# Distributed System Report

Michael Shell School of Electrical and Computer Engineering Georgia Institute of Technology Atlanta, Georgia 30332-0250

Email: http://www.michaelshell.org/contact.html

Homer Simpson Twentieth Century Fox Springfield, USA

James Kirk and Montgomery Scott Starfleet Academy Email: homer@thesimpsons.com San Francisco, California 96678-2391 Telephone: (800) 555-1212

Fax: (888) 555–1212

#### Abstract—The abstract goes here.

#### I. FAILURE HANDLING

#### A. Failure Detection

In our distributed file system, the master maintains a timestamp table to record the last heartbeating time for every registered slave. Slaves are designed to send heartbeat messages to the master every  $t_b$  seconds. After receiving the heartbeat message, the master updates the timestamp of that slave to the current system time. On the master side, a thread is built to check the timestamp table every  $t_c$  seconds. Once the absolute difference between the timestamp of a slave and current system time of master exceeds a prescribed threshold, the master adds the slave to a *dead\_slave* list. After checking the timestamp of all slaves, the master starts the recovery process if the dead slave list is not empty.

### B. Data recovery: Master Side

In the data recovery function, the master first establishes a list containing all damaged files and their damaged part indexes, according to the dead\_slave list and the metadata of all files. For each damaged file, the recovery process is given as follows.

- Input: Damaged indexes set S, e.g., {02, 0102, 0304};
- · Initialization: Mark damaged indexes as LOST, and set their recovery set R as empty set (following the example, O2 is marked as LOST and  $R_{O2} = \emptyset$  ); Mark nondamaged indexes as OK and set their recovery set R to a set containing themselves (O1 is OK, and  $R_{O1} = \{O1\}$ meaning O1 can be recovered by itself, or no recovery needed);
- Bottom-up Recovery Phase: Check indexes in a bottomup order: O1, O2, O3, O4, O1O2, O3O4, O1O2O3O4. If an index i is LOST and both of its children, say c1 and c2, are OK, mark i as OK and update  $R_i$  to  $R_{c1} \cup R_{c2}$ ; In our example, O3O4 is recovered and  $R_{O3O4} = R_{O3} \cup R_{O4} =$  $\{O3, O4\}$  while O2 (no child) and O1O2 (child O2 is LOST) cannot be restored.
- Top-down Recovery Phase: Check indexes in a top-down order: O1O2O3O4, O1O2, O3O4, O1, O2, O3, O4. If an index i is LOST and both of its father f and sibling s are OK, mark i as OK and update  $R_i$  to  $R_f \cup R_s$ ; In our example, O1O2 is recovered first by

- O1O2O3O4 and O3O4 with  $R_{O1O2} = R_{O1O2O3O4} \cup$  $R_{O3O4} = \{O3, O4, O1O2O3O4\}$ . Then O2 gets recovered by O1O2 and O1 with  $R_{O2} = R_{O1O2} \cup R_{O1} =$  $\{O1, O3, O4, O1O2O3O4\}.$
- Return: If there exists empty  $R_i$  for any index i, return failed, else return all  $R_i$  with  $|R_i| \geq 2$ .

If the recovery process returns failed, which means we cannot recover this file by remaining parts, our system delete this file from file list. Otherwise, we assign a new slave (with best-effort distributed law) for each missing index, and call the recovery process at the slave side with input argument: index to recover and a list of (index, slave) pair for every needed index.

#### C. Data recovery: Slave Side

Upon receiving the recovery request from the master, the slave obtain the missing index for the damaged file and know where to obtain the needed indexes to recover the missing index with the help of input arguments. Afterwards, the slave do the recovery with the following steps:

- Obtain indexes for recovery: The recovery slave read needed index from the slave which owns the index for the preparation of recovery. Here, the recovery slave acts like a client, and do a read operation from the slave who owns the index. For example, if the input argument is O3O4, and < (O3, slave2), (O4, slave3) >, the recovery slave will do a read operation to O3 from slave2, and a read opeartion to O4 from slave3 for the preparation of recovery index O3O4.
- Recovery data: After obtaining the indexes needed to recover the missing index, the recovery slave do the encode process for the indexes read in the previous step. For the example in previous step, the recovery slave is able to encode for O3O4 with the content of index O3 and O4. After encoding, we obtain the content of index O3O4.
- Write to stable storage: The recovery slave write the content of missing index into its local disk and finish the recovery process for this missing index.

If there are many recovery requests from the master, the slave will do recovery for missing indexes one by one until it finishes all of the recovery request. When the slave doing recovery, it will not block the read and write requests from clients.

## D. Subsection Heading Here

Subsection text here.

1) Subsubsection Heading Here: Subsubsection text here.

## II. CONCLUSION

The conclusion goes here.

## ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The authors would like to thank...

## REFERENCES

[1] H. Kopka and P. W. Daly, *A Guide to LTEX*, 3rd ed. Harlow, England: Addison-Wesley, 1999.