



Probability and Stochastic Processes

Lecture 10: Independence of Events, Borel–Cantelli Lemma,
Conditional Probability, Law of Total Probability, Bayes' Theorem

Karthik P. N.

Assistant Professor, Department of AI

Email: pnkarthik@ai.iith.ac.in

02 September 2025

Recap: Construction of Lebesgue Measure

Probability Assignment for Uncountable Sample Spaces

- $\Omega = (0, 1)$
- As before, suppose we start by assigning probabilities to all **singleton subsets**
- More specifically, let

$$\mathbb{P}(\emptyset) = 0, \quad \mathbb{P}(\Omega) = 1, \quad \mathbb{P}(\{\omega\}) = p_\omega, \quad \omega \in \Omega.$$

- **What is $\mathbb{P}((0, \frac{1}{2}))$?**

This cannot be derived from the probabilities of singleton subsets!

An Important Result from Measure Theory

Theorem

Suppose Ω is an uncountable set, and $\mathcal{F} = 2^\Omega$.

If \mathbb{P} is a valid probability measure on \mathcal{F} (satisfying the three axioms of probability), then there exists a **countable subset** $S \subseteq \Omega$ such that $\mathbb{P}(S) = 1$.

Furthermore, for any $A \in \mathcal{F}$, we have

$$\mathbb{P}(A) = \sum_{\omega \in A \cap S} \mathbb{P}(\{\omega\}).$$

Takeaway

When Ω is uncountable, the only interesting probability measures on 2^Ω are discrete measures!

Example 1: Lebesgue Measure on $\Omega = (0, 1)$

- Let $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}) = ((0, 1), \mathcal{B}(0, 1))$
- Consider the collection

$$\mathcal{S} = \left\{ (a, b] : 0 \leq a \leq b \leq 1 \right\}.$$

Observe that:

- $\emptyset \in \mathcal{S}$
- \mathcal{S} is **closed under finite intersections**
- For any $A, B \in \mathcal{S}$, the set $A \setminus B$ may be expressed as

$$A \setminus B = \bigsqcup_{i=1}^n C_i,$$

for some disjoint sets $C_1, \dots, C_n \in \mathcal{S}$

- The collection \mathcal{S} is called a **semiring**

Example 1: Lebesgue Measure on $\Omega = (0, 1)$

- Consider the collection

$$\mathcal{S} = \left\{ (a, b] : 0 \leq a \leq b \leq 1 \right\}.$$

- Let $m : \mathcal{S} \rightarrow [0, 1]$ be an assignment satisfying the following properties:
 - $m(\emptyset) = 0$
 - $m(\Omega) = 1$
 - $m((a, b]) = b - a$
 - Finite additivity**

Caratheodory's Extension Theorem

There exists a unique extension of m to the whole of $\mathcal{B}(0, 1)$.

The extended measure is called the **Lebesgue measure** on $\mathcal{B}(0, 1)$, denoted by λ .

In particular,

$$\lambda(A) = m(A) \quad \forall A \in \mathcal{S}.$$

Example 2: Lebesgue Measure on $\Omega = \mathbb{R}$

- Consider the collection

$$\mathcal{S} = \left\{ (a, b] : -\infty \leq a \leq b < +\infty \right\}.$$

- Let $m : \mathcal{S} \rightarrow [0, +\infty]$ be an assignment satisfying the following properties:
 - $m(\emptyset) = 0$
 - $m(\Omega) = +\infty$
 - $m((a, b]) = b - a$
 - Finite additivity**

Caratheodory's Extension Theorem

There exists a unique extension of m to the whole of $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})$.

The extended measure is called the **Lebesgue measure** on $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})$, denoted by λ .

In particular,

$$\lambda(A) = m(A) \quad \forall A \in \mathcal{S}.$$

Properties of Lebesgue Measure on $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})$

Consider the measure space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mu) = (\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}), \lambda)$.

- $\lambda(\{x\}) = 0$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$
- $\lambda(a, b) = \lambda((a, b]) = \lambda([a, b)) = \lambda([a, b]) = b - a$
- $\lambda(\mathbb{Q}) = 0$
- **Exercise:** $\lambda(K) = 0$, where K denotes the Cantor set

Independence of Events

Independence of Events

Fix a probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$

Definition (Independence of Events)

Events $A, B \in \mathcal{F}$ are said to be **independent** if

$$\mathbb{P}(A \cap B) = \mathbb{P}(A) \cdot \mathbb{P}(B).$$

We write $A \perp\!\!\!\perp B$ as a shorthand notation to denote that A and B are independent.

Some Tidbits on Independence

- Suppose $A \in \mathcal{F}$ is such that $\mathbb{P}(A) = 0$
 - $A \perp\!\!\!\perp A$
 - $A \perp\!\!\!\perp B$ for all $B \in \mathcal{F}$
- Suppose $A \in \mathcal{F}$ is such that $\mathbb{P}(A) = 1$
 - $A \perp\!\!\!\perp A$
 - $A \perp\!\!\!\perp B$ for all $B \in \mathcal{F}$
- If $A \perp\!\!\!\perp B$, then:
 - $A^c \perp\!\!\!\perp B$
 - $A \perp\!\!\!\perp B^c$
 - $A^c \perp\!\!\!\perp B^c$
- Can an event be independent of itself? **Yes!**

Independence of Multiple Events

Fix a probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$

Definition (Independence of Events)

- Events $A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n \in \mathcal{F}$ are said to be **independent** if for all $\mathcal{I}_0 \subseteq \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$,

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\bigcap_{i \in \mathcal{I}_0} A_i\right) = \prod_{i \in \mathcal{I}_0} \mathbb{P}(A_i).$$

- Let \mathcal{I} be an arbitrary index set. A collection of events $\{A_i : i \in \mathcal{I}\}$ is independent if for every **finite** subset $\mathcal{I}_0 \subseteq \mathcal{I}$, the collection of events $\{A_i : i \in \mathcal{I}_0\}$ is independent.

Borel–Cantelli Lemma

Borel-Cantelli Lemma

Lemma (Borel-Cantelli Lemma)

Fix a probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$.

1. Suppose $A_1, A_2, \dots \in \mathcal{F}$ are such that $\sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathbb{P}(A_n) < +\infty$. Then,

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} A_n\right) = 0. \qquad \left(\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} A_n = A_{\limsup} = \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \bigcup_{k \geq n} A_k\right)$$

2. Suppose $A_1, A_2, \dots \in \mathcal{F}$ are **independent** and satisfy $\sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathbb{P}(A_n) = +\infty$. Then,

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} A_n\right) = 1.$$

Proof of Borel–Cantelli Lemma, Part 1

- Suppose $A_1, A_2, \dots \in \mathcal{F}$ are such that $\sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathbb{P}(A_n) < +\infty$
- We then have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P} \left(\bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \bigcup_{k \geq n} A_k \right) &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P} \left(\bigcup_{k \geq n} A_k \right) && \text{(continuity of probability)} \\ &\leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{k \geq n} \mathbb{P}(A_k) && \text{(union bound)} \\ &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

- We thus proved that $\mathbb{P}(A_{\limsup}) = 0$

Proof of Borel–Cantelli Lemma, Part 2

- Suppose $A_1, A_2, \dots \in \mathcal{F}$ are **independent** and satisfy $\sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathbb{P}(A_n) = +\infty$
- For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P} \left(\bigcap_{k \geq n} A_k^c \right) &= \prod_{k \geq n} \mathbb{P}(A_k^c) \quad (\text{independence of } A_n^c, A_{n+1}^c, \dots) \\ &= \prod_{k \geq n} (1 - \mathbb{P}(A_k)) \leq \prod_{k \geq n} \exp(-\mathbb{P}(A_k)) \quad (1 - x \leq \exp(-x) \ \forall x \geq 0) \\ &= \exp \left(- \sum_{k \geq n} \mathbb{P}(A_k) \right) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

- Taking limits as $n \rightarrow \infty$ on either sides, we get

$$\mathbb{P} \left(\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} A_n^c \right) = 0 \iff \mathbb{P} \left(\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} A_n \right) = 1.$$

Independence of σ -Algebras

Fix a probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$

Definition (Independence of σ -Algebras)

Let $\mathcal{F}_1, \mathcal{F}_2 \subseteq \mathcal{F}$ be sub- σ -algebras of \mathcal{F} . Then, \mathcal{F}_1 and \mathcal{F}_2 are independent if

$$\mathbb{P}(A \cap B) = \mathbb{P}(A) \cdot \mathbb{P}(B) \quad \forall A \in \mathcal{F}_1, B \in \mathcal{F}_2.$$

More generally, for an arbitrary index set \mathcal{I} , the collection sub- σ -algebras $\{\mathcal{F}_i : i \in \mathcal{I}\}$ are said to be independent if for all choices of $A_i \in \mathcal{F}_i, i \in \mathcal{I}$, the events $\{A_i : i \in \mathcal{I}\}$ are independent.

Conditional Probabilities

Conditional Probability Measure

Fix a probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$.

Definition (Conditional Probability)

Given $B \in \mathcal{F}$ such that $\mathbb{P}(B) > 0$, define

$$\mathbb{P}_B : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow [0, 1] \quad \text{via} \quad \mathbb{P}_B(A) := \frac{\mathbb{P}(A \cap B)}{\mathbb{P}(B)}, \quad A \in \mathcal{F}.$$

Then, \mathbb{P}_B is a valid probability measure on (Ω, \mathcal{F}) , and is called the **conditional probability measure** conditioned on the event B .

Notation: $\mathbb{P}_B(A)$ is denoted more commonly as $\mathbb{P}(A|B)$.

\mathbb{P}_B is a Valid Probability Measure on (Ω, \mathcal{F})

- $\mathbb{P}_B(\emptyset) = 0$
- $\mathbb{P}_B(\Omega) = 1$
- For any mutually disjoint collection of sets $A_1, A_2, \dots \in \mathcal{F}$,

$$\mathbb{P}_B\left(\bigsqcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} A_n\right) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathbb{P}_B(A_n).$$

Conditional Probability – Properties

- Fix $B \in \mathcal{F}$ such that $0 < \mathbb{P}(B) < 1$. Then, for any $A \in \mathcal{F}$,

$$\mathbb{P}(A) = \mathbb{P}(A|B) \cdot \mathbb{P}(B) + \mathbb{P}(A|B^c) \cdot \mathbb{P}(B^c).$$

Conditional Probability – Properties

- **(Law of Total Probability)**

Suppose $B_1, B_2, \dots \in \mathcal{F}$ form a **partition** of Ω , i.e.,

$$B_i \cap B_j = \emptyset \quad \forall i \neq j, \quad \bigsqcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} B_n = \Omega.$$

Then, for any $A \in \mathcal{F}$,

$$\mathbb{P}(A) = \sum_{n: \mathbb{P}(B_n) > 0} \mathbb{P}(A|B_n) \cdot \mathbb{P}(B_n).$$

Conditional Probability – Properties

- **(Bayes' Theorem)**

Suppose $B_1, B_2, \dots \in \mathcal{F}$ form a **partition** of Ω , i.e.,

$$B_i \cap B_j = \emptyset \quad \forall i \neq j, \quad \bigsqcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} B_n = \Omega.$$

For any $A \in \mathcal{F}$ such that $\mathbb{P}(A) > 0$,

$$\mathbb{P}(B_n|A) = \begin{cases} \frac{\mathbb{P}(A|B_n) \cdot \mathbb{P}(B_n)}{\sum_{j: \mathbb{P}(B_j) > 0} \mathbb{P}(A|B_j) \cdot \mathbb{P}(B_j)}, & \mathbb{P}(B_n) > 0, \\ 0, & \mathbb{P}(B_n) = 0. \end{cases}$$

Conditional Probability – Properties

- **(Chain Rule)**

Let $A_1, A_2, \dots \in \mathcal{F}$. Then,

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{P}\left(\bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} A_n\right) &= \mathbb{P}(A_1) \cdot \mathbb{P}(A_2|A_1) \cdot \mathbb{P}(A_3|A_1 \cap A_2) \cdots \\ &= \mathbb{P}(A_1) \cdot \prod_{n \geq 2} \mathbb{P}\left(A_n \mid \bigcap_{j=1}^{n-1} A_j\right),\end{aligned}$$

provided each of the conditional probabilities on the right-hand side is defined.