A07 Hoermann

Aufgabe 07

```
a)
```

- n ... index
- p, e ... regressors (independent variables)
- s, v, d ... dependent variables

```
df = read.csv("regr.csv")
cor(df)
```

```
## n 1.00000000 0.005942531 0.00559404 -0.01367667 -0.03169528 0.008111184 ## p 0.005942531 1.00000000 0.07811189 0.89399345 0.88275842 0.699126301 ## e 0.005594040 0.078111892 1.00000000 0.50275362 0.48441650 0.761721139 ## s -0.013676667 0.893993454 0.50275362 1.00000000 0.99398317 0.930266958 ## v -0.031695282 0.882758416 0.48441650 0.99398317 1.00000000 0.907741943 ## d 0.008111184 0.699126301 0.76172114 0.93026696 0.90774194 1.00000000
```

Interpretation

There is a strong correlation between v & s, d & s, v & d and d & e. The low correlation between e and p makes sense, as those are the two independent variables. The low linear correlation of e towards s and v could mean that they are somehow other connected. Maybe quadratic.

```
##
## Call:
\#\# \lim(formula = df\$s \sim df\$p + df\$e + I(df\$p^2) + I(df\$e^2) + I(df\$p *
##
       df$e) + I(df$p^2 * df$e) + I(df$p * df$e^2) - 1)
##
## Residuals:
                  1Q
                       Median
                                     30
                                             Max
## -0.55295 -0.22161 0.01591 0.24363 0.48227
##
## Coefficients:
##
                     Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|)
## df$p
                    -0.476346
                                 0.408135
                                          -1.167
                                                      0.246
                     0.190604
## df$e
                                 0.222278
                                            0.858
                                                      0.393
                                          76.867
## I(df$p^2)
                     6.358917
                                 0.082726
                                                     <2e-16 ***
## I(df$e^2)
                    -0.022992
                                 0.019333
                                          -1.189
                                                      0.237
                     6.308444
                                 0.034458 183.074
## I(df$p * df$e)
                                                     <2e-16 ***
## I(df$p^2 * df$e) -0.008824
                                 0.007946
                                           -1.110
                                                      0.270
## I(df$p * df$e^2) 0.003813
                                 0.004287
                                            0.889
                                                      0.376
## Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
## Residual standard error: 0.2932 on 93 degrees of freedom
## Multiple R-squared:
                             1, Adjusted R-squared:
## F-statistic: 3.702e+07 on 7 and 93 DF, p-value: < 2.2e-16
```

Seems like the model fits reality rather well, with a residual standard error of around 0.3.

```
Regr2 = lm(df\$s \sim df\$p + I(df\$e^2) + I(df\$p * df\$e) + I(df\$p^2 * df\$e) + I(df\$p * df\$e^2) + I(df\$p * df\$e)
summary(Regr2)
##
## Call:
\# \# \lim(formula = df\$s \sim df\$p + I(df\$e^2) + I(df\$p * df\$e) + I(df\$p^2 *
##
       df$e) + I(df$p * df$e^2) + I(df$p * df$d))
##
## Residuals:
##
        Min
                   1Q
                        Median
                                      30
                                              Max
## -1.77297 -0.36671 0.02782 0.41898
##
## Coefficients:
##
                       Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|)
## (Intercept)
                     -23.499137
                                   2.254072 -10.425
                                                       <2e-16 ***
## df$p
                      13.742992
                                   1.260242
                                             10.905
                                                       <2e-16 ***
## I(df$e^2)
                       0.012551
                                   0.025297
                                              0.496
                                                        0.621
## I(df$p * df$e)
                                            75.732
                                                       <2e-16 ***
                       4.538100
                                   0.059923
## I(df$p^2 * df$e)
                       0.180695
                                   0.015490
                                             11.665
                                                       <2e-16 ***
## I(df$p * df$e^2)
                      -0.047595
                                   0.004538 - 10.487
                                                       <2e-16 ***
## I(df$p * df$d)
                       2.497675
                                   0.092383 27.036
                                                       <2e-16 ***
## ---
## Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
## Residual standard error: 0.6133 on 93 degrees of freedom
## Multiple R-squared:
                             1, Adjusted R-squared:
## F-statistic: 8.376e+05 on 6 and 93 DF, p-value: < 2.2e-16
```

regr2.csv

Interpretation

There is a strong linear correlation between all the variables (except the index), as the coefficients are nearly all over 0.9. The correlation between e and p is still high, which is surprising as those are the regressors. The analysis leads to the guess that those variables can be described by a linear function.

```
##
## Call:
## lm(formula = df$s ~ df$p + df$e + I(df$p^2) + I(df$e^2) + I(df$p *
## df$e) + I(df$p^2 * df$e) + I(df$p * df$e^2) - 1)
##
```

```
## Residuals:
##
       Min
                 1Q Median
                                  30
                                          Max
## -0.55295 -0.22161 0.01591 0.24363 0.48227
## Coefficients:
##
                   Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|)
## df$p
                  -0.476346 0.408135 -1.167
                                                  0.246
                   0.190604 0.222278 0.858
## df$e
                                                  0.393
## I(df$p^2)
                   6.358917 0.082726 76.867
                                                 <2e-16 ***
## I(df$e^2)
                   -0.022992 0.019333 -1.189
                                                 0.237
## I(df$p * df$e)
                    6.308444 0.034458 183.074
                                                 <2e-16 ***
## I(df$p^2 * df$e) -0.008824  0.007946 -1.110
                                                 0.270
## I(df$p * df$e^2) 0.003813 0.004287 0.889
                                                  0.376
## ---
## Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
##
## Residual standard error: 0.2932 on 93 degrees of freedom
## Multiple R-squared:
                       1, Adjusted R-squared:
## F-statistic: 3.702e+07 on 7 and 93 DF, p-value: < 2.2e-16
Regr2 = lm(df$s~I(df$v*df$e^2) + I(df$p*df$d))
summary(Regr2)
##
## lm(formula = df$s ~ I(df$v * df$e^2) + I(df$p * df$d))
## Residuals:
       Min
                 1Q
                    Median
                                  3Q
                                          Max
## -22.2876 -3.3969
                      0.9675
                              5.1245 12.9318
##
## Coefficients:
##
                    Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|)
## (Intercept)
                   1.131e+01 3.273e+00 3.455 0.000819 ***
## I(df$v * df$e^2) 7.005e-05 1.495e-05
                                        4.687 9.04e-06 ***
## I(df$p * df$d)
                   6.409e+00 6.503e-02 98.548 < 2e-16 ***
## ---
## Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
## Residual standard error: 7.629 on 97 degrees of freedom
## Multiple R-squared: 0.9983, Adjusted R-squared: 0.9982
## F-statistic: 2.775e+04 on 2 and 97 DF, p-value: < 2.2e-16
```