RESEARCHING THE ABILITY OF REINFORCEMENT LEARNING AGENTS TO ADAPT TO CHANGES IN THEIR TRAINING ENVIRONMENT

Tomos Ody (ODY14527411)

Department of Computer Science, University of Lincoln

Abstract—The quick brown fox jumped over the lazy dog Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetuer adipiscing elit. Ut purus elit, vestibulum ut, placerat ac, adipiscing vitae, felis. Curabitur dictum gravida mauris. Nam arcu libero, nonummy eget, consectetuer id, vulputate a, magna. Donec vehicula augue eu neque. Pellentesque habitant morbi tristique senectus et netus et malesuada fames ac turpis egestas. Mauris ut leo. Cras viverra metus rhoncus sem. Nulla et lectus vestibulum urna fringilla ultrices. Phasellus eu tellus sit amet tortor gravida placerat. Integer sapien est, iaculis in, pretium quis, viverra ac, nunc. Praesent eget sem vel leo ultrices bibendum. Aenean faucibus. Morbi dolor nulla, malesuada eu, pulvinar at, mollis ac, nulla. Curabitur auctor semper nulla. Donec varius orci eget risus. Duis nibh mi, congue eu, accumsan eleifend, sagittis quis, diam. Duis eget orci sit amet orci dignissim rutrum.

I. Introduction

A. Rationale

Much of the Reinforcement Learning (RL) literature is focused around specific best case applications to specific problem domains. This is an improvement over past trends described in Kaelbling's survey of the subject from 1996 Kaelbling, Littman, and Moore 1996 where research was being done on poorly defined problem domains. More recently RL research has become more focused into complex and specific problem domains. However there is little research comparing the various RL methods to one another in a comprehensive manner. Often with brief discussions of the various methods without evidence validating the chosen RL methodology. More often than not the choice of method comes down to examination of the problem domain to be explored.

The focus of this project is in comparing the performance of the more popular Q-Learning (QL) control policy (Christopher J. C. H. Watkins and Dayan 1992) against the lesser known State-Action-Reward-State-Action (SARSA) control policy (Rummery and Niranjan 1994) in as comprehensive a manner as possible. With the aim of understanding how applicable these methods are to various problem domains and situations. In theory SARSA should always outperform QL since it always evaluates it's chosen action (Sutton and A G. Barto 2018). And is the more computationally expensive method as a result.

Q-Learning and SARSA are very closely related temporal difference (TD) problems and so many optimizations of one

are applicable to the other. This makes them simple to compare against one another as well as understand the differences in reinforcement learning environments. However QL overshadows SARSA in the literature quite dramatically, to the point SARSA is considerably harder to research than QL in the academic literature, however I have been unable to find an obvious reason why.

B. Aims and Objectives

The aim of this project is to understand the differences in performance of QL and SARSA and by extension offline and online control policies for TD problems. With focus being drawn to how the environments used for training affect the performance of the various control policies.

Further research will be conducted to understand the scope of TD problem domains as well as explore potential optimisations of QL and SARSA. This will allow the analysis to be more complete and present additional opportunities for comparison between the control policies.

The reason for the prevalence of QL over SARSA in the academic literature should be explored. Experimental data will be considered alongside the literature to inform the evaluation of these control policies for TD problems.

C. Hypothesis

D. Background

1) Reinforcement Learning: RL is distinct from most Artificial Intelligence (AI) disciplines in that instead of relying on a large pool of ground truth examples for the learning to be done upon. Instead RL relies on the design of the learning environment to teach an AI how to perform a task. In most cases this is done through reward functions with the RL agent inferring from these rewards how the task should be performed (Kaelbling, Littman, and Moore 1996).

This however relies on the designed learning environment being simple enough for an agent to learn from it's interactions with the environment alone. This leads to the main challenge in RL, exploration vs exploitation. This describes the balance between the agent exploring the environment to update all actions with their corresponding rewards and exploiting the already learned actions, taking the optimal action. This is important as it not only decides how the agent learns the environment

1

but also its ability to interact with the environment effectively. Since if the agent always takes random actions to explore the environment fully it will never learn the optimal strategy. Likewise if the agent only follows the optimal strategy it will not learn better strategies if they exist. This can drastically affect the time it takes to train the agent since being too close to one extreme or the other causes the agent to either never learn the optimal strategy or learn an incorrect optimal strategy by never encountering the optimal strategy (Sutton and A G. Barto 2018).

2) Q-Learning: Q-Learning was initially proposed by Watkins in their Phd thesis Christopher John Cornish Hellaby Watkins 1989 building on MDPs to solve more complex problems without the difficulty of building an equally complex model to solve it. Q-Learning was formalised 3 years later in Christopher J. C. H. Watkins and Dayan 1992.

SORT! (watkins page 55) Harvard "It provides agents with the capability of learning to act optimally in Markovian domains by experiencing the con-sequences of actions, without requiring them to build maps of the domains."

Q-Learning simplifies the environment into a Q-table with the dimensions

[number of states, number of actions]

Each point in the table corresponds to a Q-value for an action at the given state. This table allows for the agent to learn from the experience of each state and action. By following the maximum Q-value the optimal path should be followed given that all Q-values are representative of the environment. However, the discrete nature of the Q-table means that QL is limited to discrete problems or the environment must be discretized leading to a loss in state and action accuracy (Gaskett, Wettergreen, and Zelinsky 1999).

- 3) SARSA:
- 4) Temporal Difference Learning:

E. Report Structure

CONTENTS

I	Introdu	uction	1
	I-A	Rationale	1
	I-B	Aims and Objectives	1
	I-C	Hypothesis	1
	I-D	Background	
		I-D1 Reinforcement Learning	
		I-D2 Q-Learning	
		I-D3 SARSA	2
		I-D4 Temporal Difference Learning	2 2 3 2 2
	I-E	Report Structure	2
II	Literat	cure Review	2
	II-A	Academic basis	2 2 3 3
	II-B	Scope of reinforcement learning	3
	II-C	Reinforcement learning techniques	3
Ш	Method	dology	4
IV	Design	and Development	4

V	Experiments and Evaluation			
VI	Discussions and Reflective Analysis			
VII	Conclusion			
References				
Appendix				
Acknowledgements				

II. LITERATURE REVIEW

A. Academic basis

The standard RL model consists of an agent interacting with an environment via perception and action. At each step of the training process the agent receives some state information. Based on this information the agent will then take an action based on it's observations of the environment. Depending on the action taken a scalar reinforcement signal is sent to the agent as a reflection of success. The agent aims to maximise the long-run sum of the reinforcement signal. This process leads to the agent choosing the rewarded actions leading to the development of the desired behaviour in the agent. As outlined in Kaelbling, Littman, and Moore 1996, a survey of RL field from 1996. This basic outline is corroborated by Busoniu, Babuska, and De Schutter 2008, a survey of multiagent RL from 2008 and Kober, Bagnell, and Peters 2013, a survey of RL in robotics from 2013. This basic conception of RL models is consistent across all literature and appears in papers up to the current day.

This learning method does not fit neatly into the two main machine learning paradigms of supervised and unsupervised learning. Instead of being directly trained by correct examples or inferring patterns from the data, a RL agent learns from it's own interactions with the environment quantified by a scalar reward described in the book Reinforcement Learning: An Introduction Sutton and A G. Barto 2018, cited in many RL works. This agent driven learning style leads to a trade-off between exploration and exploitation (Sutton and A G. Barto 2018; Kaelbling, Littman, and Moore 1996; Busoniu, Babuska, and De Schutter 2008; Kober, Bagnell, and Peters 2013). Due to the need for the agent to explore the environment as well as perform actions to maximise the positive reward (exploitation). The trade-off stems from the incompatibility of these two behaviours which are both required for an effective agent. Since the agent must explore the environment to understand the positive and negative options possible yet avoid negative actions to succeed (Kaelbling, Littman, and Moore 1996). For most RL problems Markov Decision Processes (MDP) are applied as the methodology for modelling decisions to calculate action rewards accounting for the exploration-exploitation trade-off (Kober, Bagnell, and Peters 2013). MDPs work by considering rewards temporally, meaning that a series of actions can be attributed to the delayed reward function (Kaelbling, Littman, and Moore 1996; Busoniu, Babuska, and De Schutter 2008; Kober, Bagnell, and Peters 2013). This is in contrast to more simple greedy strategies which reward

the agent directly for each individual action. However these methods can fall victim to unlucky reward sampling early in the training process (Kaelbling, Littman, and Moore 1996). A useful framing problem for understanding the balancing of exploration and exploitation is the K-Armed Bandit problem. This is used in most survey papers (Kaelbling, Littman, and Moore 1996; Busoniu, Babuska, and De Schutter 2008; Kober, Bagnell, and Peters 2013) to explore the performance of RL methods in the scope of exploration vs exploitation. The more recent papers Busoniu, Babuska, and De Schutter 2008; Kober, Bagnell, and Peters 2013 analysing these methods to balance exploration and exploitation tend towards the more dynamic methods like MDPs as opposed to the more simplistic greedy strategies mentioned in Kaelbling, Littman, and Moore 1996. The other simplistic methods suggested by ibid. are not mentioned in the more recent surveys of RL Busoniu, Babuska, and De Schutter 2008; Kober, Bagnell, and Peters 2013, only in Sutton and A G. Barto 2018 are these methods mentioned as introductory methods to RL. The literature seems to be in agreement on many of the details of RL methods, however many methods mentioned in the older Kaelbling, Littman, and Moore 1996 seem to be less widely used in the surveys written in recent years (Busoniu, Babuska, and De Schutter 2008; Kober, Bagnell, and Peters 2013).

Most of the methods discussed in RL are very old, for example MDPs were originally proposed by Bellman 1958 in 1958 which is cited in each of the RL surveys Kaelbling, Littman, and Moore 1996; Busoniu, Babuska, and De Schutter 2008; Kober, Bagnell, and Peters 2013 as well as the seminal Sutton and A G. Barto 2018. The vast majority of improvements made to RL methods are minor improvements to MDPs.

B. Scope of reinforcement learning

Reinforcement learning is a very adaptable machine learning approach due to the wide range of approaches and methodologies that can be used to form a solution. However Kaelbling, Littman, and Moore 1996 concludes that RL performs well on small problems but poorly on larger problems due to the efficiency of generalising the problems. This point is reaffirmed by Wirth et al. 2017, adding that an efficient generalisation of a complex problem requires expert domain knowledge. This suggests that whilst versatile, RL is only generally suited to simple problems.

One of the primary areas of RL research is robotics since the domain of controlling a robot fits neatly into a RL problem as discussed in Kober, Bagnell, and Peters 2013, whereas other machine learning disciplines are very difficult to utilise for robotic control systems. This view of RL in robotics is shared by Smart and Pack Kaelbling 2002. Many other hurdles are introduced by using reinforcement learning in a real context such as observation inaccuracies and the expense of hardware Kober, Bagnell, and Peters 2013, however this does not pertain to this project. Robotic RL agents instead present a strong base of real agent-environment interactions (ibid.).

Up till this point single-agent systems have been discussed, however Multi-Agent Reinforcement Learning (MARL) is another large body of work with multiple agents training in the same environment. This domain is similar to single-agent RL but multiple agents open up a new set of challenges and advantages. As described in Busoniu, Babuska, and De Schutter 2008 the main challenge faced is an exacerbation of the exploration-exploitation trade-off due to inter-agent interactions adding a new level of complexity to the issue. Multiple agents can also be turned into an advantage in MARL by allowing agents to share their experience with other agents. Additionally more advanced reward functions can encourage collaborative actions between agents. One clear advantage over other RL domains is that MARL can benefit from parallel computing increasing the computational efficiency.

Most RL environments could be described as games, unsurprisingly the most active domain of RL is playing video games. Video games present a host of environments easily adaptable to RL applications, however the low complexity tolerance of RL still takes effect. This leads to either very simplistic games being used to train complete agents to perform well such as in Bellemare, Veness, and Bowling 2012 or more high level applications like Amato and Shani 2010 where a RL agent controls the strategies of an artificial intelligence agent designed to play the game. These applications both use MDPs with Q-Leaning (Christopher J. C. H. Watkins and Dayan 1992) to consider the higher level temporal planning required for playing video games.

A criticism is raised in Shoham, Powers, and Grenager 2003, a critical survey of MARL using MDPs, as to the definition of many RL solutions in that they are often performed from an ill defined domain basis in how learning best occurs. It outlines a four stage method of properly defining a RL problem, describing how too many RL solutions work off previous bases without exploring their applicability to the problem being addressed. This demonstrated by the contrast between Amato and Shani 2010 where little academically supported domain knowledge is presented versus Ng et al. 2006 where the domain knowledge is comprehensively explored before designing the RL solution. This suggests that certain areas of RL problems are ill guided.

C. Reinforcement learning techniques

Whilst not encompassing all of RL, MDPs represent the vast majority of modern machine learning solutions. This is due to the simplicity with which a complex problem can be represented, taking into account time and previous actions as outlined in Andrew G. Barto and Mahadevan 2003. As a result of this focus there is a wealth of different MDP strategies in the literature to draw from. An MDP is a framework for modelling actions with controllable yet undetermined outcomes to be optimised Bellman 1958. Utilising an MDP a complex problem can be abstracted down to a comparably computationally simple problem, this however requires much domain specific knowledge to be properly exercised Shoham, Powers, and Grenager 2003.

MDPs are a mathematical framework to quantify and rationalise decisions made in a Stochastic Game (SG) Bellman 1958. SGs are step by step games which quantify an environment probabilistically Shapley 1953, this hugely simplifies

reward and action computation in RL problems Busoniu, Babuska, and De Schutter 2008.

In both Bellemare, Veness, and Bowling 2012; Amato and Shani 2010 Q-Learning is used, it is an approach to MDPs which allows a RL agent to explore an environment to experience the various reward states associated with each action. The Q-Learning task will use these immediate rewards to plan temporally how to maximise the reward overall as described in Christopher J. C. H. Watkins and Dayan 1992.

Much of the research pertaining to RL problems seems much too condensed, with single authors appearing in multiple papers such as Barto in Andrew G. Barto and Mahadevan 2003 as well as the book Sutton and A G. Barto 2018. Kaelbling also appears in both Kaelbling, Littman, and Moore 1996; Smart and Pack Kaelbling 2002. Other concentrations like this appear all across the RL literature which makes me sceptical of the academic basis of many of these methodologies.

III. METHODOLOGY

IV. DESIGN AND DEVELOPMENT

V. EXPERIMENTS AND EVALUATION

VI. DISCUSSIONS AND REFLECTIVE ANALYSIS

VII. CONCLUSION

REFERENCES

- Amato, Christopher and Guy Shani (2010). "High-level Reinforcement Learning in Strategy Games". In: *Proceedings of the 9th International Conference on Autonomous Agents and Multiagent Systems*. Vol. 1. Toronto, Canada, pp. 75–82. ISBN: 978-0-9826571-1-9.
- Barto, Andrew G. and Sridhar Mahadevan (2003). In: *Discrete Event Dynamic Systems* 13.4, pp. 341–379. DOI: 10.1023/a: 1025696116075.
- Bellemare, Marc G., Joel Veness, and Michael H. Bowlings (2012). "Investigating Contingency Awareness Using Atart 2600 Games". In: *AAAI*.
- Bellman, Richard (1958). "Dynamic programming and stochastic control processes". In: *Information and Control* 1.3, pp. 228–239. DOI: 10.1016/s0019-9958(58)80003-0.
- Busoniu, L., R. Babuska, and B. De Schutter (2008). "A Com-prehensive Survey of Multiagent Reinforcement Learning," In: *IEEE Transactions on Systems, Man, and Cybernetics, Part C (Applications and Reviews)* 38.2, pp. 156–172. DO, 10.1109/tsmcc.2007.913919.
- Gaskett, Chris, David Wettergreen, and Alexander Zelin¹⁹₂₀ sky (1999). "Q-Learning in Continuous State and Actiom Spaces". In: *Proceedings of the 12th Australian Join*²⁷₂₃ *Conference on Artificial Intelligence: Advanced Topics in Artificial Intelligence*. AI '99. London, UK, UK: Springer²⁵₂₆ Verlag, pp. 417–428. ISBN: 3-540-66822-5. URL: http://db7 acm.org/citation.cfm?id=646077.678231.
- Kaelbling, L. P., M. L. Littman, and A. W. Moore (1996). "Reso inforcement Learning: A Survey". In: *Journal of Artificiâ*¹/₃₂ *Intelligence Research* 4, pp. 237–285. DOI: 10.1613/jair.3013
- Kober, Jens, J. Andrew Bagnell, and Jan Peters (2013). "Rein³⁴₅₅ forcement learning in robotics: A survey". In: *The Internase tional Journal of Robotics Research* 32.11, pp. 1238–1274²⁷₃₈ DOI: 10.1177/0278364913495721.

- Ng, Andrew Y. et al. (2006). "Autonomous Inverted Helicopter Flight via Reinforcement Learning". In: *Experimental Robotics IX*. Ed. by Marcelo H. Ang and Oussama Khatib. Berlin, Heidelberg: Springer Berlin Heidelberg, pp. 363–372. DOI: 10.1007/11552246_35.
- Rummery, Gavin Adrian and M. Niranjan (1994). "On-line Q-learning using connectionist systems". In:
- Shapley, L. S. (1953). "Stochastic Games". In: *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* 39.10, pp. 1095–1100. DOI: 10.1073/pnas.39.10.1095.
- Shoham, Yoav, Rob Powers, and Trond Grenager (June 2003).
 "Multi-Agent Reinforcement Learning: a critical survey".
 In:
- Smart, W.D. and L. Pack Kaelbling (2002). "Effective reinforcement learning for mobile robots". In: *Proceedings 2002 IEEE International Conference on Robotics and Automation*. DOI: 10.1109/robot.2002.1014237.
- Sutton, R S. and A G. Barto (2018). *Reinforcement Learning: An Introduction*. Second edition. MIT Press.
- Watkins, Christopher J. C. H. and Peter Dayan (1992). "Qlearning". In: *Machine Learning* 8.3, pp. 279–292. DOI: 10. 1007/BF00992698.
- Watkins, Christopher John Cornish Hellaby (May 1989). "Learning from Delayed Rewards". PhD thesis. Cambridge, UK: King's College. URL: http://www.cs.rhul.ac.uk/~chrisw/new_thesis.pdf.
- Wirth, Christian et al. (2017). "A Survey of Preference-Based Reinforcement Learning Methods". In: *Journal of Machine Learning Research* 18, 136:1–136:46.

APPENDIX

```
gym
       numpy as np
      time
                   default_timer as timer
       matplotlib.pyplot as plt
# Initialize environment and q table
   init_env(initialisation =
    env = gym.make(
    n states. n actions = env.observation space.n. env.action space.n
   # Initialise q-table with supplied type
       initialisation ==
       Q = np.ones((n_states, n_actions))
        initialisation ==
       Q = np.random.uniform((n_states, n_actions))
        initialisation ==
       Q = np.zeros((n_states, n_actions))
           Q, env, n_states, n_actions
# e-Greedy algorithm for action selection from the q table by state with flag
   to force greedy method for testing
        a = np.random.randint(0, n_actions)
# Standard Q-Learning control method
   # Initialise environment to get q table and action and state information
    Q, env , n_states, n_actions = init_env(initialisation)
   # Create list to store the timestep rewards
```

```
40
          timestep_reward = []
                                                                                          128
                                                                                                    # Initialise environment to get q table and action and state information
                                                                                          129
           res = 0
                                                                                          130
           timestep_reward_resolution = np.zeros(resolution)
                                                                                                    # Create list to store the timestep rewards
46
           # Iterate through episodes
                                                                                          134
 49
               # Reset environment for new episode and get initial state
                                                                                                     timestep_reward_resolution = np.zeros(resolution)
50
                                                                                          136
 51
52
53
54
                                                                                                     # Iterate through episodes
              # Create values for recording rewards , steps and task completion
                                                                                          138
                                                                                                         # Reset environment for new episode and get initial state
                                                                                          139
                                                                                          140
                                                                                                         s = env.reset()
              done = False
                                                                                          141
 56
57
                                                                                          142
                                                                                                         # Create values for recording rewards, steps and task completion
              # Loop the task until task is completed or max steps are reached
                                                                                                         total_reward = 0
                                                                                          144
                                                                                                         steps = 0
 59
                                                                                          145
60
                                                                                          146
                  a = e_greedy(Q, epsilon, n_actions, s)
                                                                                          147
                                                                                                         # Get initial action using e_greedy method
                                                                                          148
                  # Get next state from the chosen action and record reward
                                                                                          149
                   s_, reward, done, info = env.step(a)
                                                                                          150
                                                                                                         # Loop the task until task is completed or max steps are reached
                   total_reward += reward
                                                                                                             steps += 1
                   # Select next action greedily based on next state
                   a_ = e_greedy(Q, epsilon, n_actions, s_, greedy=True)
                                                                                          154
                                                                                                             # Get next state from the chosen action and record reward
                                                                                                             s_, reward, done, info = env.step(a)
                  # If the task is completed do not use next q-value to update Q
                                                                                          156
                                                                                                             total_reward += reward
 70
71
72
73
74
                      0[s. a] += alpha * (reward — 0[s. a])
                                                                                          158
                                                                                                             # Select next action based on next state using
                   # If not done use the Bellman equation to update Q
                                                                                          159
                                                                                                             a_ = e_greedy(Q, epsilon, n_actions, s_)
                                                                                          160
 75
76
77
78
                                                                                                             # If the task is completed do not use next q-value to update Q
                       O[s. a] += alpha * (reward + (gamma * O[s. a]) — O[s. a])
                                                                                                             Q[s, a] += alpha * (reward - Q[s, a])
# If not done use the Bellman equation to update Q
                  # Set next state to current state (Q-Learning) control policy
                                                                                          163
                                                                                          164
                                                                                          165
80
                  # If the task is completed break and record the reward for graphing
                                                                                                                 Q[s, a] += alpha * (reward + (gamma * Q[s_, a_]) - Q[s, a])
                                                                                          166
                       timestep reward resolution[res] = total reward
                                                                                                             # Set next state and action to current state and action
                                                                                          168
                                                                                          169
                      # If the resolution count is reached reset counter
                                                                                          170
                          res == resolution — 1:
                                                                                                             # If the task is completed break and record the reward for graphing
86
                           res = 0
                       # If not iterate the resolution counter
                                                                                          173
                                                                                                                 timestep_reward_resolution[res] = total_reward
                                                                                          174
                                                                                          175
                                                                                                                 # If the resolution count is reached reset counter
                           res += 1
90
                                                                                          176
                       # Average the reward for the resolution and record for plotting
                                                                                                                 # If not iterate the resolution counter
                                                                                          178
                           179
94
                                                                                          180
                           timestep_max.append(np.max(timestep_reward_resolution))
timestep_min.append(np.max(timestep_reward_resolution))
96
                                                                                                                 # Average the reward for the resolution and record for plotting
                                                                                          184
aa
                                                                                          185
                                                                                                                             timestep_reward_resolution)
100
                  # If the max steps are reached (agent stuck in action loop)
                                                                                          186
                      steps == max_steps:
                       timestep_reward_resolution[res] = total_reward
                                                                                          188
                                                                                          189
                                                                                                             # If the max steps are reached (agent stuck in action loop)
                                                                                          190
                                                                                                                steps == max_steps:
                                                                                                                 timestep_reward_resolution[res] = total_reward
                                                                                          192
                                                                                                                    res == resolution — 1:
                                                                                          194
                                                                                                                    res = 0
109
                                                                                          195
                          episode % resolution == 0:
110
                           timestep_reward.append(np.average(\
                                                                                          196
                                                                                                                     res += 1
                                                                                          198
                                                                                          199
                                                                                          200
                                                                                                                             timestep_reward_resolution))
          # Check if testing flag is passed (on by default)
                                                                                          201
              # Call testing class and return metrics for display
                                                                                          203
              avg_rwd, avg_stp, failed = test_qtable(Q, env, n_tests, n_actions,\)
                                                                                          204
                                                                                                    # Check if testing flag is passed (on by default)
                      max_steps, render)
                                                                                          205
120
                                                                                                         # Call testing class and return metrics for display
          # Return the time stepped rewards, averages for rewards and steps and the
                                                                                          207
                                                                                                         avg_rwd, avg_stp, failed = test_qtable(Q, env, n_tests, n_actions,\
                                                                                                                 max_steps, render)
              the failure percentage
                                                                                          208
                  timestep reward, timestep max, timestep min, avg rwd, avg stp. failed 209
                                                                                          210
                                                                                                    # Return the time stepped rewards, averages for rewards and steps and the
      # Adapted SARSA control method
                                                                                                        the failure percentage
```

41

44

45

64

68

88

89

93

95

98

103

105

118

122

124

```
timestep_reward, avg_rwd, avg_stp, failed
                                                                                       298
                                                                                                  plt.plot(maxim)
213
214
                                                                                        299
                                                                                                  plt.plot(minim)
                                                                                       300
      # Test function to test the O-table
          test_qtable(Q, env, n_tests, n_actions, max_steps, render, delay=0.1):
                                                                                       301
                                                                                                  plt.show()
216
                                                                                        302
          # Create array to store total rewards and steps for each test
                                                                                        303
                                                                                              # Start timer, set run length, set length of hyperparameters, and define
                                                                                        304
          # Set failed counter to zero
                                                                                        305
                                                                                              # corresponding lists of hyperparameters to be used
220
           failed = 0
                                                                                        306
                                                                                        307
222
          # Iterate through each test
                                                                                       308
                                                                                        309
             test in range(n_tests):
                                                                                       310
                                                                                                                        # ones, zeros or random
225
              # Reset the environment and get the initial state
226
              # Set done flag to false
228
               done = False
                                                                                              max steps = 500
229
                                                                                              n_tests = 1000
230
              # Set step and reward counters to zero
                                                                                       316
              steps = 0
232
              total_reward = 0
                                                                                              gammas = 1
                                                                                              alphas = 1
              # Set e-greedy parameters greedy flag sets greedy method to be used
                                                                                        320
                                                                                              arr_eps = [50000, 2500, 5000, 10000, 50000]
              epsilon = 0.9
236
                                                                                        322
                                                                                              arr_gamma = [0.999, 0.99, 0.9, 0.9999]
                                                                                       323
                                                                                              arr_alpha = [0.05, 0.02, 0.03, 0.04, 0.01, 0.06, 0.07, 0.08, 0.09, 0.1]
              # Loop until test conditions are met iterating the steps counter
                                                                                       324
                                                                                       325
                                                                                              # Iterate through each level of hyperparameters, episodes, gammas and alphas
                                                                                                          1ge(eps):
                                                                                       326
                  steps += 1
                                                                                                        range(gammas):
                                                                                                                ange(alphas):
                  # Get action by e-greedy method
                                                                                       328
                  a = e_greedy(Q, epsilon, n_actions, s, greedy)
                                                                                       329
                                                                                                          # Set hyper parameters
                  # If render flag has been passed render the environment
                                                                                                          episodes = arr_eps[e]
                                                                                                          gamma = arr gamma[g]
                     render:
                                                                                                          alpha = arr_alpha[a]
                      time.sleep(delav)
248
                      env.render()
                                                                                                          # Check if runs os greater then 3 to a void indexing errors
                                                                                                            runs >= 3:
                  # Get state by applying the action to the environment and add reward 337
                                                                                                              # If so create aggregate array to average the total runs value
                  total reward += reward
                                                                                                          # Iterate through runs
                  # If task is completed break
255
                                                                                       341
                                                                                                              # Check of first argument is passed
                                                                                       342
                      #print(f'Episode reward: {total_reward}, Steps: {steps}')
                                                                                       343
                                                                                                                   n(sys.argv) > 1:
                                                                                                                  # Check method flag for s (SARSA) or q (Q-Learning)
258
                      # If render flag is set render and pause
                                                                                       344
                                                                                       345
259
                         render:
                                                                                                                      # Check second argument is passed
260
                          time.sleep(1)
                                                                                       346
                                                                                       347
261
                                                                                       348
                                                                                                                          # Check if render flag is set or not
                  # If step limit is reached end and record the failure
                                                                                       349
263
                                                                                                                              # Start SARSA function with render flag set
264
                                                                                       350
                      # If render flag is set render and wait for user input
265
266
267
                                                                                       353
268
                                                                                       354
                                                                                                                              sys.argv[2] ==
269
                                                                                       355
                                                                                       356
271
                      \#total\_reward = 0
                      \#steps = 0
                                                                                        358
                      failed += 1
                                                                                       359
                                                                                       360
                                                                                        361
              # Record total rewards and steps
                                                                                        362
               step_rewards[0, test] = total_reward
                                                                                       363
279
                                                                                                                  # Same as above for Q-Learning function
280
          # Get averages of the steps and rewards and failure percentage for tests
                                                                                                                           (sys.argv) > 2:
281
           avg_rwd = np.average(step_rewards[0])
                                                                                       367
           avg_stp = np.average(step_rewards[1])
283
           fail_percent = (failed / n_tests) * 100
                                                                                        369
                                                                                                                                     gamma, epsilon, episodes, max_steps,\
285
          # Print average test values for all tests
                                                                                                                           elif sys.argv[2] ==
                                                                                                                              data, maxim, minim, avg_rwd, avg_stp, failed = q_lrn(alpha,
                                                                                                                                     gamma, epsilon, episodes, max_steps,\
289
                 avg_rwd, avg_stp, failed
290
      # Plotting function to plot timesteo rewards to show how the average agent
         reward increases over the training period by the specified resolution
293
          plot(data, maxim, minim, mthd):
          plt.title(mthd)
          plt.xlabel( plt.ylabel(
295
                                                                                        381
                                                                                        382
                                                                                       383
           plt.plot(data)
```

```
384
386
                           # Check of third argument is passed
                               (sys.argv) > 3:
# Check plot flag and plot data if so
                                 sys.argv[3] == '.':

plot(data, maxim, minim, sys.argv[1])
394
399
400
                       # If the runs threshold is met record values from testing
                           aggr[0, i], aggr[1, i], aggr[2, i] = avg_rwd, avg_stp,\
                   # Print the method used for clarity
409
                   # If runs threshold is met print the averages and standard
                   # deviation of the rewards, steps and failures
                                                    , np.average(aggr[0]),\
                                                   , np.average(aggr[1]),\
, np.average(aggr[2]),\
                              np.std(aggr[0]),
                               np.std(aggr[2]))
                   # Print hyper parameters for testing
419
420
421
      # End timer and print time
```

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS