

MULTIVARIATE TRANSFORMATIONS,  
EXPECTATIONS OF DISCRETE RANDOM VARIABLES

- Let  $X_1, X_2 \stackrel{\text{i.i.d.}}{\sim} \text{Exponential}(\lambda)$ . Using the bivariate Jacobian transformation formula, compute the joint PDF of  $Y_1 = X_1 + X_2$  and  $Y_2 = X_1/X_2$ , and show that  $Y_1 \perp Y_2$ .
- Let  $X_1, X_2 \stackrel{\text{i.i.d.}}{\sim} \text{Exponential}(\lambda)$ . Let  $X = X_1$  and  $Y = X_1 + X_2$ . Determine the joint PDF of  $X$  and  $Y$  using the bivariate Jacobian transformation formula. Further, for any  $y > 0$ , show that the conditional PDF of  $X$ , conditioned on the event  $\{Y = y\}$ , is the uniform PDF on the interval  $[0, y]$ .
- (On the Box-Muller Transform)**
  - Let  $X, Y \stackrel{\text{i.i.d.}}{\sim} \mathcal{N}(0, 1)$ . Let  $R = \sqrt{X^2 + Y^2}$  and  $\Theta = \arctan \frac{Y}{X} = \tan^{-1} \left( \frac{Y}{X} \right)$ . Determine the joint PDF of  $R$  and  $\Theta$ , and show that  $R \perp \Theta$ . What are the marginal PDFs of  $R$  and  $\Theta$ ?
  - Let  $R$  and  $\Theta$  be two random variables with the joint PDF

$$f_{R,\Theta}(r, \theta) = r e^{-r^2/2} \cdot \frac{1}{2\pi}, \quad r \geq 0, \quad \theta \in [0, 2\pi].$$

- Compute the marginal PDFs of  $R$  and  $\Theta$ , and show that  $R \perp \Theta$ .
  - Let  $X = R \cos(\Theta)$  and  $Y = R \sin(\Theta)$ . Show that  $X, Y \stackrel{\text{i.i.d.}}{\sim} \mathcal{N}(0, 1)$ .
- (Caution to be Exercised When Conditioning on Zero-Probability Events)**

Let  $X_1, X_2 \stackrel{\text{i.i.d.}}{\sim} \text{Exponential}(\lambda)$ .

Two Ph.D. students of IIT Hyderabad (let us call them  $S_1$  and  $S_2$ ) are on a mission to come up with their own definitions for what it means to “condition on” the event  $\{X_1 = X_2\}$ .

- First Definition:** Student  $S_1$  reasons that  $X_1 = X_2$  if and only if  $\frac{X_1}{X_2} = 1$ , and therefore finds it apt to define conditioning on the event  $\{X_1 = X_2\}$  as conditioning on the event  $\left\{ \frac{X_1}{X_2} = 1 \right\}$ .
- Second Definition:** Student  $S_2$  reasons that  $X_1 = X_2$  if and only if  $X_1 - X_2 = 0$ , and therefore finds it apt to define conditioning on the event  $\{X_1 = X_2\}$  as conditioning on the event  $\{X_1 - X_2 = 0\}$ .

- Show formally that  $\mathbb{P}(\{X_1 = X_2\}) = 0$ .
- Determine the joint PDF of  $Y_1 = X_1 + X_2$  and  $Y_2 = \frac{X_1}{X_2}$ .
- Determine the conditional PDF of  $Y_1$ , conditioned on the event  $\{Y_2 = 1\}$ .
- Determine the joint PDF of  $Y_1$  (as defined above) and  $Y_3 = X_1 - X_2$ .
- Determine the conditional PDF of  $Y_1$ , conditioned on the event  $\{Y_3 = 0\}$ .

It is clear that  $Y_3(\omega) = 0$  if and only if  $Y_2(\omega) = 1$ . Despite this, conditioning on the event  $\{Y_3 = 0\}$  yields a different conditional PDF than conditioning on  $\{Y_2 = 1\}$ . This problem shows that when conditioning on a zero probability event, one must exercise care to specify the exact definition of conditioning.

- Fix  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Consider the measure space  $(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}), \lambda)$ , where  $\lambda$  denotes the Lebesgue measure. Compute  $\int_{\mathbb{R}} f d\lambda$  for each of the following cases.

- $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is defined as

$$f(\omega) = \begin{cases} \omega, & \omega \in \{0, 1, \dots, n\}, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

(b)  $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is defined as

$$f(\omega) = \begin{cases} 1, & \omega \in \mathbb{Q}^c \cap [0, 1], \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

(c)  $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is defined as

$$f(\omega) = \begin{cases} n, & \omega \in \mathbb{Q}^c \cap [0, n], \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

6. Fix  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Let  $\Omega = \{\omega_1, \dots, \omega_n\}$ ,  $\mathcal{F} = 2^\Omega$ , and  $\mathbb{P}(\{\omega_i\}) = \frac{1}{n}$  for all  $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ . Let  $X : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a random variable defined with respect to  $\mathcal{F}$ . Compute  $\mathbb{E}[X] = \int_\Omega X \, d\mathbb{P}$  for the following cases.

(a)  $X = \mathbf{1}_A$ , where  $A = \{\omega_1, \dots, \omega_m\}$ , with  $1 \leq m \leq n$ .

(b)  $X$  is defined as

$$X(\omega) = \begin{cases} i, & \omega = \omega_i, \omega_i \in A, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

7. **(Summation as an Abstract Integral with Respect to the Counting Measure)**

Let  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}) = (\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}))$ . For a fixed  $c \in \mathbb{R}$ , define  $\delta_c : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow [0, 1]$  as

$$\delta_c(A) = \begin{cases} 1, & c \in A, \\ 0, & c \notin A. \end{cases}$$

(a) Show that  $\delta_c$  is a probability measure on  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F})$ .

**Remark:**  $\delta_c$  is called the Dirac measure at  $c$ .

(b) For any simple function  $g : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , show that  $\int_\Omega g \, d\delta_c = g(c)$ .

(c) Extend the result in part (b) above to the case when  $g$  is non-negative.

(d) Let  $\mu : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow [0, +\infty]$  be defined as

$$\mu(A) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \delta_n(A), \quad A \in \mathcal{F}.$$

Show that for any simple function  $g : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ ,

$$\int_\Omega g \, d\mu = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} g(n).$$

Extend the above result to the case when  $g$  is non-negative.

**Remark:** Here,  $\mu$  is a measure on  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F})$ , and is called the “counting” measure.

For any given  $A \in \mathcal{F}$ ,  $\mu(A)$  is equal to the count of the number of positive integers present in the set  $A$ .

8. Suppose that  $N$  is a discrete random variable taking values in  $\mathbb{N}$ . Prove that

$$\mathbb{E}[N] = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathbb{P}(\{N > n\}).$$

**Hint:** Express  $N$  as an infinite sum of indicators and use MCT.