1}_A(\omega) = 0, \mathbf{1}_B(\omega) = 1, \mathbf{1}_{A \cup B}(\omega) = 1, \mathbf{1}_{A \cap B}(\omega) = 0$ . LHS=RHS=1
- $\omega \notin A$  and  $\omega \notin B$ . Here  $\mathbf{1}_A(\omega) = 0, \mathbf{1}_B(\omega) = 0, \mathbf{1}_{A \cup B}(\omega) = 0, \mathbf{1}_{A \cap B}(\omega) = 0$ . LHS=RHS=0.
- $\omega \in A$  and  $\omega \in B$ . Here  $\mathbf{1}_A(\omega) = 1, \mathbf{1}_B(\omega) = 1, \mathbf{1}_{A \cup B}(\omega) = 1, \mathbf{1}_{A \cap B}(\omega) = 1$ . LHS=RHS=1.

Hence, proved.

2. Let  $\Omega = \{H, T\}^3$  and  $\mathcal{F} = 2^\Omega$ . Construct a probability measure  $\mathbb{P}$  and events  $A, B, C \in \mathcal{F}$  such that

- (a)  $\mathbb{P}(A \cap B) = \mathbb{P}(A) \cdot \mathbb{P}(B), \quad \mathbb{P}(B \cap C) = \mathbb{P}(B) \cdot \mathbb{P}(C), \quad \mathbb{P}(A \cap C) = \mathbb{P}(A) \cdot \mathbb{P}(C).$   
 (b)  $\mathbb{P}(A \cap B \cap C) \neq \mathbb{P}(A) \cdot \mathbb{P}(B) \cdot \mathbb{P}(C).$

**Solution:** Consider the assignment of probabilities as depicted in Table 1.

Let  $A, B, C$  be events defined as follows.

$A :=$  outcome of first coin is head,  
 $B :=$  outcome of second coin is head,  
 $C :=$  outcome of third coin is head.

Then, it follows that

$$\mathbb{P}(A \cap B) = \mathbb{P}(\{HHH\} \cup \{HHT\}) = \frac{1}{4},$$

$E$	$\mathbb{P}(E)$
$\{HHH\}$	$1/4$
$\{HHT\}$	$0$
$\{HTH\}$	$0$
$\{HTT\}$	$1/4$
$\{THH\}$	$0$
$\{THT\}$	$1/4$
$\{TTH\}$	$1/4$
$\{TTT\}$	$0$

Table 1: Assignment of probabilities to demonstrate that for any 3 events, pairwise independence does not imply joint independence.

while we have

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{P}(A) &= \mathbb{P}(\{HHH\} \cup \{HHT\} \cup \{HTH\} \cup \{HTT\}) = \frac{1}{2}, \\ \mathbb{P}(B) &= \mathbb{P}(\{HHH\} \cup \{HHT\} \cup \{THH\} \cup \{THT\}) = \frac{1}{2}.\end{aligned}$$

Thus, we have  $\mathbb{P}(A \cap B) = \mathbb{P}(A) \cdot \mathbb{P}(B)$ , i.e.,  $A \perp B$ . Along similar lines, it can be shown that  $\mathbb{P}(C) = 1/2$ ,  $B \perp C$ , and  $A \perp C$ . However, we note that

$$\mathbb{P}(A \cap B \cap C) = \mathbb{P}(\{HHH\}) = \frac{1}{4} \neq \mathbb{P}(A) \cdot \mathbb{P}(B) \cdot \mathbb{P}(C).$$

3. Let  $\Omega = [0, +\infty)$  and  $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{B}([0, +\infty))$ . Let  $X : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be defined as

$$X(\omega) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k \mathbf{1}_{[k-1, k)}(\omega) = \mathbf{1}_{[0, 1)}(\omega) + 2\mathbf{1}_{[1, 2)}(\omega) + 3\mathbf{1}_{[2, 3)}(\omega) + \dots, \quad \omega \in \Omega.$$

That is,  $X$  takes the constant value 1 on  $[0, 1)$ , the value 2 on  $[1, 2)$ , the value 3 on  $[2, 3)$ , and so on.

- (a) Evaluate  $X^{-1}([0, 100])$ .
- (b) Given a natural number  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , what is  $X^{-1}(\{n\})$ ?
- (c) Evaluate  $X^{-1}((-\infty, x])$  for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ , and show that  $X$  is a random variable with respect to  $\mathcal{F}$ .

**Solution:** Notice that  $X$  takes only positive integer values.

- (a)  $X^{-1}([0, 100]) = \{\omega \in \Omega : 0 \leq X(\omega) \leq 100\} = \bigcup_{i=1}^{100} [i-1, i) = [0, 100)$ .
- (b)  $X^{-1}(\{n\}) = \{\omega \in \Omega : X(\omega) = n\} = [n-1, n)$ .
- (c) We have

$$X^{-1}((-\infty, x]) = \{\omega \in \Omega : X(\omega) \leq x\} = \begin{cases} \emptyset, & x < 1, \\ [0, 1), & 1 \leq x < 2, \\ [0, 2), & 2 \leq x < 3, \\ [0, 3), & 3 \leq x < 4, \\ \vdots & \end{cases}$$

From the above expression, it is clear that  $X((-\infty, x]) \in \mathcal{F}$  for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ , and hence  $X$  is a random variable with respect to  $\mathcal{F}$ .

4. Let  $X : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a random variable with respect to  $\mathcal{F}$ .

- (a) Show that  $(X^{-1}(B))^c = X^{-1}(B^c)$  for any  $B \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})$ .

(b) Show that for any two Borel sets  $B_1, B_2 \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})$ ,

$$X^{-1}(B_1 \cup B_2) = X^{-1}(B_1) \cup X^{-1}(B_2).$$

More generally, for any  $B_1, B_2, \dots \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})$ , show that

$$X^{-1}\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} B_i\right) = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} X^{-1}(B_i).$$

(c) Consider the collection

$$\mathcal{E} = \{E \subseteq \Omega : E = X^{-1}(B) \text{ for some } B \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})\}.$$

That is, each set in  $\mathcal{E}$  is the pre-image (under  $X$ ) of some Borel set  $B$ .

Show that  $\mathcal{E}$  is a  $\sigma$ -algebra of subsets of  $\Omega$ .

Hint: Use the results in part (a) and part (b).

**Note:** To show  $A = B$  for any two sets  $A, B$ , you need to show  $A \subseteq B$  and  $B \subseteq A$ .

**Solution:** We provide the solution to each of the parts below.

(a) Fix  $B \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})$ . We then note that

$$\begin{aligned} \omega_0 \in (X^{-1}(B))^c &\iff \omega_0 \in \left\{ \omega \in \Omega : X(\omega) \in B \right\}^c \\ &\iff X(\omega_0) \notin B \\ &\iff X(\omega_0) \in B^c \\ &\iff \omega_0 \in \{ \omega \in \Omega : X(\omega) \in B^c \} \\ &\iff \omega_0 \in X^{-1}(B^c), \end{aligned}$$

thus proving that  $(X^{-1}(B))^c = X^{-1}(B^c)$ .

(b) Let  $B_1, B_2, \dots \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})$ . We then have

$$\begin{aligned} \omega_0 \in X^{-1}\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} B_i\right) &\iff \omega_0 \in \left\{ \omega \in \Omega : X(\omega) \in \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} B_i \right\} \\ &\iff X(\omega_0) \in \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} B_i \\ &\iff \exists i_0 \in \mathbb{N} \text{ such that } X(\omega_0) \in B_{i_0} \\ &\iff \exists i_0 \in \mathbb{N} \text{ such that } \omega_0 \in \{ \omega \in \Omega : X(\omega) \in B_{i_0} \} \\ &\iff \omega_0 \in \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} \{ \omega \in \Omega : X(\omega) \in B_i \} \\ &\iff \omega_0 \in \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} X^{-1}(B_i), \end{aligned}$$

thus proving that  $X^{-1}\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} B_i\right) = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} X^{-1}(B_i)$ . Setting  $B_i = \emptyset$  for all  $i \geq 3$ , we arrive at the relation  $X^{-1}(B_1 \cup B_2) = X^{-1}(B_1) \cup X^{-1}(B_2)$ .

(c) To show that  $\Omega \in \mathcal{E}$ , we note that  $\mathbb{R} = (-\infty, +\infty) = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} (-\infty, n] \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})$ , and  $\Omega = X^{-1}(\mathbb{R})$ .

Suppose that  $E \in \mathcal{E}$ . Then, there exists  $B \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})$  such that  $E = X^{-1}(B)$ . Then, we have

$$E^c = (X^{-1}(B))^c = X^{-1}(B^c),$$

and noting that  $B^c \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})$ , it follows that  $E^c \in \mathcal{E}$ . Thus,  $\mathcal{E}$  is closed under set complements.

Finally, let  $E_1, E_2, \dots \in \mathcal{E}$ . Then, by definition, there exist sets  $B_1, B_2, \dots \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})$  such that  $E_i = X^{-1}(B_i)$  for all  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ . We then have

$$\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} E_i = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} X^{-1}(B_i) = X^{-1}\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} B_i\right),$$

and noting that  $\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} B_i \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})$ , it follows that  $\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} E_i \in \mathcal{E}$ . Therefore,  $\mathcal{E}$  is closed under countable unions. Together, the above properties demonstrate that  $\mathcal{E}$  is a  $\sigma$ -algebra of subsets of  $\Omega$ .

5. Suppose two fair coins are tossed independently of each other.

- Specify  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$  for the above experiment.
- Find the probability of the event that both coins turn up heads, conditioned on the event that the first coin turns up head.
- Find the probability of the event that both coins turn up heads, conditioned on the event that at least one of the coins turns up head.

**Solution:** We provide solution to each of the parts below.

- We have  $\Omega = \{HH, HT, TH, TT\}$ . We simply set  $\mathcal{F} = 2^\Omega$ . To construct  $\mathbb{P}$ , we note the following requirements:

- $\mathbb{P}(\{HH\} \cup \{HT\}) = \mathbb{P}(\{\text{coin 1 lands up head}\}) = \frac{1}{2}$  (as coin 1 is fair).
- $\mathbb{P}(\{TH\} \cup \{TT\}) = \mathbb{P}(\{\text{coin 1 lands up tail}\}) = \frac{1}{2}$  (as coin 1 is fair).
- $\mathbb{P}(\{TH\} \cup \{HH\}) = \mathbb{P}(\{\text{coin 2 lands up head}\}) = \frac{1}{2}$  (as coin 2 is fair).
- $\mathbb{P}(\{TT\} \cup \{HT\}) = \mathbb{P}(\{\text{coin 2 lands up tail}\}) = \frac{1}{2}$  (as coin 2 is fair).

Based on the above requirements, we must have

$$\mathbb{P}(\{HH\}) = \mathbb{P}(\{HT\}) = \mathbb{P}(\{TH\}) = \mathbb{P}(\{TT\}) = \frac{1}{4}.$$

- Let  $E_1$  (resp.  $E_2$ ) denote the event that the first (resp. second) coin turns up head. Then, the desired probability is  $\mathbb{P}(E_1 \cap E_2 | E_1)$ . By definition, we have

$$\mathbb{P}(E_1 \cap E_2 | E_1) = \frac{\mathbb{P}(E_1 \cap E_2 \cap E_1)}{\mathbb{P}(E_1)} = \frac{\mathbb{P}(E_1 \cap E_2)}{\mathbb{P}(E_1)} \stackrel{(a)}{=} \frac{\mathbb{P}(E_1) \cdot \mathbb{P}(E_2)}{\mathbb{P}(E_1)} = \mathbb{P}(E_2),$$

where (a) above follows from the fact that the coin tosses are independent of one another. Note that

$$\mathbb{P}(E_2) = \mathbb{P}(\{HH\} \cup \{TH\}) = \frac{1}{2}.$$

Therefore, the desired probability is  $\mathbb{P}(E_1 \cap E_2 | E_1) = \frac{1}{2}$ .

- The desired probability is  $\mathbb{P}(E_1 \cap E_2 | E_1 \cup E_2)$ . Note that

$$\mathbb{P}(E_1 \cap E_2) = \mathbb{P}(\{HH\}) = \frac{1}{4}, \quad \mathbb{P}(E_1 \cup E_2) = \mathbb{P}(\{HH\} \cup \{HT\} \cup \{TH\}) = \frac{3}{4}.$$

Therefore, it follows that

$$\mathbb{P}(E_1 \cap E_2 | E_1 \cup E_2) = \frac{\mathbb{P}((E_1 \cap E_2) \cap (E_1 \cup E_2))}{\mathbb{P}(E_1 \cup E_2)} \stackrel{(a)}{=} \frac{\mathbb{P}(E_1 \cap E_2)}{\mathbb{P}(E_1 \cup E_2)} = \frac{1/4}{3/4} = \frac{1}{3},$$

where (a) above follows by noting that  $E_1 \cap E_2 \subseteq E_1 \cup E_2$ .

6. Consider events  $A, B, C \in \mathcal{F}$  such  $A$  is independent of  $B$  and  $A$  is independent of  $C$ . Show that  $A$  is independent of  $B \cup C$  if and only if  $A$  is independent of  $B \cap C$ .

**Note:** To prove an if and only if statement, the “if” and “only if” directions must be proved separately.

**Solution:** We recall that events  $A, B \in \mathcal{F}$  are independent iff  $\mathbb{P}(A \cap B) = \mathbb{P}(A)\mathbb{P}(B)$ .

“if”: We need to prove that for events  $A, B, C \in \mathcal{F}$  such  $A$  is independent of  $B$  and  $A$  is independent of  $C$ , then,  $A$  is independent of  $B \cup C$  if  $A$  is independent of  $B \cap C$ .

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{P}(A \cap (B \cup C)) &= \mathbb{P}((A \cap B) \cup (A \cap C)) \\
&= \mathbb{P}(A \cap B) + \mathbb{P}(A \cap C) - \mathbb{P}((A \cap B) \cap (A \cap C)) \\
&\stackrel{(*)}{=} \mathbb{P}(A)\mathbb{P}(B) + \mathbb{P}(A)\mathbb{P}(C) - \mathbb{P}((A \cap B) \cap (A \cap C)) \quad \because A \text{ is independent of } B, A \text{ is independent of } C. \\
&= \mathbb{P}(A)\mathbb{P}(B) + \mathbb{P}(A)\mathbb{P}(C) - \mathbb{P}((A \cap A) \cap (B \cap C)) \\
&= \mathbb{P}(A)\mathbb{P}(B) + \mathbb{P}(A)\mathbb{P}(C) - \mathbb{P}(A \cap (B \cap C)) \\
&= \mathbb{P}(A)\mathbb{P}(B) + \mathbb{P}(A)\mathbb{P}(C) - \mathbb{P}(A)\mathbb{P}(B \cap C) \quad (\text{when } A \text{ is independent of } B \cap C) \\
&= \mathbb{P}(A) (\mathbb{P}(B) + \mathbb{P}(C) - \mathbb{P}(B \cap C)) \\
&\stackrel{(*)}{=} \mathbb{P}(A)\mathbb{P}(B \cup C),
\end{aligned}$$

“only if”: We need to prove that for events  $A, B, C \in \mathcal{F}$  such  $A$  is independent of  $B$  and  $A$  is independent of  $C$ , then,  $A$  is independent of  $B \cap C$  if  $A$  is independent of  $B \cup C$ .

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{P}(A \cap (B \cap C)) &= \mathbb{P}((A \cap A) \cap (B \cap C)) \\
&= \mathbb{P}((A \cap B) \cap (A \cap C)) \\
&\stackrel{(*)}{=} \mathbb{P}(A \cap B) + \mathbb{P}(A \cap C) - \mathbb{P}((A \cap B) \cup (A \cap C)) \\
&= \mathbb{P}(A \cap B) + \mathbb{P}(A \cap C) - \mathbb{P}(A \cap (B \cup C)) \\
&= \mathbb{P}(A)\mathbb{P}(B) + \mathbb{P}(A)\mathbb{P}(C) - \mathbb{P}(A \cap (B \cup C)) \quad \because A \text{ is independent of } B, A \text{ is independent of } C. \\
&= \mathbb{P}(A)\mathbb{P}(B) + \mathbb{P}(A)\mathbb{P}(C) - \mathbb{P}(A)\mathbb{P}(B \cup C) \quad (\text{when } A \text{ is independent of } B \cup C) \\
&= \mathbb{P}(A) (\mathbb{P}(B) + \mathbb{P}(C) - \mathbb{P}(B \cup C)) \\
&\stackrel{(*)}{=} \mathbb{P}(A)\mathbb{P}(B \cap C),
\end{aligned}$$

where the equalities marked as  $(*)$  follow from the inclusion-exclusion result.