# SPARSE RECOVERY AND THE GEOMETRY OF HIGH-DIMENSIONAL RANDOM MATRICES – EXERCISES

## B. G. BODMANN GENE GOLUB SIAM SUMMER SCHOOL 2017

Day 1: Deterministic versus random matrices with the restricted isometry property

**Exercise 1.** Instead of a direct construction of an  $m \times n$  (sensing) matrix A whose column vectors are

$$A = [a_1 a_2 \cdots a_n]$$

we may attempt to find a matrix  $G = A^*A$ , whose entries contain inner products of these column vectors.

- (1) State a condition that is equivalent to A having RIP constant  $\delta_s$  in terms of the spectra of the principal  $s \times s$  submatrices of G.
- (2) Examine the paper https://arxiv.org/abs/math/0406134, where five examples of matrices are presented that possess for n=36 and m=15 optimal bounds for  $\delta_s$  and smallest values of s. (Note that the matrices need to be normalized by multiplying with an appropriate constant.) If we wish to use the result by Candès requiring  $\delta_{2s} \leq \sqrt{2} 1$ , what degree of sparsity in signals can be recovered with sensing matrices obtained by factoring G? You can verify the stated properties with the example of a matrix in this paper, saved in the file FGE-G36rk15.mat at http://www.math.uh.edu/~bgb/GGSSS2017.

Exercise 2. Compare numerically obtained RIP constants using sensing matrices with Gaussian i.i.d. entries with the number of measurements required in the paper DeVoreCompressedSensing.pdf at http://www.math.uh.edu/~bgb/GGSSS2017.

Exercise 3. Compare numerically obtained RIP constants using sensing matrices with Gaussian i.i.d. entries with the provable performance guarantees in Section 1.6 of the paper DecodingLP.pdf at http://www.math.uh.edu/~bgb/GGSSS2017.

## Day 2: RIP and Robust width

**Exercise 1.** It is tempting to consider matrices A whose column vectors can be partitioned into orthonormal bases. A family of vectors  $\{a_i^{(r)}: 1 \leq r \leq b, 1 \leq i \leq m\}$  is called a family of mutually unbiased bases if

$$\langle a_i^{(r)}, a_{i'}^{(r')} \rangle = \begin{cases} 1/\sqrt{m} &, r \neq r' \\ 0 &, i \neq i', r = r' \\ 1 &, i = i', r = r' \end{cases}.$$

It is known that if  $m=4^p$  for some p, then we can choose b=m/2, see the paper https://arxiv.org/abs/quant-ph/0502024v2. The Gram matrix corresponding to such an A made up of these column vectors has all off-diagonal entries bounded by  $1/\sqrt{m}$ . Using the bound for the operator norm in Theorem 5.3 of the paper FramesGraphsErasures.pdf considered before, show that the restricted isometry constant is bounded by  $\delta_s \leq (s-1)/\sqrt{m}$ . What is the maximal s for which the Candès proof guarantees robust and stable recovery?

**Exercise 2.** Prove that if there are constants  $C_0$  and  $C_1$  such that for every  $x^{\natural} \in \ell_2^n$ ,  $\epsilon \geq 0$  and  $\eta \in \ell_2^m$  with  $\|\eta\| \leq \epsilon$ , any choice

$$\hat{x} \in \arg\min\{\|x\|_1 : \|Ax - (Ax^{\natural} + \eta)\| \le \epsilon\}$$

satisfies that for every  $a \in \Sigma_s$ ,  $\|\hat{x} - x^{\natural}\| \le C_0 \|x^{\natural} - a\|_1 + C_1 \epsilon$ , then A satisfies the  $(\rho, \alpha)$ -robust width property for  $\ell_1^n$  with constants  $\rho = 2C_0$  and  $\alpha = 1/(2C_1)$ . Hint: Choose  $x^{\natural} \in \mathcal{N}_{\alpha}(A)$  and let  $\epsilon = \alpha \|x^{\natural}\|$ ,  $\eta = 0$ .

**Exercise 3.** In the paper by Cahill and Mixon, the authors show that if the  $m \times n$  matrix A is a scaled co-isometry, so  $AA^* = cI$  on  $\ell_2^m$  with some c > 0, then  $A^*A = cP$  with an orthogonal projection P, and the set  $\mathcal{N}_{\alpha}(A)$  is characterized as a union of subspaces

$$\mathcal{N}_{\alpha}(A) \cup \{0\} = \bigcup \{X \subset \ell_2^n : \dim(X) = n - m, \|P_X - P\| < \alpha\},\$$

where  $P_X$  is the orthogonal projection with range X. Is this set (including 0) convex?

SPARSE RECOVERY AND THE GEOMETRY OF HIGH-DIMENSIONAL RANDOM MATRICES – EXERCISES

## Day 3: RIP implies robust width

Exercise 1. Relax or build a raft.

#### DAY 4: OPERATOR MONOTONICITY AND OPERATOR CONCAVITY

**Exercise 1.** Show that the function  $f: t \mapsto t^2$  is not operator monotone on  $\mathbb{R}^+$ . It is enough to show that the matrices  $A = \begin{pmatrix} 3/2 & 0 \\ 0 & 3/4 \end{pmatrix}$  and  $B = \begin{pmatrix} 1/2 & 1/2 \\ 1/2 & 1/2 \end{pmatrix}$  satisfy  $A \geq B$  but not  $A^2 \geq B^2$ .

**Exercise 2.** For a random variable X with values in [0, 1], the generating function satisfies the bound

$$\mathbb{E}[e^{rX}] \le 1 + (e^r - 1)\mathbb{E}[X], \theta \ge 0.$$

Is the same true when X is a random positive semidefinite matrix with spectrum in [0,1]? Hint: Use the spectral theorem for each realization of X, take the expectation last.

**Exercise 3.** Recall Weyl's eigenvalue estimate: For two Hermitian matrices A and B, let  $\lambda_i(A)$  denote the i-th largest eigenvalue of A and similarly  $\lambda_i(B)$  for B, then if  $A \geq B$  (operator inequality), we have  $\lambda_i(A) \geq \lambda_i(B)$ . Use this to show that if f is monotone on  $\mathbb{R}$ , then the composition of f with the trace is a real-valued function  $X \mapsto \operatorname{tr}[f(X)]$  that is monotone with respect to the partial order on the set of Hermitian matrices.

**Exercise 4.** Assuming  $\mathbb{E}[\sum_{j=1}^{m} X_j] = I$  as in the lecture, show Talagrand's symmetrization lemma, which yields an upper bound for the expected value of the norm in terms of the symmetrized distribution,

$$\mathbb{E}\|\sum_{j=1}^{m} X_j - I\| \le 2\mathbb{E}[\|\sum_{j} \epsilon_j X_j\|]$$

where each  $\epsilon_j$  is a zero-mean Rademacher random variable with values in  $\{\pm 1\}$  and the family  $\{\epsilon_j, X_j : 1 \leq j \leq m\}$  is independent. Hint: First prove the inequality in case the mean is zero, so

$$\mathbb{E}\|\sum_{j=1}^{m} Y_j\| \le 2\mathbb{E}[\|\sum_{j} \epsilon_j Y_j\|].$$

Verify that for any independent (vector-valued) random variables Y, Z, with  $\mathbb{E}[Z] = 0$ ,

$$\mathbb{E}[||Y||] \le \mathbb{E}[||Y + Z||].$$

If  $\mathbb{E}[Y] = 0$ , you may choose Z to be an independent copy of Y.

## Day 5: Expected values vs. Tail bounds

**Exercise 1.** Suppose we are interested in measure concentration for  $X_j$  as described in the lecture with  $\mathbb{E}[\sum_{j=1}^m X_j] = I$  and do not care about logarithmic factors or precise estimates for failure estimates. Explain why it is enough to have

$$\mathbb{E}[\|\sum_{j=1}^{m} X_j - I\|] \le \delta'$$

for  $\delta' < \delta$  in order to obtain

$$\lim_{c \to \infty} \mathbb{P}[\|\sum_{j=1}^{cm} \frac{1}{c} X_j - I\| > \delta] \to 0.$$

**Exercise 2.** Given a non-negative (real-valued) random variable X with expected value E, bound the probability  $\mathbb{P}[X \geq rE]$  for a given r > 1. Hints:

- (1) Argue that among all possible distributions, it suffices to consider X with values in [0, rE], because if  $Y = \min\{X, rE\}$ , then  $\mathbb{P}[X \geq rE] = \mathbb{P}[Y \geq rE]$ . Also,  $\mathbb{E}[Y] \leq E$ .
- (2) Next, show that among all random variables with values in [0, rE] and average E, the probability  $\mathbb{P}[X = rE]$  is maximized if the random variable takes values in  $\{0, rE\}$  only.
- (3) Finally, use the normalization of the probability measure and the assumption on the values in  $\{0, rE\}$  to express  $\mathbb{P}[X = rE]$  in terms of E.