

**SUMMARY TABLE :**

|                                       | <b>Required Change to Reduce Fuel<br/>Rate by 10 kg/thm</b> | <b>Approx. % Change<br/>(based on avg)</b> |
|---------------------------------------|---|--|
| <b>Slag Rate</b>                      | −33.33 kg/thm   | −6.7% (from 500 kg)                        |
| <b>Hot Blast Temp</b>                 | +40 °C  | +3.5% (from 1150 °C)                       |
| <b>Moisture</b>                       | −4%   | −50% (from 8%)                             |
| <b>Gas Utilization<br/>Efficiency</b> | +5%   | +10.6% (from 47%)                          |
| <b>Flame<br/>Temperature</b>          | +200 °C   | +9.1% (from 2200 °C)                       |
| <b>Top Pressure</b>                   | +1 bar  | +43.5% (from 2.3 bar)                      |

These small shifts can **save 10 kg/thm** while maintaining furnace safety and output.

## Theoretical Fuel Rate Adjustment Table

| Parameter          | Unit                 | Change | Fuel Rate Adjustment (kg/tHM) |
|--------------------|----------------------|--------|-------------------------------|
| Moisture Injection | g/m <sup>3</sup> STP | + 10   | + 6                           |
| Top pressure       | bar                  | + 0.1  | – 1.2                         |
| Blast temperature  | °C                   | + 100  | – 9                           |
| Slag               | kg/thm               | + 10   | + 0.5                         |
| Gas Utilization    | %                    | + 1    | – 7                           |

## Predicted Fuel Rate Adjustment Table

| Parameter                  | Unit Change | Fuel Adjustment (kg/thm) |
|----------------------------|-------------|--------------------------|
| Moisture injection         | + 10%       | + 12.7                   |
| Top Pressure               | + 0.1 bar   | – 5.6                    |
| Blast Temperature          | + 100 °C    | – 12.4                   |
| Slag Rate                  | + 10 kg/thm | + 0.3                    |
| Gas utilization efficiency | + 1%        | – 5                      |
| Flame Temperature          | + 100 °C    | – 3.6                    |

*NOTE: There is difference in unit for Moisture Injection for the tables*

## **Summary**

- Moisture injection: Positive correlation with fuel rate (higher moisture → Higher fuel),
- CO Efficiency: Slight negative correlation (higher CO utilization → lower fuel), Better CO gas utilization clearly reduces carbon consumption by making use of CO for reduction.
- Hot Blast Temperature: Plot shows negative trend (higher temp → lower fuel), and theory indicates the same: hotter blast reduce fuel consumption.
- Slag Rate: Clear positive correlation (higher slag rate → higher fuel), moderate strength. Additional slag requires extra heating and melting, raising the fuel consumption.
- Flame Temperature: Flame Temperature have a Negative correlation with fuel rate. Higher Raceway flame temperature → Lower fuel rate.
- Top Pressure: Top Pressure have a Negative correlation with fuel rate; fuel rate is decreasing by increasing top-gas pressure.

## **Recommendations -**

- Maximize CO to CO<sub>2</sub> conversion efficiency / Gas Utilization Efficiency (optimize burden mix and gas flows to lower top-gas CO ratio).
  - Operate at the higher practical hot-blast temperature.
  - Reduce slag production (better raw-material quality and flux control) to avoid melting costs.
  - Carefully control charge moisture: minimize unnecessary water in feed, but use precise steam injections only to the extent they improve permeability.
  - Maintain stable flame temperature and top pressure through proper O<sub>2</sub> and burden control, their direct impact on fuel rate is significant.
-