

DATA606 Homework Presentation

Jai Jeffryes

11/18/2019

Exercise 9.3 Baby weights, Part III

We considered the variables `smoke` and `parity`, one at a time, in modeling birth weights of babies in Exercises 9.1 and 9.2. A more realistic approach to modeling infant weights is to consider all possibly related variables at once. Other variables of interest include length of pregnancy in days (`gestation`), mother's age in years (`age`), mother's height in inches (`height`), and mother's pregnancy weight in pounds (`weight`). Below are three observations from this data set.

	bwt	gestation	parity	age	height	weight	smoke
1	120	284	0	27	62	100	0
2	113	282	0	33	64	135	0
⋮	⋮	⋮	⋮	⋮	⋮	⋮	⋮
1236	117	297	0	38	65	129	0

Continued

The summary table below shows the results of a regression model for predicting the average birth weight of babies based on all of the variables included in the data set.

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	Pr(> t)
(Intercept)	-80.41	14.35	-5.60	0.0000
gestation	0.44	0.03	15.26	0.0000
parity	-3.33	1.13	-2.95	0.0033
age	-0.01	0.09	-0.10	0.9170
height	1.15	0.21	5.63	0.0000
weight	0.05	0.03	1.99	0.0471
smoke	-8.40	0.95	-8.81	0.0000

- (a) Write the equation of the regression model that includes all of the variables.

(a) Write the equation of the regression model that includes all of the variables.

	Estimate
(Intercept)	-80.41
gestation	0.44
parity	-3.33
age	-0.01
height	1.15
weight	0.05
smoke	-8.40

$$\widehat{baby_weight} = -80.41 + 0.44 \times gestation - 3.33 \times parity - 0.01 \times age + 1.15 \times height + 0.05 \times weight - 8.40 \times smoke$$

(b) Interpret the slopes of gestation and age in this context.

$$\widehat{baby_weight} = -80.41 + 0.44 \times gestation - 3.33 \times parity - 0.01 \times age + 1.15 \times height + 0.05 \times weight - 8.40 \times smoke$$

- ▶ The gestation coefficient of 0.44 says that an additional day in a pregnancy increases a baby's birth weight, on average, by 0.44 ounces.
- ▶ The age coefficient of -0.01 says that an additional year in a mother's age reduces a baby's birth weight, on average, by 0.01 ounces.

(c) The coefficient for parity is different from the linear model shown in Exercise 9.2. Why might there be a difference?

Single-variable model from Exercise 9.2

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\text{Pr}(> t)$
(Intercept)	120.07	0.60	199.94	0.0000
parity	-1.93	1.19	-1.62	0.1052

Parity variable from this multiple regression model

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\text{Pr}(> t)$
parity	-3.33	1.13	-2.95	0.0033

A difference like this may be due to correlation between predictor variables absent from the single-variable regression model, which are therefore confounding variables. Correlated predictor variables are said to be collinear.

(d) Calculate the residual for the first observation in the data set.

Use the equation from answer (a). Supply the variables with values from the first observation.

$$\widehat{\text{baby_weight}} = -80.41 + 0.44 \times \text{gestation} - 3.33 \times \text{parity} - 0.01 \times \text{age} + 1.15 \times \text{height} + 0.05 \times \text{weight} - 8.40 \times \text{smoke}$$

	bwt	gestation	parity	age	height	weight	smoke
1	120	284	0	27	62	100	0

```
bwt_pred = -80.41 + 0.44*284 - 3.33*0 - 0.01*27 + 1.15*62 - 8.40*0  
bwt_obs <- 120  
bwt_residual <- bwt_obs - bwt_pred
```

- Observed baby weight: 120 ozs.
- Predicted: 120.58 ozs.
- Residual: -0.58 ozs.

(e) The variance of the residuals is 249.28, the variance of the birth weights is 332.57. Calculate R^2 and adjusted R^2 . There are 1,236 observations.

$$R^2 = 1 - \frac{\text{variability in residuals}}{\text{variability in the outcome}}$$

$$R^2_{adj} = 1 - \frac{\text{variability in residuals}}{\text{variability in the outcome}} \times \frac{n - 1}{\text{degrees of freedom}}$$

Degrees of freedom: $n - \text{predictor_count} - 1$

```
r2 <- 1 - (249.28 / 332.57)
n <- 1236; df <- n - 6 - 1
r2_adj <- 1 - ((249.28 / 332.57) * ((n - 1) / df))
```

► R^2 : 0.2504435

► R^2_{adj} : 0.2467842